HYBRID ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION FOR TWO SATISFIABILITY PROGRAMMING IN HOPFIELD NEURAL NETWORK

KHO LIEW CHING

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2019

HYBRID ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION FOR TWO SATISFIABILITY PROGRAMMING IN HOPFIELD NEURAL NETWORK

by

KHO LIEW CHING

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

December 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank all that have helped me in completing this thesis. The exploration of this thesis has been one of the most significant academic challenges for me. I would like to express my sincere and respectful gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Saratha Sathasivam, my co-supervisors, Dr. Shareduwan bin Kasihmuddin and Dr. Mohd. Asyraf bin Mansor for their insightful comment, supervision and assistance throughout this adventure. Without their guidance, I would not have come this far. Whenever I am faced with problems, they always lend their helping hand and guide me the way to solve the problems. In addition, I would like to thank my family members who supported me financially and mentally prior the completion of this thesis. My father, Kien Hock and mother, Pit Ting always gave me words of encouragement that helped me during the hard times. My brother, Alvin was a great listener and the person that I can talk to whenever I faced any obstacles. My sincere thanks to my best friend Cindy Lim for being a great motivator that helped me overcome the hardships during these one and a half years.

On top of that, I would like to express appreciation to USM and IPS for granting me opportunities to access journals, proceedings and research grant for conferences during the development of this thesis and my publications. Lastly, I want to place my sense of gratitude to all my friends who directly or indirectly, have lent their helping hand in this venture.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACK	NOWLE	DGEMENTii
TABI	LE OF C	ONTENTSiii
LIST OF TABLES vii		
LIST	OF FIG	URES viii
LIST	OF SYN	IBOLS x
LIST	OF ABB	REVIATIONS xii
ABST	FRAK	xiv
ABST	FRACT	xvi
CHA	PTER 1	INTRODUCTION1
1.1	Introduc	ction to Neural Network 1
	1.1.1	Introduction to Artificial Intelligence 1
	1.1.2	Introduction to Artificial Neural Network
1.2	History	of Neural Network
1.3	Signific	ance of Research & Problem Statement 4
1.4	Researc	h Objectives
1.5	Method	ology
	1.5.1	Research philosophy
	1.5.2	Research approach
	1.5.3	Research strategy
	1.5.4	Research evaluation
1.6	Limitati	ons and Scope of Research 10
1.7	Organiz	ation of Thesis 11
CHA	PTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW 13
2.1	Introduc	ction 13
2.2	Discrete	e Hopfield Neural Network 14

2.3	Logic Pr	ogramming	18
2.4	Boolean	Satisfiability	21
2.5	Genetic	Algorithm	23
2.6	Ant Colo	ony Optimization	27
2.7	Data Mi	ning in Neural Network	31
2.8	Summar	у	33
CHAI	PTER 3	LOGIC PROGRAMMING IN HNN	35
3.1	Introduc	tion	35
3.2	McCullo	och-Pitts Neuron (MCP)	36
3.3	Artificial Neural Network (ANN)		
3.4	Discrete	Hopfield Neural Network (HNN)	39
3.5	Proposit	ional Logic Programming	42
3.6	Logic Pr	ogramming in HNN	44
3.7	Output O	Optimization in HNN	46
	3.7.1	Activation function	46
	3.7.2	Network relaxation in HNN	48
3.8	Converg	ence of Logic Programming in HNN	49
3.9	Summary 50		50
CHAI	PTER 4	PROPOSED 2 SATISFIABILITY PROGRAMMING IN HYBRID HNN MODELS	51
4.1	Introduc	tion	51
4.2	2 Satisfi	ability Representation	51
	4.2.1	Learning Phase in HNN-2SAT	52
	4.2.2	Retrieval Phase in HNN-2SAT	57
4.3	HNN wi	th Exhaustive Search Algorithm in doing 2SAT	59
	4.3.1	Exhaustive Search (ES)	59
	4.3.2	Exhaustive Search and HNN as a single network	59
	4.3.3	Limitation of HNN-2SATES	60

4.4	Hybrid	HNN with GA in doing 2SAT	62
	4.4.1	Genetic Algorithm (GA)	62
	4.4.2	GA inspired by WAT Method.	64
	4.4.3	GA and HNN as a Single Network	67
	4.4.4	Limitation of HNN-2SATGA	67
4.5	Hybrid	HNN with Ant Colony Optimization in doing 2SAT	68
	4.5.1	Ant Colony Optimization (ACO).	68
	4.5.2	ACO inspired by WAT Method	69
	4.5.3	ACO and HNN as a Single Network.	71
4.6	Logic N	Iining by using HNN-2SAT Models	72
	4.6.1	2 Satisfiability based Reverse Analysis Method (2SATRA)	72
	4.6.2	RDSMHNN by using 2SATRA: Illustrative Example	75
4.7	Summa	ry	79
CIL	PTER 5	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF 2SAT IN HNN MODEL	S 80
CHA	II IER J		
CH A 5.1	Introduc	ction	80
5.1 5.2	Introduc Measure	ement of Performance for HNN Model	80 80
5.1 5.2	Introduce Measure 5.2.1	ction ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MA)	80 80 E). 81
5.1 5.2	Introduce Measure 5.2.1 5.2.2	ction ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MA Sum of squared error (SSE)	80 80 E). 81 82
5.1 5.2	Introduce Measure 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3	ction ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAI Sum of squared error (SSE) Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE)	80 80 E). 81 82 82
5.1 5.2	Introduce Measure 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4	ction ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAI Sum of squared error (SSE) Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) Global minima ratio (Z _m)	80 80 E). 81 82 82 83
5.1 5.2	Introduce Measure 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5	ction ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAI Sum of squared error (SSE) Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) Global minima ratio (Z _m) Computation Time (CPU Time).	80 80 E). 81 82 82 83 84
5.1 5.2 5.3	Introduce Measure 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Perform	ction ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAI Sum of squared error (SSE) Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) Global minima ratio (Z _m) Computation Time (CPU Time)	80 80 E). 81 82 82 83 84 84
5.1 5.2 5.3	Introduce Measure 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Perform 5.3.1	ction ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAI Sum of squared error (SSE) Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) Global minima ratio (Z _m) Computation Time (CPU Time) hance Analysis for all HNN-2SAT Models Experiment setup for all HNN-2SAT Models	80 80 E). 81 82 82 82 84 84 85
5.1 5.2 5.3	Introduce Measure 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Perform 5.3.1 5.3.2	etion ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MA) Sum of squared error (SSE) Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) Global minima ratio (Z _m) Computation Time (CPU Time) hance Analysis for all HNN-2SAT Models Experiment setup for all HNN-2SAT Models Result and Discussion	80 80 E). 81 82 82 82 83 83 84 85 85
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Introduce Measure 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Perform 5.3.1 5.3.2 Summar	ction ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MA) Sum of squared error (SSE) Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) Global minima ratio (Z _m) Computation Time (CPU Time) hance Analysis for all HNN-2SAT Models Experiment setup for all HNN-2SAT Models Result and Discussion	80 80 E). 81 82 82 82 83 83 84 85 85 92
 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 CHA 	Introduce Measure 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Perform 5.3.1 5.3.2 Summar	ction ement of Performance for HNN Model Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAI Sum of squared error (SSE) Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) Global minima ratio (Z _m) Computation Time (CPU Time) hance Analysis for all HNN-2SAT Models Experiment setup for all HNN-2SAT Models Result and Discussion ry PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT OF HYBRID HNN MODEL IN LOGIC MINING	80 80 E). 81 82 82 82 82 83 83 84 85 85 92 92

