

**THE GLOBAL PREVALENCE OF FOOD  
SELECTIVITY IN CHILDREN WITH AUTISM  
SPECTRUM DISORDER:  
A THREE-LEVEL META-ANALYSIS**

**NUR AAZIFAH BINTI ILHAM**

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**2025**

**THE GLOBAL PREVALENCE OF FOOD  
SELECTIVITY IN CHILDREN WITH AUTISM  
SPECTRUM DISORDER:  
A THREE-LEVEL META-ANALYSIS**

by

**NUR AAZIFAH BINTI ILHAM**

**Thesis submitted in the partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of  
Master of Science (Medical Statistics)**

**July 2025**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, all praise is due to Allah, the Most Gracious and Most Merciful, for granting me the strength, patience, and perseverance to complete this thesis. Without His guidance and blessings, this journey would not have been possible.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Associated Prof Najib Majdi and Dr Anis Kausar for their continuous support, expert guidance, and constructive feedback throughout this research. Their encouragement and dedication have played a vital role in the successful completion of this work.

I am sincerely grateful to Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) for granting me the opportunity to pursue this study under the Cuti Belajar Bergaji Penuh scheme. The financial support and academic leave provided have been crucial in enabling me to focus on my research and academic development.

My heartfelt thanks also go to my beloved family. To my parents, thank you for your endless prayers, encouragement, and love. To my husband and children, your patience, understanding, and unwavering support have been the foundation of my strength throughout this journey. This achievement is as much yours as it is mine.

May Allah reward all of you abundantly. Ameen

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....  | <b>ii</b>   |
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....                                      | <b>iii</b>  |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....   | <b>viii</b> |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....  | <b>ix</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b> .....  | <b>xi</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....                                  | <b>xii</b>  |
| <b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b> .....                                     | <b>xiv</b>  |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b> .....  | <b>xv</b>   |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b> .....   | <b>xvii</b> |
| <b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....                                 | <b>19</b>   |
| 1.1 Introduction .....  | 19          |
| 1.2 Problem Statement .....   | 24          |
| 1.3 Study Rationale .....   | 27          |
| 1.4 Research Question(s).....                                       | 28          |
| 1.5 Objective .....   | 29          |
| 1.5.1 General Objective.....  | 29          |
| 1.5.2 Specific Objectives:.....                                     | 29          |
| 1.6 Hypothesis .....  | 30          |
| 1.6.1 Statistical Hypothesis for Objective 2 .....                  | 30          |
| 1.6.2 Statistical Hypothesis for Objective 3 .....                  | 30          |
| 1.7 Definition of Key Terminologies in Advanced Meta-Analysis ..... | 31          |
| <b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....                            | <b>37</b>   |
| 2.1 Overview of Autism Spectrum Disorder.....                       | 38          |
| 2.2 Food Selectivity in ASD .....                                   | 40          |
| 2.2.1 Conceptual Definitions and Subtypes of Food Selectivity.....  | 41          |

|                                   |   |           |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 2.2.2                             | Consequences of Food Selectivity .....  | 45        |
| 2.3                               | Prevalence of Food Selectivity among Children with ASD.....   | 49        |
| 2.4                               | Factors Influencing the Variability of the Reported Prevalence of Food Selectivity Among Children with ASD..... | 51        |
| 2.4.1                             | Types of Food Selectivity .....   | 51        |
| 2.4.2                             | Instruments of Food Selectivity Diagnosis .....   | 53        |
| 2.4.3                             | Geographical .....  | 55        |
| 2.4.4                             | Temporal trend .....  | 56        |
| 2.4.5                             | Age .....   | 58        |
| 2.4.6                             | Gender .....  | 59        |
| 2.4.7                             | Other Reviews on Food Selectivity Among ASD.....  | 59        |
| 2.4.8                             | Sample Size in Prevalence Study of Meta-Analysis .....  | 62        |
| 2.5                               | Conceptual Framework .....  | 64        |
| 2.6                               | Overview of Statistical Models and Challenges in Prevalence Meta-Analysis .....                                 | 66        |
| 2.7                               | Meta-Analytic Models Previously Applied to Food Selectivity in ASD .....  | 68        |
| 2.8                               | Handling Dependent Effect Sizes in Modern Meta-Analysis .....   | 69        |
| <b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY.....</b> |   | <b>74</b> |
| 3.1                               | Research Design .....   | 74        |
| 3.2                               | Study Area.....   | 76        |
| 3.3                               | Study Populations.....  | 76        |
| 3.4                               | Review Timeline .....   | 76        |
| 3.5                               | Search Strategy.....  | 77        |
| 3.5.1                             | Search Team and Roles .....   | 77        |
| 3.5.2                             | Databases and Justification .....   | 78        |
| 3.5.3                             | Development of Search Terms.....  | 78        |
| 3.5.4                             | Boolean Search Operators.....   | 79        |
| 3.5.5                             | Visualization of Search Strategy .....  | 79        |

