

**DEVELOPMENT OF SUPERSULFATED SELF-  
CONSOLIDATING CONCRETE  
INCORPORATING HIGH-VOLUME OF  
INDUSTRIAL BY-PRODUCTS**

**NURSHAFARINA BINTI JASME**

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**2020**

**DEVELOPMENT OF SUPERSULFATED SELF-  
CONSOLIDATING CONCRETE  
INCORPORATING HIGH-VOLUME OF  
INDUSTRIAL BY-PRODUCT**

by

**NURSHAFARINA BINTI JASME**

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**July 2020**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Benevolent and Most Merciful. *Alhamdulillah*, I am grateful to Allah for allowing me to successfully complete this thesis with His blessings and to bestow upon me with the passion and perseverance I needed to complete this postgraduate journey. My acknowledgement and greatest gratitude should firstly go to my supervisor, Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Cheah Chee Ban for his guidance, the valuable lessons he imparted, his constructive criticisms, sound advice and constant motivations. Not to forget, to all researchers in the Centre of Novel Construction Engineering Research (CONCERN) for all the help they have given me as well as the sharing of knowledge throughout these years. I also would like to acknowledge the technical staff in HBP's Testing Unit for their guidance on the correct use of technical equipment in the laboratory. Lastly, with all humility, I would like to express my sincere thank you to my mother, Halimah Abdul Aziz for the never-ending encouragement and motivation that she had given me, especially when I almost give up. This thesis is especially to her. Also, thank you to the Schmidt family for their support and encouragement throughout my studies and others who had involved directly or indirectly contributed towards the completion of this thesis.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	<b>xxii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>xxv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Statement of Problem .....	4
1.3 Research aims and objective .....	6
1.4 Significance of the research .....	7
1.5 Scope of the research.....	8
1.6 Thesis layout .....	9
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>11</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	11
2.2 The recycling of industrial by-product as a concrete constituent.....	11
2.3 The utilisation of industrial by-product as binder materials in concrete.....	12
2.3.1 Fly ash.....	15
2.3.2 Ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) .....	21
2.3.3 Supersulfated, sulfate-activated and sulfate-based cement.....	25
2.3.3(a) Hydration mechanism.....	29
2.3.3(b) Strength performance .....	36
2.3.3(c) Durability performance .....	40
2.3.3(d) Dimensional stability performance .....	43

2.4	Industrial by-product as aggregate in conventional concrete.....	45
2.4.1	Quarry waste as aggregate in conventional concrete.....	46
2.4.1(a)	Engineering performance of mortar or concrete containing quarry GQW as aggregate .....	47
2.4.1(b)	Durability performance of mortar or concrete containing quarry GQW as aggregate .....	49
2.4.2	Steelmaking slag as aggregate in conventional concrete.....	50
2.4.2(a)	Mechanical performance of steel slag aggregate.....	55
2.4.2(b)	The durability of concrete containing steel slag aggregate.....	56
2.4.2(c)	Effect of steel slag on drying shrinkage .....	58
2.4.2(d)	Microstructure properties of concrete containing steel slag.....	59
2.5	Self-consolidating concrete .....	60
2.5.1	Rheology of self-consolidating concrete.....	62
2.5.2	Influence of industrial by-product on fresh properties of self-consolidating concrete.....	69
2.5.3	Influence of industrial by-product on mechanical properties of self-consolidating concrete.....	78
2.5.4	Shrinkage properties of SCC containing industrial by-product.....	83
2.5.5	Influence of industrial by-product on durability performance of self-consolidating concrete.....	85
2.5.5(a)	Resistance to aggressive environment.....	86
2.5.5(b)	Chloride binding characteristic.....	87
2.5.5(c)	Porosity properties.....	89
2.5.5(d)	Water absorption .....	89
2.6	Industrial by-products aggregate in self-consolidating concrete.....	90
2.6.1	Quarry waste as aggregates in self-consolidating concrete .....	90
2.6.2	Steel making slag as aggregates in self-consolidating concrete ....	92
2.6.2(a)	Influence of steel making slag on fresh properties of self-consolidating concrete .....	92

2.6.3	Influence of steel making slag on engineering properties of self-consolidating concrete.....	96
2.7	Summary of the literature review .....	97
2.8	Knowledge gap analysis .....	104
<b>CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND MATERIALS CHARACTERISTIC .....</b>		<b>108</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	108
3.2	Research Methodology .....	108
3.3	Tests for the characteristics of binder materials.....	111
3.3.1	Method for determination of specific gravity of binder materials	111
3.3.2	Determination of fineness of binder materials.....	112
3.3.3	X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis (XRF) .....	114
3.3.4	X-Ray Diffraction analysis (XRD) .....	115
3.3.5	Loss on ignition (LOI) .....	116
3.4	Tests for supersulfated binder paste .....	117
3.4.1	Standard consistency and setting times.....	117
3.5	Investigation of freshly mix self-consolidating concrete .....	118
3.5.1	Slump flow and T <sub>500</sub> .....	119
3.5.2	L-Box Test .....	120
3.6	Test method in determination of engineering properties of the supersulfated SCC .....	122
3.6.1	Bulk Density .....	122
3.6.2	Ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV).....	123
3.6.3	Flexural Strength.....	124
3.6.4	Compressive Strength .....	125
3.7	Test method in determination of durability properties of the supersulfated SCC .....	126
3.7.1	Water absorption .....	126
3.7.2	Total Porosity Test.....	127

3.7.3	Intrinsic air permeability .....	128
3.7.4	Chloride permeability .....	130
	3.7.4(a) Sample preparation.....	131
	3.7.4(b) Chloride test procedure .....	131
3.7.5	Drying shrinkage.....	133
3.7.6	Sulfate resistance.....	135
3.7.7	Microstructure of self-consolidating concrete .....	135
3.7.8	Supersulfated binder paste characterization by thermogravimetry .....	137
3.7.9	Surface area of binder paste assessment .....	138
3.8	Materials.....	138
3.8.1	Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS) .....	139
3.8.2	Fly ash.....	141
3.8.3	Calcium sulfate dihydrate (Gypsum) .....	142
3.8.4	Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC).....	144
3.8.5	Fine aggregate .....	144
3.8.6	Coarse aggregates .....	145
3.9	Development and formulation of supersulfated binder .....	146
	3.9.1 Optimisation on the hybridisation ratio of GGBS and fly ash.....	147
	3.9.2 Optimisation of superplasticizer .....	152
3.10	Development and formulation of supersulfated SCC .....	153
<b>CHAPTER 4 FRESH, ENGINEERING AND SHRINKAGE PROPERTIES OF SUPERSULFATED SELF-CONSOLIDATING CONCRETE 158</b>		
4.1	Fresh properties of supersulfated binder paste and SCC.....	158
	4.1.1 Standard consistency of binder paste .....	158
	4.1.2 Initial and final setting times of binder paste.....	160
	4.1.3 Water demand of supersulfated SCC.....	161
	4.1.4 Slump flow test of supersulfated SCC .....	162

4.1.5	Slump flow time ( $T_{500}$ ) of supersulfated SCC .....	164
4.1.6	Visual Stability Index of supersulfated SCC .....	165
4.1.7	L-Box blocking ratio test of supersulfated SCC .....	170
4.2	Engineering properties of supersulfated SCC .....	171
4.2.1	Bulk density of hardened supersulfated SCC.....	171
4.2.2	Compressive strength of supersulfated SCC.....	175
4.2.3	Flexural strength of supersulfated SCC .....	179
4.2.4	Discussion on compressive and flexural strength .....	183
	4.2.4(a) The relationship between compressive strength and flexural strength.....	184
4.2.5	Ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) of supersulfated SCC.....	188
	4.2.5(a) The relationship between UPV and compressive strength... ..	191
4.3	Drying shrinkage of Supersulfated SCC .....	194
<b>CHAPTER 5 DURABILITY PROPERTIES AND MICROSTRUCTURE</b>		
<b>DEVELOPMENT OF SUPERSULFATED SELF-</b>		
<b>CONSOLIDATING CONCRETE..... 197</b>		
5.1	Overview .....	197
5.2	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis of supersulfated paste.....	198
5.3	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) analysis of supersulfated paste .....	199
5.4	Water Absorption of supersulfated SCC .....	202
5.5	Pore Structure analysis of supersulfated SCC.....	205
	5.5.1 Porosity of supersulfated SCC .....	205
	5.5.2 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area analysis.....	208
5.6	Relationship between porosity and water absorption.....	210
5.7	The relationship between porosity and compressive strength.....	213
5.8	Intrinsic air permeability of supersulfated SCC .....	216
	5.8.1 Relationship between intrinsic air permeability and water absorption .....	219



