

**A STUDY OF CONTRAST SENSITIVITY AND QUALITY  
OF LIFE FOLLOWING PHACOEMULSIFICATION WITH  
TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF ASPHERIC LENSES**

**By**

**DR ROHANA BINTI ABDUL RASHID  
MD(USM)**

**Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of  
The requirement for Master of Medicine  
(OPHTHALMOLOGY)**



**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**SCHOOL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES  
UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA  
2008**

## **DISCLAIMER**

I hereby certify that the work in this dissertation is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged.

**DATED: 11/11/2008**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R.A.R.', is written over a horizontal dotted line.

**Dr Rohana Abd Rashid**

**PUM 1244**

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

*In the name of ALLAH, the Most Beneficient, the Most Merciful*

I would like to give my sincere thanks and deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Wan Hazabbah Wan Hitam and co-supervisors Dr Shatriah Ismail of the Department of Ophthalmology, Universiti Sains Malaysia, and Dr Nik Azlan Nik Zaid of the Department of Ophthalmology, Hospital Raja Perempuan Zainab II, Kota Bharu for their invaluable advices and constructive criticism in order to complete this dissertation.

All the lecturers in the Department of Ophthalmology, Universiti Sains Malaysia – Associate Professor Dr Mohtar Ibrahim, Dr Raja Azmi, Dr Liza Shamimi Tajudin, Dr Bakiah Saharudin, Dr Zunaina Embong, Dr Cheong Min Tet, Dr Azhany Yaakob and Dr Adil Husin, as well as my colleagues and staffs in the department.

All the consultants and specialists: Dr Hj Zulkifli Abd Ghani as the Department Head, Dr Sakinah Zakariah, Dr Rosli Mohd Kasim, Dr Azma Azalina Ahmad Alwi of Department of Ophthalmology, Hospital Raja Perempuan Zainab II, Kota Bharu, Kelantan.

I would also like to express my sincere thanks to Dr Azriani Abdul Rahman and Dr Kamarul Imran Musa from Public Health Department, School Of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia for helping throughout the statistical issue.

To my beloved husband, Dr Nik Rizal Nik Yusoff, who has been so patient and supportive throughout the period of completion of my master programme. My kids – Nik Raihah, Nik Rusydini, Nik Aiman Rafaei, Nik Amir Rushdan and Nik Amiera Raudhah, your love have been the source of my aspiration.

My parents, Abd Rashid Othman and Wan Fatimah Wan Abdullah have always been helpful during difficult times. All your prayers, determination, courage and continuous support have made me progressed in my study.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

	<i>Page</i>
<b>TITLE</b>	i
<b>DISCLAIMER</b>	ii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	v
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	x
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	xii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	xiv
<b>CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.0 Background of the study	1
1.1 Visual acuity	4
1.2 Contrast Sensitivity	6
1.2.1 Contrast Sensitivity and Ocular Disease	7
1.2.2 Contrast Sensitivity and Systemic diseases	8
1.2.3 Contrast Sensitivity and Neuro-ophthalmic Diseases	8
1.2.4 Contrast Sensitivity and Drugs	9
1.3 Measurement of Contrast Sensitivity	10
1.3.1 Contrast Sensitivity Testing	10
1.3.2 CSV1000	11
1.3.3 Lighting	12

1.3.4	Test Distance	13
1.4	Quality of Life	13
1.4.1	VF-14 Questionnaires	14
1.4.2	Modified VF-14 Questionnaires	16
1.5	Contrast sensitivity and Quality of Life	19
1.6	Intraocular lenses	20
1.7	Contrast Sensitivity and Intraocular lenses	21
1.8	Rationale of the study	27
<b>CHAPTER 2 : OBJECTIVES</b>		
2.1	General objective	32
2.2	Specific objectives	32
<b>CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>		
3.1	Study design	33
3.2	Population, time and place	33
3.3	Ethical and financial disclosure	34
3.4	Sample size and sampling procedure	34
3.4.1	Sampling method	34
3.4.2	Randomization methods	34
3.4.3	Sample size	34
3.5	Selection criteria	
3.5.1	Inclusion criteria	35
3.5.2	Exclusion criteria	35