|           |   |     |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 3.5.6     | Additional Sources: Citation Chaining .....   | 82  |
| 3.6       | Software Used for the Management of Bibliometric Information .....                                    | 82  |
| 3.7       | Limit (Time and language).....  | 83  |
| 3.8       | Inclusion and Exclusion criteria .....  | 83  |
| 3.9       | Screening for Eligible Studies.....   | 85  |
| 3.10      | Study Context and Outcome .....   | 85  |
| 3.11      | Data Extraction.....  | 87  |
| 3.11.1    | Classification of ASD Diagnostic Tools .....  | 90  |
| 3.11.2    | Classification of Instrument for Food Selectivity .....   | 91  |
| 3.12      | Methodological Quality Assessment (Risk of Bias Assessment) .....                                     | 93  |
| 3.13      | Statistical Analysis .....  | 95  |
| 3.13.1    | Description of the Characteristics of the Included Studies .....                                      | 95  |
| 3.13.2    | Type and Number of Estimates Reported by Each of the<br>Included Studies .....                        | 96  |
| 3.13.3    | Comparison between 2LMA and 3LMA .....  | 99  |
| 3.13.4    | Fit the Correlated and Hierarchical Effects Model with Robust<br>Variance Estimation .....            | 100 |
| 3.13.4(a) | Fitting CHE and RVE.....  | 100 |
| 3.13.4(b) | Individual Study Confidence Intervals .....   | 101 |
| 3.13.4(c) | Weighting Scheme under the CHE and RVE .....  | 101 |
| 3.13.4(d) | Analytical Workflow of CHE + RVE Procedure .....  | 102 |
| 3.13.5    | Assessment of Publication Bias .....  | 104 |
| 3.13.5(a) | Funnel Plot for Publication Bias detection under<br>3LMA+ CHE+RVE.....                                | 104 |
| 3.13.5(b) | Egger's Regression Test under 3LMA+CHE+RVE....  | 105 |
| 3.13.5(c) | Precision Effect Test and Precision Effect Estimate<br>with Standard Error (PET-PEESE) using RVE..... | 106 |
| 3.13.6    | Assessment of the model diagnostic .....  | 107 |
| 3.13.6(a) | Influence Diagnostics Using Cook's Distance .....   | 107 |

|                  |   |            |
|------------------|---|------------|
| 3.13.6(b)        | DFBETAS Influence Diagnostic .....  | 108        |
| 3.13.6(c)        | Robust Standardized Residuals.....  | 109        |
| 3.13.7           | Sensitivity Analysis.....   | 110        |
| 3.13.7(a)        | Leave-One-Out (LOO) Analysis .....  | 110        |
| 3.13.7(b)        | Varying Values of Within Study Correlation ( $\rho$ ) for<br>the 3LMA+CHE+RVE Model.....  | 111        |
| 3.13.8           | Moderator Analysis .....  | 112        |
| 3.13.8(a)        | Subgroup Analysis for Categorical Moderator.....  | 112        |
| 3.13.8(b)        | Meta Regression for Numerical Moderator.....  | 113        |
| <b>CHAPTER 4</b> | <b>RESULT .....</b>   | <b>115</b> |
| 4.1              | Study Selection.....  | 115        |
| 4.2              | Characteristics of the Included Studies .....   | 117        |
| 4.2.1            | Study Characteristics.....  | 117        |
| 4.2.2            | Participants' Characteristics.....  | 120        |
| 4.3              | Type and Number of Estimates Reported by Each of the Included Studies   | 132        |
| 4.4              | Risk of Bias .....  | 136        |
| 4.5              | Overall Pooled Prevalence of Food Selectivity.....  | 141        |
| 4.5.1            | Comparison Between Two-Level and Three-Level Meta-<br>Analysis Models.....  | 141        |
| 4.5.2            | Fit the Correlated and Hierarchical Effects Model with Robust<br>Variance Estimation (CHE+RVE) .....                            | 142        |
| 4.6              | Assessment of Publication Bias .....  | 150        |
| 4.6.1            | Funnel Plot for Publication Bias Detection under the CHE +<br>RVE Framework .....   | 150        |
| 4.6.2            | Multilevel Egger's Test for Funnel Plot Asymmetry<br>(Multilevel CHE + RVE) .....   | 152        |
| 4.6.3            | Precision Effect Test and Precision Effect Estimate with<br>Standard Error (PET-PEESE) Using Robust Variance<br>Estimation..... | 153        |
| 4.7              | Assessment of the Model Diagnostics .....   | 154        |