5.8.2	Relationship between intrinsic air permeability and compressive strength.....	222
5.8.3	Relationship between intrinsic air permeability and total porosity .....	225
5.9	Performance of supersulfated SCC under an aggressive exposure environment .....	228
5.9.1	Chloride penetration.....	228
5.9.2	Resistance to sulfate attack .....	234
	5.9.2(a) Length change of SCC after immersion in MgSO <sub>4</sub> solution.. .....	234
	5.9.2(b) Weight change of SCC after immersion in MgSO <sub>4</sub> solution. ....	236
5.9.3	Resistance to sulfuric acid.....	238
5.10	Microstructure analysis of supersulfated paste .....	241
<b>CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>		<b>271</b>
6.1	Overview .....	271
6.2	Development of supersulfated binder and SCC .....	271
6.3	Rheological and engineering properties of GGBS-fly ash supersulfated SCC .....	272
6.4	Microstructure and durability properties of GGBS-fly ash supersulfated paste and SCC.....	273
6.5	Recommendation for application .....	275
6.6	Recommendations for future research.....	275
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>		<b>277</b>
<b>STANDARDS</b>		
<b>PUBLICATIONS</b>		

## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>Page</b>
Table 2.1	Categories and nature of solid waste and their recycling application potential..... 13
Table 2.2	Fly ash requirement to be used in concrete by various relevant standards..... 18
Table 2.3	The main chemical composition of fly ash used by various researchers from a different geographical location .....20
Table 2.4	The hydration products of GGBS activated with various activators .....23
Table 2.5	Reaction degree of slag and fly ash in a composite binder as determined by backscattered electron (BSE) image at three days .....25
Table 2.6	Sources of CaSO <sub>4</sub> and their by-products .....27
Table 2.7	The binder constituent of supersulfated SCC from previous studies .....28
Table 2.8	Chemical composition of GGBS from different researchers .....31
Table 2.9	Strength performance of mix containing sulfate sources as a binder or activated materials in GGBS system .....39
Table 2.10	Aggregate derived from industrial by-product/solid waste used in concrete or mortar .....46
Table 2.11	Strength performance of conventional concrete or mortar containing quarry waste as aggregate .....49
Table 2.12	Strength gain performance of carbonated steel slag and their CO <sub>2</sub> uptake .....53
Table 2.13	Testing properties and method of accessing SCC fresh properties....64
Table 2.14	Suitable application for a specific range of flow characteristic .....65

Table 2.15	Fresh properties of SCC containing industrial by-product as binder by numerous studies.....	76
Table 2.16	Strength performance of SCC containing industrial by-products as a binder.....	81
Table 2.17	Summary of SCC made with iron or steel slag aggregate from numerous studies.....	95
Table 2.18	Knowledge gap analysis of literature.....	105
Table 3.1	Summary of the experimental programme.....	110
Table 3.2	Viscosity of air at the test temperature.....	114
Table 3.3	The chemical compositions of GGBS, fly ash, gypsum and OPC via XRF analysis.....	140
Table 3.4	Mix formulation for GGBS – fly ash optimisation.....	148
Table 3.5	Mix formulation for supersulfated mortar with several types of gypsum.....	152
Table 3.6	Targeted properties of supersulfated SCC.....	155
Table 3.7	Mix optimisation for binder fine-coarse aggregate content of SCC with granite stone as coarse aggregate.....	156
Table 3.8	Mix optimisation for binder fine-coarse aggregate content of SCC with EAF slag as coarse aggregate.....	156
Table 3.9	Final mix design for long term testing of SCC containing granite stone as coarse aggregate (Series G).....	157
Table 3.10	Final mix design for long term testing containing EAF slag as coarse aggregate (Series E).....	157
Table 4.1	Criteria of Visual Stability Index based on ASTM C161.....	166
Table 4.2	Visual stability index for Control and Supersulfated SCC for G and E series.....	167
Table 4.3	Normalised bulk density against Control SCC for series G and E ..	174
Table 4.4	Compressive strength and normalised compressive strength against Control concrete.....	178

Table 4.5	Flexural strength and normalise flexural strength against Control..	182
Table 4.6	Concrete classification based on UPV values .....	189
Table 5.1	Surface area and average pore width obtained by nitrogen adsorption of SCC paste at 28 days .....	209
Table 5.2	Intrinsic air permeability of SCC for series G and E for various curing ages. ....	217
Table 5.3	Chloride content in SCC prism (% by weight of binder).....	233
Table 5.4	Atomic ratio of EDX area at 500 times magnification for the control, PC10WG0, PC6WG4 and PC0WG10 mixes at 7 days curing.....	243
Table 5.5	Atomic ratio of EDX point at 5000 times magnification for Control, PC10WG0, PC6WG4 and PC0WG10 mix at 7 days curing.....	246
Table 5.6	Atomic ratio of EDX point at 10,000 times magnification for Control, PC10WG0, PC6WG4 and PC0WG10 mix at 7 days curing.....	249
Table 5.7	Atomic ratio of EDX area at 500 times magnification for the control, PC10WG0, PC4WG6 and PC0WG10 at 28 days curing ...	252
Table 5.8	Atomic ratio of EDX area at 5000 times magnification for the control, PC10WG0, PC4WG6 and PC0WG10 at 28 days curing ...	255
Table 5.9	Atomic ratio of EDX area at 10,000 times magnification for the control, PC10WG0, PC4WG6 and PC0WG10 at 28 days curing ...	258
Table 5.10	Atomic ratio of EDX point at 500 times magnification for the control, PC10WG0, PC6WG4 and PC0WG10 mixes at 182 days curing.....	261
Table 5.11	Atomic ratio of EDX point at 5000 times magnification for the control, PC10WG0, PC6WG4 and PC0WG10 mixes at 182 days curing.....	264

Table 5.12	Atomic ratio of EDX point at 10 000 times magnification for the control, PC10WG0, PC6WG4 and PC0WG10 mixes at 182 days curing.....	267
Table 6.1	Suggested application for Supersulfated SCC from this research ...	275

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>Page</b>
Figure 2.1	The chemical composition of primary cementitious materials ..... 14
Figure 2.2	Compressive strength development of GGBS and OPC mortar with different fineness .....24
Figure 2.3	The XRD of 28 days hydration products of supersulfated (SSC), Portland slag cement (PSC) and OPC .....33
Figure 2.4	The SEM image of 7 and 28 days hydration products of supersulfated paste .....34
Figure 2.5	Isothermal conduction calorimetry of supersulfated binder.....36
Figure 2.6	Pore structure graph for SCC, PSC and OPC binder paste .....41
Figure 2.7	The physical condition of the paste after 3 months immersion in NaSO <sub>4</sub> .....42
Figure 2.8	By-product produce from steelmaking industry from the different route.....52
Figure 2.9	Physical observation of BOF slag after before and after weather treatment.....55
Figure 2.10	Microstructure (left) and image analysis of porosity in black colour (right).....60
Figure 2.11	Concrete rheology by application .....61
Figure 2.12	The schematic comparison between ordinary concrete and SCC composition .....62
Figure 2.13	Effect of varying proportion of concrete constituent on concrete rheology by Bingham fluid .....63
Figure 2.14	Potential application of SCC based on fresh properties range, adapted from EFNARC (2005) and Walraven (2003) .....66

Figure 2.15	Mini flow cone (a) and mini V-funnel (b) to access the flowability and viscosity of self-consolidating mortar .....	66
Figure 2.16	Dynamic segregation recorded in a concrete wall at the sample ranged up to 17.1 m.....	67
Figure 2.17	Observation of similar 650 mm slump-flow for non-visibly (left) and visibly (right) segregation mix having 5% and 0% VMA respectively. ....	69
Figure 2.18	Superplasticizer based approach .....	71
Figure 2.19	Flow curve of grouts (a) with GGBS and (b) limestone powder as a mineral addition.....	73
Figure 2.20	FESEM images of self-consolidating geopolymer concrete with different SP dosage .....	74
Figure 2.21	SEM pictures of concrete with 30 % GGBS with 0.4 (left) and 0.45 w/b ratio .....	79
Figure 2.22	The drying shrinkage versus the weight loss (%) .....	83
Figure 2.23	Concrete without GGBS (left) and deteriorated concrete with 80% GGBS (right) after 120 freeze-thaw cycle .....	86
Figure 2.24	Chloride presentation in hardened cement.....	88
Figure 2.25	Atomized steel slag aggregate.....	93
Figure 3.1	Blane fineness apparatus .....	112
Figure 3.2	PANanalytical X-Ray Fluorescence Axios Max X-Ray spectrometer used for XRF analysis. ....	115
Figure 3.3	Bruker A8 Advanced X-Ray diffractometer used for XRD analysis .....	116
Figure 3.4	Vicat needle apparatus .....	118
Figure 3.5	Dimension of baseplate based on MS EN 12350: Part 2 .....	120
Figure 3.6	General assembly of L-Box testing equipment with dimension in mm based on MS EN 12350: Part 10.....	122

