

**THE EFFECT OF WRITTEN CORRECTIVE
FEEDBACK ON GRAMMATICAL ACCURACY
OF PASSIVE VOICE TENSES AND
UNACCUSATIVE VERBS OF PAKISTANI ESL
UNDERGRADUATES**

MUJTABA SYED MUHAMMAD

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2023

**THE EFFECT OF WRITTEN CORRECTIVE
FEEDBACK ON GRAMMATICAL ACCURACY
OF PASSIVE VOICE TENSES AND
UNACCUSATIVE VERBS OF PAKISTANI ESL
UNDERGRADUATES**

by

MUJTABA SYED MUHAMMAD

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

February 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my sincere thanks to Almighty Allah for allowing me to study doctorate at the School of Languages, Literacies, and Translation, Universiti Sains Malaysia. It would not have been possible to complete the thesis without the divine guidance of the Almighty. Many people deserve credit for this thesis. Among those, my supervisor Prof. Dr. Manjet Kaur Mehar Singh, is the one who deserves the most credit. I would never be able to complete this thesis without the guidance of Prof. Manjet. She always provided me with her valuable input whenever I needed it. She was always prompt in responding to my queries. I sincerely thank her for her unwavering support and never-ending guidance. I am sure what I have learned under the supervision of Prof. Manjet will benefit me in my professional career. Secondly, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to the teachers who participated in my study. They were very cooperative since the beginning of the study and worked efficiently. Without their continued support, this thesis would not have been possible. I would also like to thank the two raters who checked the answer scripts and provided inter-rater reliability for data analysis. I would also like to extend my thanks to the field expert for providing me with valuable feedback on my research instruments and sparing time for training the treatment group teacher. I would also like to thank my friend and colleague Dr. Rakesh Prakash who always encouraged and motivated me during my thesis. He provided valuable guidance in my data analysis and helped me understand various statistical tests. I would also like to acknowledge the contribution of Prof. Dr. Barry Lee Reynolds for his valuable comments and ideas that helped during my thesis. I would also like to thank my friend Nisar Ahmed for his encouragement. Whenever I felt demotivated, Nisar encouraged and motivated me with his motivational lessons.

I want to take this opportunity to express my love and gratitude to my parents. My mother played a significant role in my career. She was the one who guided me and told me about the field of English language teaching. I cannot thank her enough for what she has done in my life. Last but not least, I cannot put into words the contribution of my wife to my PhD and my life. She sacrificed her time and comfort for the completion of my PhD. She knew it would be a challenging journey, but she stood with me every time I needed her. I would also like to thank my sister for always encouraging me to complete my thesis. Finally, I would like to thank my father for providing me with a good quality education, without which I cannot be what I am today. Thank you, everyone, for making this possible for me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xvii
ABSTRAK.....	xix
ABSTRACT.....	xxi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Background of the Study	6
1.2.1 Status and Position of English Language in Pakistan	6
1.2.2 English Language Policy in Pakistani Education	7
1.2.3 The Writing skills of Pakistani ESL Undergraduates	10
1.3 Statement of the Problem.....	13
1.4 Research Objectives	16
1.5 Research Questions	17
1.6 Significance of the Study	17
1.7 Limitations of the Study.....	21
1.8 Definition of Key Terms	21
1.9 Summary.....	24
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	25
2.1 Written Corrective Feedback in Second Language Writing	25
2.1.1 Written Corrective Feedback in the Pakistani Education System.....	30

2.1.2	Written Corrective Feedback in Pakistani Higher Education ESL Context.....	31
2.2	Feedback in Second Language Writing	35
2.2.1	Types of Written Corrective Feedback	36
2.2.2	Direct Corrective Feedback	36
2.2.3	Indirect Corrective Feedback.....	37
2.2.4	Metalinguistic Explanation Feedback.....	38
2.2.5	Reformulation	39
2.3	Focused versus unfocused feedback	40
2.4	Error Correction Debate.....	41
2.5	Theoretical Perspective of WCF in SLA	47
2.5.1	Noticing Hypothesis.....	48
2.5.2	Skill Acquisition Theories	49
2.5.3	The Role of WCF in L2 Knowledge Development	52
2.5.4	Cognitive Processing of WCF	53
2.6	Moderating Variables in WCF.....	60
2.6.1	Explicitness in WCF	61
2.6.2	WCF and Different Grammar Structures.....	64
2.7	Passive Voice	66
2.8	Unaccusative Verbs	69
2.9	Grammatical Accuracy and WCF	71
2.9.1	Studies Showing Grammatical Accuracy in Revised Drafts	72
2.9.2	Studies Showing Grammatical Accuracy in New Writing Draft	75
2.9.3	Studies Comparing Focused and Unfocused WCF.....	82
2.9.4	Studies Showing Efficacy of WCF for Different Grammatical Structures	84
2.9.5	Studies Comparing Direct and indirect Types of WCF	89
2.10	The Grammatical Accuracy of Pakistani ESL Undergraduates.....	103

2.10.1	The Grammatical Accuracy of Passive Voice and Unaccusative Verbs	106
2.11	WCF Studies in the Pakistani ESL Context.....	110
2.12	WCF Studies in ESL and EFL Context	113
2.13	Conceptual Framework.....	114
2.14	Summary.....	119
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		120
3.1	Introduction.....	120
3.2	Research Questions	120
3.3	Research Method and Design	121
3.3.1	Research Philosophy	121
3.3.2	Research Approach	122
3.3.3	Research Strategy.....	124
3.3.4	Research Purpose	125
3.3.5	Research Design.....	125
3.4	Setting and Participants.....	128
3.4.1	Sampling Technique	129
3.4.2	The Functional English Class	130
3.4.3	Target Structure	132
3.5	Treatment Groups	134
3.5.1	Direct Corrective Feedback Group (DCF).....	135
3.5.2	Indirect Corrective Feedback Group (ICF).....	135
3.5.3	Direct Corrective Feedback + Metalinguistic Explanation (DCF+ME).....	136
3.5.4	Indirect Corrective Feedback + Metalinguistic Explanation (ICF+ME)	136
3.5.5	Control Group	136
3.6	Study Material.....	137

3.6.1	Treatment Material.....	137
3.6.1(a)	Describing Process.....	138
3.6.1(b)	Narrative Writing (News Report)	139
3.6.1(c)	Picture Description Writing.....	141
3.6.1(d)	Elicited Written Production	142
3.6.2	Testing Material	143
3.6.2(a)	Process Writing Test.....	143
3.6.2(a)(i)	Validity and Reliability of Process Writing Tests	145
3.6.2(b)	Narrative Writing Tests	146
3.6.2(b)(i)	Validity and Reliability of Narrative Writing Tests	148
3.6.2(c)	Picture Description Writing Tests.....	149
3.6.2(c)(i)	Validity and Reliability of Picture Description Writing.....	151
3.6.2(d)	Elicited Written Production	152
3.6.2(d)(i)	Validity and Reliability of Elicited Written Production	153
3.6.2(e)	Grammaticality Judgment Task.....	154
3.6.2(e)(i)	Validity and Reliability of Grammaticality Judgement Task.....	156
3.7	The Teachers' Profile.....	157
3.8	Training on Providing Different Types of WCF.....	158
3.9	Intervention Lesson Plan of the Study.....	160
3.10	Data Collection Procedure	163
3.10.1	Week 1 (Oxford Placement Test and Briefing on Study)	163
3.10.2	Week 2 (Instruction)	164
3.10.3	Week 3 (Pre-test)	165
3.10.4	Week 4- 7 (WCF Treatment Sessions)	166