|  |  |            |
|--|--|------------|
| 4.7.1  | Influence Diagnostics Using Cook’s Distance.....   | 154        |
| 4.7.2  | DFBETAS Influence Diagnostics .....  | 156        |
| 4.7.3  | Robust Standardized Residuals .....  | 158        |
| 4.8  | Sensitivity Analysis.....  | 160        |
| 4.8.1  | Leave-One-Out Sensitivity Analysis.....  | 160        |
| 4.8.2  | Sensitivity Analysis Across Varying Values of Within-Study<br>Correlation ( $\rho$ ) using the CHE + RVE model ..... | 165        |
| 4.9  | Moderator Analysis .....   | 168        |
| <b>CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION .....</b>                                    |  | <b>177</b> |
| 5.1  | Comparison with Prevalence of other ASD-Associated Conditions.....   | 178        |
| 5.2  | Insight from Moderator .....   | 179        |
| 5.3  | Generalizability .....   | 184        |
| 5.4  | Strengths and Methodological Contributions.....  | 186        |
| 5.5  | Limitations .....  | 188        |
| <b>CHAPTER 6 Implications, Recommendations, and Conclusion .....</b> |  | <b>191</b> |
| 6.1  | Clinical and Public Health Implications.....   | 191        |
| 6.2  | Recommendations for Future Research .....  | 192        |
| 6.3  | Conclusion.....  | 194        |
| REFERENCES   |  |            |
| APPENDIX   |  |            |

