# TRICHODERMA SPECIES BIOSORPTION OF NICKEL AND CADMIUM FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ 

# **LOW PUI MAN**

Thesis submitted in the fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Science

January 2017

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my deepest sense of Gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Nik Norulaini Nik Ab. Rahman, who offered her continuous advice, guidance, patience, tolerance, and encouragement during the period of conducting this research studies and writing this dissertation.

I would like to express my gratitude to my school dean Assoc. Prof Dr Mustafa Fadzil Farid Wajidi and also staff at school of Distance Education who helped me during my research work. I acknowledge my very sincere gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abbas Fadhl Mubarek Al-karkhi who helped me a lot on the statistical analysis in this thesis. I am also thankful to my friends, Tan Yee Lynn, Chang Pei Xi, and Chew Ann Won and staff of School of Technology Industry for the kind support during my research work.

I am grateful to the cooperation from all the lab assistants who kindly provide their help especially Encik Mazlan, Madam Teh, Encik Khairul, Encik Ravi, and Puan Noraida from School of Technology Industry, and Puan Saripah from School of Chemical Sciences, Mr Ooi Kai Wen from Centre of Archaeology Research.

Furthermore, I would like to thank the staff of Institute of Postgraduate Studies for the postgraduate programs.

Last but not the least, I am deeply thankful to my parents, grandmother, and my siblings who encouraged me and prayed for me throughout the time of my research.

Low Pui Man

January, 2017

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Ack          | nowledge    | ement                                   | ii   |  |
|--------------|-------------|---|------|--|
| Tab          | le of Con   | tents                                   | iii  |  |
| List         | of Table:   | s                                       | ix   |  |
| List         | of Figure   | es                                      | xii  |  |
| List         | of Abbre    | eviations                               | xvi  |  |
| List         | of Symb     | ols                                     | xvii |  |
| Abst         | trak        |   | xix  |  |
| Abst         | tract       |   | xxi  |  |
| CHA          | CHAPTER ONE |   |      |  |
| INTRODUCTION |             |   |      |  |
| 1.1          | Heavy       | metal pollution                         | 1    |  |
|              | 1.1.1       | Heavy metal pollution in sediments      | 4    |  |
|              | 1.1.2       | Heavy metal pollution in aquatic system | 5    |  |
| 1.2          | Hazards     | s of heavy metal pollution              | 6    |  |
| 1.3          | Remo        | val of heavy metal from aquatic system  | 8    |  |
|              | 1.3.1       | Bioremediation                          | 10   |  |
|              |             | 1.3.1(a) Bacteria                       | 10   |  |
|              |             | 1.3.1(b) Algae                          | 10   |  |

|      |         | 1.3.1(c) Use of Fungi                  | 12 |
|------|---------|--|----|
| 1.4  | Object  | ives of study                          | 13 |
| 1.5  | Scope   | of study                               | 14 |
| 1.6  | Outline | e of Thesis                            | 15 |
| CHAI | PTER T  | wo                                     |    |
| LITE | RATUR   | RE REVIEW                              |    |
| 2.1  | Heavy   | metals and its harm to the environment | 17 |
| 2.2  | Heavy   | metal pollution                        | 18 |
| 2.3  | Techni  | iques of removal heavy metal           | 20 |
|      | 2.3.1   | Precipitation                          | 20 |
|      | 2.3.2   | Ion exchange                           | 21 |
|      | 2.3.3   | Activated carbon adsorption            | 22 |
| 2.4  | Biosor  | bent                                   | 23 |
|      | 2.4.1   | Fungi                                  | 25 |
|      |         | 2.4.1(a) Pre-treatment of Fungal       | 26 |
|      | 2.4.2   | Algae                                  | 28 |
|      | 2.4.3   | Bacteria                               | 29 |
|      | 2.4.4   | Trichoderma sp.                        | 30 |
| 2.5  | Bioso   | rption                                 | 32 |
|      | 2.5.1   | Mechanism of biosorption               | 34 |

| 2.6         | Bioso  | rption kinetic                                   | 38 |  |
|-------------|--------|--|----|--|
|             | 2.6.1  | Pseudo-first oder kinetic model                  | 38 |  |
|             | 2.6.2  | Pseudo-second order kinetic model                | 39 |  |
|             | 2.6.3  | Intraparticle diffusion model                    | 39 |  |
| 2.7         | Exper  | imental design                                   | 40 |  |
|             | 2.7.1  | Factorial designs                                | 40 |  |
|             | 2.7.2  | Response surface methodology (RSM)               | 41 |  |
|             | 2.7.3  | Central Composite Design (CCD)                   | 42 |  |
| 2.8         | The fi | uture of biosorption                             | 44 |  |
| СНА         | PTER 7 | ГНКЕЕ  |    |  |
| METHODOLOGY |        |  |    |  |
| 3.1         | Mater  | ials and chemicals                               | 47 |  |
|             | 3.1.1  | List of instruments (major instruments)          | 48 |  |
| 3.2         | Micro  | oorganism  | 49 |  |
| 3.3         | Prepa  | ration of media for stock culture                | 50 |  |
| 3.4         | Prepa  | ration of liquid media                           | 50 |  |
| 3.5         | Trich  | oderma sp. culture on solid agar media           | 50 |  |
| 3.6         | Prepa  | aration of fungal biomass                        | 51 |  |
| 3.7         | Prepa  | aration of Trichoderma sp. for Scanning Electron | 51 |  |
|             | Micro  | oscope (SEM) and EDX                             |    |  |

| 3.8  | Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)  | 52 |
|------|--|----|
| 3.9  | pH of Point of zero charge (pH <sub>pzc</sub> ) determination by salt addition |    |
|      | Method   | 52 |
| 3.10 | Biosorption studies in batch system  | 53 |
|      | 3.10.1 Effect of different pH on growth of                                     |    |
|      | Trichoderma spp  | 53 |
|      | 3.10.2 Preparation of metal stock solution                                     | 53 |
|      | 3.10.3 Equilibrium Studies   | 54 |
|      | 3.10.3(a) Effect of dosage   | 54 |
|      | 3.10.3(b) Effect of pH   | 54 |
|      | 3.10.3(c) Effect of contact time   | 55 |
| 3.11 | Biosorption calculation  | 55 |
| 3.12 | Biosorption kinetics   | 57 |
| 3.13 | Biosorption equilibrium models   | 56 |
|      | 3.13.1 Langmuir isotherm model   | 56 |
|      | 3.13.2 Freundlich isotherm   | 57 |
| 3.14 | Design of experiment   | 58 |
|      | 3.14.1 2 <sup>3</sup> full factorial design                                    | 58 |
|      | 3.14.2 Central Composite Design (CCD)  | 58 |
|      | 3.14.3 Statistical Analysis  | 58 |