Figure 3.7	Flexural and compressive strength test using GoTech Universal Testing Machine.....	125
Figure 3.8	Water porosity by immersion under vacuum set up.....	128
Figure 3.9	Schematic diagram of air permeability cell .....	129
Figure 3.10	Leeds permeameter for the determination of intrinsic air permeability of supersulfated SCC .....	130
Figure 3.11	2D drawing of the plan, front and side elevation view of the concrete prism together with extraction location of 25 mm core samples.....	131
Figure 3.12	Chloride content analysis test materials and equipment .....	133
Figure 3.13	Shrinkage assessment setup for supersulfated SCC.....	134
Figure 3.14	Specimens immersed in MgSO <sub>4</sub> solution.....	135
Figure 3.15	FEI Quanta FEG 650 machine to study the microstructure of supersulfated SCC.....	137
Figure 3.16	Quorum Q150TS metallic coating mechine.....	137
Figure 3.17	(a) GGBS powder and (b) SEM image of GGBS under 3000 x magnification.....	140
Figure 3.18	X-Ray diffraction analysis graph of GGBS powder. ....	141
Figure 3.19	Fly ash powder .....	142
Figure 3.20	X-Ray diffraction analysis graph of fly ash. ....	142
Figure 3.21	(a) Gypsum powder and (b) SEM image of gypsum powder under 5000x magnification.....	143
Figure 3.22	X-Ray diffraction analysis graph of gypsum. ....	143
Figure 3.23	OPC powder .....	144
Figure 3.24	Washed quarry dust.....	145
Figure 3.25	Coarse aggregates used in this study (a) crush granite stone and (b) EAF slag.....	146
Figure 3.26	The image of EAF slag under 1.5x magnification .....	146



Figure 3.27	Compressive strength of Phase 1 mix design at 7 and 28 days.....	149
Figure 3.28	Flexural strength of Phase 1 mix design at 7 and 28 days. ....	150
Figure 3.29	Determination of saturation point with different w/b ratio .....	153
Figure 4.1	Standard consistency of the control and supersulfated paste with 0.6% SP and without SP. ....	159
Figure 4.2	Initial and final setting times of the control and supersulfated pastes with 0.6% SP. ....	160
Figure 4.3	Initial and final setting times of the control and supersulfated paste without SP. ....	161
Figure 4.4	Water to binder (w/b) ratio for series G and E.....	162
Figure 4.5	Slump flow diameter for Control and Supersulfated SCC for series G and E.....	164
Figure 4.6	The T <sub>500</sub> slump flow time for series G and E.....	165
Figure 4.7	Visual stability index requirement .....	166
Figure 4.8	L-Box blocking ratio test for series G and E.....	171
Figure 4.9	Bulk density for series G.....	173
Figure 4.10	Bulk density for series E .....	174
Figure 4.11	Compressive strength development for series G.....	176
Figure 4.12	Compressive strength development for series E. ....	177
Figure 4.13	Flexural strength of SCC for mix design of series G at various curing ages .....	180
Figure 4.14	Flexural strength of SCC for mix design of series E at various curing ages .....	181
Figure 4.15	Regression curve of compressive strength and flexural strength of SCC for series G up to 270 days .....	186
Figure 4.16	Regression curve of compressive strength and flexural strength of SCC for series E up to 270 days.....	187

Figure 4.17	Ultrasonic pulse velocity reading for series G at various curing ages.....	190
Figure 4.18	Ultrasonic pulse velocity reading for series E at various curing ages.....	190
Figure 4.19	Relationship between UPV and compressive strength of supersulfated SCC for series G .....	193
Figure 4.20	Relationship between UPV and compressive strength of supersulfated SCC for series E.....	193
Figure 4.21	The length change of control and the supersulfated SCC for series G subjected to control drying environment up to 270 days. ....	196
Figure 4.22	The length change of control and the supersulfated SCC for series E subjected to control drying environment up to 270 days.....	196
Figure 5.1	XRD result of supersulfated paste PC10WG0, PC4WG6 and PC0WG10 at 28 curing age.....	199
Figure 5.2	28 days TGA curve of the control and supersulfated paste .....	201
Figure 5.3	28 days DTA curve of the control and supersulfated paste .....	202
Figure 5.4	The water absorption of supersulfated SCC for series G.....	204
Figure 5.5	The water absorption of supersulfated SCC for series E .....	205
Figure 5.6	Total porosity of SCC series G at various curing ages .....	207
Figure 5.7	Total porosity of SSC series E at various curing ages .....	208
Figure 5.8	Cumulative pore volume of Control, PC10WG0, PC4WG6 and PC0WG10 at 28 days curing.....	210
Figure 5.9	The relationship between water absorption and porosity of the control and supersulfated SCC for series G .....	211
Figure 5.10	The relationship between water absorption and porosity of Control and supersulfated SCC for series E.....	212
Figure 5.11	The relationship between compressive strength and porosity for series G.....	214

Figure 5.12	The relationship between compressive strength and porosity for series E .....	215
Figure 5.13	Intrinsic air permeability for s G .....	218
Figure 5.14	Intrinsic air permeability for series E.....	219
Figure 5.15	Relationship between water absorption and intrinsic air permeability for the control and Supersulfated SCC for series G....	220
Figure 5.16	Relationship between water adsorption and intrinsic air permeability for the control and Supersulfated SCC for series E ....	221
Figure 5.17	Relationship between compressive strength and intrinsic air permeability of Control and Supersulfated SCC for series G .....	223
Figure 5.18	Relationship between compressive strength and intrinsic air permeability of Control and Supersulfated SCC for series E .....	224
Figure 5.19	Relationship between porosity and intrinsic air permeability for the control and Supersulfated SCC in series G .....	226
Figure 5.20	Relationship between porosity and intrinsic air permeability for the control and Supersulfated SCC in series E .....	227
Figure 5.21	Chloride profile for SCC prism after continuous immersion in 4 % NaCl for 28 days. ....	231
Figure 5.22	Chloride profile for SCC prism after continuous immersion in 4 % NaCl for 90 days. ....	231
Figure 5.23	Chloride profile for SCC prism after continuous immersion in 4% NaCl for 182 days. ....	232
Figure 5.24	Chloride profile for SCC prism after continuous immersion in 4% NaCl for 270 days. ....	232
Figure 5.25	Length change of SCC for series G upon immersion into MgSO <sub>4</sub> solution.....	235
Figure 5.26	Length change of SCC for series E upon immersion into MgSO <sub>4</sub> solution.....	236

Figure 5.27	Weight change of SCC concrete upon immersion into MgSO <sub>4</sub> solution for Series G. ....	237
Figure 5.28	Weight change of SCC upon immersion into MgSO <sub>4</sub> solution for Series E.....	237
Figure 5.29	Weight change of selected SCC under immersion of 5 % H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ...	239
Figure 5.30	Visual appearance of Control G immersion with 5% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> for 90 days .....	240
Figure 5.31	Visual appearance of GPC4WG6 (left) and EPC4WG6 (right) after immersion with 5% H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> for 90 days.....	241
Figure 5.32	(a) SEM image at 500 times magnification of the control paste at 7 days curing age.....	243
Figure 5.32	(b) SEM image at 500 times magnification of PC10WG0 paste at 7 days curing age.....	244
Figure 5.32	(c) SEM image at 500 times magnification of PC4WG6 paste at 7 days curing age.....	244
Figure 5.32	(d) SEM image at 500 times magnification of PC0WG10 paste at 7 days curing age.....	245
Figure 5.33	(a) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of the control paste at 7 days curing age.....	246
Figure 5.33	(b) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of PC10WG0 paste at 7 days curing age.....	247
Figure 5.33	(c) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of PC4WG6 paste at 7 days curing age.....	247
Figure 5.33	(d) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of PC0WG10 paste at 7 days curing age.....	248
Figure 5.34	(a) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of the control paste at 7 days curing age.....	249
Figure 5.34	(b) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of PC10WG0 paste at 7 days curing age.....	250

Figure 5.34	(c) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of PC4WG6 paste at 7 days curing age.....	250
Figure 5.34	(d) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of PC0WG10 paste at 7 days curing age.....	251
Figure 5.35	(a) SEM image at 500 times magnification of the control paste at 28 days curing age.....	252
Figure 5.35	(b) SEM image at 500 times magnification of PC10WG0 paste at 28 days curing age.....	253
Figure 5.35	(c) SEM image at 500 times magnification of PC4WG6 paste at 28 days curing age.....	253
Figure 5.35	(d) SEM image at 500 times magnification of PC0WG10 paste at 28 days curing age.....	254
Figure 5.36	(a) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of the control paste at 28 days curing age.....	255
Figure 5.36	(b) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of PC10WG0 paste at 28 days curing age.....	256
Figure 5.36	(c) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of PC4WG6 paste at 28 days curing age.....	256
Figure 5.36	(d) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of PC0WG10 paste at 28 days curing age.....	257
Figure 5.37	(a) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of the control paste at 28 days curing age.....	258
Figure 5.37	(a) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of PC10WG0 paste at 28 days curing age.....	259
Figure 5.37	(c) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of PC4WG6 paste at 28 days curing age.....	259
Figure 5.37	(d) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of PC0WG10 paste at 28 days curing age.....	260
Figure 5.38	(a) SEM image at 500 times magnification of the control paste at 182 days curing age.....	262