6.2	Data Set Employed for Analysis		
6.3	Experimental Setup of HNN Models in doing 2SATRA		
6.4	Experimental Results of 2SATRA in doing Real Data Sets		
	6.4.1	German credit data set (RDGCHNN)	
	6.4.2	Car evaluation data set (RDCEHNN) 101	
	6.4.3	Contraceptive method choice data set (RDCMHNN) 104	
	6.4.4	Haberman's survival data set (RDHSHNN) 107	
	6.4.5	Occupation detection data set (RDODHNN) 110	
6.5	Testing e	error for all RDHNN 113	
6.6	Summar	y 115	
CHAP	TER 7	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS 116	
7.1	Summar	y and Objectives of the Research 116	
7.2	Suggesti	on for Future Works 117	
REFE	CRENCES 119		
APPE	NDICES		

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	List of Important Studies in HNN16
Table 2.2	List of Important Studies in Logic Programming
Table 2.3	List of Important Studies in SAT Problem
Table 2.4	List of Important Studies for GA in Solving SAT Problem25
Table 2.5	List of Important Studies in ACO
Table 2.6	List of Important Studies of Data Mining in Neural Network
Table 3.1	List of Connectives in Logic Programming43
Table 4.1	Truth Table for P_{2SAT} with its Corresponding $E_{P_{2SAT}}$
Table 4.2	Clauses and Synaptic Weight for P_{2SAT} based on WAT method57
Table 4.3	Synaptic Weight by Using WAT Method73
Table 4.4	Synaptic Weight for Student No.6176
Table 4.5	Frequency of $P_{learn} = 1$ in RDSMHNN
Table 4.6	List of Induced P_i^B in RDSMHNN
Table 4.7	Performance of P_i^B in RDSMHNN
Table 6.1	List of RDHNN in 2SATRA96
Table 6.2	Respective Credit Risk and Neuron
Table 6.3	Respective Attributes of Car and Neuron
Table 6.4	Respective Attributes and Neuron
Table 6.5	Respective Attributes and Neuron
Table 6.6	Respective Attributes and Neuron
Table 6.7	Testing Error for All Real Data Sets114
Table 6.8	Comparison of 2SATRA with Existing Models

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	A Structure of Biological Neuron (Rojas, 2013)2
Figure 1.2	Research Methodology Flowchart
Figure 3.1	Symbolic Illustration of MCP Neuron (Rojas, 2013)
Figure 3.2	Feedforward Network (Nawi, 2014)
Figure 3.3	Interaction between Neuron States and the HNN Energy (Sathasivam, 2006)
Figure 3.4	Implementation of Logic Programming in HNN45
Figure 3.5	Hyperbolic Tangent Activation Function (Nawi, 2014)47
Figure 5.1	RMSE for all HNN-2SAT models
Figure 5.2	MAE for all HNN-2SAT Models
Figure 5.3	SSE for all HNN-2SAT models
Figure 5.4	MAPE for all HNN-2SAT Models
Figure 5.5	Z_m for all HNN-2SAT models
Figure 5.6	CPU Time for all HNN-2SAT Models
Figure 6.1	Implementation of 2SATRA Program97
Figure 6.2	Performance Measurements of RDGCHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models
Figure 6.2(a)	RMSE Evaluation of RDGCHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models 100
Figure 6.2(b)	MAE Evaluation of RDGCHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models100
Figure 6.2(c)	SSE Evaluation of RDGCHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models
Figure 6.2(d)	MAPE Evaluation of RDGCHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models 100
Figure 6.2(e)	CPU Time Evaluation of RDGCHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models. 100
Figure 6.3	Performance Measurements of RDCEHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models

Figure 6.3(a)	RMSE Evaluation of RDCEHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models 103
Figure 6.3(b)	MAE Evaluation of RDCEHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models103
Figure 6.3(c)	SSE Evaluation of RDCEHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models103
Figure 6.3(d)	MAPE Evaluation of RDCEHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models 103
Figure 6.3(e)	CPU Time Evaluation of RDCEHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models.103
Figure 6.4	Performance Measurements of RDCMHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models
Figure 6.4(a)	RMSE Evaluation of RDCMHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models 106
Figure 6.4(b)	MAE Evaluation of RDCMHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models 106
Figure 6.4(c)	SSE Evaluation of RDCMHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models
Figure 6.4(d)	MAPE Evaluation of RDCMHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models 106
Figure 6.4(e)	CPU Time Evaluation of RDCMHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models 106
Figure 6.5	Performance Measurements of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT
	Models109
Figure 6.5(a)	Models
Figure 6.5(a) Figure 6.5(b)	Models
Figure 6.5(a) Figure 6.5(b) Figure 6.5(c)	Models
Figure 6.5(a) Figure 6.5(b) Figure 6.5(c) Figure 6.5(d)	Models109 RMSE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 MAE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 SSE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 MAPE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109
Figure 6.5(a) Figure 6.5(b) Figure 6.5(c) Figure 6.5(d) Figure 6.5(e)	Models109 RMSE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 MAE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 SSE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 MAPE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109
Figure 6.5(a) Figure 6.5(b) Figure 6.5(c) Figure 6.5(d) Figure 6.5(e) Figure 6.6	Models109 RMSE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 MAE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 SSE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 MAPE Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models109 CPU Time Evaluation of RDHSHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models .109 Performance Measurements of RDODHNN for all HNN-2SAT Models112
Figure 6.5(a) Figure 6.5(b) Figure 6.5(c) Figure 6.5(d) Figure 6.5(e) Figure 6.6	Models
Figure 6.5(a) Figure 6.5(b) Figure 6.5(c) Figure 6.5(d) Figure 6.5(e) Figure 6.6	Models
Figure 6.5(a) Figure 6.5(b) Figure 6.5(c) Figure 6.5(d) Figure 6.5(e) Figure 6.6(a) Figure 6.6(b) Figure 6.6(c)	Models
Figure 6.5(a) Figure 6.5(b) Figure 6.5(c) Figure 6.5(d) Figure 6.5(e) Figure 6.6(a) Figure 6.6(b) Figure 6.6(c) Figure 6.6(d)	Models

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$h(v_i)$	Alternative Heaviside Step function
h_i	Local field
θ	Threshold
<i>a</i> _{<i>i</i>}	Unit <i>i</i> Activation
W_{ij}	Synaptic Weight from unit <i>i</i> to <i>j</i>
S _i	State of the <i>i</i> th Neuron
μ	External Field
sgn	Signum Function
Н	Lyapunov Energy
\leftarrow	Implication
\wedge	Conjunction
\vee	Disjunction
-	Negation
g(x)	Activation Function of <i>x</i>
R	Relaxation Rate
C_i	Clause <i>i</i>
Р	2SAT Variant Formula
E_P	Cost Function of <i>P</i>
H_{P}	Final Minimum Energy
${H}_{\scriptscriptstyle P}^{\scriptscriptstyle min}$	Expected Global Minimum Energy
Tol	Tolerance Value
f_{2SATES}	Fitness Function for Exhaustive Search