#### **CHAPTER FOUR**

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| 4.1 | Chara   | cterization of <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. functional group as        |    |
|-----|---------|--|----|
|     | a biose | orbent   | 59 |
|     | 4.1.1   | Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR)                               |    |
|     |         | spectroscopy analysis  | 59 |
|     | 4.1.2   | Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and EDX analysis              | 65 |
|     | 4.1.3   | Determination of pH of point of zero charge (pH <sub>pzc</sub> ) | 70 |
|     | 4.1.4   | FT-IR analysis on biosorbent after salt addition                 | 72 |
| 4.2 | Effect  | of different pH on growth of Trichoderma sp.                     | 75 |
| 4.3 | Bioso   | rption of nickel ion and cadmium ion by                          |    |
|     | Triche  | oderma sp.   | 77 |
|     | 4.3.1   | Effect of pH   | 77 |
|     | 4.3.2   | Effect of biosorbent dosage                                      | 80 |
|     | 4.3.3   | Effect of contact time of the metals with the                    |    |
|     |         | biosorbent   | 82 |
| 4.4 | Bioso   | rption kinetics  | 84 |
|     | 4.4.1   | Pseudo-first order and Pseudo-second                             |    |
|     |         | order models   | 84 |
|     | 4.4.2   | Intraparticle diffusion model                                    | 88 |

| 4.5         | Isotherm studies                        | 90  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---|-----|--|--|--|
|             | 4.5.1 Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms | 90  |  |  |  |
| 4.6         | Screening of Process Variables          | 94  |  |  |  |
| 4.7         | Optimization of process variables       | 101 |  |  |  |
| 4.8         | Validation of the developed model       | 109 |  |  |  |
| СНАР        | CHAPTER FIVE                            |     |  |  |  |
| CONC        | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS         |     |  |  |  |
| 5.1         | Conclusions                             | 112 |  |  |  |
| 5.2         | Recommendations                         | 113 |  |  |  |
| CHAPTER SIX |   |     |  |  |  |
| REFERENCES  |   |     |  |  |  |

# LIST OF TABLES

|           |   | Page  |
|-----------|---|-------|
| Table 1.1 | Environmental Quality Act 1974, Environmental Quality (Sewage and Industrial Effluents) Regulations 1979, 1999, 2000. Parameter Limits of Effluents of Standard A and B | 3     |
| Table 1.2 | Sources of heavy metals   | 4     |
| Table 1.3 | Comparison of technologies of heavy metal removal from wastewater   | 9     |
| Table 2.1 | Biosorption by using fungal biomass (mg/g)  | 26    |
| Table 2.2 | Bacterial biomass used for metal removal (mg/g)   | 30    |
| Table 2.3 | The representative functional groups and classes of organic compounds in biomass  | 36-37 |
| Table 2.4 | Factors a and b for Central Composite Designs with full factorial cube points   | 43    |
| Table 4.1 | The pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order kinetic models for nickel and cadmium adsorption by <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.   | 85    |
| Table 4.2 | Intraparticle diffusion for nickel and cadmium adsorption by <i>Trichoderma</i>   | 89    |

| Table 4.3  | Isotherm parameters by Langmuir and Freundlich  | 91  |
|------------|---|-----|
|            | models for nickel and cadmium adsorption by  Trichoderma sp.  |     |
| Table 4.4a | Experimental ranges and levels for full factorial design (nickel removal)                               | 94  |
| Table 4.4b | Experimental ranges and levels for full factorial design (nickel removal)                               | 94  |
| Table 4.5a | Screening result of nickel removal  | 95  |
| Table 4.5b | Screening result of cadmium removal   | 95  |
| Table 4.6a | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of the regression model for percentage of nickel ions removal              | 98  |
| Table 4.6b | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of the regression model for percentage of cadmium ions removal             | 98  |
| Table 4.7a | Parameter levels and coded values for optimization of nickel removal process                            | 102 |
| Table 4.7b | Parameter levels and coded values for optimization of cadmium removal process                           | 102 |
| Table 4.8a | Central composite design (CCD) of variables with experimental data values of percentage nickel removal  | 103 |
| Table 4.8b | Central composite design (CCD) of variables with experimental data values of percentage cadmium removal | 104 |

| Table 4.9a  | ANOVA for percentage nickel removal from aqueous solution using <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.  | 105 |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Table 4.9b  | ANOVA for percentage cadmium removal from aqueous solution using <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. | 106 |
| Table 4.10a | The predicted optimum conditions for optimization of nickel removal                     | 108 |
| Table 4.10b | The predicted optimum conditions for optimization of cadmium removal                    | 108 |
| Table 4.11a | Validation of test results (nickel removal)   | 109 |
| Table 4.11b | Validation of test results (cadmium removal)  | 109 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

|             |  | Page |
|-------------|--|------|
| Figure 2.1  | The example points of a Central Composite  Circumscribed design with three input parameters  | 43   |
| Figure 3.1  | Trichoderma mycelial growth (arrow) in culture media   | 49   |
| Figure 4.1a | Functional groups on the Trichoderma sp.   | 61   |
| Figure 4.1b | Functional groups on the <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. being contact with 50 mg/L nickel at pH 5 for 24 hours   | 62   |
| Figure 4.1c | Functional groups on the <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. being contact with 50 mg/L cadmium at pH 9 for 24 hours  | 63   |
| Figure 4.1d | FTIR spectra of <i>Trichoderma</i> sp: (a) <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. before Ni(II) and Cd(II) adsorption; (b) <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. after Ni(II) adsorption; (c) <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. after Cd(II) adsorption. | 64   |
| Figure 4.2a | SEM image of Trichoderma sp.   | 66   |
| Figure 4.2b | SEM image of <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. after Ni(II) adsorption (arrow)  | 66   |
| Figure 4.2c | SEM image of <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. after Cd(II) adsorption (arrow)  | 67   |
| Figure 4.3a | EDX spectra of <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.  | 68   |

| Figure 4.3b | EDX spectra of Trichoderma sp. after   | 68 |
|-------------|--|----|
|             | Ni(II) adsorption  |    |
| Figure 4.3c | EDX spectra of <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. after Cd(II) adsorption  | 69 |
| Figure 4.4  | Plots of $\triangle pH$ vs $pH$ initial for <i>Trichoderma</i> sp $(pH_{pzc} = pH 7)$  | 71 |
| Figure 4.5a | Trichoderma sp. of positive charge at pH 2   | 73 |
| Figure 4.5b | Trichoderma sp. of neutral charge at pH <sub>pzc</sub>   | 73 |
| Figure 4.5c | Trichoderma sp. of negative charge at pH10   | 74 |
| Figure 4.6  | Growth of <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. in different pH for 5 days cultured   | 76 |
| Figure 4.7a | Effect of pH on nickel removal by <i>Trichoderma</i> sp., signifying highest adsorption of Ni on Trichoderma at about pH 5. (Condition: 0.5g biomass, 50mg/L of metals solution, 150 rpm, 25 <sup>o</sup> C, and 24 hours)                       | 79 |
| Figure 4.7b | Effect of pH on cadmium removal by <i>Trichoderma</i> sp., signifying highest adsorption of Cd <sup>2+</sup> on <i>Trichoderma</i> at about pH 9. (Condition: 0.5g biomass, 50mg/L of metals solution, 150 rpm, 25 <sup>o</sup> C, and 24 hours) | 79 |
| Figure 4.8a | Effect of biomass dosage on nickel uptake by  Trichoderma sp. (Condition: 50mg/L of metals solution, 150 rpm, 25°C, and 24 hours).   | 81 |

