

**GROWTH AND CHARACTERISATION OF LOW-K DIELECTRIC
MATERIAL USING SPIN-ON PROCESS**

By

AW KEAN CHIN

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

November 2002

Chapter 1. Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Associate Prof. Dr. Mat Johar, Encik Badrul, Encik Muthalib, Encik Jamil and Miss Ee Bee Choo of University Science Malaysia for their help with the CV-meter measurement, laboratory and clean-room support. I would also like to thank the management of Altera Corporation, Penang for the use of micro probing, HP Semiconductor Parameter Analyser, FESEM/EDX, Chemical Lab facility and etc. Last but not least, I like to extend my greatest sincere appreciation and thanks to Prof Dr. Kamarulazizi Ibrahim who has been a helpful and supportive supervisor throughout the duration of this research.

PENUMBUHAN DAN PENCIRIAN FILEM NIPIS DIELEKTRIK K-RENDAH MENGGUNAKAN PROSES PUTARAN

Abstrak

Dimensi didalam peranti mickro VLSI semakin berkurangan dengan satu objektif, iaitu untuk meningkatkan laju pengendalian. Tetapi terdapat komponen parasitik yang terkandung didalam peranti-mikro yang boleh membawa kesan terhadap laju pengendalian. Jika ketelusan relatif (k) dielektrik lapisan antara boleh dikurangkan, laju pengendalian akan ditingkatkan. Dengan ini filem nipis dielektrik k-rendah diperkenalkan. Filem nipis dielektrik k-rendah ialah bahan yang mempunyai ketelusan relatif, k kurang daripada 3.0. Kajian ini menggunakan filem nipis dielektrik k-rendah jenis Methylsilsequioxane (MSQ). Pemendapan MSQ menggunakan proses putaran yang berkos rendah. Pencirian kapasitor MOS yang difabrikasikan telah dilakukan untuk menentukan mekanisma yang terkandung bila diintegrasikan dengan logam Al dan Cu yang diendapkan dengan kaedah sejatan atau percikan. Kajian ini membawa kesimpulan yang kaedah pemendapan logam membawa kesan yang buruk terhadap MSQ berbanding dengan kaedah percikan. Manakala, kaedah percikan menyebabkan perbentukan "trap sites" di dalam MSQ yang akan menjerat ion-ion logam yang terpecik. Kesan Cu^+ dan Al^+ terhadap MSQ dikaji dan dua model gambarajah jalur tenaga diperkenalkan di dalam tesis ini untuk menerangkan mekanisma yang diperhatikan. Kajian ini juga menunjukkan yang peresapan terma boleh disekat oleh lapisan SiO_2 tetapi tidak dapat menyekat suntikan ion-ion logam. Dengan yang sedemikian satu lapisan sawar yang berlainan diperlukan. Tenaga pengaktifan yang menyebabkan peresapan terma juga dapat ditentukan dengan secara ringkas didalam kajian ini.

Pada keseluruhannya, MSQ ialah sesuatu bahan dielectrik k-rendah yang berpotensi tetapi memerlukan proses yang bersuhu rendah, iaitu 450°C dan ke bawah supaya MSQ tidak akan terurai dan juga sifat-sifat dan ciri-cirinya perlu difahami dengan lebih mendalam sebelum ia dapat diintegrasikan di dalam peranti mikro VLSI untuk pengeluaran besar-besaran.