NC	Number of Clause
B(NC,p)	Binomial Distribution with NC and Probability of p
r	Number of Satisfied Clause
f_{2SATGA}	Fitness Function for Genetic Algorithm
$f_{2SATACO}$	Fitness Function for Ant Colony Optimization
α	Relative Importance of Pheromone
β	Relative Importance of Visibility of Ants
ρ	Evaporation Rate
P _{learn}	Learning Data Set
P _{test}	Testing Data Set
P_{best}	Best 2SAT Logic
P_i^B	Induced Logic
$N_{\it Success}^{P^B_i}$	Number of Success P_i^B
$N_{\it Fail}^{P_i^B}$	Number of Fail P_i^B
P_i	Target Value
O_i	Observed Value
$f_{\scriptscriptstyle NC}$	Total Number of 2SAT Clause
t	Number of Trial
С	Number of Neuron Combination
NN	Number of Neurons
Acc _{2SATRA}	Accuracy of 2SATRA
Acc_{EM}	Accuracy of Existing Model

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2SAT	2 Satisfiability
2SATRA	2 Satisfiability based Reverse Analysis method
ABC	Artificial Bee Colony
ABM	Agent Based Modeling
ACO	Ant Colony Optimization
AI	Artificial Intelligence
AIS	Artificial Immune System
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
CAM	Content Addressable Memory
CCTV	Clausal Diversification Method and Truth Maintenance
CNF	Conjunctive Normal Form
CPU	Central Processing Unit
DNF	Disjunctive Normal Form
EIGA	GA with Exon and Intron
ES	Exhaustive Search
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GPU	Graphics Processing Unit
НАСО	Hybrid Ant Colony Optimization
HNN	Hopfield Neural Network
HNN-2SAT	2 Satisfiability Logic Programming in Hopfield Neural Network
HNN-2SATACO	2 Satisfiability Logic Programming in Hopfield Neural Network by using Ant Colony Optimization
HNN-2SATES	2 Satisfiability Logic Programming in Hopfield Neural Network by using Exhaustive Search
HNN-2SATGA	2 Satisfiability Logic Programming in Hopfield Neural Network by using Genetic Algorithm
HNN-ICA	Imperialist Competitive Algorithm with Hopfield Neural Network
HTAF	Hyperbolic Activation Function
IoV	Internet of Vehicles
KHNN	Kernel Hopfield Neural Network
kSAT	k Satisfiability

MAE	Mean Absolute Error
MAJSAT	Majority Satisfiability
MAPE	Mean Absolute Percentage Error
MAXSAT	Maximum Satisfiability
MAXkSAT	Maximum k Satisfiability
MCP	McCulloch-Pitts Neuron
MFT	Mean Field Theory
MINSAT	Minimum Satisfiability
PDP	Parallel Distributing Processing
RBFNN	Radial Basis Function Neural Network
RDCEHNN	Car Evaluation Real Data Set
RDCMHNN	Contraceptive Method Choice Real Data Set
RDGCHNN	German Credit Real Data Set
RDHSHNN	Haberman's Survival Real Data Set
RDODHNN	Occupancy Detection Real Data Set
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RNN	Recurrent Neural Network
SCC	Strongly Connected Components
SCN	Symmetric Connectionist Network
SIMT	Single Instruction Multiple Thread
SOM	Self Organizing Network
SSE	Sum of Square Error
TSP	Traveling Salesman Problem
UCI	UC Irvine Machine Learning Repository
VLSI	Very Large Scale Integration
WNN	Wavelet Neural Network
IPS	Institut Pengajian Siswazah
USM	Universiti Sains Malaysia

HIBRID KOLONI SEMUT PENGOPTIMUMAN UNTUK PROGRAM DUA SATISFIABILITY DALAM RANGKAIAN NEURAL HOPFIELD

ABSTRAK

Persembahan logik dengan menggunakan 2 Satisfiability atau 2SAT semakin dilihat sebagai peraturan logik yang penting untuk mensintesis banyak aplikasi kehidupan sebenar. Walaupun terdapat ramai penyelidik dalam bidang ini telah mencadangkan penyelesaian kepada 2SAT, hanya sedikit perhatian telah diberi kepada kepentingan dan kelebihan peraturan logik 2SAT. Dengan itu, kajian ini menghipotesiskan bahawa, 2SAT boleh digunakan sebagai peraturan logik dalam sistem pintar. Untuk mengesahkan kenyataan ini, 2 Satisfiability telah diintegrasikan dengan rangkaian neural Hopfield (HNN) sebagai unit tunggal. Pembelajaran dalam HNN telah mendapat inspirasi daripada kaedah Wan Abdullah kerana pembelajaran tradisional iaitu pembelajaran secara Hebbian dilihat sebagai tidak cekap apabila berhadapan dengan klausa yang banyak. Oleh kerana bilangan klausa untuk 2SAT boleh meningkat, kecekapan dan keberkesanan fasa pembelajaran dalam HNN juga akan merosot. Algoritma metaheuristik telah diperkenalkan untuk mengurangkan kerumitan pembelajaran HNN. Algoritma metaheuristik yang dicadangkan ialah algoritma pengoptimuman koloni semut (ACO). Algorithma ini akan diintegrasikan dengan model HNN-2SAT sebagai unit tunggal iaitu HNN-2SATACO. Prestasi model akan diuji dengan menggunakan simulasi set data. Dari segi aplikasi kehidupan sebenar, kaedah Analisis Berbalik berasaskan 2 Satisfiability (2SATRA) akan dibangunkan untuk melakukan perlombongan logik dalam 5 set data sebenar yang berlatarkan bidang kewangan hingga perubatan. 2SATRA akan menggunakan model HNN-2SAT yang dicadangkan ketika fasa pembelajaran. Prestasi perbandingan menunjukkan HNN-

2SATACO berjaya mengatasi model hibrid HNN-2SAT lain dalam melakukan simulasi set data dan set data sebenar.

HYBRID ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION FOR TWO SATISFIABILITY PROGRAMMING IN HOPFIELD NEURAL NETWORK

ABSTRACT

The representation of 2 Satisfiability problem or 2SAT is increasingly viewed as a significant logical rule in order to synthesize many real life applications. Although there were many researchers proposed the solution of 2SAT, little attention has been paid to the significance of the 2SAT logical rule itself. It can be hypothesized that 2SAT property can be used as a logical rule in the intelligent system. To verify this claim, 2 Satisfiability logic programming was embedded to Hopfield neural network (HNN) as a single unit. Learning in HNN will be inspired by Wan Abdullah method since the conventional Hebbian learning is inefficient when dealing with large number of constraints. As the number of 2SAT clauses increased, the efficiency and effectiveness of the learning phase in HNN deteriorates. Swarm intelligence metaheuristic algorithm has been introduced to reduce the learning complexity of the network. The newly proposed metaheuristic algorithm was enhanced ant colony optimization (ACO) algorithm. This algorithm will combine with HNN-2SAT model as single unit namely HNN-2SATACO. The performance of the model will be tested by using simulated data set. In terms of real life application, the newly improved 2 Satisfiability based Reverse Analysis Method (2SATRA) will be implemented to do logic mining in 5 different real life data sets ranging from finance to medical field. 2SATRA will implement the proposed hybrid HNN-2SAT model during learning phase. The performance comparison indicates that most of the time, HNN-2SATACO outperformed other hybrid HNN-2SAT models in doing simulated and real data set.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction to Neural Network

Neural networks, artificial intelligence and deep learning are robust machine learning-based techniques utilized to solve many real world problems. Artificial intelligence aims at creating computers or machines as intelligent as human beings. Hence, neural networks which is inspired from the natural neural network of human nervous system is one of the popular research area in artificial intelligence.