| Figure 4.8b  | Effect of biomass dosage on cadmium uptake                       | 81 |
|--------------|--|----|
|              | by Trichoderma sp. (Condition: 50mg/L of metals                  |    |
|              | solution, 150 rpm, 25°C, and 24 hours).                          |    |
| Figure 4.9a  | Effect of contact time on nickel removal by                      | 83 |
|              | Trichoderma sp. equilibrium contact time:10 hours                |    |
|              | (Condition: pH 5, 0.6g biomass, 50mg/L of metals                 |    |
|              | solution, 150 rpm, and 25°C.)                                    |    |
| Figure 4.9b  | Effect of contact time on cadmium removal by                     | 83 |
|              | Trichoderma sp. equilibrium contact time:16 hours                |    |
|              | (Condition: pH 5, 0.6g biomass, 50mg/L of metals                 |    |
|              | solution, 150 rpm, and 25°C.)                                    |    |
| Figure 4.10a | Pseudo-first order plot for nickel adsorption,                   | 86 |
|              | $K_I = 0.006$  |    |
| Figure 4.10b | Pseudo-first order plot for cadmium adsorption,                  | 86 |
|              | $K_I = 0.010$  |    |
| Figure 4.11a | Pseudo-second order plot for nickel adsorption,                  | 87 |
|              | $K_2 = 0.022$  |    |
| Figure 4.11b | Pseudo-second order plot for cadmium adsorption,                 | 87 |
|              | $K_2 = 0.011$  |    |
| Figure 4.12a | Intraparticle diffusion plot for the adsorption                  | 88 |
|              | of Ni <sup>2+</sup> by <i>Trichoderma</i> adsorbent (conditions: | 00 |
|              | pH 5, 50 mg/L nickel, 0.6 g dried <i>Trichoderma</i> )           |    |
| Figure 4.12b | Intraparticle diffusion plot for the adsorption of               | 88 |
| 5            | Cd <sup>2+</sup> by <i>Trichoderma</i> adsorbent (conditions:    |    |

| oH 9, 50 mg/L cadmit | m, 0.7 g dried | Trichoderma) |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------|
|----------------------|----------------|--------------|

| Figure 4.13a | Linearized Langmuir isotherms for the adsorption of nickel by <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.  | 92  |
|--------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.13b | Linearized Langmuir isotherms for the adsorption of cadmium by <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.   | 92  |
| Figure 4.14a | Linearized Freundlich isotherms for the adsorption of nickel by <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.  | 93  |
| Figure 4.14b | Linearized Freundlich isotherms for the adsorption of cadmium by <i>Trichoderma</i> sp.   | 93  |
| Figure 4.15a | Half normal probability plot of the standardized effects for nickel removal   | 96  |
| Figure 4.15b | Half normal probability plot of the standardized effects for cadmium removal  | 97  |
| Figure 4.16a | Response surface plots for the effect of pH, biosorbent dosage, and contact time on nickel ion removal by <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. (Condition: 150 rpm, 25°C) | 110 |
| Figure 4.16b | Response surface plots for the effect of pH, biosorbent dosage, and contact time on cadmium removal by <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. (Condition: 150 rpm, 25°C)    | 111 |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAS Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer

ANOVA Analysis of Variance

BOD Biochemical Oxygen Demand

CCD Central Composite Design

COD Chemical Oxygen Demand

**EDX** Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy

FTIR Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

PPE Personal Protective Equipment

RSM Response Surface Methodology

SEM Scanning Electron Microscope

**TEM** Transmission Electron Microscope

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

| Α                | Code of pH  |
|------------------|---|
| В                | Code of biosorbent dosage                                     |
| C                | Code of contact time  |
| Ce               | Equilibrium concentration                                     |
| $C_{i}$          | Initial concentration   |
| $C_{\mathbf{f}}$ | Final concentration   |
| $\mathbf{k_1}$   | Rate constant of first-order biosorption                      |
| $k_2$            | Rate constant of second-order biosorption                     |
| $K_b$            | Langmuir equilibrium constant                                 |
| Kf               | Freundlich constant   |
| $k_{id}$         | Intraparticle diffusion constant                              |
| q                | Metal ions adsorbed per g of biomass                          |
| q <sub>max</sub> | Maximum specific uptake corresponding to the sites saturation |
| q <sub>e</sub>   | Amount of metal ions uptake at equilibrium                    |
| $q_t$            | Amounts of adsorbed metal ions on the biosorbent at time t    |
| R                | Removal efficiency of adsorption                              |
| R <sup>2</sup>   | Correlation coefficient                                       |
| v                | Volume of metal solution in the flask                         |
| W                | Weight of biosorbent  |

- Y<sub>1</sub> Nickel (II) removal
- Y<sub>2</sub> Cadmium (II) removal

# PENJERAPAN BIO TRICHODERMA SPECIES TERHADAP NICKEL DAN KADMIUM DARIPADA LARUTAN AKUEUS

#### **ABSTRAK**

Kesan pH ke atas pertumbuhan Trichoderma sp. dalam kelalang koncang telah dikaji. Biojisim tertinggi diperoleh pada pH 5 iaitu sebanyak 1.45g dalam 100 mL media cecair. Dalam kajian ini, bahan penjerapan yang dicirikan oleh FTIR (Fourier Transform Infrared Spektroskopi) dan Mikroskop Imbasan Elektron (SEM) dan Tenaga Serakan X-Ray Spektrometer (EDX). Keadaan penjerapan optimum ditentukan sebagai fungsi pH, dos bahan penjerapan dan masa sentuhan. Data keseimbangan lebih sesuai dengan menggunakan model isoterma Langmuir berbanding dengan isoterma Freundlich ini. Kapasiti penjerapan maksimum nikel dan kadmium didapati masing-masing 5,456 mg/g dan 2,380 mg/g. Design Komposit Pusat (CCD) dalam kaedah permukaan tindak balas telah digunakan untuk merekabentuk eksperimen dan juga untuk anggaran permukaan tindak balas penuh. Keadaan optimum untuk penyingkiran maksimum nikel dan kadmium daripada larutan akueus 50 mg / L adalah seperti berikut: pH 4.92, dos bahan penjerapan (0.70 g), masa sentuhan (8.28 jam) bagi nikel dan pH 9.99, dos bahan penjerapan (0.60 g), masa sentuhan (11,93 jam) untuk kadmium. Pekali korelasi yang tinggi ( $R^2 = 0.877$  bagi nikel,  $R^2 = 0.959$  untuk kadmium) di antara model dan data eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa model dapat meramalkan penyingkiran nikel dan kadmium daripada larutan akueus dengan menggunakan Trichoderma sp. Keputusan kajian FTIR menunjukkan *Trichoderma* sp. boleh menjerap dan mengikat dengan beberapa logam berat kerana ia mempunyai amino dan hidroksil kumpulan.