## LIST OF TABLES

|  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| Table 2.1: Common Sensory Sensitivity Types Associated with Food Selectivity<br>in Children with ASD .....                   | 42          |
| Table 2.2: Comparison of Covariance Structure Handling in 3LMA and RVE .....   | 72          |
| Table 3.1: Items in the PRISMA reporting guideline for the methods section.....  | 74          |
| Table 3.2: Items in the MOOSE reporting guidelines.....  | 75          |
| Table 3.3: Search Strings and Boolean Operators Applied in Each Database.....  | 81          |
| Table 3.4: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.....   | 84          |
| Table 3.5: Information Extracted from Included study.....  | 88          |
| Table 3.6: Classification of ASD Diagnostic Tools.....   | 90          |
| Table 3.7: Classification of Instrument for Diagnosing FS .....  | 92          |
| Table 4.1 Summary of the Characteristics of the Included Studies .....   | 118         |
| Table 4.2: Participants and Measurement Characteristics .....  | 121         |
| Table 4.3 Study Level Characteristics of the Included Studies .....  | 124         |
| Table 4.4: Study Level Population and Measurement Characteristics .....  | 128         |
| Table 4.5: Types of Food Selectivity Reported .....  | 132         |
| Table 4.6: Specific Type of FS Reported by each of the Included Studies .....  | 133         |
| Table 4.7: Summary of the Risk of Bias .....   | 137         |
| Table 4.8: Model Comparison for 3LMA and 2LMA .....  | 141         |
| Table 4.9 Estimates and Individual Study Confidence Intervals .....  | 144         |
| Table 4.10: Leave one out analysis .....   | 161         |
| Table 4.11: Sensitivity Analysis across Varying Values Within-Study Correlation<br>( $\rho$ ) using the CHE + RVE Model..... | 166         |
| Table 4.12: Summary of Moderator Analysis.....   | 171         |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| Figure 2.1: Conceptual framework of factors influencing the prevalence of food selectivity in children with ASD. ....                 | 65          |
| Figure 3.1: Visual Representation of the Search Strategy .....  | 80          |
| Figure 3.2: Workflow of Three-Level Meta-Analysis Using CHE and RVE Approaches.....   | 103         |
| Figure 3.3: Summary of Statistical Test Conducted for Meta-Analysis for this Study .....  | 114         |
| Figure 4.1: PRISMA flow chart of meta-analysis of The Global Prevalence of Food Selectivity with ASD. ....                            | 116         |
| Figure 4.2 Global Distribution of Included Studies by Country .....   | 123         |
| Figure 4.3: Number of Estimates of each of the Included Studies.....  | 135         |
| Figure 4.4: Risk of Bias Summary Plot based on JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Prevalence Studies.....                           | 140         |
| Figure 4.5: Variance Breakdown Plot for the 3LMA model .....  | 143         |
| Figure 4.6: Forest Plot of Global Pooled Prevalence Estimates of Food Selectivity Among Children with ASD.....                        | 149         |
| Figure 4.7: Funnel Plot.....  | 151         |
| Figure 4.8: Cook's Distance Influential Diagnostics .....   | 155         |
| Figure 4.9 : DFBETAS Influence Diagnostics .....  | 157         |
| Figure 4.10: Possible Outliers based on Robust Standardized Residual.....   | 159         |
| Figure 4.11: Leave-One-Out Sensitivity Analysis .....   | 163         |
| Figure 4.12: Leave-One-Out Sensitivity Analysis (delta pooled prevalence) .....   | 164         |
| Figure 4.13: Point and Interval Estimates across Varying Values of Within-Study Correlation ( $\rho$ ) using the CHE + RVE Model..... | 167         |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Figure 4.14: Pooled Prevalence Estimates based on Type of FS.....             | 173 |
| Figure 4.15: Pooled Prevalence Estimates based on WHO region .....            | 173 |
| Figure 4.16: Pooled Prevalence Estimates based on Country's Income.....       | 174 |
| Figure 4.17: Pooled Prevalence Estimates based on Risk of Bias Category ..... | 174 |
| Figure 4.18: Bubble Plot for Year of Publication .....                        | 175 |
| Figure 4.19: Bubble Plot for Mean Age (years).....                            | 175 |
| Figure 4.20: Bubble Plot for Sample Size.....                                 | 176 |
| Figure 4.21: Bubble Plot for Proportion of Male Participants.....             | 176 |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

|                |   |
|----------------|---|
| $I^2$          | Proportion of total variation due to heterogeneity                          |
| $\tau^2$       | Between-study variance (2LMA)   |
| $\tau_2^2$     | Within-study variance   |
| $\tau_3^2$     | Between study variance (3LMA)   |
| $p$            | p-value (statistical significance)  |
| $k$            | Number of studies or effect sizes   |
| $\rho$         | Intraclass (intracluster) correlation coefficient; within-study correlation |
| $\mu$          | Overall mean  |
| $\sigma^2$     | Variance  |
| $\beta$        | Regression coefficient  |
| $Q$            | Cochran's Q-statistic   |
| $df$           | Degrees of freedom  |
| $\hat{\theta}$ | Estimated effect size   |
| $v_i$          | Sampling variance of $i$ estimate   |
| $V_j$          | Working variance-covariance matrix for study $j$                            |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| ARFID    | Avoidant/Restrictive Food Intake Disorder                |
| ASD      | Autism Spectrum Disorder                                 |
| BAMBI    | Brief Autism Mealtime Behavior Inventory                 |
| BPFAS    | Behavioral Pediatrics Feeding Assessment Scale           |
| CHE      | Correlated and Hierarchical Effects                      |
| CI       | Confidence Interval                                      |
| CR2      | Cluster-Robust Variance Estimator, Version 2             |
| DDs      | Developmental Disability                                 |
| FFQ      | Food Frequency Questionnaire                             |
| FS       | Food Selectivity   |
| FS_GEN   | General Food Selectivity                                 |
| JBI      | Joanna Briggs Institute                                  |
| LMIC     | Lower Middle-Income Country                              |
| LTV      | Limited Food Variety                                     |
| ML       | Maximum Likelihood                                       |
| NEO      | Food Neophobia   |
| PE       | Picky Eating   |
| PI       | Predictive Interval                                      |
|          | Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and     |
| PRISMA   | Meta-Analyses  |
| PROSPERO | International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews |
| REF      | Food Refusal   |
| REML     | Restricted Maximum Likelihood                            |