3.10.4 (a) Week 4 (Administration of WCF Treatment Material 1).....	166
3.10.4 (b) Week 5 (WCF Treatment Session 1)	168
3.10.4 (c) Week 5 (Administration of WCF Treatment Material 2).....	168
3.10.4 (d) Week 6 (WCF Treatment Session 2)	169
3.10.4 (e) Week 6 (Administration of WCF Treatment Material 3).....	169
3.10.4 (f) Week 7 (WCF Treatment Session 3)	170
3.10.5 Week 8 (Post-test).....	170
3.10.6 Week 12 (Delayed Post-test).....	170
3.11 Data Analysis	171
3.11.1 Scoring Criteria of Writing Tests.....	171
3.11.2 Scoring Criteria of Elicited Written Production	173
3.11.3 Scoring of Grammaticality Judgement Task.....	174
3.11.4 Statistical Analysis of Data	176
3.12 Study Reliability and Validity.....	177
3.12.1 Internal Validity	177
3.12.2 External Validity	179
3.12.3 Reliability.....	180
3.12.3 (a) Instrument Reliability	181
3.12.3 (b) Inter-Rater Reliability	182
3.13 Pilot Study.....	182
3.13.1 Pilot Study of WCF Testing Material	183
3.13.2 Pilot Study of WCF Treatment Material.....	186
3.14 Summary	188

CHAPTER 4	DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS.....	189
4.1	Introduction.....	189
4.2	Profile of the Participants.....	190
4.3	Data Analysis	190
4.3.1	How effective is WCF in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of present simple, past simple and present perfect passive voice?	190
4.3.1(a)	Result of Present Simple Passive Voice: Process Writing Tests.....	191
4.3.1(b)	Result of Grammaticality Judgement Task: Present Simple Passive Voice.....	196
4.3.1(c)	Result of Past Simple Passive Voice: Narrative Writing Tests.....	200
4.3.1(d)	Result of Grammaticality Judgement Task: Past Simple Passive Voice.....	205
4.3.1(e)	Result of Present Perfect Passive Voice: Picture Description Writing Tests.....	210
4.3.1(f)	Result of Grammaticality Judgement Task: Present Perfect Passive Voice.....	216
4.3.2	Summary of RQ1- WCF Types and Passive Voice Tenses	221
4.4	RQ2 How effective is WCF in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of trained and untrained unaccusative verbs?.....	222
4.4.1	Result of Trained Unaccusative Verbs.....	222
4.4.2	Result of the Untrained Unaccusative Verbs	228
4.4.3	Summary of RQ2-WCF Types and Unaccusative Verbs: trained and untrained.....	233
4.5	RQ3 Which type of WCF is most effective in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of passive voice tenses (present simple, past simple, present perfect) and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained)?.....	234
4.5.1	Result of Present Simple Passive Voice: Process Writing Tests	234
4.5.2	Result of Grammaticality Judgement Task: Present Simple Passive Voice	235

4.5.3	Result of Past Simple Passive Voice: Narrative Writing Tests	236
4.5.4	Result of Grammaticality Judgement Task: Past Simple Passive Voice	237
4.5.5	Result of Present Perfect Passive Voice: Picture Description Writing Tests.....	239
4.5.6	Result of Grammaticality Judgement Task: Present Perfect Passive Voice	240
4.5.7	Result of Trained Unaccusative Verbs.....	241
4.5.8	Result of untrained Unaccusative Verbs.....	242
4.5.9	Summary of RQ3- Most Effective WCF Type	243
4.6	Summary	244
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION		245
5.1	Introduction.....	245
5.2	Purpose of the Study and Research Design	245
5.3	Summary of the Findings.....	246
5.3.1	WCF Types and Passive Voice Tenses (RQ1)	246
5.3.2	WCF Types and Unaccusative Verbs (trained and untrained) (RQ2)	247
5.3.3	Most Effective WCF Type (RQ3).....	247
5.4	Discussion of the Findings.....	248
5.4.1	Research Question 1	248
5.4.2	Research Question 2	253
5.4.3	Research Question 3	258
5.5	Implications of the Study	262
5.6	Recommendations for Future Research	266
5.7	Conclusion	268
REFERENCES.....		270
APPENDICES		
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1	English language policy of Pakistan..... 9
Table 2.1	Studies Showing Grammatical Accuracy in Revised Drafts 73
Table 2.2	Studies Showing Grammatical Accuracy in New Writing 76
Table 2.3	Efficacy of WCF on Different Grammatical Structures 85
Table 2.4	Comparison of direct WCF with other more explicit form of direct WCF 91
Table 2.5	Studies Comparing Direct and Indirect Written CF 95
Table 2.6	Instructional Context 114
Table 3.1	Types of Groups 127
Table 3.2	Design of the Study 128
Table 3.3	Sample Size of Previous WCF Studies..... 130
Table 3.4	Descriptive Statistics for Oxford Placement Test..... 132
Table 3.5	List of Unaccusative Verbs Used in the Current Study (Mu, 2012) 134
Table 3.6	Groups in the Current Study 134
Table 3.7	Teachers' Profile 158
Table 3.8	Field Expert's Profile..... 160
Table 3.9	Intervention Plan of the Study.....161
Table 3.10	Scoring Criteria for Writing Test (Jung, 2019) 172
Table 3.11	Scoring Criteria for Elicited Written Production (Mu, 2012) 174
Table 3.12	Scoring Criteria for Grammaticality Judgement Task (Jung, 2019)..... 175
Table 3.13	Reliability of GJT (Pretest)..... 185
Table 3.14	Reliability of GJT (Posttest) 185

Table 3.15	Reliability of GJT (Delayed Post-test)	185
Table 3.16	Reliability of EWP (Pre-test).....	186
Table 3.17	Reliability of EWP (Post-test).....	186
Table 3.18	Reliability of EWP (Delayed test).....	186
Table 4.1	Profile of the Participants	190
Table 4.2	Descriptive statistics of present simple passive voice in process writing tests.....	191
Table 4.3	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity	195
Table 4.4	Two-way Mixed ANOVA Analysis Source.....	195
Table 4.5	Comparison of treatment groups and control group at post-test and delayed post-test.....	195
Table 4.6	Descriptive statistics of present simple passive voice in GJT	196
Table 4.7	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity	199
Table 4.8	Two-way Mixed ANOVA Analysis Source.....	199
Table 4.9	Comparison of treatment groups and control group at post-test and delayed post-test.....	200
Table 4.10	Descriptive statistics for past simple passive voice in the narrative writing tests	200
Table 4.11	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity	204
Table 4.12	Two-way Mixed ANOVA Analysis Source.....	204
Table 4.13	Comparison of treatment groups and control group at post-test and delayed post-test.....	205
Table 4.14	Descriptive statistics of GJT for past simple passive voice.....	205
Table 4.15	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity	209
Table 4.16	Two-way Mixed ANOVA Analysis Source.....	209
Table 4.17	Comparison of treatment groups and control group at post-test and delayed post-test.....	210
Table 4.18	Descriptive statistics of present perfect passive voice for picture description writing tests.....	210
Table 4.19	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity	215