Abstract

Device dimension in VLSI circuit constantly shrink with one main objective, i.e. increase in speed. However, there are other parasitic components in the VLSI circuit other than device dimension that can affect the speed of VLSI circuits. The reduction of dielectric constant of interlayer dielectric (ILD) material can improve the speed due to reduction in parasitic capacitance. Therefore, low dielectric constant (k) materials are being introduced. Low-k dielectric material is categorised as material having dielectric constant of less than 3.0. In this research Methylsilsequioxane (MSQ), which is a type of spin-on glass (SOG), is being used as it offers low fabrication cost. MOS capacitor structures were fabricated and electrically characterise to understand the underlying mechanism when integrated with evaporated or sputtered aluminium and copper metal. It was observed that evaporated metal in direct contact with MSQ is disastrous compared to sputtering technique due to high evaporation temperature. On the other hand, sputtered metal in direct contact with MSQ create trap sites close to the silicon interface, which can trap injected metal ions. Effect of Cu^+ and Al^+ were also studied and two band-diagram models were used to explain the observed mechanisms of evaporated and sputtered metal have been proposed as an outcome of these observations. It was also found that SiO_2 is not capable to prevent charge injection into MSQ layer to achieve a reliable VLSI circuit but is capable of preventing thermal diffusion through MSQ. The activation energy of diffusivity through MSQ was also approximately determined in this research using simple technique.

Therefore, a different barrier layer other than SiO₂ is still necessary. In addition, “low thermal budget” fabrication technique (450°C and below) is necessary to ensure that MSQ do not degrade or decompose which affects the quality and reliability of the fabricated VLSI circuit. In summary, MSQ SOG has proven to be a promising low-k dielectric, although there are still a lot of researches that need to continue to fully understand the properties and characteristics of this material before it can be integrated for mass production.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. RC CONSTANT.....	2
1.2. WHY LOW-K DIELECTRIC?.....	3
1.3. WHY COPPER?.....	5
1.4. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	8
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	10
2.1. OVERVIEW.....	10
2.2. TYPICAL SOG LOW-K DIELECTRIC.....	11
2.2.1. <i>Xerogel</i>	12
2.2.2. <i>Hydrogensilsesquioxane (HSQ)</i>	13
2.2.3. <i>Methylsilsesquioxane (MSQ)</i>	15
2.3. WHY USE MSQ IN THIS RESEARCH?.....	16
CHAPTER 3. THEORY.....	17
3.1. DIFFUSION.....	17
3.2. THERMAL ACTIVATION ENERGY.....	18
3.3. CV CURVES AND TRAPPED CHARGES.....	20
3.3.1. <i>Flat-band Voltage and Work Functions</i>	23
3.3.2. <i>Interface Traps</i>	28
3.4. I-V RELATIONSHIP.....	30
3.5. I-T RELATIONSHIP.....	31
3.6. DIELECTRIC BREAKDOWN VOLTAGE.....	32
CHAPTER 4. PROCESS AND EQUIPMENT.....	33
4.1. OVERVIEW.....	33
4.2. MOS CAPACITOR FABRICATION PROCESS AND EQUIPMENT.....	33
4.2.1. <i>RCA Clean</i>	35
4.2.2. <i>MSQ Deposition</i>	36
4.2.3. <i>Soft Bake</i>	37
4.2.4. <i>MSQ Cure</i>	38
4.2.5. <i>Metal Deposition</i>	40
4.2.5.1. Thermal Evaporation Systems.....	40
4.2.6. <i>Post Deposition Annealing</i>	45
4.2.7. <i>Silicon Dioxide Growth</i>	46
4.3. MEASUREMENT AND ANALYSIS EQUIPMENT.....	48
4.3.1. <i>Physical Analysis Equipment</i>	48
4.3.2. <i>Electrical Analysis Equipment</i>	50
4.3.2.1. I-V Curve.....	50
4.3.2.2. Breakdown Voltage.....	51
4.3.2.3. I – t Curve.....	51
4.3.2.4. C-V Curve.....	51
4.3.3. <i>Support Equipment</i>	52
4.3.3.1. Diamond Saw and Polisher.....	52
4.3.3.2. Micromanipulator Station.....	53