|      |                                |
|------|--------------------------------|
| RoB  | Risk of Bias                   |
| RVE  | Robust Variance Estimation     |
| SD   | Standard Deviation             |
| SEN  | Sensory-Based Food Selectivity |
| WHO  | World Health Organization      |
| YAQ  | Youth/Adolescent Questionnaire |
| 3LMA | Three-Level Meta-Analysis      |
| 2LMA | Two-Level Meta-Analysis        |

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

|            |                                    |
|------------|------------------------------------|
| Appendix A | PROSPERO Registration              |
| Appendix B | JEPeM Exemption                    |
| Appendix C | JBI Checklist for Prevalence Study |
| Appendix D | Comments by Examiners              |

# **PREVALENS GLOBAL SELEKTIVITI MAKANAN DALAM KALANGAN KANAK- KANAK YANG MENGALAMI GANGGUAN SPEKTRUM AUTISME: SATU KAJIAN META- ANALISA TIGA ARAS**

## **ABSTRAK**

Keselektifan makanan (Food Selectivity, FS) merupakan isu pemakanan yang lazim dalam kalangan kanak-kanak dengan gangguan spektrum autisme (Autism Spectrum Disorder, ASD), yang ditunjukkan melalui penolakan makanan, pengambilan makanan yang terhad, atau keutamaan terhadap ciri makanan tertentu. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menganggarkan prevalens keseluruhan global FS dalam kalangan kanak-kanak dengan ASD dan mengenal pasti faktor-faktor yang menyumbang kepada heterogeniti. Kami telah menjalankan ulasan sistematik dan meta-analisis berbilang aras dengan model kesan campuran yang telah didaftarkan lebih awal (PROSPERO ID: CRD4202459844). Carian dijalankan melalui PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science dan ScienceDirect sehingga 22 September 2024. Kajian pemerhatian yang melaporkan anggaran prevalens FS dalam kalangan kanak-kanak dengan ASD berumur 1 hingga 18 tahun telah dimasukkan. Risiko bias dinilai menggunakan Senarai Semak Penilaian Kritikal Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) untuk Kajian yang Melaporkan Data Prevalens. Sebanyak 18,223 rekod telah dikenal pasti hasil carian pangkalan data. Selepas proses penyingkiran rekod pendua, sejumlah 10,239 rekod unik disaring. Daripada jumlah ini, 315 artikel telah melalui penilaian teks penuh selepas saringan tajuk dan abstrak. Secara keseluruhan, sebanyak 55 kajian yang melibatkan 6,251 peserta dan menghasilkan 144 anggaran prevalens telah dianalisis dan dimasukkan ke dalam analisis. Prevalens terkumpul keseluruhan FS

dalam kalangan kanak-kanak ASD adalah 45.2% (95% CI: 39.5% hingga 51.3%), dengan heterogeniti sisa yang tinggi ( $I^2 = 94.8\%$ ,  $Q (143) = 4417.6$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Analisis subkumpulan berdasarkan jenis FS menunjukkan perbezaan yang jelas: FS umum mencatatkan prevalens tertinggi pada 59.9% (95% CI: 50.5% hingga 68.5%), diikuti rapat oleh neofobia makanan pada 57.1% (95% CI: 42.8% hingga 70.2%). Kepelbagaian makanan yang terhad dan penolakan makanan menunjukkan prevalens sederhana masing-masing sebanyak 39.3% (95% CI: 29.6% hingga 49.9%) dan 31.7% (95% CI: 15.4% hingga 54.1%), manakala FS berasaskan sensori menunjukkan prevalens paling rendah iaitu 26.0% (95% CI: 18.2% hingga 35.7%). Ujian perbezaan subkumpulan mengesahkan bahawa jenis FS merupakan moderator signifikan kepada variasi prevalens ( $p < 0.001$ ). Walaupun faktor-faktor ini telah diambil kira, heterogeniti sisa dalam subkumpulan jenis FS kekal tinggi ( $I^2 = 93.2\%$ ), menunjukkan kewujudan variasi lain yang tidak dapat dijelaskan sepenuhnya. Analisis berdasarkan wilayah geografi, tahap pendapatan negara, kategori risiko bias, tahun penerbitan, umur purata peserta, saiz sampel dan peratusan peserta lelaki tidak menunjukkan sebarang moderator yang signifikan. Pemilihan makanan memberi kesan kepada hampir separuh daripada kanak-kanak dengan ASD di seluruh dunia, dengan variasi yang besar bergantung kepada jenis FS. Penemuan ini menekankan kepentingan saringan rutin FS dalam kalangan kanak-kanak yang telah disahkan atau sedang disaring bagi ASD.