Figure 5.38	(b) SEM image at 500 times magnification of PC10WG0 paste at 182 days curing age.....	262
Figure 5.38	(c) SEM image at 500 times magnification of PC4WG6 paste at 182 days curing age.....	263
Figure 5.38	(d) SEM image at 500 times magnification of PC0WG10 paste at 182 days curing age.....	263
Figure 5.39	(a) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of the control paste at 182 days curing age.....	265
Figure 5.39	(b) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of PC10WG0 paste at 182 days curing age.....	265
Figure 5.39	(c) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of PC4WG6 paste at 182 days curing age.....	266
Figure 5.39	(d) SEM image at 5000 times magnification of PC0WG10 paste at 182 days curing age.....	266
Figure 5.40	(a) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of the control paste at 182 days curing age.....	268
Figure 5.40	(b) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of PC10WG0 paste at 182 days curing age.....	268
Figure 5.40	(c) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of PC4WG6 paste at 182 days curing age.....	269
Figure 5.40	(d) SEM image at 10,000 times magnification of PC0WG10 paste at 182 days curing age.....	269

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society of Testing Materials
BS	British Standard
BET	Brunauer, Emmett and Teller Surface Analysis
BOF	Basic Oxygen Furnace Slag
CDW	Construction and Demolition Waste
CH	Calcium Hydroxide
C-S-H	Calcium Silicate Hydrate
EAF	Electric Arc Furnace
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis
GGBS	Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag
GQW	Granite Quarry Waste
HRWA	High Range Water Reducer
LOI	Loss of Ignition
LQW	Limestone Quarry Waste
OPC	Ordinary Portland Cement
RH	Relative humidity
SCC	Self-Consolidating Concrete
SCM	Supplementary Cementitious Materials
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
SF	Silica Fume
SP	Superplasticizer
TGA	Thermogravimetric Analysis
UPV	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity
VMA	Viscosity Modifying Admixture
w/b	Water to binder Ratio
XRD	X-Ray Diffractometry
XRF	X-Ray Fluorescence

**PEMBANGUNAN KONKRIT MEMADAT SENDIRI SUPERSULFATED  
DENGAN MENGANDUNGI PRODUK SAMPINGAN PERINDUSTRIAN  
YANG TINGGI**

**ABSTRAK**

Produk sampingan industri ialah salah satu bahan simen alternatif yang menarik dan berupaya mengurangkan penggunaan sumber asli serta menggalakkan aktiviti kitar semula. Salah satu cara penggunaan bahan ini dalam komposisi yang tinggi adalah dengan menggunakannya di dalam sistem pengikat *supersulfated*. Walau bagaimanapun, pengetahuan rangka kerja terkini mengenai sifat-sifat pengikat *supersulfated* adalah terhad dan perlu diberi perhatian. Oleh itu, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk menilai sifat-sifat konkrit memadat sendiri (SCC) *supersulfated* dengan menggabungkan produk sampingan industri dalam komposisi yang tinggi iaitu serbuk sanga relau bagas tergilang (GGBS), abu terbang dengan sebahagian kecil gipsum dan simen. Selain itu, sisa kuari granit (GQW) digunakan sebagai agregat halus dan sanga relau arka elektrik (EAF) dan batu granit sebagai agregat kasar dalam pembangunan SCC. Komposisi pengikat terdiri daripada 80% GGBS dan 20% abu terbang dicatatkan sebagai kandungan optimal untuk digunakan sebagai pengikat primer dalam pengikat *supersulfated*. Kombinasi ini diaktifkan dengan menambahkan campuran 0-10% OPC-gipsum. SCC supersulfat telah dibiarkan di bawah keadaan pengawetan lembap sehingga 270 hari. Pemeriksaan sifat-sifat segar, kejuruteraan, pengecutan pengeringan, ketahanan dan struktur mikro kemudiannya dijalankan untuk membangunkan pengetahuan rangka kerja komprehensif SCC *supersulfated*. Semua SCC *supersulfated* hanya memerlukan nisbah air (w/b) sebanyak 0.27-0.33 untuk pengikat dengan kandungan tetap bahan pemplastikan polikarboksil (SP) sebanyak



0.6% untuk mencapai keperluan sifat segar SCC yang diinginkan. SCC *Supersulfated* yang mengandungi 4% OPC and 6% GGBS mempamerkan sifat-sifat kejuruteraan dan ketahanan optimal sepanjang proses pengawetan yang berpanjangan. Semua SCC supersulfated menunjukkan ketahanan yang lebih baik di bawah persekitaran yang agresif berbanding SCC yang mengandungi 100% simen. Walau bagaimanapun, SCC rosak pada kadar yang lebih tinggi apabila terdedah kepada 5% asid sulfurik untuk SCC yang mengandungi sanga EAF. Pengembangan kekuatan bahan berdasarkan kajian struktur mikro pada pengikat *supersulfated* ditentukan oleh pembungkusan zarah padat pada matrik pengikat dengan kehadiran produk hidrasi yang kurang. Sebagai kesimpulan, pengikat *supersulfat* diperbuat daripada GGBS dan abu terbang dalam komposisi yang tinggi adalah bahan pengikat yang bernilai untuk pembuatan SCC disamping menyediakan saluran untuk kitar semula bahan buangan dalam jumlah yang besar.

**DEVELOPMENT OF SUPERSULFATED SELF-CONSOLIDATING  
CONCRETE INCORPORATING HIGH VOLUME OF INDUSTRIAL BY-  
PRODUCT**

**ABSTRACT**

An industrial by-product is one of the attractive alternatives to cement materials that can reduce the consumption of natural resources as well as encouraging recycling activity. One of the high-volume utilisations is by using it in supersulfated binder system. However, current limited knowledge framework established about the properties of supersulfated binder need to be addressed. Thus, this research was aims to evaluate the properties of supersulfated self-consolidating concrete (SCC) containing combination of high-volume industrial by-products namely ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), fly ash with small portion of gypsum and cement. Apart from that, the granite quarry waste (GQW) was used as fine aggregate, and electric arc furnace (EAF) slag and granite stone were used as the coarse aggregates in the development of SCC. The binder composition consists of 80% GGBS and 20% fly ash was recorded as optimum percentage to be used as primary binder phase in supersulfated binder. The combination was activated with the addition of 0-10% blended OPC-gypsum. The supersulfated SCC was cured under moist curing condition and tested up to 270 curing ages. The examination of fresh, engineering, drying shrinkage, durability and microstructure properties was later conducted to develop a comprehensive knowledge framework on the properties of the supersulfated SCC. All supersulfated SCC only required water to binder (w/b) ratio of 0.27-0.33 with a fixed 0.6% of the polycarboxylate superplasticiser (SP) to achieve the desired SCC fresh properties requirement. Supersulfated SCC containing 4% OPC and 6%

GGBS exhibited the optimum engineering and durability properties over prolonged curing. All supersulfated SCC regardless of coarse aggregate exhibited better resistance under aggressive environment compared to the 100% cement SCC. However, the concrete deteriorates at a higher rate upon exposure to 5% sulfuric acid for SCC containing EAF slag. The microstructure study on supersulfated paste shows that the strength development of the material was governed by the dense particle packing of the binder matrix that resulted in a rigid interlocking particle in the presence of a scarce amount of hydration products. In conclusion, the supersulfated binder made with a large volume of GGBS and fly ash is a valuable binder material for making SCC while providing a channel for extensive volumes recycling of the waste materials.

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

The construction industry is one of the critical drivers in boosting Malaysian's economic development and the civil engineering subsector is the lead contributor in this industry followed by non-residential, residential, and specialised construction subsectors as of 2016 (Ministry of Finance, 2017). The construction industry provided socio-economic infrastructure towards providing essential amenities and subsequently facilitates economic development. However, the growth of the construction industry also contributed to the high of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emission to the environment. The increasing trend of CO<sub>2</sub> emission in Malaysia indicated that it is higher than the neighbouring countries such as Thailand and Indonesia (The World Bank, 2014).