1.1.1 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

Neural networks are mathematical models inspired by biological processes of the human brain (Agatonovic-Kustrin and Beresford, 2000). The human brain computes in an entirely different way from digital computer. Human brain has capability to organize its structural constituents, known as neurons or nerve cells. In biological neural networks, information (synapse) was stored and transferred among neurons in different topologies and architecture (See Figure 1.1). Neurons "fire" (input) signals through axon (output) when defined threshold exceeded. A fundamental issue in neural network is how the information is encoded in and retrieved from the neural system. This issue leads to the development of artificial intelligence (AI). The field of AI is a pursuit to understand intelligent entities. Schalkoff (1990) concisely defined AI as "a field of study that seeks to explain and emulate intelligent behaviour in terms of computational process". Different from other field of studies, AI emphasized on building intelligent entities as well as understanding them (Russell and Norvig, 1995). In that sense, AI encompasses a huge subarea such as perception and logical reasoning to specific task. The popular tasks that associated with AI are playing chess, proving mathematical theorem, diagnosing diseases. Neural symbolic is one of the popular field in AI. Neural symbolic combines the advantages of parallelism in neural network and symbolism in knowledge representation (Garcez et al., 2012).



Figure 1.1 A Structure of Biological Neuron (Rojas, 2013)

1.1.2 Introduction to Artificial Neural Network

In AI research, artificial neural network (ANN) plays an important role in knowledge and data extraction. ANN is designed to simulate the way human process information. There are also commonly referred as parallel distributing processing (PDP) models or simply neural nets. ANN gather their knowledge by revealing patterns and relationships among data during training (Rojas, 2013). ANN is very useful in computer simulation in order to illustrate the usefulness of certain technique to solve optimization problem such as pattern recognition, classification, data compression and constrained optimization problem. For instance, ANN is applicable to find the desired output based on embedded input in the system. ANN comprised interconnected group of neurons that use a mathematical model for information processing based on multiple connectionist approach to computation. ANN continue to receive attention from various researchers

in order to analyse non linear problems (Hopfield and Tank, 1985; Moody and Darken, 1989).

1.2 History of Neural Network

The first mathematical model of a neural network is attributed to McCulloch and Pitts. In 1943, Warren S. McCulloch, a neuroscientist, and Walter Pitts, a logician, published "A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity" (McCulloch and Pitts, 1943). Based on the paper, McCulloch and Pitts tried to understand how the brain could produce highly complex patterns by using many basic cells that are connected together. These basic brain cells are defined as neurons, and McCulloch and Pitts gave a highly simplified model of a neuron in their paper. Later, McCulloch and Pitts model of a neuron has made an important contribution to the development of ANN. Another important idea was put forward in 1949 by Donald Hebb, who suggested that a group of neurons could reverberate in different patterns. He formulated a learning strategy commonly referred to as the Hebbian Rule (Hebb, 1949) which suggests that a cell's efficiency in emitting a neuron to another cell rise with consistent repetitions. This was the first time a model of dynamic memory had been used by neuropsychologist. Hebb's Rule and a few other theories help us to understand the capabilities of the nets and they could be simulated on contemporary computers. During the 1950's traditional computing began, it left research of ANN in the dark and full with limitations. Despite that, there are studies continued research on ANN. In 1954, Marvin Minsky wrote a doctorate thesis, Theory of Neural-Analog Reinforcement Systems and its Application to the Brain-Model Problem, which was concerned with research into ANN (Minsky, 1954). He also published a scientific paper entitled, "Steps Toward Artificial Intelligence" which was the one of the first papers to discuss AI in detail (Minsky, 1961). The paper also consists of a large component on what nowadays is defined as neural networks. In 1982, John Hopfield of Caltech presented a paper to the scientific community in which he stated that the approach to AI should not be to purely imitate the human brain. The approach instead used it's concepts to build machines that could solve dynamic problems. He showed what the capabilities of such networks are and how they actually work. His vast knowledge of mathematical analysis convinced scientists and researchers at the National Academy of Sciences to renew interest in the research of AI and neural networks. His ideas gave birth to a new era of neural networks that over time became known as the Hopfield Model (Hopfield, 1982). Throughout 1990's and the technological era, research and development on artificial neural networks are advancing rapidly all over the world. Biological neurons itself is living proof that neural networks do in actual fact work. Nowadays, the "real" challenge lies in finding ways to electronically implement the principals of neural network technology. Electronics companies are working on three types of neuro-chips namely, digital, analog and optical. The neuro-chips are incorporated with ANN design makes the future of ANN technology looks very promising. ANN technologies are now capable of predicting natural disasters such as landslides (Dou et al., 2018) and floods (Falah et al., 2019).

1.3 Significance of Research & Problem Statement

Several intelligence system has been developed in order to imitate how the actual brain work. This means that a closest way to represent the way of human thinking is by mapping the biological human thought process with a set of rules and logic. These set of rules and logics must behave in the dynamic manner where the rules can be changed according to the behaviour of the perceived knowledge. In the real world,