# TRICHODERMA SPECIES BIOSORPTION OF NICKEL AND CADMIUM FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTION

#### **ABSTRACT**

The effect of pH on growth of Trichoderma sp. in shake flask culture was studied. The highest biomass was obtained at pH 5 which is 1.45g in 100 mL of liquid media. In this research, the biosorbent was characterized by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX) and pH point of zero charge (pH<sub>pzc</sub>). The optimum biosorption conditions were determined as a function of pH, biosorbent dosage, and contact time. The equilibrium data were better fit by the Langmuir isotherm model than by the Freundlich isotherm. The maximum adsorption capacity of nickel and cadmium were found to be 5.456 mg/g and 2.380 mg/g respectively. The Central Composite Design (CCD) in response surface methodology was used for designing the experiments as well as for full response surface estimation. The optimum condition for maximum removal of nickel and cadmium from an aqueous solution of 50 mg/L were as follows: pH 4.92, biosorbent dosage (0.70 g), contact time (8.28 hours) for nickel and pH 9.99, biosorbent dosage (0.60 g), contact time (11.93 hours) for cadmium. The high correlation coefficient ( $R^2 = 0.877$  for nickel,  $R^2$ = 0.959 for cadmium) between the models and experiment data showed that the models were able to predict the removal of nickel and cadmium from aqueous solution by using Trichoderma sp. efficiently. The results of FTIR study showed that Trichoderma sp. can adsorb and bind with some heavy metals due to it possesses amino and hydroxyl groups.

#### CHAPTER ONE

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Heavy metal pollution

Rapid industrialization and economic development in Malaysia has gave rise to heavy metal pollution problems in Malaysia. In the past, little attention was paid to environmental issue in Malaysia due to abundant resources and inappreciable development pressure. Later, the government realized the problems and taken concrete steps by practicing Environmental Quality Act, 1974. Table 1.1 presents the parameter limits of effluents for standard A and standard B that ruled out by government. The upstream discharged effluent of a water supply intake should meet standard A, in the meanwhile effluent that discharged downstream should meet standard B.

Heavy metals are defined by its metallic element which has a relatively high density and it is poison although at low concentration (Lenntech, 2004). Heavy metals are included zinc (Zn), Silver (Ag), nickel (Ni), cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), mercury (Hg), chromium (Cr), iron (Fe), lead (Pb), copper (Cu) and the platinum group elements. Anthropogenic activities have interrupted the natural distribution of heavy metals in the environment on land and in water bodies like lakes, rivers, and sea. Heavy metals in wastewater discharges by many kinds of industries, such as mining, metal finishing, plastics, color pigments, and electroplating, are threaten to the environment and human health severely (Kurniawan et al., 2006). In the case study of Cikijing River, West Java showed that the concentration of Cr and Cu were highly

increased after received the discharged effluent from a largest textile industry area (Roosmini et al., 2010).

Manufacturing is one of the main industry that brought metal pollution to the environment. Metal finishing process like electroplating, etching, and metal components preparation for various of industries have been recognized as the main source of wastes with high concentration of Ni, Zn, Cr, Fe, Cd, Mn, Ni, Al, Sn, and Cu (Rahman and Surif, 1993). According to Yusof *et al.* (2001), mining of copper, iron, gold, and tin may cause pollution to the water bodies.

In most of the countries, the source of heavy metals includes mining industrial, smelting, hospital waste, agrochemicals, electroplating, sewage sludge, and thermal power plants. Elements of high concern are including Chromium, Thallium, Cobalt, Lead, Mercury, Copper, Arsenic, Nickel, Vanadium, Cadmium, and Zinc. Table 1.2 presents some of the sources of heavy metals.

Table 1.1: Environmental Quality Act 1974, Environmental Quality (Sewage and Industrial Effluents) Regulations 1979, 1999, 2000. Parameter Limits of Effluents of Standard A and B (DOE, 2007)

|                     |       | Standa        | ard     |
|---------------------|-------|---------------|---------|
| Parameter           | Unit  | Α             | В       |
| Temperature         | °C    | 40            | 40      |
| pH value            |       | 6.0-9.0       | 5.5-9.0 |
| BOD at 20°C         | mg/L  | 20            | 50      |
| COD                 | mg/L  | 50            | 100     |
| Suspended Solids    | mg/L  | 50            | 100     |
| Mercury             | mg/L  | 0.005         | 0.05    |
| Cadmium             | mg/L  | 0.01          | 0.02    |
| Chromium Hexavalent | mg/L  | 0.05          | 0.05    |
| Chromium Trivalent  | mg/L  | 0.20          | 1.00    |
| Arsenic             | mg/L  | 0.05          | 0.10    |
| Cyanide             | mg/L  | 0.05          | 0.10    |
| Lead                | mg/L  | 0.10          | 0.50    |
| Copper              | mg/L  | 0.20          | 1.0     |
| Manganese           | mg/L  | 0.20          | 1.0     |
| Nickel              | mg/L  | 0.20          | 1.0     |
| Tin                 | mg/L  | 0.20          | 1.0     |
| Zinc                | mg/L  | 1.0           | 1.0     |
| Boron               | mg/L  | 1.0           | 4.0     |
| Iron (Fe)           | mg/L  | 1.0           | 5.0     |
| Silver              | mg/L  | 0.1           | 1.0     |
| Aluminum            | mg/L  | 10            | 15      |
| Selenium            | mg/L  | 0.02          | 0.5     |
| Barium              | mg/L  | 1.0           | 2.0     |
| Fluoride            | mg/L  | 2.0           | 5.0     |
| Formaldehyde        | mg/L  | 1.0           | 2.0     |
| Phenol              | mg/L  | 0.001         | 1.0     |
| Free Chlorine       | mg/L  | 1.0           | 2.0     |
| Sulphide            | mg/L  | 0.50          | 0.50    |
| Oil and Grease      | mg/L  | No detectable | 10.0    |
| Colour              | AMDI* | 100           | 200     |

<sup>\*</sup>ADMI = American Dye Manufacturers Institute

Table 1.2: Sources of heavy metals

| Heavy metals | Sources  |
|--------------|--|
| Chromium     | Mining industrial coolants, chromium salts manufacturing,        |
|              | leather tanning  |
| Lead         | Lead acid batteries, paints, e-waste, smelting operations, coal- |
|              | based thermal power plants, ceramic, bangle industry             |
| Mercury      | Hospital waste (damaged thermometers, barometers,                |
|              | sphygmomanometers), thermal power plants, fluorescent lamps,     |
|              | electrical appliances  |
| Copper       | Mining, smelting operations, electroplating                      |
| Arsenic      | Geogenic/natural processes, smelting operations, thermal power   |
|              | plants, fuel   |
| Nickel       | Smelting operations, thermal power plants, battery industry      |
| Vanadium     | Spent catalyst, sulphuric acid plant                             |
| Cadmium      | Zinc smelting, waste batteries, e-waste, paint sludge,           |
|              | incinerations & fuel combustion                                  |
| Zinc         | Smelting, electroplating   |

#### 1.1.1 Heavy metal pollution in sediments

The quality of sediment is a good indicator to detect metal pollution in water because it inclines to concentrate the heavy metals and other organic pollutants (Chang *et al.*, 1998). The heavy metals concentration in sediment from the offshore waters and coastal of Peninsular Malaysia have been vastly studied.