Table 4.20	Two-way Mixed ANOVA Analysis Source	215
Table 4.21	Comparison of treatment groups and control group at post-test and delayed post-test	216
Table 4.22	Descriptive statistics of GJT for present perfect passive voice	216
Table 4.23	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity	220
Table 4.24	Two-way Mixed ANOVA Analysis Source	220
Table 4.25	Comparison of treatment groups and control group at post-test and delayed post-test	221
Table 4.26	Descriptive statistics of trained unaccusative verbs	222
Table 4.27	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity	227
Table 4.28	Two-way Mixed ANOVA Analysis Source	227
Table 4.29	Comparison of treatment groups and control group at post-test and delayed post-test	227
Table 4.30	Descriptive statistics of untrained unaccusative verbs	228
Table 4.31	Mauchly's Test of Sphericity	232
Table 4.32	Two-way Mixed ANOVA Analysis Source	232
Table 4.33	Comparison of treatment groups and control group at post-test and delayed post-test	233
Table 4.34	Mean differences for the accuracy scores of present simple passive voice on process writing tests	235
Table 4.35	Mean differences for the accuracy scores of present simple passive voice on grammaticality judgement task	236
Table 4.36	Mean differences for the accuracy scores of past simple passive voice on narrative writing tests	237
Table 4.37	Mean differences for the accuracy scores of past simple passive voice on grammaticality judgement task	238
Table 4.38	Mean differences for the accuracy scores of present perfect passive voice on picture description writing tests	239
Table 4.39	Mean differences for the accuracy scores of present perfect passive voice on grammaticality judgement task	240
Table 4.40	Mean differences for the accuracy scores of trained unaccusative verbs on elicited written productions	241

Table 4.41	Mean differences for the accuracy scores of Untrained unaccusative verbs on elicited written productions	242
------------	--	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1	L2 Development in Three Stages (Housen & Pierrard, 2005) 52
Figure 2.2	Process of WCF (Bitchener, 2016)..... 55
Figure 2.3	The Conceptual Framework of the Study 118
Figure 3.1	Sample of a Picture Description Writing (Ur, 1998)..... 150
Figure 4.1	Descriptive statistics of present simple passive voice in process writing tests across the three testing times 191
Figure 4.2	GJT of Present Simple Passive Voice across the three testing times..... 196
Figure 4.3	Descriptive statistics of past simple passive in narrative writings voice across the three testing times. 201
Figure 4.4	GJT of Past Simple Passive Voice across the three testing times..... 206
Figure 4.5	Descriptive statistics of present perfect passive voice in picture description writings across the three testing times. 211
Figure 4.6	GJT of present perfect passive voice across the three testing times..... 217
Figure 4.7	Grammatical accuracy of trained unaccusative verbs across the three testing times. 223
Figure 4.8	Grammatical accuracy of untrained unaccusative verbs across the three testing times. 228

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ESL	English as a Second Language
EFL	English as a Foreign Language
WCF	Written Corrective Feedback
DCF	Direct Corrective Feedback
ICF	Indirect Corrective Feedback
ME	Metalinguistic Explanation
MOE	Ministry of Education and Training
HEC	Higher Education Commission
SLA	Second Language Acquisition
ICC	Interclass Correlation Coefficient
GJT	Grammaticality Judgement Task
EWP	Elicited Written Production
OPT	Oxford Placement Test

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Metalinguistic Explanation Handout- Present Simple Passive
Appendix A1	Metalinguistic Explanation Handout- Past Simple Passive
Appendix A2	Metalinguistic Explanation Handout- Present Perfect Passive
Appendix A3	Metalinguistic Explanation Handout Unaccusative Verbs
Appendix B	Process Writing 1 Audio Transcript
Appendix B1	Process Writing 2 Audio Transcript
Appendix B2	Process Writing 3 Audio Transcript
Appendix C	Narrative News Reporting 1 Audio Transcript
Appendix C1	Narrative News Reporting 2 Audio Transcript
Appendix C2	Narrative News Reporting 3 Audio Transcript
Appendix D	Picture Description Writing 1 Audio Transcript
Appendix D1	Picture Description Writing 2 Audio Transcript
Appendix D2	Picture Description Writing 3 Audio Transcript
Appendix E	Elicited Written Production 1
Appendix E1	Elicited Written Production 2
Appendix E2	Elicited Written Production 3
Appendix F	Process Writing (Pre-test) Audio Transcript
Appendix F1	Process Writing (Post-test) Audio Transcript
Appendix F2	Process Writing Delayed (Post-test) Audio Transcript
Appendix G	Narrative Writing (Pre-test) Audio Transcript
Appendix G1	Narrative Writing (Post-test) Audio Transcript
Appendix G2	Narrative Writing (Delayed Post-test) Audio Transcript
Appendix H	Picture Description (Pre-test) Audio Transcript
Appendix H1	Picture Description (Post-test) Audio Transcript
Appendix H2	Picture Description (Delayed Post-test) Audio Transcript

Appendix I	Elicited Written Production (Pre-test)
Appendix I1	Elicited Written Production (Post-test)
Appendix I2	Elicited Written Production (Delayed Post-test)
Appendix J	Grammaticality Judgment Task (Pre-test)
Appendix J1	Grammaticality Judgment Task (Post-test)
Appendix J2	Grammaticality Judgment Task (Delayed Post-test)
Appendix K	Sample Answer Script– Process Writing 3
Appendix L	Sample Answer Script– Narrative News Reporting 1
Appendix M	Sample Answer Script– Picture Description Writing 2
Appendix N	Sample Answer Script– Elicited Written Production 2
Appendix O	Sample Answer Script– Process Writing (Post-test)
Appendix P	Sample Answer Script– Narrative Writing (Pre-test)
Appendix Q	Sample Answer Script– Picture Description (Pre-test)
Appendix R	Sample Answer Script– Elicited Written Production(Pre-test)
Appendix S	Teaching Lesson Plan Passive Voice and Unaccusative Verbs
Appendix T	Inter-Rater Reliability
Appendix U	Oxford Placement Test

**KESAN MAKLUM BALAS PEMBETULAN BERTULIS TERHADAP
KETEPATAN TATABAHASA KATA KERJA PASIF DAN KATA KERJA
BUKAN AKUSATIF SARJANA MUDA ESL PAKISTAN**

ABSTRAK

Kajian literatur yang dijalankan adalah mengenai maklum balas pembetulan bertulis (WCF) penuh dengan kajian yang telah mengkaji keberkesanan WCF pada kata kerja pasif dan kata kerja bukan akusatif (terlatih dan tidak terlatih), walaupun menghadapi cabaran bagi pelajar bahasa Inggeris dalam pembinaan ayat pasif. Oleh itu, kajian semasa dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesan WCF terhadap ketepatan tatabahasa bagi kata kerja pasif (sedang berlaku, telah berlaku dan akan berlaku) serta kata kerja tidak akusatif (terlatih dan tidak terlatih) bagi pelajar Sarjana Muda ESL Pakistan. Kajian ini adalah berlandaskan kepada tiga matlamat: 1) mengkaji sama ada penyediaan WCF meningkatkan ketepatan tatabahasa bagi kata kerja pasif; 2) meneliti sama ada penyediaan WCF meningkatkan ketepatan tatabahasa bagi kata kerja bukan akusatif (terlatih dan tidak terlatih); 3) memeriksa jenis WCF yang paling berkesan dalam membantu pelajar Sarjana Muda ESL Pakistan meningkatkan ketepatan tatabahasa bagi kata kerja pasif dan kata kerja tidak akusatif (terlatih dan tidak terlatih). Bagi memenuhi matlamat ini, penyelidik menggunakan reka bentuk penyelidikan kuasi-eksperimen iaitu lima kelas terbaik bagi Bahasa Inggeris Fungsian dengan jumlah 172 peserta telah diambil dan kemudiannya dibahagikan secara rawak kepada lima kumpulan, antaranya maklum balas pembetulan langsung (n=35), maklum balas pembetulan tidak langsung (n=38), maklum balas pembetulan langsung + penjelasan