List of Figures

- Figure 1-1:** Total Interconnect Wiring Capacitance
- Figure 1-2:** Illustration on the effects of parasitic RC
- Figure 1-3:** Microprocessor Clock Frequency versus Interconnect Metal and ILD choices
- Figure 2-1:** Ladder-structure HSQ
- Figure 2-2:** Cage-structure HSQ
- Figure 2-3:** General Chemical Structures of MSQ
- Figure 3-1:** Plot of two different reaction rate of different mechanisms
- Figure 3-2:** A typical C-V Curve of MOS with p-substrate
- Figure 3-3:** Energy band and block charge diagram for p-type silicon under flat-band, accumulation, depletion and inversion condition
- Figure 3-4:** Type of charges in an Oxide or Dielectric
- Figure 3-5:** Ohmic contact's I-V characteristics
- Figure 4-1:** Cross-section of MOSC with Metal/MSQ/Si structure
- Figure 4-2:** Cross-section of MOSC with Metal/MSQ/SiO₂/Si structure
- Figure 4-3:** MOS Capacitor Fabrication Process Steps
- Figure 4-4:** Chemical Fume Hood used in this research
- Figure 4-5:** Photo-resist spinner used in this research
- Figure 4-6:** Programmable Hot Plate used in this research
- Figure 4-7:** High temperature furnace used in this research
- Figure 4-8:** Thermal Evaporator used in this research
- Figure 4-9:** Evaporation from a boat source
- Figure 4-10:** Vapour Pressure Curves for selected materials versus Temperature
- Figure 4-11:** Evaporation from a filament source

Figure 4-12: Sputtering Equipment used in this research

Figure 4-13: A cross section of a magnetron-sputtering configuration

Figure 4-14: Oxide thickness as a function of time with temperature as parameter.

Figure 4-15: FESEM/EDX system used in this research

Figure 4-16: High Magnification Microscope used in this research

Figure 4-17: Diamond Cutter by Buehler used in this research

Figure 4-18: Polisher by Buehler used in this research

Figure 4-19: Micromanipulator in a dark metal probe box used in this research

Figure 5-1: Film thickness versus spin speed

Figure 5-2. Cross-section view of MOSC used in this experiment.

Figure 6-1: Wavelength versus Refractive Index used by Filmetrics

Figure 6-2: MSQ film thickness across wafer

Figure 6-3: Cracked MSQ film due to temperature shock during soft bake

Figure 6-4: Poor Surface texture due to inconsistent spinner speed

Figure 6-5: Good MSQ surface texture

Figure 6-6: Elemental Spectrum of MSQ using EDX

Figure 6-7: Surface structure using FESEM of cured MSQ

Figure 6-8: Cross section of the MOS capacitor structure at 1000x (optical)

Figure 6-9: Leakage versus bake time for MOS capacitor with Al gate

Figure 6-10: Arrhenius Plot of MSQ Thermal Degradation

Figure 6-11: Leakage versus bake time for MOS capacitor with Cu gate

Figure 6-12: I_{leak} of MOS capacitor with Al gate versus operating temperature

Figure 6-13: I_{leak} of MOS capacitor with Cu gate versus operating temperature

Figure 6-14: I-t curve of BTS on MOS capacitors with Al and Cu gate annealed at 250°C

Figure 6-15: I-t curve of BTS on MOS capacitors with Al and Cu gate annealed at 350°C