In the Juru River, Penang, the sediments in rivers had been polluted by industry, runoff, and sewage in area of high population have been found to be polluted by Zn, Cu, Pb, and Cd. Zn concentration was up to 71.30 ppb, concentration of Cu, Cd, and Pb were up to 17.00 ppb, 2.25 ppb and 10.81 ppb respectively (Idriss and Ahmad, 2012). The sources of these metals were came from a sewage treatment plant which discharge sewage into the river. Thus, the lead (Pb) enrichment was probably due to the emission of vehicles and zinc (Zn) from tire wear (Wood *et al.*, 1997). Zhang et al. (2012) discovered that the estuarine sediments in Hailing Bay, China

were mainly polluted by Cu (1.21–58.84 mg/kg, As (2.17-20.35 mg/kg), Zn (11.69–219.22 mg/kg), and Ni (1.37–42.50 mg/kg).

Sediment samples from the coast of River Orogodo in Agbor, Nigeria were analyzed for heavy metals and the results show that the water body is significantly contaminated by iron (Issa *et al.*, 2011). Another study of Seybouse River, Algeria showed that the average concentrations of Fe, Mn, Zn, Cr, Sn, Ni, Cu, and Pb have exceeded the acceptable standards for sediment pollution which means the Seybouse River are heavy polluted (Louhi *et al.*, 2012).

#### 1.1.2 Heavy metal pollution in aquatic system

Problems of heavy metal pollution in aquatic environments are being concern because of its abundance, persistence, and toxicity in the environment, and accumulation in aquatic habitats. Heavy metals are intruded into water systems by volcanic activities, weathering of soils and rocks, and anthropogenic activities like color pigments, mining and processing. Heavy metal residues in contaminated habitats may accumulate in aquatic flora and fauna, and microorganisms and it may enter into the human food chain and cause to health problems (Cook *et al.*, 1993; Deniseger *et al.*, 1990).

Pollution of the aquatic systems by heavy metals has been considered as a major treat to the aquatic organisms including fishes. The drainage water from agriculture activities containing pesticides and fertilizers and effluent of industrial activities discharge large quantities of heavy metals into water bodies and sediment (ECDG, 2002).

As a result of rapid growth of human population, agriculture and economic activities on the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia, a lot of studies on heavy metals have been focused in this area (Abdullah *et al.*, 1999). As a major international shipping lane on the west coast of Malaysia, the Straits of Malacca also contribute to the marine environment pollution. However, in the states of Pahang and Terengganu, the oil and gas related industries are developing along the east coast may also cause the marine pollution.

A research had been done in the Suquia river, Argentina showed that Co, Ni, and Zn in surface water were significantly higher than 2006 (Harguinteguy *et al.*, 2014). The concentrations of Cu, Cd, Zn, and Pb in the Yangtze river basin are 7.91, 0.08, 18.7, and 15.7 μg/L, respectively (Zhang and Zhang, 1992).

#### 1.2 Hazards of heavy metal pollution

Human may be uncovered to potentially harmful physical, chemical, and biological agents in food, air, water, or soil. Heavy metals bring harmful effects to human body when contacted exceed the bio-recommended limits. The common signs related with lead, cadmium, arsenic, mercury, aluminum, and copper poisoning are gastrointestinal disorders, ataxia, diarrhea stomatitis, tremor, paralysis, depression, vomiting, and pneumonia when inhaled volatile vapors and fumes (McCluggage, 1991). The nature of impacts could be toxic, carcinogenic, mutagenic, neurotoxic, or teratogenic.

Skeletal damage was first reported from Japan in 1950s which cause by long term exposure of cadmium, where the itai-itai disease (a combination of osteoporosis

and osteomalacia) was discovered. The reason of the exposure was due to the usage of cadmium-contaminated water for agricultural irrigation (Jarup *et al.*, 1998).

Long term exposure to cadmium in human may results in renal dysfunction, characterized by tubular proteinuria. Furthermore, dust and fumes contained cadmium which inhaled by human can result to obstructive lung disease and cadmium pneumonitis (Duruibe *et al.*, 2007). Cadmium is also related to bone defects, osteoporosis, and spontaneous fractures.

Mercury poisoning has a latent period of 1 month or longer after acute exposure. Nervous system damage is one of the main symptoms (Weiss *et al.*, 2002). The first stage symptoms of mercury poisoning are numbness and parestesias in the hands and feet and high doses of exposure may lead to death. Increasing in risk of coronary heart disease has been hypothesized if human exposures to a high dietary intake of mercury from fish consumption (Salonen *et al.*, 1995).

Acute lead poisoning caused the symptoms of headache, irritability, and abdominal pain. Characteristics of Lead encephalopathy is restlessness and sleeplessness. Learning and concentration difficulties may face by children. Some people may suffer from acute psychosis, reduced consciousness, and confusion for severe cases. Long term low level lead exposure in children may lead to minified intellectual capacity. The results of research suggest for a 0.48 µmol/l increase in blood lead level decrease a weighted mean in IQ of 2 points (WHO, 1995).

#### 1.3 Removal of heavy metal from aquatic system

Nowadays heavy metal water is commonly treated by using several technologies which includes ion exchange, chemical precipitation, electrodialysis, reverse osmosis, ultrafiltration, and phytoremediation (Ahalya *et al.*, 2003). Table 1.3 presents some of the frequently-used technologies for heavy metal removal and their advantages and disadvantages.

Dabrowski *et al.* (2004) reported the removal of Pb, Cd, Hg, Ni, Cr, V, Zn, and Cu from water and industrial wastewaters by ion exchange method. According to Wang and Chen (2006), remediation using electrochemical and chemical precipitation is ineffective when the metal ion concentration is 1 to 100 mg/L which produces huge amount of sludge. However, Volesky (2001) reported that chemical oxidation and reduction is slow in the process of biological system, as for reverse osmosis will cause scaling problems and high cost. Furthermore, Volesky (2001) also documented that using membrane technology and activated carbon to treat heavy metal wastewater are expensive and cause difficulties when frequently use especially when come to large scale treatment.