Kata kunci: Gangguan spektrum autisme, pemilihan makanan, meta-analisis, prevalens, masalah pemakanan

# **THE GLOBAL PREVALENCE OF FOOD SELECTIVITY IN CHILDREN WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER: A THREE-LEVEL META-ANALYSIS**

## **ABSTRACT**

Food selectivity (FS) is a common feeding issue among children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), typically characterised by food refusal, limited dietary variety, or preference for specific food characteristics. A pre-registered systematic review and multilevel meta-analysis (PROSPERO ID: CRD4202459844) using a mixed-effects model was conducted. The objective was to estimate the global pooled prevalence of FS among children with ASD and to explore potential sources of heterogeneity. Comprehensive searches were conducted across PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and ScienceDirect database up to 22 September 2024. Observational studies reporting prevalence estimates of FS in children with ASD aged 1–18 years were included. Risk of bias was assessed via Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist for Studies Reporting Prevalence Data. A total of 18,223 records were identified through database searches. After removing duplicates, 10,239 unique records remained, of which 315 full-text reports were assessed following title and abstract screening. Ultimately, 55 studies (including 3 from citation tracking), comprising 144 prevalence estimates, and 6,251 participants were included in the final meta-analysis. The overall pooled prevalence of FS among children with ASD was 45.2% (95% CI: 39.5% to 51.3%), with heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 94.8%$ ,  $Q(143) = 4417.6$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Subgroup analysis by FS subtype revealed notable variability: general FS exhibited the highest pooled prevalence at 59.9% (95% CI: 50.5% to 68.5%), followed

closely by food neophobia at 57.1% (95% CI: 42.8% to 70.2%). Limited food variety and food refusal were associated with intermediate prevalence estimates of 39.3% (95% CI: 29.6% to 49.9%) and 31.7% (95% CI: 15.4% to 54.1%), respectively, whereas sensory-based FS showed the lowest prevalence at 26.0% (95% CI: 18.2% to 35.7%). A test for subgroup differences confirmed that FS subtype significantly moderated prevalence estimates ( $p < 0.001$ ). Despite accounting for these variables, residual heterogeneity within FS subtype subgroups remained high ( $I^2 = 93.2\%$ ), indicating the presence of further unexplained variation. Moderator analyses revealed no statistically significant effects for geographical region, income level, risk of bias, publication year, mean age, sample size, or proportion of male participants. Food selectivity affects approximately half of children with ASD globally, with substantial variation across subtypes. These findings highlight the importance of routine screening for food selectivity in children diagnosed with ASD or those undergoing assessment for suspected ASD.

Keywords: Autism spectrum disorder, food selectivity, meta-analysis, prevalence, feeding difficulties

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a complex neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by persistent deficits in social communication and social interaction across multiple contexts, in addition to restricted, repetitive patterns of behaviour, interests, or activities (American Psychiatric Association, 2022). These behaviours may manifest in various forms, such as hand-flapping, rocking (commonly referred to as "stimming"), repetitive speech, or insistence on sameness in routines and environments (Petitpierre et al., 2021; Tian et al., 2022; Uljarević et al., 2020). These behavioural tendencies may also extend to feeding behaviour, where children with ASD exhibit rigid food preferences, limited food repertoires, and strong resistance to dietary (Petitpierre et al., 2021). The condition manifests early in childhood, typically before the age of three, and persists throughout the lifespan (Hodges et al., 2020). Under the criteria outlined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5-TR), ASD is now considered a single diagnostic category that encompasses previously distinct conditions, such as autistic disorder, Asperger's disorder, and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified (American Psychiatric Association, 2022).