According to Malaysia's biennial update report (BUR) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (NFCCC) in 2016, the manufacturing and construction industry was the third-largest contributor of CO<sub>2</sub> emission in Malaysia. These industries had contributed to 11% of CO<sub>2</sub> emission with a total of 208,258 Gg being CO<sub>2</sub> emitted. The largest contributor was the energy industry, followed by the transportation industry. Cement production, limestone and dolomite use, and iron and steel production contributed to 4, 3 and 1% CO<sub>2</sub> emission respectively. Currently, the cement industry is the third-largest industrial energy consumer and represents 7% of the total world's CO<sub>2</sub> emission. The arising of global population and urbanisation will eventually increase the demands on for cement and concrete (IEA, 2018).

According to the International Energy Agency's (IEA) Reference Technology Scenario, direct carbon emission from the cement industry is expected to increase a

further 4% from the expected growth of cement production and this translates into 12-23% globally by 2050, due to increasing urban population. According to Davidovits (2013), the production of one tonne of Portland cement could generate 0.95 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>. From that value, 0.55 tonnes come from releasing the CO<sub>2</sub> chemical using the clinkering process and another 0.40 tonnes from the combustion of coal. At the same time, the making of OPC needs an abundant amount of natural resources and this indirectly caused the depletion of the natural resources.

Carbon captures, energy-efficient technology, waste heat recovery, alternative fuels and the alternative binder production are among the initiatives that had been applied in cement plants to mitigate the CO<sub>2</sub> emission (Ishak and Hashim, 2015). As an ambitious target to limit the global temperature rises to 2 °C by 2100, lowering the clinker content with an alternative binder is one of the mitigation measures that yield the most substantial cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emission. It is because clinkering is the most energy-intensive process that involves the calcination of calcium carbonate and also heating of raw materials up to 1450 °C. The alternative binder can be the usage of well-known industrial by-products. Such industrial by-products are ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), fly ash, silica fume (SF), rice husk ash (RHA) and palm oil fuel ash (POFA) as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs). However, it only reduces CO<sub>2</sub> released by not more than 15%. This contributed to the emergence of geopolymer and alkali-activated technologies to fully utilise the use of industrial by-products materials. However, it still required high temperature curing and the need for chemical activators that may not be feasible in large-scale construction application.

Nevertheless, Malaysia's efforts to reduce up to 45% of greenhouse gas emission intensity of Malaysian Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by 2030 lead to the

establishment of the Green Technology Master Plan (2017-2030). The master framework which supports the National Green Technology Policy towards directions of Eleventh Malaysian Plan is one of the government initiatives to move forward with sustainable development. Greening the building sector is one of the critical factors on its life cycle approach and this includes sustainable construction practice together with the increase of the number of green building materials as well as making policy amendments to allow for the usage of recycled materials in construction (KeTTHA, 2017).

On the other hand, GGBS is one of the famously known recycled materials or interchangeably known as industrial by-product material that is widely used in the construction industry. It is also one of the by-products of the iron production industry that comes from the iron blast furnace. It is distinctively different from the electric arc furnace (EAF) slag that originates from the electric arc furnace used in the steel making process. These by-products had been proven to have excellent properties to be used as a binder and aggregate substitution in concrete (Horii *et al.*, 2015, Faleschini *et al.*, 2015) and the utilisation of slag can contribute to zero waste in the steel and iron making industry. World crude steel production showed an increasing trend each year with 1689 million tonnes of crude steel produced in 2017 and EAF slag is expected to increase due to the growing rate of recycling of scrap steel in the future.

Likewise, the flue gas produced in pulverised coal-fired furnace also releases a pozzolanic, by-product material namely fly ash from its separation of dust particles from the flue gas in the electrostatic precipitator. In 2016, Malaysia produced 1,332,600 tonnes of coal with the face value of RM159,950 million from 5 of the local coal mines. The coal is mainly consumed by the cement production plants, power

generation and iron and steel making industry (Malaysian Minerals, 2016). Even though currently natural gas is the primary source of power generation, it is expected that in the coming years; the coal demand will continuously increase and it could become the number one fuel sources of power generation in Southeast Asia. This is due to the addition of power generation capacity, taking advantages of the abundance of young coal fleets across this region (Burnard *et al.*, 2016). It will also subsequently increase the generation of fly ash which will in turn obligate appropriate disposal and recycling measures to be put in place for sustainable management of the waste.

The present study has attempted to develop a new formulation of innovative binder materials by incorporating a high volume of industrial by-products in the making of self-consolidating concrete. This contributed to the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emission from the construction industry and shifting the continuous dependence on natural materials towards recycling of industrial by-products which will subsequently eliminate the land area required for landfills.

## **1.2 Statement of Problem**

Concrete is one of the widely use composite material in the world. Even though we already moving forward from lime based, clay bake binder, the modern and industrialised Portland cement do not always give positive contribution towards the environment. Carbon captures, energy-efficient technology, waste heat recovery, alternative fuels and the alternative binder production are among the initiatives that had been applied in cement plants to mitigate the CO<sub>2</sub> emission. Alternatives binder is one of the initiatives that not only can reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> emission, but also capable of reducing the continuous need for natural raw materials in the making of OPC that cause the depletion of natural resources. Not only that, it also allowed the utilisation

industrial by-products as a binder instead of dumping in landfills. However, the incorporation of industrial by-products as alternative binder often use as SCMs in low volume and can only reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> released by not more than 15% (Bonavetti *et al.*, 2014, Vijayagowri *et al.*, 2015). The slow strength development of most of the industrial by-product makes them only suitable to be used in high volume for specific application such as in mass concrete application or with high early strength cement (Gholampour and Ozbakkaloglu, 2017). The elimination of OPC and fully utilised industrial by-products as a binder can be accomplished by executing alkali-activated or geopolymer technologies to increased strength development. Nevertheless, these binder existing binder technologies required chemical ingredients with high alkalinity or high temperature curing that might not be reliable and could pose a handling hazard if implemented in big-scale construction.

Existing alternative binder named supersulfated cement used to have a reputation in Europe in the early 1900s as its capabilities to incorporate high-volume industrial by-products up to 85% without affecting its strength performance. However, the change in the pig iron manufacturing process had altered the chemical compositions of its by-products, namely GGBS that subsequently made it less reactive to be used as a primary binder in supersulfated cement. Few researchers have conducted studies on improvement in supersulfated cement to make it practical to be used as a binder for concrete production. Such improvement to initiate sufficient reactivity was again included chemical activator thus makes it no different than an alkali-activated binder. Moreover, the existing studies also more focused on the hardening mechanism of low alumina GGBS as primary binder materials without an in-depth analysis of its durability and engineering performance. Therefore, a new



formulation of binder materials that can activate the GGBS without chemical activator and elevated curing temperature needs to be developed.

On the other hand, the making of self-consolidating concrete (SCC) requires a high volume of binder to achieve its desired fresh properties. Thus, incorporating supersulfated binder is one of the ways to cater to this need since incorporating OPC in high volume may not be sustainable. However, there is limited knowledge framework on the properties of the supersulfated SCC that has been established compared to conventional concrete. The limited knowledge was not only in terms of rheological properties but also in term of engineering, durability, and shrinkage performance. Such gap of knowledge is especially critical for supersulfated SCC material containing high volume GGBS and fly ash where there is a distinct absence of knowledge framework on the properties of such materials in the present body of literature.

### **1.3 Research aims and objective**

This research is designed to evaluate the suitability and performance of the combination of high-volume low reactivity GGBS, fly ash and the small inclusion of gypsum and/or OPC (supersulfated binder) in the production of self-consolidating concrete for implementation in structural load-bearing applications. The inclusion of these high-volume industrial by-products in concrete not only reduce the amount of waste to be landfilled but also promoting the sustainable way of recycling. Moreover, SCC made with high-volume GGBS-fly ash with various gypsum/OPC combinations was assessed in terms of rheological, engineering, durability and microstructure development. The overall objectives of this research are listed as follow:

- a) To develop a suitable SCC mix composition which enables large volume reuse of industrial by-products to be used and low alkalinity level for ease of handling for construction.
- b) To establishing the knowledge framework on the rheological properties and engineering performance of supersulfated SCC.
- c) To study the durability and drying shrinkage of supersulfated SCC with GGBS and fly ash as the primary binder phase.

#### **1.4 Significance of the research**

The evolution of manufacturing process of pig-iron iron produced slag output with different chemical composition compared than when they first been introduced, thus its manifest low in early strength. The significant of this research of not only can solve the problems that discussed prior, but also to bridge the gap of knowledge of currently available literature of supersulfated and slag-activated binder. The new formulation will reshape on how the supersulfated binder being made, with combination of multiple supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs). The usage of SCMs from by-products of coal and ironmaking industries can improved the quality of the environment by eliminating the large disposal site needed over time. At the same time, it also avoiding cost for landfill disposals. Not only that, the use of industrial by-products will reduce the demand of OPC and subsequently alleviate the carbon dioxide emission associate with its production.