<b>3.6 Study instruments</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>3.7 Definition of terms</b>	<b>38</b>
3.7.1 Phacoemulsification	38
3.7.2 Visual Acuity	38
3.7.3 Poor visual outcome	38
3.7.4 Contrast sensitivity	39
3.7.5 Post operative induced astigmatism	39
3.7.6 Spherical aberration	39
3.7.7 Photopic	39
3.7.8 Scotopic	39
3.7.9 Mesopic	40
3.7.10 Aspheric lenses	40
<b>3.8 Methods of data collection</b>	<b>40</b>
3.8.1 Patient	40
3.8.2 Preoperative assessment	40
3.8.2.1 Ocular Examinations	41
3.8.2.2 Intraocular lens power	41
3.8.2.3 Examination for physical and health status of the patient	41 41
3.8.3 Surgery	42
3.8.4 Post operative examinations	43
3.8.4.1 Contrast sensitivity	43
3.8.4.2 VF-14 Questionnaires	44

3.9 Methods of minimizing errors	44
3.10 Statistical analysis	45
<b>CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS</b>	
4.1 Demographic data	46
4.1.1 Age	46
4.1.2 Race	47
4.1.3 Gender	47
4.2 Visual acuity pre operatively	50
4.3 Visual acuity post operatively	51
4.4 Post operative refraction spherical equivalents and astigmatism	53
4.5 Post operative contrast sensitivity at three months (photopic)	54
4.6 Post operative contrast sensitivity at three months (mesopic)	56
4.7 Quality of life after phacoemulsification surgery	58
4.8 Means of the VF-14 scores of Akreos Adapt AO group and Tecnis Z9003 group	61
4.9 Distribution of post operative response of VF-14 items	62
4.10 Distribution of near vision items in VF-14 post operatively	64
4.11 Distribution of intermediate vision items in VF-14 post operatively	65
4.12 Distribution of distant vision items of VF-14 post operatively	66
<b>CHAPTER 5 : DISCUSSION</b>	67
5.1 Demographic	67
5.2 Best corrected visual acuity	68



5.3 Post operative refraction spherical equivalent and induced astigmatism	69
5.4 Contrast sensitivity	71
5.5 Quality of life	74
5.6 Limitations and recommendations	77
<b>CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUSION</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>CHAPTER 7 : REFERENCES</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>CHAPTER 8 : APPENDICES</b>	
8.1 Appendix A –modified VF-14 Questionnaires	90
8.2 Appendix B – Borang maklumat dan Keizinan Pesakit	97
8.3 Appendix C – Data collection form	103
8.4 Appendix D- Flow chart	105
8.5 Appendix E- new questionnaires	106

<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>PAGE</b>	
Table 1.1	Comparison of Snellen and logMAR visual acuity charts	5
Table 1.2	The intraocular lenses properties between Tecnis Z9003 and Akreos Adapt AO	26
Table 4.1	Demographic data	48
Table 4.2	Distribution of visual acuity preoperatively	50
Table 4.3	Mean preoperative visual acuity and postoperative visual acuity	52
Table 4.4	Mean postoperative refractive spherical equivalent and astigmatism	53
Table 4.5	Means of total VF-14 scores at three months postoperatively between Akreos Adapt AO group and Tecnis Z9003 group	61
Table 4.6:	Distribution of postoperative response of VF-14 items in Akreos Adapt AO group and Tecnis Z9003 group	63
Table 4.7	Distribution of near vision items of VF 14	64
Table 4.8	Distribution of intermediate vision items of VF 14	65
Table 4.9	Distribution of distant vision items of VF 14	66

<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 1.1	Snellen chart 28
Figure 1.2	The LogMAR chart 28
Figure 1.3	The contrast sensitivity chart (CSV 1000) 29
Figure 1.4:	The log contrast sensitivity pattern of the CSV 1000 29
Figure 1.5	The sample of plotted contrast sensitivity chart 30
Figure 1.6	The Tecnis Z9003 31
Figure 1.7	The Akreos Adapt lens 31
Figure 4.1	Distribution of age in Akreos Adapt AO and Tecnis Z9003 groups 49
Figure 4.2	Mean of visual acuity preoperatively and postoperatively at three months in between the Akreos Adapt AO and Tecnis Z9003 groups 51
Figure 4.3	The mean of log unit contrast sensitivity at spatial frequency of 3, 6, 12, 18 cpd at three months postoperatively under photopic lighting condition 55
Figure 4.4	The mean of log unit contrast sensitivity at spatial frequency of 3, 6, 12, 18 cpd at three months postoperatively under mesopic lightning condition 57
Figure 4.5	Distribution of VF-14 scores at three months in Tecnis Z9003 group 59
Figure 4.6	Distribution of VF-14 scores at three months in Akreos adapt AO group 60

## **ABSTRAK**

**Pengenalan:** Kanta aspheric telah muncul sebagai landasan baru dalam perekaan kanta dalam usaha untuk memberi kualiti penglihatan yang lebih baik. Pengukuran kepekaan kontras dan kualiti kehidupan berkaitan penglihatan akan menilai keupayaan optic dan penglihatan kanta ini.