- Figure 6-16:** C-V curve of MOS with evaporated Cu gate annealed at 250°C
- Figure 6-17:** I_{leak} versus t of MOSC-1 under BTS
- Figure 6-18:** I_{leak} versus t for MOSC-2 (Al gate) and MOSC-3 (Cu gate) under BTS
- Figure 6-19:** C-V curve in FVS mode of MOSC-2
- Figure 6-20:** C-V curve in FVS mode of MOSC-3
- Figure 6-21:** C-V Curve of MOSC-2 after voltage stress
- Figure 6-22:** C-V Curve of MOSC-3 after voltage stress
- Figure 6-23:** I_{leak} with respect to temperature
- Figure 6-24:** I versus t for MOSC-E and MOSC-S during BTS
- Figure 6-25:** C-V-curve of MOSC-S after +20V stress at the gate
- Figure 6-26:** C-V-curve of MOSC-S after -20V stress at the gate
- Figure 6-27:** Comparison of Yield Trend of various MOSC structure
- Figure 6-28:** I-V curves of MOS capacitor failures
- Figure 6-29:** I-V characteristics of MOSC failures (type a) after 350°C annealing
- Figure 6-30:** Electrical circuit model of MOSC failures
- Figure 6-31:** Comparison of MOS capacitor annealed (evaporated) at 350°C under BTS
- Figure 6-32:** Melting temperature versus relative BTS leakage current of evaporated MOSC
- Figure 7-1:** Graphical illustration comparing thermal diffusion of Al and Cu atoms
- Figure 7-2:** Graphical illustration to compare Al and Cu diffusion during annealing
- Figure 7-3:** Energy band diagram illustrating proposed Cu^+ injection under positive gate voltage.
- Figure 7-4:** Illustration of the effective insulation thickness (d_{eff}).
- Figure 7-5:** Energy band diagram describing the injection of inversion electrons and trapped in the SiO_2 layer.
- Figure 7-6:** C-V curve to demonstrate stretched-out effect of interface trap
- Figure 7-7:** Graphical illustration of Al^+ in evaporated and sputtered MOSC

Figure 7-8: MOSC-E after Al Gate Removal under FESEM

Figure 7-9: MOSC-S after Al gate Removal under FESEM

Figure 7-10: Band diagram used in depicting the kinetics of Al^+ injection under positive gate voltage being trapped in the MSQ by the interface traps.

List of Tables

Table 1-1: Cost model for SOD and CVD process to deposit low-k dielectric

Table 5-1: Typical spin-on process steps

Table 6-1: Measured Thickness versus Required Thickness

Table 6-2: Refractive Index used by Filmetrics for Thickness measurement

Table 6-3: Measured Dielectric Strength of various MOS capacitors structures

Table 6-4: Yield for Different Metal Deposition Process

Table 6-5: Yield equation for different type of Gate Metal

Chapter 2. Introduction

Low dielectric constant material is necessary in view of the quest to achieve higher speed. With the spacing between two metal lines becoming closer, the coupling capacitance of the metal wiring will become larger assuming that dielectric is still maintained as SiO₂ ($k = 4.0$). As device dimensions shrink to less than 0.25 μm , propagation delay, cross-talk noise and power dissipation due to resistance-capacitance (RC) coupling become significant due to increased wiring capacitance, especially interline capacitance between the metal lines on the same level. These factors all depend critically on the dielectric constant of the separating insulator. Figure 1-1 (Materials Research Society, Oct 1997) shows the capacitance versus the spacing for different values of dielectric constant and it is clear that the capacitance increases tremendously when the spacing is 0.25 μm and below.




Figure 1-1: Total Interconnect Wiring Capacitance

To illustrate the point, refer to Figure 1-1 with $k = 4$, the wiring capacitance is approximately 2.2pF/cm with 0.5 μ m spacing. In order to reduce the spacing to 0.25 μ m and keep the wiring capacitance constant, the k has to be reduced to 3. If the spacing is to be reduced further to 0.15 μ m and maintaining the capacitance at 2.2pF/cm, the k has to be reduced to 2. Reducing only the capacitance is not sufficient as the spacing will continue to become smaller and therefore the resistance of the wiring has to be reduced in order to reduce or maintain the RC constant.

2.1. RC Constant

Since coupling capacitance is affected by the dielectric constant (k) of the material in between the two metal lines, low- k dielectric material will reduce this coupling capacitance. Reducing this capacitance will therefore reduce the RC constant and in turn increase the switching speed of the VLSI circuits. However, as discussed earlier there is a limit where the capacitance can be reduced. The other parameter then need to be considered is the metal wiring resistance as both capacitance and resistance form the RC constant which affect the switching speed. Figure 1-2 illustrates the effect of parasitic RC due to the inter-metal lines coupling capacitance (C_1 and C_2) and wiring resistance (R_{metal}).




