

My endless grateful to Allah S.W.T for His blessings that gives me great strength and wellness to finish this research study.

THANK YOU

To my beloved father, Mr. Hamdan bin Kamaruddin for pushing me up to the limit as well as being my field supervisor in this research study. Without him, I might have lost all the ways.

To my family, your love and support means a lot to me.

To the Dean and staffs of School of Health Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia for giving me this opportunity to perform this research study; especially to my supervisor, Mr. T. Nataraja Moorthy, for guiding me in completing this project. Thank you very much for your help and support.

To my co supervisor, Mr. Hizam bin Abdul Kadir, Deputy Director of Mines and Quarry, Minerals and Geoscience Department, Kota Bharu, Kelantan for sharing his knowledge about the commercial/industrial explosives and taken us to a quarry in Gunong, Kelantan to learn about quarrying and explosives. To Supt. Soo Me Tong, Head of Criminalistics Division, Forensic Laboratory of Royal Malaysia Police for helping me in getting the security clearance and guiding me by giving valuable advices.

To Mr. Chung, Senior Technical Manager, Tenaga Kimia Sdn. Bhd. for giving me permission to conduct blasting exercises at the blasting site of Tenaga Kimia Sdn. Bhd. factory in Batu Arang, Selangor.

To Puan Rusikah Minhad, Head of Criminalistics Section, Department of Chemistry Malaysia, Petaling Jaya, Selangor for giving me permission to use the facilities in the laboratory.

To my field supervisor, Mr. Slamat bin Ahmad, Executive of Technical Advisory Service, Tenaga Kimia Sdn. Bhd. for consuming his times by teaching and guiding me on the commercial/industrial explosives and also, many thanks to him for conducting those blasting exercises. Thanks to all the staffs of Tenaga Kimia Sdn. Bhd. factory for helping me during this project.

To my analytical supervisors, Mr. Sivabalan Nagayah, Scientific Officer, Criminalistics Section, Department of Chemistry Malaysia, Petaling Jaya, Selangor and Mr. Wan Ahmad Ashadi bin Wan Ahmad Kamil, Scientific Officer, Fire Investigation Laboratory, Fire and Rescue Department Malaysia, Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu. Thanks for their helps and supports in doing the fuel oil residue analyses in their laboratories and sharing their knowledge about instrumental analysis and interpretation of the results.

To my colleague, Mr. Cheah Chaw Phang, for working with me and giving me support in completing this project. Thank you for his willingness to help me and travelled to Selangor and Terengganu with me to complete this project.

Also, greatest appreciation to all who helped me directly or indirectly in completing this research study. May Allah S.W.T. bless all of you.

CONTENTS

1.	Introducti	on1-6			
2.	Literature	Review			
	2.1 Histor	y and development of commercial explosives			
	2.2 Analy	ses on pre- and post – blast residues of explosives			
3.	Objective	s of the Study15			
4.	Materials and Methods				
	4.1 Blastin	ng exercises			
	4.1.1	Materials6-17			
	4.1.2	Methodology18-23			
	4.2 Exper	imental			
	4.2.1	Analysis of the group A samples for mixing ratios of 94:6, 90:10, and			
		80:20 of ANFO by weight25-29			
	4.2.2	Analysis of the group B samples for mixing ratios of 60:40 and 50:50 of			
		ANFO by weight29-34			
5.	Results and Discussion				
	5.1 Analysis of the group A samples for mixing ratios of 94:6, 90:10, and 80:20 of				
	ANFO by weight				
	5.2 Analysis of the group B samples for the mixing ratios of 60:40 and 50:50 of				
	ANFO by weight46-55				
	5.3 Limita	ations			
6.	Conclusio	n57			
7.	Reference	s58-63			
	Appendic	es			