mapping human thought and learning through computer simulation can be done by the usage of symbolized programming. Each of the learning and connection will be assigned with predetermined symbol and states. All the assigned symbol will be mapped based on dynamic logical rules. The main drawback of the models proposed by Wan Abdullah (1992), Sathasiyam and Wan Abdullah (2008) and Hamadneh et al. (2012a) are the usage of logical rule that mapped the important knowledge. All the mentioned researchers only focus on Horn programming in HNN which in many real life application failed to represent the perceived knowledge. This phenomenon motivated the development of a new set of logical rule namely 2 Satisfiability programming. This new non-horn logic integrated with HNN is expected to represent knowledge in a preferable scheme. One of the significant drawback of the conventional method possessed as asserted by Sathasivam and Wan Abdullah (2008) is the efficiency of the learning phase. In order to derive the correct cost function efficiently, the network is obligated to find the consistent interpretation based on the embedded logical rule. The task of finding consistent interpretation will be much convoluted as the number of clause increase. Conventional method that is exhaustive search method is proven to consume more iterations and ultimately will cause unnecessary output oscillations. The lack of efficiency reduces the capability of the network to generalize large data sets. Kasihmuddin (2017) integrated genetic algorithm to HNN during the learning phase. However, there's a drawback where during the earlier generation, non-fit chromosomes acquire more iteration to develop its fitness before effective crossover can take place. Hence, lead to error accumulation and higher standard deviation error. This drawback prompted this research to search other intelligent methods to find consistent interpretation during learning phase with minimal error. In this thesis, the learning phase of HNN will be inspired by swarm based intelligence. The metaheuristic algorithm namely ant colony optimization will address the problems of local solution during learning phase. The proposed hybrid network is able to complete the learning phase of HNN within acceptable time range. The interaction between the ants and pheromone density helps to diversify candidate solution in search space. Hence, will be able to minimize the learning error. The state of the art HNN proposed by Hopfield (1982) has several weaknesses in terms of output optimization. The main weakness of this network is the learning rule applied to solve combinatorial problem. Conventionally, HNN utilized Hebbian learning (Földiak, 1990) to find the corresponding synaptic weight. Hebbian learning was proven to be ineffective when the number of constraints are enlarged. In order to reduce the wrong synaptic weight stored as content addressable memory, Wan Abdullah method will be introduced to the network. Efficiency of Wan Abdullah method helps to increase the capacity of HNN in finding the global solution. In another development, output optimization during retrieval phase is fundamental in order to find the optimal result. The usage of Hyperbolic activation function during output optimization can reduce the possible local minima (Nawi, 2014). Sathasivam relaxation method introduces the neuron relaxation to recreate the efficient transfer of information among neurons (Sathasivam, 2010). All the mentioned optimization techniques will be applied to newly proposed hybrid model. Reverse analysis method proposed by Sathasivam and Wan Abdullah (2008) is able to induced the individual logical rule from the data sets. In this model, synaptic weight from the data will be derived based on Wan Abdullah method. The induced logical rule will be measured based on support and confidence with predetermined threshold. This method is not able to generalize the whole logical behaviour of the data set since the model only extract logical rule among the individual neurons. In other word, the extracted logical rule only applies for specific group of neurons. This drawback excites the model to take into account the global induced logic. Global induced logic is able to generalize the whole data sets in a single 2 Satisfiability logic. In this thesis, improved 2 Satisfiability based reverse analysis method will be proposed to do five real life applications.

1.4 Research Objectives

This thesis is centered on using symbolic learning in HNN. Ultimately, the goal of the research is to produce systematic knowledge acquisition by using nature inspired method and logic programming in neural network. Two important areas in the proposed model are optimization and validation. On the optimization side, effort is directed towards building learning network that are efficient and effective. On the validation front, the proposed model must be able to function correctly in doing real life applications. The objectives of this thesis are as follows:

- To hybridize 2 Satisfiability logic programming with HNN. In order to do so, 2 Satisfiability programming will be proposed in HNN. 2 Satisfiability logic will be the primary logical rule that determine the nature of the HNN.
- To integrate nature inspired metaheuristic algorithm during learning phase of HNN. The newly improved metaheuristic algorithm namely improved ant colony optimization will enhance the learning capability of the hybrid model.
- 3. To apply logic mining technique via 2 Satisfiability based Reverse Analysis method in doing 5 real data sets. 2 Satisfiability based Reverse Analysis method is a new logic mining technique that can generalize the logical rule of the data sets. The proposed method will be integrated with the proposed hybrid HNN model.

1.5 Methodology

The action plan for this research are established based on the following foundations.

1.5.1 Research philosophy

The hybrid model formulated in this thesis will be able to perform effectively and efficiently compared to the current model proposed by Wan Abdullah (1992), Sathasivam (2006), Hamadneh (2013), Velavan et al. (2016) and Kasihmuddin (2017). The proposed hybrid model in this thesis is expected to be a benchmark tool to generalize the behaviour of the data.

1.5.2 Research approach

The hybrid network is formulated by embedding 2 Satisfiability logical rule in HNN. A newly improved metaheuristic namely ant colony optimization will be implemented during the learning phase of HNN. 2 Satisfiability programming embedded in HNN with improved learning models will function as a single unit. The learning method will be compared with the existing learning methods which are exhaustive search and genetic algorithm method. This hybrid model will be integrated in newly improved 2 Satisfiability Reverse Analysis method in doing logic mining. The capability of the induced logic in 2 Satisfiability Reverse Analysis method will be compared with other existing models.

1.5.3 Research strategy

The hybrid model will be tested by using simulated data set and real data sets. Both data sets will be conducted in Dev C++ Version 5.11 on Windows 8.1 with Intel Core i7 2.5GHz processor and 8GB RAM. For simulated data set, the data employed during the experiment are based on random neuron state generator. In this regard, Dev C++ will generate the neuron state randomly during learning and retrieval phase in order to minimize the possible biasness. On the other hand, 5 real data sets obtained from UCI machine learning repository in order to test the ability of the proposed hybrid model. UCI machine learning data set is a benchmark for all neural network practitioner to test their proposed network. Type of real data sets obtained from the website were ranging from finance, health issue, voting system, psychology and technology development. The various type of data set will enhance the confidence of the proposed hybrid model in doing real life applications. All experiments will be conducted in the similar device to avoid biasness during experiment.

1.5.4 Research evaluation

The performance of hybrid model during experiment will be assessed by using various performance evaluation criteria. Each hybrid model will be evaluated based on conventional error evaluation such as root mean square error, mean absolute error, sum of squared error and mean absolute percentage error. All the mentioned errors describe the behaviour of the hybrid model in various perspectives. Global minima ratio will be used to test the effectiveness of the HNN model during the retrieval phase. Finally, CPU time generalize the time performance for all hybrid models in completing a single program execution. The methodology of this thesis can be visualized in Figure 1.2.



Figure 1.2 Research Methodology Flowchart

1.6 Limitations and Scope of Research

Some of the data set consist of missing values. Strategies such as data deletion and adding any value to the missing data set (Wohlrab and Fürnkranz, 2009) will reduce the quality of the learning in HNN. The proposed logic mining has a limitation when dealing with ternary states (containing more than two neuron state) since the cost function proposed by Wan Abdullah (1992) only consider bipolar neurons. On another note, the proposed hybrid network only considers propositional logic programming. The proposed hybrid network unable to embed other variant of logic such as predicate logic or fuzzy logic. This is due to the nature of HNN proposed by Pinkas (1991) that only consider symmetric connectionist network. On the other hand, HNN has low storage capacity since the synaptic weight matrix grow indefinitely as the size of the constraints increased.

1.7 Organization of Thesis

Chapter 1 introduces the history of neural network and artificial intelligence. Significance of research and problem statement is also discussed in this chapter. Research objectives and methodology of research are also explained in this chapter. In Chapter 2, literature review on logic programming in neural network will be discussed in detail. In this review, various studies of Hopfield Neural Network with different architecture and application will be explored. In terms of Satisfiability development, this thesis will review the advancement of Satisfiability representation and their significance. Furthermore, the development of metaheuristic algorithm and its possible application in neural network will be discussed. Finally, studies on data mining in neural network with its current potential in logic mining also will be comprehensively reviewed. Chapter 3 demonstrates the theoretical part of logic programing in Hopfield Neural Network. After discussing logic programing, the concept of Hopfield Neural Network as an intelligent model and implementation of Wan Abdullah method will be discussed. This chapter also discuss output optimization via activation function and relaxation method in Hopfield Neural Network. Convergence of logic programming in Hopfield Neural Network will be analysed. Chapter 4 explore a new established hybrid network by implementing Ant Colony Optimization and Genetic Algorithm during learning phase of Hopfield Neural Network. Conventional learning method which is exhaustive search method will be described in detail. All the proposed hybrid network will utilize 2SAT logical rule. Finally, the proposed hybrid network will be used to do logic mining in improved 2 Satisfiability based Reverse Analysis. Chapter 5 demonstrates the performance analysis of all hybrid model in doing simulated data sets. Wide range of model performance measurement such as root mean square error, mean absolute error, sum of squared error, mean absolute percentage error, global minima ratio and CPU time will be explained in detail. Consequently, simulated result for all proposed hybrid network will be presented and discussed. Chapter 6 illustrates the implementation of improved 2 Satisfiability based Reverse Analysis method in doing 5 different data sets. The mentioned data sets are German credit data set, Car evaluation data set, Contraceptive method choice data set, Haberman's survival data set and Occupation detection data set. The improved 2 Satisfiability based Reverse Analysis method is a tool to extact the logical rule that explain the behaviour of the data set. This logic mining tool will be integrated with the proposed model and the performance of the proposed model will be evaluated. Chapter 7 concludes the research work with summary of finding and future works.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