metalinguistik (n=32), maklum balas pembedahan tidak langsung + penjelasan metalinguistik (n=34), dan kumpulan kawalan (n=33). Data dikumpul melalui ujian penulisan, ujian pengeluaran bertulis yang dikeluarkan, dan tugas pertimbangan tatabahasa. Semua peserta menyelesaikan keseluruhan ujian pada ujian pra, ujian pasca dan ujian pasca tertunda. Walau bagaimanapun, hanya kumpulan rawatan yang menerima WCF semasa tiga sesi rawatan, manakala kumpulan kawalan tidak menerima sebarang maklum balas tentang kesilapan mereka. Data dianalisis secara kuantitatif menggunakan ANOVA dan ANOVA dua hala. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa keberkesanan WCF dalam membantu pelajar Sarjana Muda ESL Pakistan meningkatkan ketepatan tatabahasa bagi kata kerja pasif dan kata kerja tidak akusatif (terlatih dan tidak terlatih). Kumpulan rawatan secara ketara mengatasi kumpulan kawalan dari segi kata kerja pasif dalam jangka masa pendek. Walau bagaimanapun, dalam jangka masa panjang, jenis maklum balas pembedahan tidak langsung WCF yang paling tidak jelas tidak dapat mengekalkan ketepatannya. Begitu juga dengan keputusan yang mendedahkan bahawa dalam membaiki kata kerja bukan akusatif (terlatih dan tidak terlatih), kumpulan rawatan mengatasi kumpulan kawalan pada kedua-dua ujian pasca dan ujian pasca tertunda, tidak termasuk kumpulan maklum balas pembedahan tidak langsung. Dapatan kajian ini akan terus memajukan bidang WCF dan membantu sarjana L2 mengembangkan repertoir kesusasteraan WCF. Begitu juga dengan penemuan ini yang menawarkan implikasi pedagogi untuk guru, pelajar dan penggubal dasar L2.

**THE EFFECT OF WRITTEN CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK ON
GRAMMATICAL ACCURACY OF PASSIVE VOICE TENSES AND
UNACCUSATIVE VERBS OF PAKISTANI ESL UNDERGRADUATES**

ABSTRACT

The impetus for undertaking the current study was that the literature on written corrective feedback (WCF) is replete with studies that have examined the efficacy of WCF on passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained), despite the challenges English language learners face in the construction of these grammatical structures. Therefore, the current study was conducted to investigate the effects of WCF on the grammatical accuracy of passive voice tenses (present, past, and perfect) and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained) of Pakistani ESL undergraduate learners. The study has three aims: 1) examining whether the provision of WCF improves the grammatical accuracy of passive voice tenses; 2) examining whether the provision of WCF improves the grammatical accuracy of unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained); 3) examining which type of WCF is most effective in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained). To fulfil these aims, the researcher employed a quasi-experimental research design in which five intact classes of Functional English totaling 172 participants were recruited and later randomly assigned into five groups: direct corrective feedback (n=35), indirect corrective feedback (n=38), direct corrective feedback + metalinguistic explanation (n=32), indirect corrective feedback + metalinguistic explanation (n=34), and control group (n=33). The data was collected through writing tests, elicited written production tests, and grammaticality judgment tasks. All the participants completed all the tests at pre-

test, post-test, and delayed post-test. However, only the treatment groups received WCF during the three treatment sessions, while the control group did not receive any feedback on their errors. The data was analyzed quantitatively using ANOVA and two-way ANOVA. The results demonstrated the efficacy of direct corrective feedback, Indirect corrective feedback, direct+metalinguistic corrective feedback and indirect+metalinguistic corrective feedback WCF in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of passive voice tenses in the short run, while the effect of the ICF group faded in the long-run in terms of English passive voice tense. The results further demonstrate that excluding the indirect corrective feedback type, all other types of WCF were effective in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve their grammatical accuracy of unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained) both in the short and long run. The findings of the study will further push forward the field of WCF and help L2 scholars expand the repertoire of WCF literature. Similarly, the findings offer pedagogical implications for L2 teachers, learners, and policymakers.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

English is the world's most commonly taught and spoken language (Cao & Wei, 2019). It is widely used as an international language for business, education, travel, and science and technology (Cao & Wei, 2019; Rezaei et al., 2019). With the importance that English enjoys around the globe, millions of people are learning it to improve their economic and social status (Rezaei et al., 2019). Over one-third of the world's population speaks English as their first or second/foreign language (Rezaei et al., 2019). Substantiating the importance of English, Tajeddin et al. (2020) stated:

English, nowadays, is not only spoken by its native speakers in English-speaking countries but also spoken by non-native speakers in countries like India, the Philippines, and Singapore and internationally by non-native speakers from a wide range of countries. (p.128)

English also enjoys a significant position in Pakistan as it is used in business, marketing, law, communication, media, aviation, and education (Malik, 1996; Rahman, 1996; Mahboob, 2002; Asif, 2010; Anbreen, 2015). English is regarded as a second language (ESL) in Pakistan (Anbreen, 2015; Abbasi et al., 2019; Rasool & Winke, 2019; Siddiqui & Keerio, 2019; Shamim & Rashid, 2019) that is also taught as a compulsory subject at the undergraduate and graduate levels (Ashraf, 2006; Anbreen, 2015; Rasool & Winke, 2019; Abbasi et al., 2019). Among the four English skills, writing skills are considered the most pivotal for learners' success (Haider, 2012; Dar & Khan, 2015; Fareed et al., 2016) because learners regularly interact with each other through writing, and this makes it of utmost importance. Besides, writing enables

learners to learn vocabulary, idioms, and different grammatical structures (Raimes, 1983), enabling them to express their opinions and ideas effectively.

Writing is a natural process that requires learners to be proficient in vocabulary, syntax, and grammar to form coherent and cohesive text (Erkan & Saban, 2011; Ismail, 2011; Selvaraj & Aziz, 2019). For instance, Mathieson (2017) states that passive structure is important in writing because it makes a text accurate and fluent both at secondary and higher education levels. Besides, studies have reported that the use of passive voice by writers makes a text easy to understand (Kies, 1985; Biber et al., 1999; Seoane, 2009; Amadi, 2018). However, many ESL instructors believe that passive voice is a challenging grammar structure, and learners face difficulty in its construction (Talmy, 1998; Amadi, 2018; Li & Roshan, 2019). For instance, ESL learners make a number of different errors while making a sentence in passive, including using the wrong form of past participles and omission of the verb to BE (was/were/is/are/am/have been/ has been) (Sultana, 2015; Irawan, 2019; Li & Roshan, 2019). Besides, ESL learners wrongly make the passive voice of unaccusative verbs—these are intransitive verbs whose subject is not a semantic agent (e.g., *the accident was happened*) (Mu, 2012). This phenomenon is called overpassivization, making passive of unaccusative verbs (Ju, 2000; Mu, 2012) while the active form is required.

ESL undergraduate learners in Pakistan also find it challenging to use passive structure in their writing (Khan & Sarfaraz, 2009; Farooq et al., 2012; Nawaz et al., 2015; Sultan, 2015; Sultana, 2018; Sheikh, 2020), mainly because of limited grammatical knowledge. For instance, Sultana (2015) reported that Pakistani undergraduates wrote wrong passive sentences as they failed to include the verb to BE, such as '*no action taking as yet.*' Khan and Sarfaraz (2009) reported that Pakistani ESL learners wrongly passivize unaccusative verbs (e.g., *the mother was died*).

Similarly, Akbar et al. (2018) reported that 55% of the Pakistani ESL learners, from the total of 160 in their study, found passive a problematic structure to use in their writing. Fareed et al. (2016) reported ESL university undergraduates make the most errors in grammar, including wrong use of the past participle and verb to BE. This limitation of ESL learners makes written corrective feedback (WCF) an essential pedagogical practice for teachers to help learners improve grammatical accuracy (Hyland & Hyland, 2006; Bitchener, 2012). According to Bitchener and Storch (2016, p.1), WCF is a written response of teachers to the errors made by learners. Hyland (2003) stated that WCF is a regular pedagogical practice of L2 teachers in writing classes and is central to learning to write in a second language.