Moreover, also intended to encourage concrete producers on effectively use industrial by-products in concrete with valuable economic and environmental benefits without limiting their applications. This research also aiming to develop a

supersulfated binder that are adaptable and versatile. The comprehensive body of knowledge derived from this research can be applied not only into SCC production but also for conventional concrete even when exposure to aggressive environment. The supersulfated SCC in this research was designed to eliminate the need of viscosity modifying admixture (VMA) and making it suitable to be used in SCC with normal or high-density aggregates.

### **1.5 Scope of the research**

In order to achieve the objective of the research, the scopes of this research are:

- a) Assessment physical and chemical properties of material used in this research (GGBS, fly ash, gypsum and OPC)
- b) Establishing the optimum hybridisation matrix for mortar containing GGBS and fly ash binder.
- c) Choosing the suitable type of gypsum sources to be add into GGBS-fly ash binder to create supersulfated binder.
- d) Establishing the suitable fine to coarse aggregate ratio for supersulfated SCC containing WQD as fine aggregate together with granite stone or EAF slag as coarse aggregate.
- e) Study on the rheological properties of various mix proportion on supersulfated SCC
- f) Investigating the influences of supersulfated binder with various gypsum and OPC content in term of engineering, drying shrinkage and durability of SCC.

Hence, the limitations of this study are:

- a) The amount of gypsum and OPC used are limited to not more than 10% of the total binder content.
- b) The superplasticizer used was fixed for all mix design and water content was added to get the desired flow diameter including for fully OPC mix.
- c) The industrial by-product used in this study for coarse and fine aggregates were fixed to 100%.
- d) With exception of drying shrinkage, the study does not consider a curing exposure under normal air as only curing by plastic wrapping were considered.

## **1.6 Thesis layout**

The thesis consists of six chapters to cover the significant aspect of engineering properties and structural behaviour of supersulfated self-consolidating concrete. In Chapter One, the background of this research investigation was briefly discussed particularly concerning the increasing trend of CO<sub>2</sub> admission in a relationship with the world's cement production industry and the sustainable use of industrial by-product. In addition to that, this chapter also discussed the problems of the current trend in innovative binder technology and the significance of this research, and the scope of work covered throughout this research.

In Chapter Two, the use of industrial by-product mainly GGBS and fly ash in concrete were discussed thoroughly. The implementation of GGBS in innovative binder technology such supersulfated cement, sulfate-based cement and sulfate-activated cement very review in depth. Not only that, the incorporation of industrial by-products as binder or as aggregate replacement in SCC application were critically reviewed. The influence of these materials such as GGBS, fly ash, GQW, and EAF

slag in terms of fresh, durability, engineering and hardening mechanism were reviewed and discussed.

Chapter Three thoroughly discussed the experimental programme and relevant test methodology applied to investigate the essential parameters of the characteristics and performance of the materials used in this research program. Chapter Four discussed the fresh properties of supersulfated paste and SCC including the standard consistency, setting time. There was also a detailed assessment on the engineering properties of the supersulfated self-consolidating concrete, namely the ultrasonic pulse velocity test (UPV) and drying shrinkage for non-destructive test and compressive and flexural test for a destructive test of hardened concrete.

A detailed analysis of the durability of supersulfated self-consolidating concrete was thoroughly discussed in Chapter Five. The durability analysis, for supersulfated pastes were X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis, surface area analysis via Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET), material characterisation via Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). For supersulfated SCC, water absorption, porosity, intrinsic air permeability, resistance to sulfate attack, chloride permeability, and resistant under sulfuric acid were sufficiently elaborated. Apart from that, microstructure analysis binder of supersulfated pastes by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) also presented to further justify the hardening mechanism and relationship with the durability performance in an earlier subsection.

To conclude, Chapter Six presented the overall conclusion and summary from the experimental works mentioned the preceding chapter. Recommendation for future research was also suggested in this chapter.

## **CHAPTER 2**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### **2.1 Introduction**

In this chapter, the background of past studies on the properties of concrete fabricated using industrial by-product had been intensively discussed either in conventional or self-consolidating concrete. Factors influencing the rheological, engineering properties, durability properties and hardening mechanism of the industrial by-products by implementing them as innovative binder or aggregates replacement in concrete or mortar had also been discussed and reviewed in detail.

#### **2.2 The recycling of industrial by-product as a concrete constituent**

Civil engineering works undeniably cause the depletion of natural resources and growing demands for building materials, making the efforts of various researchers to contribute towards the creation of more sustainable materials imperative. These lead to investigations that were primarily aimed at lessening the dependence on the extraction of natural resources. Also, due to its decreasing availability and also the concern about creating a better environment. Innovative and new materials have been developed and studied to solve the increasing demands for new and sustainable construction materials. Such materials are based on waste materials or also known as an industrial by-product or recycle materials. Table 2.1 shows various categories and nature of solid waste and their recycling application potentials compiled by Grubeša *et al.* (2016). Concrete, the well-known composite material widely used in various structural areas of civil engineering consists of ordinary Portland cement (OPC), coarse and fine aggregate and water is the most used man-made material in the world. However, cement, as the traditional binder material with excellent binding properties in concrete, contributed to

CO<sub>2</sub> emission during the production process. On the other hand, the aggregate is an unreactive particle in concrete that contributed to the concrete strength and occupied a substantial portion of the concrete's volume such as rock, gravel, sand and crushed stone. It is also typically derived and dependent on depleting natural resources. Currently, the high demand of natural aggregate causes exhaustion of aggregate quarry which can contribute to the new quarry opening which can increase the transportation distance and costs as the sources become scarce (Qasrawi, 2014). A sustainable approach to replace natural aggregate in concrete with industrial by-product can reduce the depletion of the available land for landfill, stockpiles or illegal dumping activities. Such approach would be able to respond to the impending crisis due to the exhaustion of natural aggregate.

### **2.3 The utilisation of industrial by-product as binder materials in concrete**

The most common reactive industrial by-product materials that show cementitious properties include ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), cement kiln dust (CKD) and waste gypsum while materials with pozzolanic properties such as fuel ash, calcined clay (metakaolin), silica fume, risk husk ash (RHA), palm oil fuel ash (POFA), wood ash, volcanic ash, waste glass, or sewerage sludge incineration ash. Cementitious and pozzolanic materials have widely been used as SCMs to replace OPC as a binder in concrete partially due to the existence of reactive component such SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CaO in their chemical compositions as shown in Figure 2.1. The emergence of binary, ternary or quaternary blends of these materials also attracted researchers' interests not only to achieve excellent properties but also to accommodate and maximise the use of these materials depending on their availability in various regions across the world. These contributed to the development of innovative binder technology such as

blast furnace slag cement, pozzolanic cement, supersulfated cement, alkali-activated cement, sulfate activated cement and geopolymer. Other than that, these binder materials have also been used as mineral admixtures to improve the properties of concrete, mortar or paste.

Table 2.1 Categories and nature of solid waste and their recycling application potential (Grubeša *et al.*, 2016)

Categories of solid waste	Source details	Recycling and application in building application
Agro organic	Bagasse, rice and wheat straw and husk, cotton stalks, sawmill waste, ground nut shells, banana stalks, and jute, sisal, vegetable residue	Plasterboard, insulation boards, wall panel, printing paper and corrugating media, roofing sheets, fuel, binders, fibrous building panels, brick, acid-proof cement, coir fibre, reinforced composites, cement board
Industrial waste (inorganic)	Coal combustion residue, slag, bauxite red mud, waste glass, rubber tires, construction debris	Cement, bricks, blocks, tiles, paint, aggregate, cement, concrete, wood substitute products, ceramic products, subbase pavement materials
Mining/mineral waste	Coal washery waste, mining overburden waste, quarry dust, tailing from the iron, copper, zinc, gold, aluminium industries	Bricks, tiles aggregate, concrete surface finishing materials, fuel
Non-hazardous other process waste	Waste gypsum, lime sludge, limestone waste, marble processing residue, broken glass and ceramics, kiln dust	Gypsum plaster, fibrous gypsum board, bricks, blocks, cement clinkers, supersulfated cement, hydraulic binders
Hazardous waste	Metallurgical residue, galvanising waste, tannery waste	Cement, bricks, tiles, ceramics and boards

Currently, an emergence of geopolymer technology enables the elimination of OPC by activating the unary or more amorphous to semi-crystalline three-dimensional aluminosilicate materials as precursors with alkali activator (Davidovits, 2011). The general types of alkali-activator are sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and sodium silicate



( $\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3$ ) to form similar or better properties as conventional OPC concrete. Geopolymer technology should not be mistaken for the well-known alkali-activated materials (AAMs) technology as the same terminology. Aluminosilicate rich materials with a high content of silica (Si) and alumina (Al) are essentials for geopolymer formation with co-existence of calcium aluminosilicate hydrate (C-A-S-H) and sodium aluminosilicate hydrate (N-A-S-H). The terminology is still being debated as a recent study by Liu *et al.* (2016) had proven that the geopolymerisation product of fly ash-based geopolymer is unlikely to contain hydrates. Regardless, both technologies had proven to be beneficial especially towards a better environment but introducing relatively high alkali content into the mix design can be unpractical especially in mass production. Geopolymeric alkaline elements might be dangerous as they are classified as corrosive and irritant products thus appropriate safety procedures for handling are necessary (Davidovits, 2011).