Figure 1-2: Illustration on the effects of parasitic RC

From Figure 1-2, the rise and fall time of the square wave will be longer due to the parasitic RC constant and this limits the maximum frequency. Therefore, it is necessary to reduce the dielectric constant (k) and wiring resistance to reduce the RC constant as the spacing dimension and the metal wiring cross sectional area become smaller. The solution is to use low- k dielectric material to replace SiO_2 and Cu to replace Al.

2.2. Why Low- k Dielectric?

There are three categories of low- k dielectric, i.e. low- k dielectric ($k < 3$), extra low- k dielectric ($k < 2.5$) and ultra low- k dielectric (< 2.0). In addition, these low- k materials are also categorised according to the deposition method, i.e. chemical vapour deposition (CVD) and spin on dielectric or spin-on glass (SOD or SOG).

In this research, SOD is used as it does not require expensive equipment and is easy to use and it is a low cost application compared to CVD type. Table 1-1 shows the comparison of various SOD/SOG and CVD processes in terms of cost and output rate.

Table 1-1: Cost model for SOD and CVD process to deposit low-k dielectric (Korczyński Ed, May 1999)

Process	Throughput (wafer/hour)	Precursor + clean (\$/wafer)	System cost (\$ millions)	Zero defect cost (\$/wafer)
SOD standard	80	3.0	1.0	5.0
SOD porous	60	3.0	1.2	5.5
PECVD SiOC	50	0.75	2.2	3.3
PECVD α -CF	25	0.75	2.2	5.2
PECVD cap	85	0.10	2.2	1.8

SOD has higher wafer throughput and lower equipment cost. However, it has higher defect and also requires higher wafer cleaning and precursor cost.

There are several properties similar to the SiO₂ that is required to enable low-k dielectric material to be considered for integration into VLSI circuit fabrication and is listed as follows: -

- High mechanical strength
- Good dimensional stability
- High thermal stability
- Ease of pattern and etch for sub-micron features
- Low moisture absorption and permeation
- Good adhesion
- Low stress
- Good etch selectivity to metal
- High thermal conductivity

- High dielectric strength
- Low leakage current
- Good gap filling and planarisation capability

This research concentrates on the study of MSQ produced by Filmtronics. Filmtronics 400F Methylsilsequioxane spin-on glass is based on a unique chemistry that yields a polymer with Si-CH₃ and Si-O bonds and has a low dielectric (k) of 2.6.

The several advantages of using spin-on dielectric (SOD) that has contributed to its selection for this research are summarised as follows: -

- Economical processing,
- Fast processing and higher throughput,
- No handling of toxic gases

2.3. Why Copper?

Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor (MOS) capacitors were used in this research, as it is easy to fabricate. Metal gate used were aluminium (Al) and copper (Cu) and the semiconductor material is p-type <100> silicon in the form of 2" wafer. Al or Cu together with MSQ were being research, as these are the commonly used metals in VLSI circuits with copper becoming more popular due to its lower resistivity compared to aluminium. Comparisons of microprocessor clock frequency versus interconnect metal and ILD choices are shown in Figure 1-3 (Sematech, Nov 1999).




Figure 1-3: Microprocessor Clock Frequency versus Interconnect Metal and ILD choices

Assuming a 100nm (0.1 μ m) minimum feature size (which will be available for mass production in year 2003) in Figure 1-3, Al/low-k and Cu/low-k integration provides a speed performance increase of 28% and 42% over Al/SiO₂ respectively. However, Cu has been increasing popular over Al because in comparison to Al it has lower resistance (2 Ω -cm for Cu versus 3 Ω -cm for Al) and better resistance to electro-migration that has led it to be the main material for the semiconductor industries' interconnect. Such a low resistant is vital for high-performance microprocessor and fast static RAMS, since this results in lower RC time delay, implying faster flow of signals. The advantages of using Cu are as follows: -

1. Copper enables the reduction of capacitance by making the metal lines thinner

Cu (or Cu/low-k combination) makes it possible to reduce the number of levels of metal because copper is more conductive than Al. This make it possible to make interconnect lines smaller yet still provides the same current- carrying capability. The positive implication is reduction of power consumption by at least 30% since copper resistance

is 30% lower than aluminium. This means improved performance in battery-powered applications, such as notebook computers and other mobile applications.