Since WCF has the potential to help learners improve grammatical accuracy, it has gained popularity in second language writing globally (Ferris, 1999; Bitchener, 2008; Van Beuningen et al., 2008, 2012; Rummel & Bitchener, 2015; Karim & Nassaji, 2018; Han & Hyland, 2019; Lee, 2019; Mao & Crosthwaite, 2019). A similar situation is reported in the Pakistani ESL context, where past studies (Nasir et al., 2015; Nusrat et al., 2019b) have proven that WCF helps learners improve grammatical accuracy of select grammar structures, such as prepositions, past tense, and articles. Besides, as reported by Qasim (2016), Gul et al. (2016), and Shahzadi (2017), Pakistani ESL learners regard WCF as an important part of classes as it helps them improve grammatical accuracy. While these studies have proven the efficacy of WCF, the ability of WCF in helping learners improve the grammatical accuracy of English passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs have not been explored (Nemati et al., 2019).

ESL learners in writing classes are bound to make errors (Ismail, 2011; Fareed et al., 2016), and the responsibility for rectifying them lies with the course teachers

(Myles, 2002; Zuo, 2017). Therefore, correcting and commenting on the written work of L2 learners is a central task of language teachers (Chen et al., 2016). The point to ponder is whether ESL teachers should provide WCF to learners' second language errors has gained considerable empirical and theoretical attention among second language researchers (Benson & DeKeyser, 2018; Suzuki et al., 2019). From a theoretical perspective, Truscott's famous rebuttal (1996; 1999) heated the debate about whether WCF should be provided. Ferris (1999, 2004, 2006) raised her concern that a substantial amount of research is needed to conclude that WCF is harmful and should be abolished.

Post the famous Truscott's debate, L2 research scholars (Chandler, 2003; Sheen, 2007; Bitchener, 2008; Ellis et al., 2008; Nasir et al., 2015; Nusrat et al., 2019a) have conducted studies proving that WCF is, indeed, effective in helping learners improve grammatical accuracy. While these studies have proven the efficacy of WCF, L2 research scholars have also demonstrated that a number of different variables can moderate the effectiveness of WCF, such as type of grammar structure (simple vs complex), feedback explicitness, and the timing of test (delayed vs immediate (Shintani et al., 2014; Suzuki et al., 2019). Suzuki et al. (2019) have argued that future WCF studies should fill this vacuum in research and examine how these factors moderate the efficacy of WCF.

With no defined WCF policy in the Pakistani education system, such as using focused or unfocused WCF (Gul et al., 2014), teachers at schools, colleges, and universities resort to different feedback practices (Qasim, 2016). Some teachers play the role of "error hunter," where their only aim is to highlight their students' answer scripts as much as possible, using unfocused WCF. Bitchener and Ferris (2012) argued that "too much feedback/unfocused at any time might be de-motivating or too

burdensome for cognitive processing” (p. 128). In the Pakistani ESL context, most of the studies have focused on exploring the perception of teachers and students about WCF (Ghazal et al., 2014; Gul et al., 2016; Panhwar et al., 2016; Qasim, 2016; Shahzadi, 2017). While these studies have concluded that WCF is desirable among ESL learners in writing classes, they have provided an incomplete picture – the ability of WCF in helping learners improve the grammatical accuracy of different grammar structures such as English passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained). To date, there are a limited number of studies in a Pakistani ESL context (Nasir et al., 2015; Nusrat et al., 2019a) that have examined the efficacy of WCF on grammar structures, and these studies are narrow in scope as they have targeted the same grammar structures (prepositions and articles), echoing what Benson and DeKeyser (2018) argued that since 1990s WCF studies have targeted the same grammar structures and also reaffirming what Bitchener and Ferris (2012) stated that WCF studies have mostly targeted simple grammatical structures, leaving the area open – whether WCF can improve the grammatical accuracy of a complex grammar structure, such as English passive voice and unaccusative verbs? Besides, these studies have also not considered how different moderating variables, such as feedback explicitness, writing outcome (new writing), and complex grammar structure, influence WCF, which Suzuki et al. (2019) stated tend to influence the working of WCF.

To sum up, the limited grammatical accuracy of English passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs of Pakistani ESL learners as reported by (Farooq et al., 2012; Sultana, 2015; Nawaz et al., 2015; Sultana, 2018; Khoso et al., 2018; Mahnoor et al., 2020), and the challenges these learners face in these structures, such as the omission of the verb to Be while making passive sentences (e.g., The horse has ridden) and

overpassivization of unaccusative verbs (e.g., the accident was happened) have prompted the researcher to conduct a study, exploring how effective WCF is in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of English passive voice tenses (present simple, past simple, and present perfect) and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained)– as these structures have not been explored in the previous WCF studies (Nemati et al., 2019).

1.2 Background of the Study

Any study can be best understood if it has considered the local context in which it takes place. The researcher in this background to the study discusses the position and status of the English language in Pakistan and the English language policy in Pakistan's education system. This section also covers the importance of writing skills and grammatical accuracy of passive voice and unaccusative verbs of Pakistani ESL undergraduate students, WCF's use in second language writing, and the WCF in the Pakistani higher education system.

1.2.1 Status and Position of English Language in Pakistan

Kachru (1985), based on the three concentric circles model, explained the spread of English. The circle has three layers: the inner circle, the outer circle, and the expanding circle. He explains that the inner circle refers to countries where English is spoken, such as New Zealand, Canada, the USA, and the UK. “The outer circle is the representation of the non-native varieties (ESL) that have surpassed the extended period of colonization” (p.366). The countries part of the outer circle are India, Pakistan, Malaysia, and others (Anbreen, 2015). In countries such as China, English is used as a foreign language (Rahman, 1996; Anbreen, 2015). According to the

definition of different circles, Pakistan lies in the outer circle (Xiaoqiong & Xianxing, 2011; Anbreen, 2015). In Pakistan, English is an official language and is considered a second language (Anbreen, 2015; Abbasi et al., 2019; Rasool & Winke, 2019; Siddiqui & Keerio, 2019; Shamim & Rashid, 2019). Besides, English is compulsory at undergraduate and educational institutions, including schools and colleges (Ashraf, 2006; Anbreen, 2015; Abbasi et al., 2019; Rasool & Winke, 2019).

Rahman (1997) and Younas et al. (2020) explain that the cultural, economic, and political needs and social significance given to English language by the ruling class have made this language more important than Urdu. English is a prerequisite for executive positions and provides an extra edge to the elite youth in the international job market (Anbreen, 2015). The dominance of English in Pakistan can be seen in almost all areas of life: higher education, technology, media, aviation, defence, and industries (Malik, 1996; Mahboob, 2002; Rahman, 2004; Mansoor, 2005; Coleman, 2010; Khan, 2011; Anbreen, 2015). Regarding the importance given to English, Ashraf (2006) conducted a study in Pakistan that revealed that English is subject to power and status, where people invest in English education to move up in Pakistani society's social structures. Similarly, Mansoor (2003) also concluded that the English language in Pakistan is considered a sign of upward mobility.

1.2.2 English Language Policy in Pakistani Education

Pakistan's language policy has never been streamlined because it has undergone many changes since its independence in 1947 (Memon, 2015). The language policy of Pakistan has changed continuously with every new government. After Pakistan's independence, the national language and state language was Urdu, declared by the founder of Pakistan (Mahboob, 2003; Coleman, 2010; Durrani, 2012).