Table 1.3 Comparison of technologies of heavy metal removal from wastewater (Farooq et al., 2010)

| Method                                | Advantage  | Disadvantage  |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Chemical<br>Precipitation             | <ul> <li>Simple</li> <li>Inexpensive</li> <li>Most of metals can removed</li> <li>Dewatering</li> </ul>                              | <ul> <li>Large amount of sludge produced</li> <li>Disposal problems</li> <li>High cost</li> <li>Large consumption of chemicals</li> </ul>         |
| Chemical coagulation                  | <ul> <li>High generation of materials</li> <li>Metal selective</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>High cost</li> <li>Less number of metal ions removed</li> </ul>  |
| Electrochemical methods               | <ul> <li>Metal selective</li> <li>No consumption of chemicals</li> <li>Pure metals can be achieved</li> </ul>                        | <ul> <li>High capital cost</li> <li>High running cost</li> <li>Initial solution pH and current density</li> </ul>                                 |
| Adsorption using activated carbon     | <ul> <li>Most of the metals can be removed</li> <li>High efficiency (99%)</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Cost of activated carbon</li> <li>No generation</li> <li>Performance depends<br/>upon adsorbent</li> </ul>                               |
| Using natural zeolite                 | <ul> <li>Most of metals can be removed</li> <li>Relatively less costly materials</li> </ul>  | Low efficiency  |
| Membrane process and ultrafilteration | <ul> <li>Less solid waste produced</li> <li>Less chemical consumption</li> <li>High efficiency (&gt;95% for single metal)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>High initial and running cost</li> <li>Low flow rates</li> <li>Removal percentage decreases with the presence of other metals</li> </ul> |

#### 1.3.1 Bioremediation

Bioremediation is a natural process which uses biomass like parasites, fungus, and vegetation to reduce contaminants as these organisms bring out their regular life features. Bioremediation has an important role in cleaning environment from contaminants and pollutants by using fungi and microorganisms. Removing heavy metals by using microbes can be achieved through bioaccumulation and biosorption process. Bioaccumulation is a process of taking up heavy metal by metabolism dependent processes while biosorption is a process of metal uptake by living or dead microbes through physiochemical mechanisms such as adsorption, ion exchange process (Gadd, 1993, Tobin *et al.*, 1984, Kapoor and Viraraghavan, 1997a).

#### 1.3.1 (a) Bacteria

Bacteria present in great quantity and they are the most versatile microorganisms. Bacteria comprise a significant fraction of the entire living terrestrial (Mann et al., 1990). Bacteria use as a sorbent in bioremediation process because they are small in sizes, able to grow under controlled conditions, ubiquity, and their elasticity in a wide range of environmental conditions (Urrutia, 1997). Bacteria species such as Micrococcus, Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Escherichia, Streptomyces and so on have been experimented for metals uptake (Vijayaraghavan and Yun, 2008; Nakajima and Tsuruta, 2004; Mameri et al., 1999; Ahluwalia and Goyal, 2007; Savvaidis et al., 2003).

#### 1.3.1 (b) Algae

Algae different with other biomass or microbes because do not generate toxic substances. Algae produce large quantities of biomass in autotrophic conditions and have low nutrient requirements. Seaweeds from the oceans are another inexpensive biomass because they produced in voluminous quantities. Marine algae, like *Sargasso* 

seaweed, was tested for heavy metal removal (Davis et al., 2003). According to Brinza et al. (2007), brown algae has higher uptake capacity than the red and green algae.

Algal cell walls are mainly made from cellulose. The potential metal binding groups in this class of microbes are amines, imidazoles, carboxylates, phosphates, sulfhydryls, hydroxyls, and sulfates. Metal ion binding on algal surface depends on one different conditions like the algal species, chemical composition of the metal ion solution, and metal ion charge (Freire-nordi *et al.*, 2005; Gupta *et al.*, 2001; Sheng *et al.*, 2004). The ability of algae to remove heavy metals depends on algal group and morphology (Brinza *et al.*, 2007). Cossich *et al.* (2004) mentioned that pH is an important effect on chromium biosorption capacity. However, the size of biosorbent does not affect the chromium biosorption rate and capacity.

Chojnacka *et al.* (2005) studied the metal adsorption performance of cadmium, chromium, and copper ions by blue-green algae *Spirulina* sp. and Nayak *et al.* (2003) also reported the heavy metal biosorption and toxic radionuclides by three genera of algae from different taxonomic groups, including Hg-197, Tl-198, Tl-199, Tl-200, Tl-201, Bi-204, Po-204, Po-205, Pb-199, Pb-200, and Pb-201 radionuclides. Baran *et al.* (2005) studied the maximum biosorption capacity of *Sargassum vulgare, Halimeda tuna, Pterocladia capillacea, Laurencia papillosa, Hypnea musciformis,* for Cr<sup>6+</sup> were 33.0, 2.3, 6.6, 5.3, and 4.7 mg g<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The results showed that *Sargassum vulgare* is most effective for chromium removing from aqueous solution.

#### 1.3.1 (c) Use of fungi

Fungi are omnipresent in natural environment and important in industrial processes. Their main roles are to decompose organic substances, as symbionts and pathogens of animals and plants, as spoilage organisms of natural, have concomitant nutrients cycling, and as synthetic materials such as paint, wood, leather, fabrics, and food. Fungi also used to produce economically important substances such as citric acid, ethanol, enzymes, polysaccharides, vitamins, and antibiotics (Gadd, 1993).

The application of fungi as a sorbent for heavy metal uptake from contaminated waters opens a novel area of biotechnology. Fungal cell walls contain chitosan and chitin which have been shown to sequester metal ions (Muzzarelli, 1972; Tsezos and Keller, 1983). The accumulation of heavy metal in the high parts per million (ppm) range of micronutrients such as Cu and Zn beyond normal cellular requirements and wholly non-nutrient metals (Hg, Sn, Ni, U) are common fungal capabilities (Strandberg *et al.*, 1981; Gadd, 1986). Fungi are better suited than other microbial biomass in treating industrial wastewater because of they have better tolerance towards metals and other environmental conditions such as high cell wall binding capacity, intracellular uptake potential, and low pH (Huang and Morehart, 1990).

A lot of researches had been conducted on the ability of heavy metals uptake of fungi on cadmium (Cd), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), and uranium (U) from aqueous solution in substantial quantity (Holan and Volesky, 1995). Tomko et al. (2006) conducted a research by using three kinds of fungi species, Agaricus campester, Amanita muscaria, and Trametes gibbosa for the removal of copper, aluminium, and antimony. Fungi such as Aspergillus niger, Mucor rouxii, Rhizophus arrhizus have

been found that to take up precious metals such as silver (Ag), and gold (Au) (Mullen et al., 1992).

#### 1.4 Objectives of study

The objectives which carried out by this study are

- To study the effect of pH, biosorbent dosage, and contact time of biomass on *Trichoderma* sp. biosorption.
- To study the biosorption of *Trichoderma* sp. on nickel and cadmium in the contaminated artificial aqueous solution.
- To evaluate the applicability of Freundlich and Langmuir adsorption isotherms models and kinetic study to determine biosorption capacity of *Trichoderma* sp.
- To obtain the optimal conditions for the treatment in order to achieve best efficiency by using *Trichoderma* sp.

#### 1.5 Scope of study

In this study, *Trichoderma* sp. were chosen as a biosorbent to remove nickel and cadmium in a batch system. These metals were chosen in this study because they are used widely. Nickel is used in chemical, metallurgical, and food processing industries, especially as pigments and catalysts (Cempel and Nikel, 2006). On the other hand, cadmium metal mostly obtained as a by-product from smelting zinc, lead, or copper ores, and it also used widely in making batteries, pigments, and plastics, electroplating and coating (Llewellyn, 1994). Therefore, they cause serious pollution to the environment and bring harm to human health.