**Objektif:** untuk membandingkan ketajaman penglihatan, kepekaan kontras dan kualiti kehidupan berkaitan penglihatan selepas phakoemulsifikasi dan implantasi dua kanta aspheric di kalangan pesakit yang mengidapi selaput mata berkaitan penuaan yang menghadiri klinik mata di Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian dan Hospital Raja Perempuan Zainab II(HRPZ II), Kota Bharu, Kelantan.

**Tatacara:** Sebanyak 112 mata dari 112 pesakit dipilih secara rawak untuk menerima samada Akreos Adapt Advanced Optic (n=61) atau Tecnis Z9003 (n=51). Pesakit dipilih berdasarkan kepada kriteria kemasukan dan pengecualian. Tiga bulan selepas pembedahan, pesakit dinilai untuk kepekaan kontras di bawah pencahayaan 'photopic' dan 'mesopic' menggunakan CSV-1000 dan VF-14 yang diubahsuai untuk menilai kualiti kehidupan berkaitan penglihatan. Kualiti kehidupan berkaitan penglihatan menggunakan VF-14 telah dibahagikan seterusnya kepada penglihatan dekat, penglihatan jarak sederhana dan penglihatan jarak jauh. Perbandingan purata pada setiap parameter dibuat di antara dua kanta. Data dianalisa menggunakan samada Chi square atau independent t test dengan nilai p kurang dari 0.05 dianggap signifikan.

**Keputusan:** Purata ketajaman penglihatan dan kepekaan kontras pada 3,6,12 dan 18 cpd dalam pencahayaan 'photopic' atau 'mesopic' di antara Akreos Adapt AO dan Tecnis Z9003 menunjukkan keputusan hampir sama. Tiada perbezaan yang signifikan di antara dua kanta. Purata skor VF-14 pada Akreos Adapt AO adalah 98.57 (2.51) manakala Tecnis Z9003 mempunyai purata 97.18 (5.46). Jumlah skor kualiti kehidupan juga menunjukkan tiada perbezaan yang signifikan.

**Kesimpulan:** Ketajaman penglihatan dan kepekaan kontras selepas pembedahan dalam kedua-dua kanta adalah hampir setara. Akreos Adapt AO atau Tecnis Z9003 tidak menunjukkan perbezaan yang signifikan dalam kepekaan kontras dan kualiti kehidupan berkaitan penglihatan pada 3 bulan selepas pembedahan.

## **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Aspheric lens has emerged as a new landscape of intraocular design in order to provide a better quality of vision. Measurement of contrast sensitivity and vision related quality of life would assess the optical and visual performance of these aspheric lenses.

**Objectives:** To compare the visual acuity, contrast sensitivity and vision related quality of life following phacoemulsification and implantation of two aspheric lenses among age related senile cataract patients attending ophthalmology clinic in Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian and Hospital Raja Perempuan Zainab II (HRPZ II), Kota Bharu, Kelantan.

**Methodology:** A total of 112 eyes of 112 patients were randomized to receive either Akreos Adapt Advanced Optic (n=61) or Tecnis Z9003 (n=51). Patients were selected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. At 3 months postoperative period, patients were assessed for contrast sensitivity under photopic and mesopic using CSV1000 and modified VF-14 questionnaires was used to assess the vision related quality of life. Comparison of means of each parameter was made between the intraocular lenses. Data was analyzed by either Chi-square or independent t test with p value less than 0.05 was considered significant. The vision related quality of life using the VF-14 scores were further divided into near vision, intermediate vision and distant vision items.

**Results:** The mean visual acuity and contrast sensitivity in photopic and mesopic lightning at 3, 6, 12 and 18 cpd showed very similar results in Akreos Adapt AO and Tecnis Z9003. There was no significant difference between the two intraocular lenses. The VF-14 scores in Akreos Adapt AO has a mean of 98.57 (2.51) whereas the Tecnis Z9003 has a mean of 97.18 (5.46). The total quality of life scores also revealed no significant difference.

**Conclusion:** Postoperative visual acuity and contrast sensitivity in both intraocular lenses were almost comparable. Neither Akreos Adapt AO nor Tecnis Z9003 showed a significant difference in contrast sensitivity and vision related quality of life at 3 months postoperative period.