LIST OF TABLES

Tables	Description	Pages
2.1	History and development of commercial explosives	7-9
4.1	Mixing ratios of AN and diesel fuel volume	21
4.2	Dates of blasting exercises, mixing ratios of ANFO and the distances	22
5.1	Hydrocarbons present in the fresh diesel standard and its retention time (minutes)	36
5.2	Hydrocarbons present in the 94:6 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion and its retention time (minutes)	37
5.3	Hydrocarbons present in the 90:10 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion and its retention time (minutes)	39
5.4	Other hydrocarbons present in the 90:10 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion and its retention time (minutes)	39
5.5	Hydrocarbons present in the 80:20 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion and its retention time (minutes)	40
5.6	Hydrocarbons present in the 94:6 soil sample at 5 m distance and its retention time (minutes)	41
5.7	Other hydrocarbons present in the 94:6 soil sample at 5 m distance and its retention time (minutes)	42
5.8	Detection of fuel oil in samples of 94:6,90:10, and 80:20 ANFO mixture	44
5.9	Hydrocarbons present in the fresh diesel standard and its retention time (minutes)	47
5.10	Hydrocarbons present in the 60:40 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion and its retention time (minutes)	49
5.11	Hydrocarbons present in the 50:50 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion and its retention time (minutes)	50
5.12	Hydrocarbons present in the 50:50 cotton sample at 5 m distance and its retention time (minutes)	51
5.13	Detection of fuel oil in samples of 60:40 and 50:50ANFO mixture	53

Figures	Description	Pages
1.1	Classification of explosives	2
1.2	Three-step explosive train	6
4.1	Tenaga Kimia Sdn. Bhd. factory campus blasting site	16
4.2	Arrangement of absorbent surfaces around the blasting point	18
4.3	Preparation of explosives	20
4.4	Schematic diagram of GCMS	24
4.5	Agilent GCMS	27
4.6	Perkin Elmer GCMS	32
5.1	Mass chromatogram for m/z 113 standard of fresh diesel fuel	36
5.2	Mass chromatogram for m/z 113 of 94:6 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion	37
5.3	Mass chromatogram for m/z 113 of 90:10 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion	38
5.4	Mass chromatogram for m/z 113 of 80:20 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion	40
5.5	Mass chromatogram for m/z 113 of 94:6 soil sample at 5 m distance	41
5.6	Mass chromatogram for m/z 113 of 90:10 dry leaf sample at 7 m distance	42
5.7	Mass chromatogram for m/z 113 of 80:20 wood sample at 5 m distance	43
5.8	Mass chromatogram of standard of fresh diesel fuel	47
5.9	Mass chromatogram of 60:40 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion	48
5.10	Mass chromatogram of 50:50 soil sample collected at the seat of explosion	50
5.11	Mass chromatogram of 50:50 cotton sample at 5 m distance	51
5.12	Mass chromatogram of 60:40 dry leaf sample at 5 m distance	52
5.13	Diesel (40%) was found splashed and scattered around the crater	54
5.14	Diesel (50%) splashed and scattered around the crater	55
1	Absorbent surfaces arranged around the blasting point	
2	Explosives and firing devices used during blasting exercises	
3	Preparation of blasting site	
4	Measurement of AN and FO with particular proportions	

	Detonator-emulsion explosive and ANFO mixture wrapped in a plastic	
	bag and placed on the blasting point	
,	Fumes produced after detonation of ANFO explosives at the blasting site	
,	Mass chromatogram for m/z 113 of 80:20 soil sample at 7 m distance	
}	Mass chromatogram for m/z 113 of 94:6 cotton sample at 7 m distance	

ANFO was identified as one of the most common explosives found in a crime scene. They are often mixed at different ratios by a non – professional user to create an explosion. Thus, the aim of this research study is to serve as a preliminary study to investigate on how far can fuel oil travels at different ANFO mixing ratios.

Five different ANFO mixing ratios (94:6, 90:10, 80:20, 60:40, and 50:50) were used in this study. Six selected absorbent surfaces commonly found at an explosion scene were placed at the distances of 5 m, 7 m, and 9 m radius from the blasting point. The selected absorbent surfaces were collected and analyzed for the presence of fuel oil using GCMS.

The results show that fuel oil residues can travel as far as 7 m with the ideal mixture of 94:6. For the mixture of 90:10 and 50:50, the fuel oil residues can travel as far as 5 m. The fuel oil residues were detected at 7 m distance but not 5 m distance for the mixture of 80:20. When mixing at the ratios of 60:40 and 50:50, explosion did occur but the fuel oil residues were undetected at the distance farther than 5 m.

This ANFO blasting research study indicated that fuel oil could be identified and detected in the absorbent surfaces at the ANFO blasting site. After the blast, the fuel oil could travel to some distances depend upon the proportions and quantities of ANFO.