In 1948, the medium of instruction at primary schools was Urdu. The state-run English medium schools were established simultaneously with Urdu-medium schools. However, the elite children were sent to English-medium schools, while the rest were sent to government schools (Mahboob, 2003). In 1959, the ‘Sharif Commission,’ i.e., the commission on national education, was formed. The commission made Urdu the medium of instruction in primary and secondary education and English for the tertiary level. A significant shift in the Pakistan education policy floated in 1977, which reversed the role of English in education and promoted Islamisation and Urduisation (Mehboob, 2003; Coleman,2010). The 1977 commission made English taught from grade 4 (from the age of 8), and by 1989 Urdu should be used as a means of communication in exams (Coleman, 2010). It was also decided that Urdu should be used in higher education, making Urdu more influential. However, with the expansion of private elite and non-elite schools, parents were inclined to make their children learn English through these English medium schools. The democratic government in 1989 decided to revise the education policy to an extent where English was made a compulsory subject for students entering grade 1. However, the policy did not bring much of a change, nor did the prospective governments do much to change the language matter in the education sector. In 2007, a white paper on education policy was introduced, which recommended that the English medium be used for Science and Mathematics for students from grade 6 onwards. It would become compulsory for the students to study English from grade 1 onwards. The ministry of education 2009 formulated a new education policy, making English a compulsory subject from grade 1 and a medium of instruction in all government schools for Science and mathematics. Finally, in 2017, a new education policy was formulated, making one change that

national or regional languages should be used as a medium of instruction at the primary level. Table 1.1 gives an idea of the language policy of Pakistan.

Table 1.1 English language policy of Pakistan

Year	Event	Policy	Implementation
Before- 1947	Colonial rule	For masses, Urdu medium; for elite, English medium	According to the policy
1947	Independence	National language was Urdu	For masses, Urdu medium; for elite English medium
1959	Sharif Commission	Urdu should be used in primary and secondary education, while English should be used in higher education.	Unchanged
1973	New Constitution	Urdu will take over English in 15 years; Respective provinces will develop their own language policy.	Unchanged
1977	Military coup	Islamisation & Urduisation	From year 4, the teaching of English starts; schools should get ready to take exams in Urdu by 1989; the boom in the growth of non-state owned schools was observed
1989	Benazir Bhutto elected	From year 1, English will be taught.	No substantial change
1998	New education policy	No statement relating to language policy	English medium private schools started growing
1999	Military coup	Teaching of English from year 1 subject to the availability of the teachers	No considerable change

Table 1.1 (Continued)

Year	Event	Policy	Implementation
2007	White Paper	Teaching of English starting year 1; teaching of Science and math be done in English starting year 6	No considerable change in Punjab; teaching of Science should be in English starting year 10
2009	National Education Policy	English should be used to teach Science and Math in year 4 and 5. Science and math be taught in English from 2014.	Science to be taught in English in Punjab from year 4 from April 2009
2017-2025	National Education Policy	From grade one, English will be taught as a compulsory subject. All subjects at primary level shall be taught either in local or Urdu language	In progress

Adapted from Coleman (2010).

1.2.3 The Writing skills of Pakistani ESL Undergraduates

Writing is an important skill for ESL learners (Selvaraj & Aziz, 2019; De Silva, 2015). It is important in Pakistan as English is a second and official language widely used in education, law, aviation, media, and the judiciary (Rahman, 1996; Anbreen, 2015). Therefore, having excellent writing skills has always been emphasized. English is also taught as a compulsory subject from grade 1 until graduation in the Pakistani education system (Asif, 2010). According to the Higher Education Commission (2012), a Pakistani ESL undergraduate student has to study different courses of English: English (1), English (2), Business Communication, and Oral Communication. Among the four English skills, significance of writing skills is undeniably essential because of the wide use of English around the globe. Good command of writing is a prerequisite for success in the 21st century; similarly, Pakistani ESL enrolled in different disciplines at the undergraduate level need to have an excellent command of writing (Mansoor, 2005; Asif, 2010; García, 2018) due to a number of different

reasons. Firstly, the medium of instruction for all the undergraduate programs at private and public sector universities in Pakistan is English (Kanglong & Afzaal, 2020). Therefore, learners must have command over writing to pass written exams or secure good grades (Malik, 1996; Mahboob, 2002; Shamim, 2008; Dar & Khan, 2015; Anwar & Ahmed, 2016; Siddique & Singh, 2016). Besides, these learners must write regularly to make their assignments, presentations, and reports in their classes, making writing skills pivotal.

Secondly, the ability to express one's ideas in L2 has increased immensely due to globalization and has also been regarded as a key to educational success (Tillema, 2012). Many people in Pakistan desire a foreign qualification to access a much better job market (Zafar, 2008). Vakil (2013) discerns that thousands of Pakistani students go to foreign countries to get higher education. He reported that the United Kingdom, the United States, Australia, and Canada are the most common destinations for most Pakistanis. Thirdly, in addition to getting admission to foreign universities, excellent writing skills are now a must for a Pakistani graduate to acquire and flourish in a job both locally and internationally because Science and technology have brought everyone closer; businesses have expanded far beyond the borders, leading in the demand to learn English exponentially. According to the Annual Analysis Manpower Export Report 2019 by the Bureau of Emigration & Overseas Employment, 11114848 Pakistani are working abroad; this substantiates the need to learn English (Shamim, 2008). Rahman (2002) and Mansoor (2005) explain that the ruling class initially used English as a gateway to get prestigious jobs in public sectors, such as the army, civil services, and lucrative jobs in multinational companies (Shamim,2008). Similarly, Raja (2019) argues that writing skills are essential for Pakistani graduates. He

explained that most of the office tasks are conducted in English, so the employees need to have excellent communication skills to write emails, memos, and other office tasks.

However, despite studying English as a compulsory subject at the university level and the continuous increase in the users of the English language from 11.7 % to 25% from 2003 to 2020 (Bolton & Bacon-Shone, 2020), the writing skills of Pakistani ESL undergraduates are of inferior and low quality to the extent that the Pakistani ESL undergraduates use wrong grammar, including wrong use of the subject-verb agreement, omission of the verb to Be and incorrect use of past participle in English passive voice tenses (Asif, 2010; Haider, 2012; Fareed et al., 2016; Zafar, 2016; Khoso et al., 2018). Research scholars (De Silva, 2015; Fareed et al., 2016) further assert that improving English writing is challenging and becomes further complex in a context where English is considered a second language. The Pakistani ESL undergraduates have inferior writing skills, mainly because of limited grammatical knowledge (Sultana, 2015; Fareed et al., 2016; Garcia, 2018; Khoso et al., 2018), which inhibits their ability to write error-free sentences. Research scholars such as (Jacobs & L, 1981; Hall, 1988; Crossley, 2020) believe that an effective text must be coherent, orderly, organized, accurate, include more sophisticated lexical items and have more syntactic complexity. Nik et al. (2010) argue that if a text does not have these, then the text becomes incomprehensible for the readers to understand. With inferior writing quality, Pakistani ESL undergraduates face considerable challenges in other subjects because the English language is the primary means of communication in exams across the universities, making it difficult for the learners to express themselves explicitly in writing (Haider, 2012; Sajid & Siddiqui, 2015; Fareed et al., 2016; Khoso et al., 2018).

To conclude, Pakistani ESL undergraduates' writing skills are of inferior quality and need immediate attention to progress in their educational and professional

careers because of the extensive use of English across different education disciplines in Pakistan (Asif, 2010; Haider, 2010; Sajid & Siddiqui, 2015). While writing in English, Pakistani ESL undergraduates face several challenges ranging from using correct grammatical structures to organizing their draft content to a limited vocabulary repertoire. The studies probing the difficulties Pakistani ESL undergraduates face in writing have concluded that these learners possess limited knowledge of grammar (Fareed et al., 2016; Sheikh, 2020).