# Chapter 1 Introduction



# **INTRODUCTION**

## **1.0 BACKGROUND**

Cataract is the major cause of preventable blindness all over the world. Malaysia has a cataract prevalence of 39.11% of the total estimated cases of bilateral blindness (Zainal et al., 2002). Removal of cataract had been a successful treatment worldwide. Gain of visual function and quality of life after cataract surgery had been studied and showed significant improvement (Desai et al., 1996, Thulasiraj et al., 2002). The modern cataract surgery, phacoemulsification, is a technique whereby the cataract is removed by using an ultrasonic device and foldable posterior chamber intraocular lens is inserted in the capsular bag. This technique has the advantages of faster wound healing, early visual rehabilitation, less astigmatism and better visual outcome.

Visual acuity has been the mainstay of vision assessment in optometric and ophthalmology practice. Practitioners often made clinical decisions based on the changes in visual acuity. However visual acuity is one of the aspects in clinical visual performance. It is a measure of recognition of small (high spatial frequency) of high contrast letters (Woods RL, 1995). The conventional chart of visual acuity is limited for refractive error measurement because in spite of fully corrected refractive error, patient still complains of visual anomalies. This is a common presentation in patients with early cataract and contact lens patients. This small complain can be distressing to patients and confusing to practitioners.

The real world is composed of objects of varying size and contrasts. Therefore the measurement of visual acuity is too simple as an assessment of visual performance for everyday visual tasks. Contrast sensitivity measurement which give rise to a complete visual assessment may be used to detect visual problems at early stage, to understand the patient' s problems and helps in managing the problem for example by advising a patient of increased risks of driving in low contrast environment (Woods RL, 1995).

Dr Charles D. Kellman introduced the new evolution in cataract surgery. He introduced the ultrasonic device that is used to remove the cataract. The procedure is known as phacoemulsification. This sophisticated procedure uses a tiny probe with a vibrating tip to gently break the cataract and wash it away. Nowadays phacoemulsification has become the preferred method of cataract surgery owing to numerous advantages such as small self-sealing incision, early visual rehabilitation, less surgical-induced astigmatism and a closed chamber with controlled capsular surgery. Advances in surgical techniques and equipments have led to a dramatic increase in popularity of phacoemulsification with increase level of safety and efficiency.

In the global world with advanced technology, the evolution of various intraocular lenses with a smaller optic size, the foldable intraocular lens also represent a major development in modern cataract surgery. Foldable intraocular lens can take advantage of smaller incision, even further shortening the time to visual recovery. As we know, following cataract extraction, patients lost accommodative property along with contrast sensitivity. In the present era, with the latest and advances in technology, there are various types of new

intraocular lenses that claimed to provide better quality of vision which promotes increase in quality of life.

The emergence of aspheric lens had created a new evolution in intraocular design. The intraocular lens was designed to compensate the positive cornea aberration. However, one important consideration in choosing traditional intraocular lens versus aspheric lens was to understand the degree to which a patient might benefit from the new aspheric lenses. Therefore a more precise measurement of functional vision which was contrast sensitivity is warranted.

## 1.1 VISUAL ACUITY

Visual acuity is the most commonly used test to assess visual function. The Snellen chart is the universally accepted tool for testing visual acuity despite its poor reliability and reproducibility. Snellen charts are named after the Dutch Ophthalmologist Herman Snellen who developed the chart in 1862 (Figure 1.1).

Newer logMAR charts are now available that have negated the disadvantages of the Snellen chart. However, these charts are not being used regularly in daily practice. LogMAR stands for Minimum Angle of Resolution. The charts were designed by Bailie and Lovie and were used as a basis for the ETDRS study. The smaller the letters on the chart, and the further away they are, the smaller will be the angle subtended to the eye by the letters and therefore the smaller the value of the LogMAR score associated with it (Figure 1.2).

The use of LogMAR allows analysis of visual acuity scores more effectively and comparisons of results more precisely. It offers this because the equal linear steps of the LogMAR scale represent equal ratios in the standard size sequence (Hussain et al, 2006). The charts have been designed using high contrast lettering on washable polystyrene and based on the 'Bailey and Lovie' work. Table below showed the comparison of visual acuity of Snellen and LogMAR chart.