Figure 2.1 The chemical composition of primary cementitious materials (Aitcin, 2008)

### 2.3.1 Fly ash

Long before the discovery of artificial pozzolan, the Greeks used Santorine earth, which was volcanic ash from Santorine's volcanic explosion that covered the surface Thera island as a binding material or also known as a natural pozzolan. Later, the ancient Romans found the non-hydraulic cement made of natural pozzolan, namely volcanic ash and pumice (Li, 2011). Roman architect, Marcus Vitruvius has described in his book *De Architectura*, the principals of the formation of foundation and marine concrete based on the combination of volcanic ash and hydrated lime mortar which was capable of binding volcanic rock fragments under self-reinforcing framework (Jackson *et al.*, 2018). Later, in 1756, John Smeaton begins experimenting with the combination of slaked lime and pulverised pozzolans in order to rebuild Eddystone Lighthouse, off the coast of Cronwell, England, which could withstand the severe marine environment. However, not until 19th century, the now famously known artificial pozzolanic material, fly ash or also known as pulverised fuel ash was found extensively used as a binder material in concrete due to its pozzolanic behaviour. Fly ash, a by-product of combustion of coal for the generation of energy, accounts for approximately 70-85% of all coal ash produced from around the world.

The laboratory study on fly ash for use in concrete was started in the United States by Raymond E. Davis and his associates as the availability of fly ash from coal-burning fired plant in 1930s growing (Davis *et al.*, 1937, ACI, 2003). Soon after, the Bureau of Reclamation begins to use fly ash for the repair of tunnel spillway at Hover Dam in 1942. Fly ash was subsequently started to be used in large scale when 110,000 tons of fly ash or approximately 30% of total cement weight used during the construction of Hungry Horse Dam on the Flathead River, Montana in 1948 (Bensted

and Barnes, 2002). It was the only known fly ash specification written by Bureau for that construction project before the specification covering the used of fly ash in concrete established by ASTM in 1953. Later, a new cement that consist of fly ash as blended cement material was first patented in the early 1950s by M. Fouilloux in France (Jarrige, 1967). During this period, fly ash was extensively used in cement plant by blended with finish cement product, interground with cement clinker or blended with other materials in cement raw batch to achieved certain chemical composition in kiln feed. Furthermore, in the 1980s, French researcher, Joseph Davidovits had proposed that the magnificent ancient Egypt structure; the Great Pyramid of Giza was made from the granular limestone aggregate bound with alkali aluminosilicate-based binder which was cast in situ. The binder resemblance chemistry of aluminosilicate materials of pozzolan, namely fly ash and metakaolin called geopolymeric reaction had contributed to the invention of geopolymer cement. Since then, fly ash had been widely used as construction materials, not only limited as a binder in concrete but also as a soil stabilisation material, road or sub-base and structural fill.

In Malaysia, fly ash is still abundant and this resulted from the combustion of coal to generate electricity. Based on Malaysian Energy Commission (2017), the power sector utilises 23 million tons of coal annually in Peninsular Malaysia. As of December 2016, Malaysia had 1938.37 million tonnes of coal reserves which comprised mainly of bituminous, sub-bituminous, anthracite, lignite and coking coal types. For the fly ash to be used as construction materials, a few countries have their own standards of reference to understand their reactivity based on its chemical composition. Table 2.2 shows the most relevant standards used around the world and the type of fly ash available was grouped in different categories. For example, the reactivity of fly ash as described in American Standard, ASTM C618 (ASTM, 2019) reference standard can

be classified as Class C or F. The fly ash classification is customarily based on its lime (CaO) content which often belongs to Class F fly ash for low CaO content and Class C fly ash for high silicon dioxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>). However, some Class C fly ash may possess some cementitious properties if it contains higher than 10% of CaO. In Malaysia, the Malaysian standard MS EN 450 (Standards Malaysia, 2014) for fly ash is adopted from the European (EN) Standard, EN 450 (BSI, 2012).

Fly ash also contains some carbonaceous matter that is taken as equivalent to its loss on ignition (LOI). For a technical and aesthetical reason, it is essential for the LOI values to be maintained at the maximum of 7% according to MS EN 450: Part 1 (Standards Malaysia, 2014) or 10% as recommended by ASTM C618 (ASTM, 2019). The high carbon content in fly ash may reduce the effectiveness of air-entraining admixtures or unsatisfactory water reduction of the water reducers when in used with chemical admixtures due to the highly absorptive nature from the interaction of fly ash and variability of carbon content (Cheah *et al.*, 2014).

The reactivity of fly ash is also different based on its geographical location. For instance, the fly ash sourced from 4 different power plant in USA based on the study by Cross *et al.* (2008) were produced by burning on same type of sub-bituminous coal and same combustion process. Such coal and combustion process produce high calcium fly ash that competent to produced cementless, 100% fly ash concrete. Similarly, fly ash sourced from Lampang, Thailand based on research by Chindaprasirt *et al.* (2011) was derived from lignite coal which also contained high in calcium. Table 2.3 shows the chemical composition of fly ash that comes from different locations around the world in the making of various types of binder for concrete or mortar applications. Fly ash from different coal origins would expect to have differences in term of chemical,

physical and mineralogy. Thus, it will affect their ability and reactivity when used as a binder. Fly ash produced from anthracite or bituminous coal predominantly contains crystalline phases that are inert which are composed of aluminosilicate glasses with varying amount of quartz, magnetite, mullite and hematite. This type of fly ash needs support from an alkaline activator to react actively and to form cementitious hydrates. In contrast, fly ash from lignite and sub-bituminous coals contain calcium-aluminosilicate glasses with a variety of crystalline phases including the phases found in anthracite and bituminous. Calcium bearing glass and some of these phases, when reacted with water, will react rapidly and exhibit their pozzolanic and hydraulic nature (Thomas, 2007).

Table 2.2 Fly ash requirement to be used in concrete by various relevant standards.

Standard	Type/ Categories	Loss of ignition (%)	Moisture (max %)	Silicon Trioxide, SO <sub>3</sub> (max %)	SiO <sub>2</sub> (min %)	SiO <sub>2</sub> + Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + Fe <sub>2</sub> O (min %)	Total Chloride (max %)	Fineness at 45 µm retained (max %)
Europe EN 450 – 1 : 2012	A	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
	B	7	-	3	-	70	0.1	40 <sup>a</sup> , 12 <sup>b</sup>
	C	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
USA ASTM C618 : 2019	Class N	10	-	-	-	7	-	-
	Class F	6	3	-	-	70	-	34
	Class C	6	-	-	-	50	-	-
Japan JIS A 6201 :2015	I	3	-	-	-	-	-	10
	II	5	-	-	-	-	-	40
	III	8	1	-	> 45	-	-	40
	IV	5	-	-	-	-	-	70
China GB/T 1596: 2017	I	5	-	-	-	-	-	12
	II	8	1	3	-	-	-	25
	III	15	-	-	-	-	-	45
India IS 3812 – 1 : 2013	Siliceous	-	-	-	35	70	-	-
	Calcareous	5	2	3	25	50	0.05	34
Australia AS 3582 – 1: 1998	Fine (Special)	4	-	-	-	-	-	25
	Fine	4	1	3	-	-	-	-
	Medium	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Coarse	6	-	-	-	-	-	45
Canada CSA A3001 : 2013	F	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CI	-	5	-	-	-	-	34
	CH	6	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>a</sup> For category S cement

<sup>b</sup> For category N cement

All cementitious materials, including fly ash and GGBS, consisted of alkali metal ions. However, instead of being present as water-soluble sulfate just like OPC, they are concentrated in the glassy structure of their particles with low content of soluble alkali metal ions. The reaction of this glass particles liberates the alkali metal ions but does not affect the alkalinity of the concrete compared to OPC since the lower ratio of CaO: SiO<sub>2</sub> in calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) caused higher alkali metal ions to be removed from the solution (Jackson and Dhir, 1996). Fly ash has been widely used as binary or ternary binder materials in various types of concrete not only in conventional (Cheah *et al.*, 2019b) but also geopolymer (Ken, 2017) and alkali-activated concrete. Besides, for the use of fly ash in concrete, it is essential for the ash to exhibit consistency in the overall composition rather than small scale variations.