1.3 Statement of the Problem

Enabling learners to write grammatically correct sentences has always been a goal of every language teacher and learner (Ellis, 2003) because of the wide use of writing in L2 classes and beyond (Selvaraj & Aziz, 2019). However, achieving this goal is a troublesome task for ESL undergraduates (Myles, 2002; Sultana, 2015; Fareed et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2017; Golparvar & Khafi, 2020)– and it becomes further challenging where English is used as a foreign or second language (De Silva, 2015). English writing is a complex skill, which requires mastery of grammar (Erkan & Saban, 2011; Ismail, 2011; Selvaraj & Aziz, 2019), and L2 learners, including Pakistani ESL undergraduates, find grammar difficult because of its complex rules (Fareed et al., 2016; Mahnoor et al., 2020). For instance, many advanced and low proficiency ESL undergraduate learners, including Pakistani, find writing a passive sentence difficult (Celce- Murcia & Larsen- Freeman, 1999; Hinkel, 2004; McDonough et al., 2015; Nawaz et al., 2015; Sultana, 2015; Larsen-Freeman et al., 2016; Moreb, 2016; Aws Nabeel, 2017; Roshan, 2017; Akbar et al., 2018; Bochari et al., 2020; Mahnoor et al., 2020). A number of different studies across different ESL contexts (Sultana, 2015; Aws Nabeel, 2017; Bochari et al., 2020; Ji Hyon, 2020;

Mahnoor et al., 2020) concluded that ESL undergraduates make errors while writing a sentence in passive voice, including omission of the verb to Be, wrong form of the past participle and wrongly forming passive of unaccusative verbs, thereby resulting in overpassivization errors.

Bochari et al. (2020) reported that ESL undergraduate learners make a number of different errors while constructing a sentence in the passive voice; they use the wrong form of the past participle. For instance, I *will be look after by my sister*. They also use the wrong verb to Be, such as *Indonesian doesn't spoke by the students in the class*. Aws Nabeel (2016) also reported that ESL undergraduate learners find passive difficult and make a number of different errors, including incorrect use of past participles and omission of the verb to Be. For instance; 1) *the horse has been ride*; 2) *the horse has ridden*; 3) *the water were poured*. Similarly, Sultana (2015) reported that Pakistani ESL undergraduates find it challenging to write correct passive sentences as they failed to omit the verb to BE, for instance, (1) *no action taking as yet*; 2) *as internet launched*.' Khoso et al. (2018) also reported that ESL undergraduate learners in Pakistan find a passive a challenging structure; these learners often omit the verb to Be while forming a passive sentence. More recently, Mahnoor et al. (2020) conducted a study to investigate ESL undergraduates' errors in their writing. The study concluded that learners find passive a difficult structure, and they make a number of errors, including the wrong form of the past participle and omission of the verb Be, for instance, (1) *a picture is drawing by me*; (2) *the letter not written by you*.

Similarly, ESL undergraduate learners wrongly make passive of unaccusative verbs, thereby resulting in overpassivization errors (Oshita, 1997; Montrul, 1999; Ju, 2000; Mu, 2012; Sultana, 2015; Choi, 2019; Ji Hyon, 2020). Kim (2009) reported that learners failed to correct the overpassivized errors in sentences and repeated the same

mistakes. For instance, 1) *water is consisted of hydrogen and oxygen*; 2) *the confidential information was fallen into the wrong hands*. Similarly, Sultana (2015) reported that Pakistani ESL undergraduates wrongly passivize unaccusative verbs such as water pollution. Khan and Sarfarz (2009), analyzing the writing of Pakistani ESL undergraduates, unveiled that learners made errors, like, *my mother was died*. The study further concluded that learners used 71 unaccusative verbs, out of which 57 were ungrammatical. Mu (2012) stated that English unaccusative verbs are reported to induce overpassivization errors among L2 learners; as a result, these learners tend to accept and produce ungrammatical passive sentences (**The accident was happened*) while rejecting and avoiding grammatical active sentences (*The temperature increased*) with unaccusative verbs” (p.1).

To rectify the errors made by learners, ESL teachers across the globe, including in Pakistan, provide WCF on learners’ errors to help them improve their grammatical accuracy (Mao & Crosthwaite, 2019). Although a great strand of research has proven that WCF is effective in helping learners improve grammatical accuracy, most of these studies since the 1990s, including the Pakistani, have targeted the same linguistic structures– English articles and prepositions (Bitchener et al., 2005; Sheen, 2007; Bitchener, 2008; Bitchener & Knoch, 2008, 2010a, 2010b, 2012; Nasir et al., 2015; Tanveer et al., 2018; Ekiert & Gennaro, 2019; Guo & Barrot, 2019; Nusrat et al., 2019). Benson and DeKeyser (2018) state that not much is known about the effectiveness of WCF on other linguistic structures. In this regard, Nemati et al. (2019) argued:

The majority of the L2 studies to date investigating the effect of feedback on enhancing L2 writing have exclusively focused on definite and indefinite articles. However, there are other structures and forms

which are as important as articles in enhancing the quality of L2 writing, namely tenses, modal auxiliary verbs, active and passive forms, connectives, and conditional sentences. (p.6)

To sum up, English passive voice and unaccusative verbs are important in L2 writing as they make a text accurate and fluent (Mathieson, 2017) and easy to comprehend by the readers (Kies, 1985; Biber et al., 1999; Seoane, 2009). However, not much is known about how the provision of WCF can help Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of English passive voice and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained).

1.4 Research Objectives

1. To investigate how effective WCF is in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of:
 - (i) Present Simple Passive Voice
 - (ii) Past Simple Passive Voice
 - (iii) Present Perfect Passive Voice
2. To investigate how effective WCF is in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of:
 - (i) untrained unaccusative verbs
 - (ii) trained unaccusative verbs
3. To investigate which type of WCF is most effective in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of passive voice tenses (present, past and perfect) and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained)

1.5 Research Questions

1. How effective is WCF in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of:
 - (i) present simple passive voice
 - (ii) past simple passive voice
 - (iii) present perfect passive voice
2. How effective is WCF in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of:
 - (i) trained unaccusative verbs
 - (ii) untrained unaccusative verbs
3. Which type of WCF is most effective in helping Pakistani ESL undergraduates improve the grammatical accuracy of passive voice tenses (present simple, past simple, present perfect) and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained)?

1.6 Significance of the Study

The current study examines the effectiveness of WCF on the grammatical accuracy of passive voice tenses (present, past and simple) and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained) of Pakistani ESL undergraduate learners. The current study is significant from a number of different perspectives for L2 teachers, scholars and learners. For L2 scholars, the current study is significant in three ways. First, passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs were a part of the current study, which have not received due attention in previous WCF studies (Li & Roshan, 2019; Nemati et al., 2019; Mujtaba et al., 2022). The current study demonstrated the efficacy of WCF on passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained). This is a welcome

contribution for L2 teachers and scholars because one of the arguments against WCF is that it does not help learners improve the grammatical accuracy of complex structures (Truscott, 2007; Truscott, 2020).

Second, the current study investigated the efficacy of WCF in both short run and long run, and this provides another rebuttal to Truscott's (1996, 2007) argument that states that the efficacy of WCF, if any, can only be retained in the short run, and in the long run the effects of WCF dilute. The short-run effects refer to the effects observed in the post-test, while the long-run effects refer to the effects retained or sustained in the delayed post-test (Phakiti, 2014, 2015; Samiei & Sim, 2016). This is another significant contribution of the current study as it is one of the few studies that examined the long-run effects of WCF on complex grammar structures— passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained). Third, most WCF studies have employed one treatment session (see Liu & Brown, 2015), meaning providing WCF only once. Mao and Lee (2020) argue that this is not a reflection of a real classroom practice where teachers provide WCF more than once, and they also provide written comments (Mujtaba et al., 2019). The current study provided WCF three times to the participants, making the findings ecologically valid for language classes. The practice of providing WCF three times is in line with previous WCF studies (Karim & Nassaji, 2018; Ekiert & di Gennaro, 2019; Kim & Emeljanova, 2019; Mujtaba et al., 2022). Kim and Lee et al. (2021) contend that more WCF studies should be conducted that reflect a real classroom setting. In this regard, the current study filled an important gap in the literature.