Previous chapter discussed the introduction to artificial intelligence and artificial neural network. In this chapter, important studies on Hopfield neural network, logic programming, Boolean satisfiability, genetic algorithm, ant colony optimization and data mining in neural network will be reviewed.

2.1 Introduction

In this chapter, a vivid discussion of various artificial intelligence perspectives will be the main focus. This review embraces six important domains that contribute to the proposed hybrid network. First, the idea of discrete Hopfield Neural Network in representing various constrained optimization problem will be pointed out. From the recurrent neural network point of view, several important research will be pointed out to show the beneficial feature of Hopfield neural network. Second, review on logic programming as a symbolic tool for problem mapping will be discussed in detail. Third, the advancement of Boolean Satisfiability as a problem representation will be reviewed. In this section, the significance of 2 Satisfiability and its counterparts will be pointed out. Fourth, the review on genetic algorithm as a popular solver to many optimization problems will be discussed conceptually. This review also aligned possible hybridizations with neural network in solving various optimization problems. Fifth, the relevance of using ant colony optimization in solving combinatorial problem will be supported by various reviewed research. Similar to genetic algorithm, the review also aligned possible hybridizations with neural network in solving various optimization problems. Finally, various studies on data mining in neural network to extract meaningful information from the data set. This review will provide several studies on data mining and the possible hybridization to ANN.

Previous researchers such as Sathasivam and Wan Abdullah (2008) and Hamadneh et al. (2012) have failed to represent the perceived knowledge in many real life application by focusing on only Horn programming. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to develop a new set of logical rule named 2 Satisfiability programming in expectation to represent knowledge in a preferable scheme. The conventional method lacked in efficiency to find consistent interpretation when the number of clause increased has not been elucidated by Sathasivam and Wan Abdullah (2008). Hence, the goal of this research is to integrate Ant Colony Optimization during the learning phase of HNN. The proposed hybrid network is expected to address the problems of local solution during learning phase and minimize the learning error. Reverse based analysis method proposed by Sathasivam and Wan Abdullah (2008) only extract logical rule among the individual neurons. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to apply logic mining technique via the improved 2 Satisfiability based reverse analysis method. The new method is expected to generalize the whole data sets in a single 2 Satisfiability logic.

2.2 Discrete Hopfield Neural Network

In the past decades, Hopfield neural network or abbreviated as HNN have been widely developed in solving various constraint optimization problem (Hopfield, 1982; Hopfield and Tank, 1985). Since HNN endured rapid development in term of architecture, it will be fruitful to review the progress of HNN with time. Research studies on the capability of neurons in HNN made a momentous contribution that leads to solution of various optimization problem such as travelling salesman problem and linear programming problem (Hopfield, 1982; Hopfield and Tank, 1985; Tank and Hopfield, 1986). The proposed HNN by Hopfield and Tank created two different perspectives. First, input fed to the neuron of HNN must produce the desired output by letting the synaptic weight to change according to a certain learning rule (Zurada, 1992). In other perspective, given an optimization problem with a calculated cost function, HNN will be designed so that the minimum energy will have the same point to the previously proposed cost function (Joya et al., 2002). Assimilation between both perspectives lead to various enhanced HNN model in doing classification and pattern recognition problems (Cabeza et al., 2016; Duan et al., 2016; Hsu, 2012a; Pajares et al., 2010). Wan Abdullah (1992) proposed higher order HNN in order to minimize logical inconsistency of logic program. The calculated synaptic weight will be the building block of content addressable memory (CAM) of HNN (Sathasivam et al., 2011). The whole mentioned process displaced the traditional Hebbian learning since both Wan Abdullah method and Hebbian rule will arrive to the same CAM configuration (Sathasivam, 2011). During learning phase of HNN, the proposed HNN model required to find the correct interpretation for Horn clause that corresponds to zero cost function. This architecture inspired numerous researchers to enhance the capability of logic programming in HNN. Work of Sathasivam and Fen (2013) implemented logic programming in HNN by implementing agent based modeling (ABM). Implementation of ABM created the flexibility of HNN in dealing with higher order Horn programming. Alzaeemi et al. (2017) proposed hybrid kernel HNN (KHNN) in doing Non-Horn programming (3SAT). The results obtained certified that KHNN always converges to the optimal solution and maintains more than 99% global minima ratio. Table 2.1 shows list of important studies for discrete HNN.

Table 2.1	List of Important	Studies in HNN
-----------	-------------------	----------------

Author(s)	Details of the Studies	Summary and Findings
Hopfield	HNN with emergent	The proposed network successfully
(1982)	collective computational abilities.	shows the similarity of the artificial neuron modelling to actual brain
		modelling.
Hopfield and	Nonlinear analog	The proposed network is able to
Tank (1985)	response of the neuron	solve travelling salesman problem
	and energy analysis in HNN.	effectively.
Wan	HNN model that	The proposed network is able to
Abdullah	minimize logical	create a new learning rule in deriving
(1993)	inconsistency of logic	synaptic weight of Horn clause. The
	program.	new rule has a good agreement to Hebbian learning.
Zhu and Yan	New approach for	The proposed network shows the
(1997)	detection of brain tumor	effectiveness of HNN minimum
	boundaries. The process	energy in magnetic resonance
	acquires the beneficial	imaging data analysis.
	analysis	
Jova et al.	The effect of incoherence	The study pointed out some
(2002)	in energy function, error	modification in HNN to make it very
	due to discrete problem,	effective in tackling some
	local minima and	optimization problem such as
	convergence analysis.	diophantine equation, Hamiltonian cycle and <i>k</i> -colorability problem.
García and	Kernel machine by	The proposed Kernel HNN was
Moreno	utilizing auto associative	reported to acquire better memory
(2004)	memory of HNN.	capacity and noise tolerance.
Wen et al.	Improved HNN in	The result from the simulation shows
(2009)	solving circuit and VLSI	the computational efficiency of
	problem.	problem.
Frolov et al.	Boolean factor based on	The study has proved that specific
(2010)	the ability of HNN to	condition in HNN training lead to
	create attractor.	global spurious attractors.
Akhmet et al.	Extensive analysis on	The study has demonstrated the
(2011)	HNN	guaranteed unique and stable global
	111111.	neuron states.
Sathasivam	Comparison between	The comparison proves that logic
et al. (2011)	HNN and Radial basis	programming can be done in HNN.
	function in doing logic	
	programming.	