Table 2.3 The main chemical composition of fly ash used by various researchers from a different geographical location

Authors	Fly ash sources location	Composition (%)				
		CaO	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	LOI
<u>Cementless binder (no cement and alkali-activator)</u>						
Cross <i>et al.</i> (2008)	USA*	28.89	32.37	17.52	5.43	0.23
		27.00	35.05	18.63	6.46	0.19
		27.60	35.10	18.40	4.90	0.80
Zhong <i>et al.</i> (2012)	China	26.88	33.99	21.39	5.86	0.40
		6.49	48.90	33.80	5.08	-
<u>Binary blended</u>						
Guo <i>et al.</i> (2010)	USA	20.00	38.00	19.00	9.00	3.50
Siddique <i>et al.</i> (2012)	India	2.23	58.55	28.20	3.44	4.17
Arezoumandi and Volz (2013)	USA	26.28	33.46	19.53	6.28	0.34
Darmawan <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Indonesia	25.50	26.20	9.20	31.0	-
Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2015)	China	< 3.00	56.79	28.21	5.31	3.90
Nežerka <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Czech Republic	4.20	48.80	24.20	12.50	5.53
<u>Triple blended</u>						
Barbhuiya (2011)	Thailand	13.78	42.54	23.59	12.36	-
Yu and Leung (2017)	Hong Kong*	4.99	51.40	30.84	4.98	1.69
		7.29	49.05	25.93	7.58	0.77
Matos <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Brazil*	1.10	69.30	18.90	6.20	0.20
		2.07	56.03	29.41	6.16	0.50
<u>Alkali – activated</u>						
Ismail <i>et al.</i> (2014)	Australia	< 0.1	62.93	24.91	5.22	2.64
Marjanović <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Serbia	7.98	55.23	21.43	7.42	1.66
Karim <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Malaysia	3.04	58.95	20.24	5.18	3.16
Luga <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Turkey	1.77	61.81	19.54	0.98	2.20
Wang and Ma (2018)	China	4.9	49.1	34.8	4.5	-
<u>Geopolymer</u>						
Xie <i>et al.</i> (2009)	China	1.88	70.4	30.9	5.38	-
Chindapasirt <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Thailand	13.34	45.03	23.98	10.68	0.58
Kumar and Kumar (2011)	India	1.71	60.48	28.15	4.52	1.59
Ryu <i>et al.</i> (2013)	Korea	2.90	55.30	25.80	5.50	3.20
Xie and Kayali (2016)	Australia*	4.67	58.60	20.20	9.25	-
		25.77	43.03	14.90	8.94	-
Kalaw <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Philippine	5.30	66.50	28.80	2.5	2.18
Hung <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Vietnam	2.56	54.42	23.90	8.14	4.31

\* Research consists of two types of fly ash with different composition

### 2.3.2 Ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS)

Blast furnace process is one of the famous steelmaking routes for worldwide steel production. The method uses coke and coal as the primary source of fuel and reductant materials, flux materials such as dolomite or limestone. At the same time, sinter, pellet and lump ore act as iron-bearing components blasted with a high-temperature flame of 1500 °C. It is later transformed into two separate products, namely pig iron or liquid hot metal and molten slag formed from the gangue materials such as the coke and coal ash and the ore burden. The hot liquid metal was collected from the bottom of the furnace and transported in liquid before the refinement process to become steel can take place by removing other elements such as silicon, carbon, sulphur, phosphorus and manganese (Geerdes *et al.*, 2009). On the other hand, the low-density molten slag that floats on hot liquid metal is later quenched rapidly with water to a form glassy and granulated slag. It was then ground into a fine powder known as the ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) which will be reviewed thoughtfully in this section.

GGBS, the by-products of iron and steel making industry was first used in Germany as a binder constituent back in 1862 after its latent hydraulic potential in the granulated form, or vitrified form which was discovered by Emil Langen (Papadakis and Venuat, 1968, Bensted and Barnes, 2002). Three years later, the first commercial production as slag-lime cement began in the same country. However, the first standard on the usage of slag cement was only issued after almost half a century later in 1903. Following that, Hans Kühl discovered the possibility of sulfate activation of GGBS using calcium sulfate (Keil, 1952) then was known as the supersulfated cement. SiO<sub>2</sub>, CaO, MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are four primary compounds in GGBS and resembled a mineral



whose compositions correspond to the melilite phase, a solid solution phase between gehlenite ( $C_2AS$ ) and akermanite ( $C_3MS_2$ ) as well as a standard component in commercial silicate glasses (Song and Jennings, 1999, Li *et al.*, 2010). Except for  $SiO_2$  that decreases its reactivity if the content is increased, other primary compound increases the reactivity if the content increases (Pal *et al.*, 2003). These primary compounds in GGBS can also generate C-A-S-H colloid as their hydration product (Wu *et al.*, 2015) and can make up about 95% of the GGBS. The balance is typically made up of other components such as manganese ( $MnO_2$ ), sulphur (S), potassium ( $K_2O$ ), phosphorus (P) and titanium ( $TiO_2$ ). Based on MS EN 15167: Part 1 (Standards Malaysia, 2010) for GGBS to be used in concrete, mortar or grout, the ratio by mass of  $CaO + MgO / SiO_2$  should exceed 1 to ensure the high alkalinity, without which the GGBS can be hydraulically unreactive.

According to Haha *et al.* (2011), GGBS with higher  $MnO_2$  content had shown faster hydration kinetics regardless of the activator used to activate it. The main factors that govern the cementitious properties of GGBS are the chemical composition, fineness, glass content and the concentration of alkali in the reacting system (Siddique and Bennacer, 2012). The cementing properties of GGBS are typically observed after three days, but some hydration might occur immediately after it comes in contact with water, and the protective layer is formed on the surface of GGBS particles. It was usually used in conjunction with alkalis, gypsum or lime that act as accelerators or activators to promote GGBS hydration with different hydration products as shown in Table 2.4 (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2002, Mozgawa and Deja, 2009). However, according to Li (2011), the strength contribution may also become apparent as early as 7 days after hydration.

Table 2.4 The hydration products of GGBS activated with various activators (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2002, Mozgawa and Deja, 2009)

Activators	Crystalline Phases	Comments
NaOH, Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> , Na Silicate	C-S-H, C <sub>4</sub> AH <sub>13</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> AH <sub>8</sub> , Mg(OH) <sub>2</sub> , C-A-S-H	Some Si is included in C <sub>4</sub> AH <sub>13</sub> ; Ca/Si ratio of C-S-H is less than in OPC paste
Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	C-S-H, C <sub>4</sub> AH <sub>13</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> AH <sub>8</sub> is not present
Gypsum, Hemihydrate, Phosphogypsum	C-S-H, AFt, Al(OH) <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>4</sub> in slag may act as an internal activator
OPC	C-S-H, AFm, Aft, Hydrogarnet, Hydrocalcite-like phase, Vicatite (C <sub>3</sub> -S <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>3</sub> )	Any one paste may not have all these phases

GGBS generally has fineness around 4500 to 4800 cm<sup>2</sup>/g. According to Mun *et al.* (2007), the high fineness only contributed to the early strength development since the high surface area of GGBS accelerates the hydration and filling action once it comes in contact with water. The strength performance of high fineness GGBS is comparable to low fineness GGBS at 28 days, as shown in Figure 2.2. GGBS with particle size less than 10 μm can contribute to the early-age strength up to 28 days, while 10 to 45 μm contribute to later age strength and particles with size higher than 45 μm are challenging to hydrate (Li, 2011). Likewise, high temperature curing for concrete containing GGBS also only affect the early age and similar strength could be achieved at 14 days of curing regardless any curing temperature up to 50 °C (Turuallo and Soutsos, 2015). However, it was suggested by Neville (2011) that proper curing such as moist curing for concrete containing high volume GGBS is necessary since poor curing can lead to the evaporation of the capillary pore water which can prevent further hydration process.




Figure 2.2 Compressive strength development of GGBS and OPC mortar with different fineness (Mun *et al.*, 2007)

The usage of a high volume of GGBS favours the heat of hydration compared to standard OPC concrete which makes it a suitable material for the mass concrete application. According to Lee *et al.* (2015), the decrease in reaction degree when increasing the GGBS content in GGBS-OPC blended concrete was due to the shortage of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> sources from the OPC. When compared to other industrial by-products, it was proven in the study by Han *et al.* (2017) that the reaction degree is higher in GGBS compared to fly ash as shown in Table 2.5. When the hydration degree of GGBS and fly ash achieved 100%, the heat of hydration of per gram of GGBS is 530 J and 285 J for fly ash. The amount of hydration heat of GGBS was considered high compared to OPC which is only 468 J/g when calculated based on mineral composition and the heat of hydration of each mineral composition of the OPC itself. Other than that, by increasing the OPC replacement with GGBS shows a reduction in water demand to