2. Copper enables a significant improvement in chip speed

The improvement will even be greater when copper is combined with low-k dielectrics shows a reduction in time delays with the copper/low-k approach compared to traditional Al/SiO₂ interconnects. At 0.13µm size, the delay for copper is half of Al, which implies speed enhancement with no sacrifice of device reliability

3. Superior resistance to electro-migration, a common problem faced in the Al interconnects.

Because copper can handle higher power densities, such as those in high-powered transistors, widens the application to a whole new range of analogue devices.

4. Copper helps in cost reduction

Because it is difficult to etch Cu, early Cu developers were forced into what has become known as damascene or in-laid integration to interconnect formation. In interconnect lines are created by first etching a trench or canal in a planar dielectric and then filling that trench with metal. The metal is then planarised with chemical-mechanical polishing.

Using low-k dielectrics between the metal lines makes even tighter packing density possible per layer and, therefore, allows the use of fewer layers. This leads to lower manufacturing costs and higher yields. Switching from Al to Cu would enable those 14 levels to be reduced to 12 levels; switching to both copper and low-k would enable a more realistic eight or nine or even maybe six levels of metals.

In dual damascene processing, a second level is involved where a series of holes (i.e., contacts or via holes) are etched and filled in addition to the trench. While experience is limited, researchers are now saying that the damascene approach, which eliminates metal etch and dielectric gap fill, will actually be 20% less expensive than traditional interconnect fabrication techniques.

2.4. Research Objectives

There are many researches that have been conducted and are still being conducted today to either search for a suitable low-k dielectric material or to improve their integration through understanding of the problems that arises. MSQ as ILD do posses several concerns as reported by many researchers that need to be addressed and understood through detail characterisation and research. However, there were many publications on the material and mechanical properties of MSQ, but, there are little work done on the electrical properties of MSQ. Therefore, this research main concentration is related to the electrical characterisation study of MSQ. This research aims to achieve the following objectives: -

- (a) To understand the effect of fabrication processes such as post deposition-annealing temperature towards the quality and reliability of MSQ.
- (b) To study the different properties and electrical characteristics of Aluminium and Copper gate deposited using evaporation and sputtering process.
- (c) To understand the quality and reliability problems of MSQ as ILD by subjecting to elevated stress conditions.
- (d) Finally, to propose model(s) that explain the observations made in this research based on the observations described above.

The outcome of this research is based on the availability of the facilities and equipment that were available for this research. This research has established sufficient understanding and background theory for the further continuation of this material (MSQ) and also development of various methods of integration. Some suggested future research is proposed in the Recommendation for Future Work section in the Conclusion chapter.

Chapter 3. Literature Review

3.1. Overview

There are many types of low-k dielectric and in general as presented in year 2001 by Dr Victor Ku of IBM at the J. Watson Research Centre that there are many problems with low-k dielectric materials. The problems ranges from fabrication process, mechanical properties to the reliability of devices utilising these low-k material as interlayer dielectric (ILD) material. However, the major problem of low-k dielectric material is due to the porosity. It has been reported that a rough surface on the dielectric contributes to barrier film failure, making it critical to have the pore size as small as possible and uniform.

The literature review conducted prior to this research is limited to the spin-on dielectric type as it offers great potential due to lower dielectric constant than its CVD counterpart and does not require expensive equipment and handling of toxic gases. However, due to the higher porosity, many problems arises that need to be understood. In addition, little reference to spin-on dielectric in the area of semiconductor physics is available, in which this research aims to establish.

The research on low-k dielectric material has started since the early 1990s. There were several achievements since 1996 as reported by S.C. Sun et al, “Thermally Stable Spin-on Low Dielectric Constant Films for ULSI Multilevel Interconnection” where he demonstrated the ability of spin-on dielectric for deep sub-micron CMOS process.