**Table 1.1: Comparison of Snellen and logMAR visual acuity charts**

---

<b>Snellen visual acuity</b>				
<b>Metric</b>	<b>Imperial</b>	<b>Decimal</b>	<b>MAR</b>	<b>Log MAR</b>
<b>6/60</b>	<b>20/200</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>6/48</b>	<b>20/160</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>0.9</b>
<b>6/38</b>	<b>20/125</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>6.30</b>	<b>0.8</b>
<b>6/30</b>	<b>20/100</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.7</b>
<b>6/24</b>	<b>20/80</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>0.6</b>
<b>6/19</b>	<b>20/60</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>3.20</b>	<b>0.5</b>
<b>6/15</b>	<b>20/50</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>2.50</b>	<b>0.4</b>
<b>6/12</b>	<b>20/40</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.3</b>
<b>6/9.5</b>	<b>20/30</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>1.60</b>	<b>0.2</b>
<b>6/7.5</b>	<b>20/25</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>0.1</b>
<b>6/6</b>	<b>20/20</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>6/4.8</b>	<b>20/16</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>-0.1</b>
<b>6/3.8</b>	<b>20/12.5</b>	<b>1.58</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>-0.2</b>
<b>6/3</b>	<b>20/10</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>-0.3</b>

---

## 1.2 CONTRAST SENSITIVITY

Contrast sensitivity function is a more precise and accurate method in assessing the visual performance although the utility of the charts had been debated and argued. However it is superior compared to Snellen acuity chart in assessing subtle changes.

Contrast sensitivity is a precise measurement that determines the lowest contrast level, which can be detected by patient of, given target size with variable size and contrast. Therefore it measures two variables, which are size and target. Contrast sensitivity is the inverse of contrast level. The higher the contrast level, the lower the contrast sensitivity. The advantages of measuring the contrast sensitivity is, it quantified the contrast level by using a sinusoidal grating which give a complete assessment of visual function (Campbell, 1983). Contrast sensitivity is determined by the product of optical and neural modulation transfer (Nio et al., 2003).

Many instances in which losses in contrast sensitivity were detected when visual acuity (one point on the contrast sensitivity functions) was normal have been reported. These include amblyopia, neuro-ophthalmology, retina, anterior segment disease, and glaucoma. Therefore, contrast sensitivity testing enables the clinician to diagnose selective deficits in visual processing at an earlier stage than is possible with conventional testing methods.

### **1.2.1 Contrast Sensitivity and Ocular Diseases**

Contrast sensitivity is affected in patients with dry eye, glaucoma, myopia and post LASIK. It was noted by one study done by Puell et al., (2006). They concluded contrast sensitivity with or without glare were significantly reduced in patient with dry eye. Therefore, the treatment with artificial tears improved the contrast sensitivity in these patients (Akin et al., 2006). Dry eye is also one of the complications of LASIK which give rise to impaired contrast sensitivity especially to patients with myopia. Corneal irregular astigmatism after photorefractive keratectomy contributed to impairment of contrast sensitivity in patients (Tomidokoro et al., 2001).

A study by a Brazilian researchers concluded that the effect of age on visual acuity and contrast sensitivity only became evident in persons aged at least 60 years (Chua et al., 2004). It was noted that late posterior subcapsular cataract caused the greatest reduction in visual acuity. Early grade cataract caused significant reduction in contrast sensitivity at intermediate and high spatial frequencies. However, late grade cataract reduced contrast sensitivity across all spatial frequencies to visual acuity for both cortical and nuclear cataracts but not for the posterior subcapsular type (Stifter et al., 2006). Axial and superotemporally located cortical cataract had the greatest effect on visual function tests.

### **1.2.2 Contrast Sensitivity and Systemic Diseases**

Diabetes Mellitus causes impairment of contrast sensitivity even though in the absence of retinopathy. Impairment in the measurement of contrast sensitivity would suggest capillary drop out in retina as evidenced by fundus fluorescence angiography even though in the absence of retinopathy clinically. It is suggested that contrast sensitivity in diabetic patients without retinopathy is not solely due to diabetes induced lens optical density. Abnormalities of retina and its neural connections occurring before the onset of clinically detectable retinopathy may be involved. The risks factors for these deficits are advanced age, high systolic blood pressure and nephropathy (Sokol et al., 1985).

Leprosy is a systemic disease caused by *Mycobacterium leprae*. Impairment in contrast sensitivity is thought secondary to dry eyes (Daniel et al., 2005). Abnormal contrast sensitivity and abnormal colour vision can also occur independently in HIV-infected individuals and can be present in the absence of severe immunosuppression (Shah et al., 2006).

### **1.2.3 Contrast Sensitivity and Neuro- Ophthalmic Diseases**

Contrast sensitivity is affected in optic neuritis which can be secondary to multiple sclerosis and acute demyelinating encephalomyelitis. Optic neuritis, optic atrophy and Parkinson disease also cause impairment of contrast sensitivity. A study of contrast sensitivity among the pseudotumour cerebri patients was conducted and it was found that