Continuation of Table 2.1; List of Impo	ortant Studies in HNN
---	-----------------------

Mansor and	3 Satisfiability (3SAT)	The developed 3SAT logical rule
Sathasivam	programming in HNN.	achieved almost 100% accuracy and able to sustain high number of
(2010)		neurons.
Sathasivam	Reverse Analysis method	Reverse Analysis method is able to
and Wan	as a logic mining tool in	induce logical rule with various
Abdullah (2011)	HNN.	logical strength. The proposed
(2011)		statistical analysis.
Hsu (2012b)	Fuzzy HNN as a	The developed Fuzzy HNN achieved
	clustering mechanism in	promising results for stationary and
	electroencephalogram	non stationary electroencephalogram
Sathasiyam	Agent Based Modeling	The developed method is able to
and Fen	(ABM) for logic	show the flexibility, efficiency and
(2013)	programming in HNN.	effectiveness of logic programming
		in doing HNN.
Pwasong et (2015)	Enhanced auto	The proposed Hyperbolic activation function is able to optimize the
al. (2013)	HNN.	output of HNN.
Zhou et al.	Enhanced auto	The proposed method has been
(2015)	associative memories in	proven to store more important
	HNN.	pattern and the network tend to
Mansor et al.	Accelerating feature of	The proposed activation function is
(2016)	hyperbolic tangent	able to reduce neuron oscillation and
	activation function and	increase the computational ability of
	bipolar activation	the network.
	function when doing	
	HNN.	
Velavan et	Mean Field Theory	The proposed network outperformed
al. (2016)	(MFT) algorithm to	the conventional HNN proposed by
	local field in HNN	and Een (2013)
Kasihmuddin	Maximum k-	The work showed solid performance
et. al (2018a)	Satisfiability (MAX-	of HNN in doing MAX-kSAT
	<i>k</i> SAT) programming in	programming compared to the
	HNN.	exiting method Kernel Hopfield
Jayashree	Genetic optimized HNN	The research showed that GHNN
and Kumar	(GHNN) to predict	was capable of classifying the
(2010)		
(2019)	diabetic related features.	diabetic abnormality features

2.3 Logic Programming

Logic programming began in the early 1970 as a foundation to many applications such as AI. The credit for introduction of logic programming goes mainly to Kowalski (1978). During early stage, Kowalski was led to the fundamental idea that logic can be used as a programming language. The ability to extract the knowledge from the logic programming makes ANN is very suitable to develop AI system (Lloyd, 2012). This leads to various methods to integrate symbolic with connectionist AI. This combination has been a major works by Utgoff (1989) where he developed an algorithm that integrates decision tree and perceptron. Blair et al. (1999) showed intimate relationship between logic programming and dynamical system related to self-similarity and chaos. This perspective provoked more researcher to combine logic programming with other intelligent system. The assimilation also was developed by various researchers (Gallant, 1988; Pomerleau, 1991) and successfully created a system that can produce high and low level decisions. Towell and Shavlik (1994) insert a set of symbolic rules into a feedforward network. The network is then refined by using standard learning algorithm with specific set of learning data. The refined network is utilized to classify the raw data. While researchers in traditional symbolic AI concentrate in the development of powerful knowledge representation, Pinkas (1995) were concentrating on powerful learning mechanism. He described any symbolic system requires interpreter to process the information expressed in the representation that is unfortunately absent in many connectionist network. Pinkas considered symmetric connectionist network (SCN) that includes HNN, Boltzman machine, harmony theory and mean field theory. In addition, he described SCN can learn representations of propositional logic by examining the truth assignments that satisfy the formula. The reason why he selected SCNs is because the symmetric networks were characterized by energy function which make it easier to specify the network's behaviour. In addition, SCN is able to capture the information embedded in logic formula for vital procedural control. Wan Abdullah (1992) proposed a method to find optimal synaptic weight. He showed the dynamical change in synaptic weight when a system learns from the logical rules. Each of the variable in the logic will be represented as neurons. Synaptic weight of the logical rules can be found by comparing the cost function (inconsistencies minimization of logical assignments) with Hopfield energy function. The synaptic weight obtained by using Wan Abdullah method has similar knowledge content as conventional Hebbian learning (Sathasivam and Wan Abdullah, 2008). Sathasivam and Wan Abdullah (2008) showed Wan Abdullah method outperform conventional hebbian learning rule when dealing with larger number of neurons. Both researchers introduced Horn logic as a symbolic representation in HNN. The advancement of logic programming in HNN is not limited to normal logic only. In other development, Sathasivam (2012a) proposed a fuzzy logic in HNN by implementing Fuzzy C-Mean clustering to the neuron state before retrieval phase of HNN can take place. The retrieved neuron states from this model will be computed by using Lyapunov energy function. This study helps user to grade continuous value from the logic programming before it can be learned by HNN. The other side of the coin, Hamadneh et al. (2012a) introduced Horn logic programming in Radial basis function neural network or RBFNN. The proposed RBFNN proposed propositional logic programming with single step operator. His RBFNN model is able to do other variant of logic such as Circuit logic, 3 Satisfiability and knowledge based system. Table 2.2 shows list of important studies for logic programming in neural network.

Author(s)	Detail of the Studies	Summary and Findings
Kowalski (1978)	Logical synthesis in programming.	This method helps user or program to interpret problem by using logical language.
Utgoff (1989)	Algorithm that integrates decision tree and perceptron.	The findings lead to a simpler way to represent knowledge and decision making system.
Pomerleau (1991)	Autonomous system in neural network.	The proposed method reveal the efficiency of neural network in making decision.
Pinkas (1991)	Efficient mapping symbolic system by using symmetric connectionist network (SCN).	The developed connectionist network is capable of representing and learning propositional knowledge.
Wan Abdullah (1992)	New method to find synaptic weight of Horn programming in Hopfield Neural network. The developed model utilized Horn clauses.	Proposed logic programming emphasized on finding the correct synaptic weight by comparing the cost function and energy function of Hopfield neural network.
Pitz and Shavlik (1995)	Set of symbolic rules into feedforward neural network.	Developed symbolic rules in neural network is able to make a better generalization in Chess sub problem and four world problems from the Human Genome Project.
Sathasivam and Wan Abdullah (2007)	Final energy analysis by Horn programming in Hopfield neural network.	The result obtained shows the flatness of final energy in doing Horn programming. More than 95% of solution achieve global minima energy.
Sathasivam (2010)	Sathasivam relaxation method for logic programming in Hopfield neural network.	The proposed relaxation method improves the neuron state of Hopfield neural network in doing Horn programming.
Hamadneh et al. (2012a)	Logic programming in RBFNN.	The result obtained from the experiment proves that RBFNN can act as an intelligent system in Horn programming. Several metaheuristic method such as genetic algorithm may optimize the learning phase of the network.
Sathasivam and Velavan (2014)	Mean field theory for higher order logic programming in HNN.	The result obtained from the experiment proves that Boltzmann machine and hyperbolic activation function can reduce neuron oscillation when higher order logic has been considered.