The mechanical properties and fabrication process integration with Cu with low-k dielectric has been widely studied such as reported by B. Zhoa et al, “Dual Damascene Interconnect of Copper and Low Permittivity Dielectric for High Performance Integrated Circuits”, *Electrochem and Solid States Letter*, 1998 where he demonstrated

the possibility of integrating low-k dielectric material with Cu wiring. However, this paper only concentrates on via resistances and leakage current as evidence of its suitability and reliability.

In another paper by Abbe T. Kohl et al, “Low k, Porous Methyl Silsesquioxane and Spin-on Glass”, *Electrochem and Solid States Letter*, 1999 where his work only concentrates on the possibility of lowering the relative permittivity (k) by increasing the number of pores and only demonstrated that its material properties is stable.

Alvin L.S. Loke et al, “Kinetics of Copper Drift in Low-k Polymer Interlevel Dielectric”, *IEEE Trans on Electron Devices*, 1999 proposed a model on the drift of copper ions to identify that copper barrier is required for reliable integration on non-SOG low-k dielectric material.

There is a recent paper by Kwang-Hua Kim et al, “Preparation and Characterisation of Low Dielectric Methyl Silsesquioxane (MSSQ) Thin Film”, *Journal of the Korean Physical Society*, 2001. This paper only demonstrated the electrical characteristic by studying the dielectric electrical breakdown voltage and leakage current over time.

Therefore, it is essential to conduct a research to fully characterise the low-k dielectric when integrated with Al and Cu deposited with different metal deposition method and to propose a comprehensive model to explain the mechanism, which is the main objective of this research. However, in this research the metal deposition method used were limited to thermal evaporation and sputtering only.

3.2. Typical SOG Low-k Dielectric

This research only concentrates on the low-k dielectric material that is of spin-on dielectric or spin-on glass (SOD or SOG) type due to the various reasons discussed earlier. There are several types of SOG low-k dielectric material that is commercially

available such as Xerogel, Hydrogensilsesquioxane (HSQ), Methylsilsesquioxane (MSQ) and fluorinated and non-fluorinated poly-arylene ether (PAE) as reported by Ed Korczynski in the *Millennium Series in the Solid State Technology*, May 1999. Despite the wide variety, they all use a similar process flow; spin, soft bake and then cure. Thus, though they have different microstructures and material properties, they can all be considered within the same process family. Xerogels and other SODs with overly porous structures require tightly controlled molecular cross-linking to produce two-phase films. This step occurs within the solvent evaporation soft-bake series, and chemical and thermal ambient control may necessitate more expensive tooling. This, it is assumed that this hardware will be physically located inside the spin-on tool with only minimal additional expense. Parylene, though a potentially useful material, will not be discussed here because it is still in the preliminary stage. Below are the brief details of SOD/SOG type of low-k dielectric material: -

3.2.1. Xerogel

Xerogel (also termed nanogels and nanofoams) is a micro porous network of silica with high thermal stability and a low thermal expansion coefficient. Its porosity can be tuned in the deposition process to deliver a dielectric constant from near 1 to 3. Significant lowering of the dielectric constant can be obtained with increased porosity in which a greater fraction of the bulk volume contains air. Porosity in solid can be achieved in a variety of ways but the preferred method would directly have uniform porosity and small spatial entities.

The SiO₂-based chemical nature is appealing in that it is familiar to the integrated circuit community and represents a logical extension of existing SiO₂ and spin-on-glass materials. Xerogel precursor is TEOS. Recent Xerogel process improvements have produced an ILD (inter-layer dielectric) film with low-k value, low electrical leakage,

high breakdown strength, high thermal stability, good adhesion, low moisture absorption and high mechanical strength.

However, there are some unanswered questions associated with Xerogel such as: -

- Are all pores smaller than microelectronic features?
- What are their mechanical properties?
- What is their thermal stability?