In recent years, the global prevalence of ASD has been increasing, with current estimates suggesting that approximately 1 in 100 children are affected (Maenner et al., 2021; Zeidan et al., 2022). However, the reported prevalence varies significantly across countries and regions due to differences in surveillance systems, diagnostic practices, awareness levels, and cultural contexts. For instance, the prevalence in high-

income countries such as the United States is reported to be over 2.3%, whereas substantially lower estimates have been found in parts of Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America, often reflecting underdiagnosis rather than a true difference in incidence (Lord et al., 2022; Zeidan et al., 2022). This rising trend poses substantial challenges to healthcare systems globally, necessitating robust strategies for early detection, diagnosis, intervention, and long-term support for individuals with ASD and their families (Lord et al., 2022; Zwaigenbaum et al., 2015).

Among the many co-occurring issues experienced by children with ASD, feeding difficulties particularly food selectivity (FS) is highly prevalent and frequently overlooked in clinical care pathways (Bandini et al., 2010a; Cermak et al., 2010a). Food selectivity is commonly defined as the consumption of a limited variety of foods, and may manifest as picky eating, strong preferences for specific textures, colours, or food brands, food refusal, or complete avoidance of entire food groups (Bandini et al., 2010; Cermak et al., 2010). While picky eating is not exclusive to ASD and can be observed in typically developing (TD) children, FS in children with ASD tends to be more persistent, extreme, and resistant to traditional feeding interventions (Sharp et al., 2013). Supporting this, evidence indicates that children with ASD are over five times more likely to experience feeding problems compared to their TD peers, with an odds ratio (OR) of 5.11 (Sharp et al., 2013).

Multiple mechanisms are postulated to contribute to FS in ASD. These include altered sensory processing (e.g., hyperreactivity to taste, smell, or texture), behavioural rigidity, insistence on sameness, limited verbal communication, and emotional dysregulation (Lane et al., 2010; Leekam et al., 2007; Zulkifli et al., 2022). These factors interact to create feeding behaviours that not only limit dietary diversity but

may also cause distress and conflict during mealtimes. Importantly, FS has been associated with a range of adverse health outcomes, including inadequate intake of essential nutrients such as fibre, calcium, iron, and vitamins A and D (Esteban-Figuerola et al., 2021, 2019). Moreover, children with FS may experience altered growth patterns, including underweight, overweight, or stunting, depending on the types of foods consumed and the degree of nutritional imbalance (Raspini et al., 2021; Zulkifli et al., 2022). Gastrointestinal issues such as constipation and reflux are frequently reported among children with ASD and may serve as both contributing factors and consequences of selective eating behaviours (Babinska et al., 2020; Bresciani et al., 2023).

Beyond physical health, the impact of FS extends into psychosocial domains. Families of children with ASD and FS frequently report elevated levels of parental stress, disruption of mealtime routines, and diminished quality of life (Ausderau and Juarez, 2013; Curtin et al., 2015; M. A. Suarez et al., 2014). Feeding difficulties may also impair the development of social and adaptive functioning, given that eating is a culturally significant and socially embedded activity (Ausderau and Juarez, 2013; Rogers et al., 2012; Suarez et al., 2014).

Despite the consequences, FS continue to be underdiagnosed and insufficiently addressed in many clinical settings (Esposito et al., 2023) . One possible reason for this is the lack of standardization in the definition and measurement of FS across research and practice (Zulkifli et al., 2022). This lack of consensus presents a critical barrier to the integration of FS into clinical guideline.

A key challenge is the wide variability in reported prevalence estimates of FS. Some studies suggest that as few as 20% of children with ASD exhibit FS, while others

report rates exceeding 90% (Cermak et al., 2010; Sharp et al., 2018; Wenzell et al., 2024). These disparities are likely due to methodological heterogeneity, including differences in sample characteristics (e.g., age, cognitive functioning), recruitment settings (e.g., clinical vs. community), geographical and cultural contexts, and operational definitions of FS (Zulkifli et al., 2022). Furthermore, many studies report multiple effect sizes for different subgroups (Alkhalidy et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2020), age ranges (Gray and Chiang, 2017), or FS dimensions (Bandini et al., 2016; Provost et al., 2010), which introduces statistical dependency and violates the assumption of independence in conventional meta-analytic models (Abbas-Aghababazadeh et al., 2023; Hedges and Schauer, 2019).