The difference of pH in growth of *Trichoderma* sp. experiments were carried out. Range of pH from 4 to 8 were tested to study its effect on growth of *Trichoderma* sp. Thus, the removal of nickel and cadmium in metal solution were studied by using *Trichoderma* sp. as a biosorbent in different time interval, different biomass dosage, and different pH of metal solution. The data of the biosorbent were analyzed by using Langmuir and Freundlich equilibrium models.

The characterization of biosorbent was carried out by using Scanning Electron Micrographs (SEM), Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), and Fourier Infrared Spectrophotometer (FTIR).

Trichoderma sp. is recommended to use in heavy metal removal in variety of metals included nickel and cadmium biosorption because it is easily available in large quantities, easily grown in basic fermentation medium, and low cost (Kumar *et al.*, 2011; Sujatha *et al.*, 2013; Chew *et al.*, 2012).

#### 1.6 Outline of Thesis

There are five chapters in this thesis and each chapter describe the sequence of this research.

Chapter 1 presents the heavy metal pollution in Malaysia and details about using *Trichoderma* sp. as a biosorbents in heavy metal adsorption process. The significance of studies and objectives are clearly outline throughout the flow of the research studies.

Chapter 2 describes an overview of related knowledge in bioremediation and biosorption process. Characteristic and uses of *Trichoderma* sp. in different industries are discussed in detail.

Chapter 3 refers to the experiment procedure in the research and analysis of sample and the characterization of biosorbents before and after treatment. Materials and chemicals which used in this study are showed in this chapter.

Chapter 4 presents the results and discussion of heavy metal biosorption by dead cells of *Trichoderma* sp. using heavy metal contaminated synthetic water in batch biosorption experiments. The results of effect of pH on growth of *Trichoderma* sp. were collected and discussed in this chapter. Freundlich and Langmuir adsorption isotherm were tested for nickel and cadmium. The Central Composite Design (CCD) was used for designing the experiments as well as for full response surface estimation to find out the optimum conditions for nickel and cadmium removal. Besides that, reports on the initial study of *Trichoderma* sp. by using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) also presented in this chapter. Furthermore, comparison of infra-red spectra of biomass before and after adsorption are showed in this chapter too.

Chapter 5 refers the overall conclusion that based on the findings obtained in the results and discussion in Chapter 4. Recommendations with valuable strategies and improvement in the next coming also given in this chapter.

#### CHAPTER TWO

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Heavy metals and its harm to the environment

Heavy metals are any metallic elements with a specific density of 5.0 or more, and is toxic to organisms although at low concentration (Lenntech, 2004). There are three categories of heavy metals as described by Wang and Chen (2006) such as toxic metals (Zn, Pb, Hg, Cr, As, Ni, Cd, Co, Sn, Cu, etc.), precious metals (Ru, Ag, Au, Pt, Pd, etc.) and radionuclides Th, Ra, U, Am, etc.). Usually, the main heavy metals which related to water pollution include mercury, cadmium, zinc, lead, copper, chromium, and nickel (Abel, 1996). Some metals such as zinc and copper are basic trace elements for human and living organism at low concentration (less than 10 mg/L). However, they become toxic to living organism at a high concentration which more than 10 mg/L (Abel, 1996).

The development of technological and industrial activities poses a significant threat to the human, environment, and soil health (Cerbasi and Yetis, 2001). Mostly the heavy metal ions such as Cu, Hg, Cd, Zn, As, Ag, Fe, Cr, Ni, etc.) discharged from the industries are in simple cationic (+) forms (Volesky, 2007) and they are among the most that are common derived from electroplating, plastics manufacturing, rubber manufacturing, pesticides, fertilizers, pigments, pottery glazes, ink, dyes, mining and metallurgical processes (EPA, 1976).

Heavy metals are non-biodegradable inorganic chemicals that cannot be metabolized, and will not break down into nontoxic forms (Kromhout *et al.*, 1985).

They also tend to persist indefinitely, and eventually accumulating in the tissues of living organisms throughout the food chain (Gupta *et al.*, 2000; Aleem *et al.*, 2003). In fact, they can enter the environment wherever they are produced, used, and ultimately discarded as wastes and they may be easily absorbed by living organisms which can be bound to important cellular components such as structural proteins, enzymes, and nucleic acids. As a result, they will cause severe physiological and health effects even though in very small amount (Landis *et al.*, 2001). Parkinson's disease can be caused by chronic exposure to heavy metals such as Zn, Pb, and Cu and the metals might act alone or together to propagate the disease over time (Gorell *et al.*, 1997).

#### 2.2 Heavy metal pollution

Heavy metal pollution in air, soil, and water is one of the biggest concern because it poses risks and hazards to our environment. There are thousands of sources of heavy metal pollution, including mining, metal smelting, coal combustion, natural gas, nickel-cadmium batteries, paper industry, combustion of fossil fuel, industrial effluents, solid waste disposal, phosphate fertilizers, and metal processing (Alloway, 1996 and McDonald and Grandt, 1981). Therefore, resource like metal is becoming a deficit and it also brings harm to our environment through pollution and contamination, thereby threatening ecosystem and human health. Currently toxic metals, precious metals, and radionuclides are in our human concern (Wang and Chen, 2006).

The accumulation of heavy metals in aquatic habitats is dramatically increase, especially in the industrial countries. Rivers are a dominant pathway of heavy metal

pollution (Harikumar et al., 2009). Heavy metals have become significant pollutants to the riverine systems (Dassenakis et. al., 1998). When the heavy metals transport in the river, they go through several changes in their speciation by cause of precipitation, sorption, dissolution, and complexation phenomena (Akcay et al., 2003, Dassenakis et al., 1998) which alter their behavior and bioavailability (Nouri et al., 2011). Therefore, heavy metals are sensitive indicator to monitor changes in water systems.

Mining activities can release toxic metals to the environment. Metal smelting and mining activities are noted as dominant sources of heavy metals in the environment. Moreover, textile industries are indicated as a major source of heavy metal contaminants in water due to the dyeing process. The compounds applied for these dyeing process involve chromium, lead, copper, and nickel which is very poison and carcinogenic to human and living organisms. Furthermore, nuclear generating facilities are also described as source of heavy metal pollution because plenty of water is applied for operation and the effluent with heavy metals infiltrated into groundwater and surface water bodies (Hagberg and Lofgren, 2007; Begum *et al.*, 2011). Petroleum refinery industries discharged effluent which composed of different chemicals which include oil and greases, sulphides, phenols, ammonia, and heavy metals like iron, chromium, nickel, copper, selenium, vanadium, and zinc into coastal and marine environment (Wake, 2005).

Heavy metals exist as natural elements of the earth crust, or as persistent pollutants because they cannot be degraded or destroyed. Heavy metals can intrude the human body system through air, water, and food, then bioaccumulate in our body for some period of time (Lenntech, 2004; UNEP/GPA, 2004).