Table 2.2List of Important Studies in Logic Programming

Manhaeve et	DeepProbLog, a	Result obtained has shown the
al. (2018)	probabilistic logic	capability of DeepProbLog in
	programming language	combined symbolic and
	that incorporates deep	subsymbolic reasoning, program
	learning by means of	induction and probabilistic logic
	neural predicates.	programming.
Chen et al.	Logic rules embedded	The result has shown that the logic
(2019)	into recurrent neural	rules were able to present prior
	networks.	knowledge from different domains
		and the proposed embedding
		approach worked well on a variety
		of tasks.

Continuation of Table 2.2; List of Important Studies in Logic Programming

2.4 Boolean Satisfiability

The primary goal of complexity theory is to classify and represent computational problems according to their problem feature (Luna et al., 2016). Challenges that were faced by researcher is some fragments of propositional logic which allow few efficient reasoning method (Khardon and Roth, 1996). Davis and Putnam (1960) is of the view that support logical reasoning and has proposed a method to solve Satisfiability problem (SAT). SAT has been a foundation to various challenging problem because SAT provides logical transition from problems to mathematical reasoning. The proposed method helps researcher to develop more SAT solver (Eén and Sörensson, 2003; Hamadi et al., 2008). Since SAT problem consist of various type of optimization problem, Cook (1971) proposed a non-deterministic problem namely kSAT problem. The feature of kSAT leads to the development of 2 Satisfiability problem or 2SAT. 2SAT attracted many researchers from various field of studies because 2SAT has a simpler problem presentation (Bollobás et al., 2001; Fürer and Kasiviswanathan, 2007). After the emergent of 2SAT representation, several research assimilates the 2SAT logical rule to real life simulation. Formann and Wagner (1991) proposed a packing problem by using 2SAT representation. 2SAT representation helps the system to automate the production of labelling for groundwater maps. In terms of data clustering, 2SAT was reported to minimize the largest distance between two data point (Ramnath, 2004). This 2SAT hybrid model increase the performance of the cluster by reducing suboptimal solution during clustering. Miyashiro and Matsui (2005) presented a hybrid technique which coupled 2SAT representation with equitable home-away assignment for a given timetable of a round-robin tournament. Hybrid model with 2SAT successfully reduce the number of break during the tournament thus increase the efficiency of the scheduling problem. Batenburg and Kosters (2009) demonstrates the hybrid 2SAT to solve Nanogram program. Their model employs two type of paradigms which is pattern reconstruction (empty grid) and 2SAT reasoning. Even though there were many studies demonstrate the effectiveness of 2SAT in representing real life problem, no innovation has been made to embed 2SAT logical rule inside AI. The current work only revolves in Satisfiable logic because higher order satisfiable logic can be reduced to lower order logic (Sathasivam, 2012). Table 2.3 shows list of important reviews on SAT problem.

Table 2.3	List of Important	Studies in	SAT Problem
-----------	-------------------	------------	-------------

Author(s)	Detail of the Studies	Summary and Findings
Davis and	Complete method to	The proposed method is able to solve
Putnam	solve SAT problem.	SAT effectively and became the eye
(1960)		opener to many researcher to develop
		improved SAT solver.
Cook (1971)	NP problem based on	The developed problem leads to
	Boolean Satisfiability.	restricted 2SAT problem.
Garey et al.	Maximum satisfiability	The proposed problem proved that
(1976)	problem as a new	maximum satisfiability problem
	satisfiability problem.	(MAXSAT) is NP problem.
Aspvall et al.	Strongly connected	The proposed method is able to find
(1979)	components (SCC) to	consistent interpretation for small
	solve 2SAT.	number of 2SAT variable.
Kohli et al.	Minimum satisfiability	The proposed satisfiability problem
(1994)	problem (MINSAT).	created a new variant in satisfiability
		problem and was proven NP
		problem.

Continuation of Table 2.3; List of Important Studies in SAT Problem

Mansor et al. (2017)	Artificial Immune System (AIS) integrated HNN to do MAX3SAT.	The result demonstrates the effectiveness of AIS in finding consistent interpretation in MAX3SAT.
Escalada- Imaz and Manya (1994)	Satisfiability aspect of Horn formulae.	The information obtained was particularly helpful when validating rule based system.
Bailey et al. (2001)	Majority satisfiability (MAJSAT) as a new variant of 2 Satisfiability problem.	The newly developed MAJSAT provide a new perspective in representing some NP and probabilistic class problem.
Sathasivam (2012b)	Deduction and induction for Horn Programming	The proposed method reduce logical redundancy during learning phase.
Hamadneh et al. (2012a)	in RBFNN.	The result obtained from the experiment proves that RBFNN can act as an intelligent system in Horn programming.
Sathasivam et al. (2014)	Higher order Horn formulae in representing logic programming.	The proposed Horn formulae provides a new perspective in representing logic programming with different orders.
Hamadneh (2013)	Higher order HornSAT in RBFNN.	The result obtained demonstrate the usage of higher order logic in representing real data set. Full learning of HornSAT is required to increase the chance of finding optimal solution.
Luo et al. (2015)	New form of weighted MAXSAT.	The result demonstrate the effectiveness of SLS algorithm to solve weighted maximum satisfiability problem.
Kasihmuddin et al. (2017)	Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) integrated HNN to do 2SAT.	The result shows that ABC is more robust than exhaustive search in performing random 2SAT logic programming.
Chu et al. (2019)	New SLS algorithm for MAXSAT called CCABMS.	Result showed that the proposed algorithm performed better than its state-of-the-art SLS competitors on a large number of industrial MAXSAT instances.

2.5 Genetic Algorithm

Originally, genetic algorithm (GA) conceived from the biological evolution of natural selection, survival of the fittest which was proposed by Darwin and firstly used

by Holland (1975). GA is an adaptive metaheuristic method based on improvement of chromosomes (Kumar et al., 2010). Worth mentioning that chromosomes in GA is defined as solution of the problem. GA utilized the probabilistic search algorithm by using chromosome to find near optimal solution (with minimum bias) to various optimization problem. Since chromosomes (solution) in GA are always improving in every generation (Hoque et al., 2007), there were many efforts by researchers to solve real life problem by using GA. Gong and Yang (2001) proposed GA for stereo image processing. The image is represented in terms of constraint assignments and solved by GA. Yasuda and Takai (2001) had applied GA for sensor-based mobile robot path planning with simulated obstacle. The proposed GA method is able to find near optimal orientation in shorter period of time. In another development, GA has been applied to job shop scheduling problem. Madureira et al. (2002) showed the feasibility of GA in dynamic job scheduling. However, there were many recent studies were conducted to solve variety of scheduling problem by using GA (Chang and Liu, 2015; De Giovanni and Pezzella, 2010; Lu et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017). SAT problems have been progressively solved by using GA. Since there were many real life problems that can be transform to SAT representation, solving SAT by using GA is considered fruitful and relevant. Harmeling (2000), Popov (2013), Zhang et al. (2015) and Li and Zhang (2016) developed a GA to solve SAT problem. The developed GA implemented selection, crossover and mutation operators to solve 3SAT problem. Fitness evaluation by the proposed technique is based on number of satisfied clause in a particular SAT problem. These techniques displayed reasonable outcome in terms of complexity and stability of the solution. Aiman and Asrar (2015) proposed GA in solving 3SAT. The proposed GA has been compared with exhaustive search method (ES). In this study, GA outperformed ES when the number of variable in 3SAT increased. The study was considered