From a public health and epidemiology perspective, generating a precise and generalizable estimate of FS prevalence is essential for guiding resource allocation, informing dietary interventions, and shaping caregiver education programs (Binns et al., 2017; Grosse et al., 2007). Identifying key moderators that influence prevalence such as child age, region, socioeconomic status, or diagnostic tools may reveal important disparities and inform targeted approaches to care (Bandini et al., 2016; Schreck and Williams, 2006; Zulkifli et al., 2022). Additionally, accurate prevalence estimates serve as baseline data for health economics modelling and intervention trials (Briggs, 2006; Drummond, 2015).

Meta-analysis plays a crucial role in synthesising disparate prevalence estimates from individual studies to generate a pooled estimate that reflects the overall burden of FS among children with ASD (Borenstein et al., 2010, 2009). This approach allows for increased statistical power, enhanced precision, and the ability to explore sources of heterogeneity through moderator analyses. However, many of these earlier

syntheses were narrative in nature or relied on simple meta-analytic techniques that did not account for the hierarchical structure of the data or the presence of multiple estimates per study (Esteban-Figuerola et al., 2019; Sharp et al., 2013; Zulkifli et al., 2022). As a result, their findings may be biased or less precise, underscoring the need for more advanced quantitative methods (Tanner-Smith et al., 2016; Tanner-Smith and Tipton, 2014).

Traditional meta-analytic methods, which assume independence among effect sizes, are ill-suited for synthesizing complex data structures that involve multiple effect sizes nested within studies (Borenstein et al., 2010, 2009; Cheung, 2019; Park and Beretvas, 2019). This is particularly problematic when studies report estimates for multiple subgroups or timepoints, a common feature in the FS-ASD literature (Harrer, 2021). To address this, three-level meta-analysis (3LMA) has emerged as a statistically robust method that accounts for dependencies by partitioning variance across three levels: sampling variance (level 1), within-study variance (level 2), and between-study variance (level 3) (Assink and Wibbelink, 2016; Harrer, 2021).

Additionally, recent advances in meta-analytic methodology have introduced robust variance estimation (RVE) techniques such as the Clustered Heteroskedasticity Estimator (CHE) and Cluster-Robust Variance Estimator, Version 2 which further correct for model misspecification and heterogeneity in effect size precision (Pustejovsky and Tipton, 2018). These methods allow for valid inference even in the presence of complex within-study correlation structures and small numbers of clusters.

In this thesis, a three-level meta-analysis was conducted to estimate the pooled global prevalence of FS among children with ASD, integrating robust statistical estimators to address methodological challenges in the literature. The results aim to

provide a nuanced and statistically rigorous understanding of the prevalence of FS in ASD, serving both clinical and policy-making objectives. By leveraging advanced meta-analytic tools, this work seeks to bridge the gap between disparate findings and deliver meaningful insights that can improve nutritional care, intervention planning, and health outcomes for children with ASD worldwide.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Despite growing awareness of FS as a prevalent and clinically significant concern among children with ASD, the current evidence base is characterised by substantial fragmentation and methodological inconsistencies. Prevalence estimates vary widely across studies which reflects heterogeneity in the operational definitions of FS, assessment tools used, sample characteristics, and study settings (Cermak et al., 2010; Sharp et al., 2018, 2013; Wenzell et al., 2024; Zulkifli et al., 2022). Such variability limits comparability across studies and complicates the ability to draw definitive conclusions regarding the true burden of FS.

A critical methodological gap in the literature is the absence of standardisation in defining and measuring FS (Zulkifli et al., 2022). Studies have employed diverse instruments ranging from unvalidated parent-report questionnaires to structured clinical observations, each with varying degrees of reliability and validity (Cermak et al., 2010a; Esposito et al., 2023; Hubbard et al., 2014; Mari-Bauset et al., 2014). This inconsistency undermines efforts to synthesise findings and develop unified diagnostic criteria or clinical guidelines. Additionally, studies often report multiple prevalence estimates within the same cohort, stratified by age group, FS subtype, or comorbidities. The statistical dependency introduced by such data structures violates assumptions of