#### 2.3 Techniques of removal heavy metal

The conventional technologies for treating heavy metal are precipitation, reverse osmosis, oxidation/reduction, ion exchange, activated carbon, physical adsorption, electrolysis and filtration (Veglio and Beolchini, 1997; Beolchini *et al.*, 2005). However, these methods are said to be expensive, high energy consumption, and might not be very efficient (Rhadika *et al.*, 2006, Kapoor and Viraraghavan, 1995, and Tripathi *et al.*, 2007). Chemical oxidation and reduction, where the chemical required is not universal and slow in the process of biological system (reaction with structure of biomass) as for reverse osmosis, will cause scaling problems and they are also expensive in usage (Volesky, 2001).

According to Attia *et al.*, (2010), the major drawbacks of activated carbon are expensive cost, incomplete metal removal, and toxic waste generation. Furthermore, remediation by using chemical precipitation and electrochemical treatment is said to be ineffective when the metal ion concentration is low (1 - 100 mg/L) while producing a huge amount of sludge (Wang and Chen, 2006; Veglio and Beolchini, 1997; Volesky, 1990c).

#### 2.3.1 Precipitation

Precipitation is the technique of choice for the dissolved heavy metals removal in conventional treatment. This process can reduce the concentrations of all heavy metals. The vital key to make this method success is the solubility of precipitated metal compounds due to the heavy metal can be removed via clarification and filtration if it can form an insoluble compound.

Among the precipitation methods, hydroxide precipitation and sulfide precipitation are the two main methods that currently used. As hydroxide precipitation

method is inexpensive and simple, it is the most widely used method by far (Mohan and Pittman, 2006). This process is as simple as increasing the pH of the effluent using lime (CaO), caustic soda (NaOH) or magnesia (MgO) as precipitator and then immobilizes the heavy metals as their respective hydroxides (Esmaeili *et al.*, 2005). At low pH, magnesium oxide was found as a good adsorbent to remove heavy metal ions from their aqueous solutions (Brbooti *et al.*, 2011).

Pang et al., (2009) applied the hydroxide precipitation methods to treat wastewater containing lead, copper, zinc, and iron. The results presented that the removal efficiency of heavy metals by hydroxide precipitation increased with the increased of metal concentration. The optimal removal of metals by hydroxide precipitation was attained at a fixed pH, which different with the type of heavy metals.

#### 2.3.2 Ion exchange

Ion exchange can be defined as the exchange of ions process between the substrate and surrounding medium. The most practical reaction of ion exchange is convertible because the ion exchanger can be repeatedly. Basically resins are produced in the pressure, spherical, and strain freeway against physical deterioration. Resins can be used for a wide range of pH and they are stable at high temperature. In most aqueous and organic solutions, ion exchange resins are completely insoluble. They are consisting of a cross-linked polymer matrix to which charged functional groups are binding with covalent bonding (Sherrington, 1998).

The technique of ion exchange can clear out metallic ion from process streams and water bodies to produce a product with desired quality. Metals like zinc, nickel, uranium, gold, silver, thorium, platinum, cobalt, and some rare earth elements can be recovered and purified by ion exchange process for industrial purpose. Ion exchange

are widely applied in the water treatment and pollution control process and also in purification and separation of radioisotopes, antibiotics, hydrometallurgy, and analytical chemistry (Clifford, 1999; Luca et al. 2009).

Gaikwad *et al.*, (2010) discussed the ion exchange system design to remove copper from acid mine drainage wastewater. A pilot plant for the treatment of acid mine drainage wastewater has been designed for copper removal using the dimensions. Approximately of 0.05m in diameter of 2-column design system is determined as the optimal size of the ion exchange column.

#### 2.3.3 Activated carbon adsorption

Activated carbon has small and low-volume pores which can increase the surface area available for chemical or adsorption reactions. Adsorption on granular activated carbon is a widely-used method to remove organic pollutants and heavy metals. Its ability to remove metallic ions is undeniably diffused, although the removal efficiency for these substances is usually lower than for the organic compounds (Di Natale *et al.*, 2006).

Activated carbon can be profitably used because of their ability to contemporary remove different substances. In fact, they are less selective than ion-exchange resins and usually more cost-effective. For this reason, in order to minimize the amount of adsorbent required, the knowledge of the optimal conditions to maximize the adsorption capacity is needed (Clifford, 1999; Mohan and Pittman, 2006).

The value of parameters during the process such as pH, concentration of solution, temperature, composition of solution, and salinity seriously affect the

equilibrium adsorption capacity and playing a critical role in the industrial scale up of adsorption units (Mohan and Pittman, 2006).

In developing countries, the locally generated wastes such as sugar cane bagasse, cotton stalks, rice straw, and so on have been experimented in the activated carbon production to reduce cost (Logan and Rosemarie, 2002). The previous studies have showed that the use of these raw materials are available at inexpensive cost, contain high carbon content, and may be effective in the pollutants and heavy metals removal.

Zayat and Smith, (2010) applied activated carbon which produced by cotton stalks for lead, copper, and cadmium removal from water and wastewater. The study showed the highest adsorption capacity was for lead and followed by copper and cadmium.

#### 2.4 Biosorbent

Living microorganisms and non-living microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, yeast, and algae were applied as biosorbent materials for removal of heavy metal (Wang and Chen, 2006). It can effectively isolate the dissolved metal ions from dilute complex solutions with high efficiency and fast, in addition, it is an ideal biosorbent for the high volume and low concentration of wastewater treatment (Wang and Chen, 2006).

However, dead biomass is more preferable than living biomass because there are no poisonous concerns, can grow in media or nutrients with low requirements, easier to detach pollutants from the biomass and to reuse them. Besides, they also do not

Contaminate the environment by releasing toxins or propagules (Aksu, 2005 and Mathialagan and Viraraghavan, 2009). In spite of that, treatments with living cells allow the bioremediation process more efficient because they can self-replenish and remove metals through different mechanisms (Malik, 2004). Golab *et al.*, (1995) mentioned that living cells of *Streptomyces pilosus* adsorb more heavy metals than dead cells.

Only biological materials with high metal-binding capacity and selectivity for heavy metals can adsorb heavy metals are suitable to be used in a full-scale biosorption process. Fungi are chosen as a biosorbent because of their special physiology and adsorbing capacity. Chitin and chitosan present in fungi are good ion adsorbers because of the presence of both carboxyl and amine groups (Ashkenazy *et al.*, 1997).

A variety of materials tested as metal adsorbents include crushed coconut shell, Girdish coal, bark, peat, straw, waste tyre rubber, corn cobs, polymerized orange skin, apple residues, wool, and human hair (Bailey et al., 1999; Srivastava et al., 1986; Lakatos et al., 2002; Doyurum and Celik, 2006). Recent development in the field of the biotechnology include the use of microorganisms as sorbents for heavy metals (Kapoor and Viraraghavan, 1995). There are various statements which have been widely reported with regard to microorganisms being able to accumulate metal ions from aqueous solutions (Lopez-Errasquin and Vazquez, 2003; Al-Garni, 2005; Tangaromsuk et al., 2002; Svecova et al., 2006; Zamil et al., 2009; El-Sherif et al., 2008).

To study the heavy metals removal for zinc, nickel, and copper ions, including their removal efficiency in three different stages (biosorption, sedimentation, and desorption), Bakkaloglu *et al.* (1998) compared the different types of waste biomass