In addition to L2 scholars, the findings of the current study may prove valuable for ESL learners and teachers. For instance, Pakistani ESL undergraduate learners find passive and unaccusative difficult structures to master; these learners make a number

of different errors, such as the omission of the verb to BE, the wrong form of the past participle, and overpassivization of unaccusative verbs (Sultana, 2015; Khoso et al., 2018). However, with the provision of WCF, ESL teachers can help their learners improve the grammatical accuracy of passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs (trained and untrained). Another significance of the current study is that it has examined the efficacy of different types of WCF. The current study found that both DCF +ME and ICF+ME were effective in both short run and long run. However, the improvement exhibited by DCF+ME was greater than ICF+ME during the short run and long run. This is important because WCF studies in the Pakistani ESL university context have mainly focused on two types of WCF: DCF and ICF, and have neglected other forms, such as metalinguistic explanation (Nasir et al., 2019). Ferris (1999) states that other types of WCF, such as direct +metalinguistic explanation and indirect +metalinguistic explanation, are valuable in treating learners' errors. Therefore, based on the results of the current study, ESL teachers can now more effectively use WCF in their classes. The Pakistani ESL teachers may now be more cognizant of which type of WCF to use in classes to yield maximum benefit.

Lastly, the current study is significant for Pakistani ESL teachers because it enables them to understand both short run and long run effects of WCF. The current study demonstrated the efficacy of WCF in both short and long runs and found that not all types of WCF were effective in the long run. This would provide deeper insights to language teachers to better plan their WCF strategies. For instance, if the goal is to help learners improve their grammatical accuracy in the short run, the teachers can use indirect WCF as it saves time and is less demanding for the teachers.

Finally, the results of the current study may prove to be beneficial for policymakers at the government level, particularly the ministry of education (MoE).

The results of the current study will apprise the MOE of the importance of WCF and encourage them to plan and organize different training sessions, explicitly focusing on the efficacy of the different types of WCF. The Pakistani ESL university teachers mostly focus on DCF, and other types of WCF are rarely used. However, it is argued that what is effective for one learner may not be effective for others (Bitchener & Ferris, 2012). Through these training sessions, ESL university teachers can understand how different types of WCF can be used in classes to help learners improve the grammatical accuracy of different structures.

To sum up, the current study is significant to different stakeholders, such as L2 scholars, ESL teachers, learners, and policymakers. The findings of the current study will push forward the line of research in WCF by providing new empirical evidence regarding its effectiveness both in short and long terms. The findings of the current study may be significant to the global readership as there is a paucity of studies in the literature that has examined the effectiveness of WCF on passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs (Nemati et al., 2019; Li & Roshan, 2019; Mujtaba et al., 2022). Many advanced and low proficiency learners face difficulty in passive voice and unaccusative verbs (Celce- Murcia & Larsen- Freeman, 1999; Nawaz et al., 2015; Sultana, 2015; Akbar et al., 2018; Bochari et al., 2020; Mahnoor et al., 2020). The findings will also help ESL undergraduate learners and teachers understand WCF's significance in improving the grammatical accuracy of passive voice and unaccusative verbs. It is equally beneficial for policymakers to initiate training programs focusing on the effectiveness of the different types of WCF.

1.7 Limitations of the Study

Like other WCF studies, the current study is coupled with some limitations that must be addressed. First, the current study recruited five intact classes of Functional English courses totaling 172 participants from one private sector university in Karachi. Although the data was confined to only one institution and the sample size was relatively small to generalize the findings to other higher education institutions in Pakistan, the findings obtained from the current study may be applicable to the participants of the other institutions because Functional English is taught as a compulsory subject in higher education institutions of Pakistan. Second, the treatment group teacher may not have been familiar with the types of WCF used in the current study. However, this limitation was guarded by providing the treatment group teacher with extensive training by an external field expert. In addition, the treatment group teacher provided WCF on the writing samples of the pilot study participants, which was later checked by the expert and clarification was provided to the teacher. Lastly, the current study employs convenience sampling of data collection, which may limit the generalizability of the result to the entire population. However, researcher scholar argues that it is difficult for second language researchers to access the entire population (Mackey & Gass, 2005).

1.8 Definition of Key Terms

The following are the definitions of the key terms used in the context of this study.

Written Corrective Feedback (WCF)

WCF refers to the input given to learners on their errors by their teachers (Bitchener & Storch, 2016).

Indirect Corrective Feedback

Indirect corrective feedback (ICF) refers to correction of learners' errors by underlining them (Van Beuningen et al., 2008).

Direct Corrective Feedback

Direct corrective feedback (DCF) refers to correction of learners' errors by writing the correction form after crossing out the wrong one (Ellis, 2008).

Metalinguistic Explanation

Metalinguistic Explanation (ME) refers to providing a written handout explaining the rules of the grammar structure (Shintani et al., 2014).

Grammatical Accuracy

Grammatical accuracy refers to the ability of the learners to use the language without producing errors (Wolfe-Quintero et al., 1998). The WCF studies (Sheen, 2007; Bitchener, 2008; Guo & Barrot, 2019; Li & Roshan, 2019) have examined grammatical accuracy based on how accurate the learners produce the target structure. The current study following the past WCF studies (Bitchener & Knoch, 2010a; Guo & Barrot, 2019) has operationalized the grammatical accuracy as how accurately the Pakistani ESL undergraduates use the passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs.

Feedback Explicitness

Feedback explicitness is operationalized based on the extent to which the feedback provides information to the learners to act upon and correct their mistakes (Nassaji, 2015).

Complex Grammar Structure

Linguistic complexity is the transformations needed to form the target structure (Spada & Tomita, 2010). Based on this definition, passive voice is a complex grammar structure since it requires two transformations (verb to be and past participle) (Roshan, 2017).

Passive Voice

Passive voice refers to a sentence, clause or verb form where the grammatical subject is typically the recipient or ‘goal’ of the action denoted by the verb, e.g. A doctor wrote the letter. (Crystal, 2011).

Overpassivization

Overpassivization is the use of passive when it is not required (Yip, 1990). Example of overpassivization error as given in Ju (2000): *during the early 1900s, a black community was thrived in Los Angeles.*

Grammar

Grammar is defined as the description of the structure of a language and how linguistic units, such as words and phrases, are combined to produce sentences in the language (Canale & Swain, 1980).

Unaccusative Verbs

Unaccusative verbs refer to intransitive verbs that appear with a single argument, which is syntactically placed in a subject position but takes the role of a Theme/Patient” (Kim et al., 2016).

Trained Unaccusative Verbs

Trained unaccusative verbs are operationalized based on Mu (2012) that is, these are the verbs that were included in the treatment sessions, meaning the treatment group learners received WCF on these verbs.

Untrained Unaccusative Verbs

Untrained unaccusative verbs are operationalized based on Mu (2012) that is, these are the verbs that were not made part of the WCF treatment sessions.

1.9 Summary

The current chapter presents the background of the study, including the status of English language in Pakistan, the importance of English language in the Pakistani education system, and the language policy in Pakistan. The current chapter also discusses the challenges that Pakistani ESL undergraduates face in English passive voice tenses and unaccusative verbs. The chapter also sheds light on the importance of writing skills and grammatical accuracy for Pakistani ESL undergraduates. The chapter also highlights the WCF studies locally and internationally. Finally, the chapter concludes with the significance of the study and its limitations.