

by

LIU CHIEW YEN

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Technology (B.Tech) in the field of Food Technology School of Industrial Technology Universiti Sains Malaysia

June 2021



### PUSAT PENGAJIAN TEKNOLOGI INDUSTRI UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

### BORANG PENYERAHAN DISERTASI MUTAKHIR SATU (1) NASKAH

Nama penyelia: PROFESOR DR FAZILAH ARIFFIN

Bahagian: TEKNOLOGY MAKANAN

Saya telah menyemak semua pembetulan/pindaan yang dilaksanakan oleh CIK LIU CHIEW YEN mengenai disertasinya sebagaimana yang dipersetujPanel Pemeriksa di *Viva Voce*-nya.

2. Saya ingin mengesahkan bahawa saya berpuashati dengan pembetulan/pindaan yang dilaksanakan oleh calon.

Sekian, terima kasih.

(Tandatangan dan cop)

Tarikh

20 Ogos 2021

PROFESSOR DR. FAZILAH BINTI ARIFFIN Food Technology Division School Of Industrial Technology 11800 USM, PENANG.

### **DECLARATION BY AUTHOR**

This dissertation is composed of my original work and contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference has been made in the text. The content of my dissertation is the result of work I have carried out since the commencement of my research project and does not include a substantial part of work that has been submitted to qualify for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution.

chiewyen

LIU CHIEW YEN

JUNE 2021

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Prof Fazilah binti Ariffin for her guidance, assistance and constant support at every stage of the research project. I greatly appreciate her valuable time and advice in helping me for improvement and always willing to solve my inquiry.

Secondly, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere thanks to the postgraduate student, Ms Syazana for her time and effort in helping me throughout the working of final year project. I appreciate her help during the working of laboratory work and also her advice to me for better improvement.

I would also like express my sincere thanks to all of the laboratory technicians especially Mdm Norita Abdul Kadir, Mr Maarof Salleh, Mr. Abdul Rahim Md. Sari, Mr Abdul Ghoni Ruslan, Mr. Mohamad Firdaus Mohd Adnan for their help by providing all the chemicals, apparatus and equipment throughout this final year project.

Lastly, I also appreciate all the support I received from my family and my fellow course mates.

### LIU CHIEW YEN

JUNE 2021

## TABLE OF CONTENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	Х
LIST OF ABBREAVIATIONS	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
ABSTRAK	xiii
ABSTRACT	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research background	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Research objectives	3
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Rice analogue	4
2.1.1 Brief introduction of rice analogue	4
2.1.2 Development of rice analogue	4
2.2 Cassava roots	6
2.2.1 Brief introduction	6
2.2.2 Production and consumption	6
2.2.3 Nutritional composition	7
2.2.4 Functional properties of cassava starch	8
2.3 Cassava leaves	9
2.3.1 Brief introduction	9

2.3.2 Production and consumption	9
2.3.3 Nutritional composition	10
2.4 Toxicity in cassava	10
2.4.1 Cyanide level in both cassava roots and leaves	10
2.4.2 Cyanogen synthesis	11
2.4.3 Health risk of cyanide consumption	12
2.4.4 Effect of processing on cyanide content	13
2.4.4.1 Boiling	13
2.4.4.2 Fermentation	14
2.4.4.3 Drying	15
2.5 Processing of cassava-based rice analogue	16
2.5.1 Methods of processing cassava roots	16
2.5.1 Processing of modified cassava flour	16
2.5.2 Fermentation	17
2.5.3 Drying	17
2.5.2 Processing of cassava leaves	18
2.5.3 Method of processing of rice analogue	19
<b>CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	21
3.1 Materials	21
3.2 Experimental design	21
3.3 Sample preparation	22
3.3.1 Modified cassava flour preparation	22
3.3.2 Cassava leaves preparation	23
3.3.3 Rice flour preparation	23

3.3.4 Cassava-based rice analogue preparation	23
3.4 Proximate analysis	24
3.4.1 Crude protein	24
3.4.2 Crude fat	25
3.4.3 Crude fiber	25
3.4.4 Ash	26
3.4.5 Moisture	27
3.4.6 Carbohydrate	27
3.5 Amylose content analysis	27
3.6 Cyanide analysis	28
3.7 Texture profile analysis	28
3.8 Colour	29
3.9 Swelling power & water absorption capacity	29
3.10 Morphology of rice granule	30
3.11 Statistical analysis	30
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	31
4.1 Proximate analysis	31
4.1.1 Crude protein	31
4.1.2 Crude fat	32
4.1.3 Crude fiber	33
4.1.4 Ash	34
4.1.5 Moisture	35
4.1.6 Carbohydrate	37
4.2 Amylose content analysis	38

4.3 Cyanide analysis	40
4.4 Texture profile analysis	43
4.5 Colour	49
4.6 Swelling, solubility & water absorption capacity	55
4.7 Morphology of rice granule	57
<b>CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	61
5.1 Conclusion	61
5.2 Recommendations	62
REFERENCES	63

## LIST OF TABLES

Table Caption		Page
3.1	Formulation of cassava-based rice analogue based on dry weight basis	22
4.1	Fat content of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	33
4.2	Fiber content of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	34
4.3	Moisture content of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	36
4.4	Carbohydrate content of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	38
4.5	Amylose content of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	40
4.6	Cyanide content of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	42
4.7	Hardness of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	43
4.8	Pearson correlation coefficient between amylose, hardness and adhesiveness of rice analogue formulation (RAF).	44
4.9	Adhesiveness of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	45
4.10	Springiness of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	46
4.11	Cohesiveness of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	47

- **4.12** Chewiness of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on 48 different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour  $(x_1)$  and percentage of cassava leaves addition  $(x_2)$
- **4.13** Resilience of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on different 49 ratio of MOCAF to rice flour (x<sub>1</sub>) and percentage of cassava leaves addition (x<sub>2</sub>)
- **4.14** Swelling power of rice analogue formulation (RAF) based on 56 different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour  $(x_1)$  and percentage of cassava leaves addition  $(x_2)$
- **4.15** Water absorption capacity of rice analogue formulation (RAF) 57 based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour  $(x_1)$  and percentage of cassava leaves addition  $(x_2)$

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Caption		Page
2.1	Rice analogue that has been marketed in Indonesia	5
2.2	Cyanogenesis of linamarin into cyanide and acetone during processing	11
4.1	Protein content of RAF based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	32
4.2	Ash content of RAF based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	35
4.3	Control with 0 %, 10 % and 20 % cassava leaves from left to right.	49
4.4	RAF 1 with 0 %, 10 % and 20 % cassava leaves from left to right.	50
4.5	RAF 2 with 0 %, 10 % and 20 % cassava leaves from left to right.	50
4.6	RAF 3 with 0 $\%$ , 10 $\%$ and 20 $\%$ cassava leaves from left to right.	50
4.7	RAF 4 with 0 %, 10 % and 20 % cassava leaves from left to right.	51
4.8	Value of L* content of RAF based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	52
4.9	Value of $a^*$ of RAF based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	53
4.10	Value of $b^*$ of RAF based on different ratio of MOCAF to rice flour $(x_1)$ and percentage of cassava leaves addition $(x_2)$	54
4.11	Scanning electron microscope photographs of longitudinal section of control in 1(a), best formulation in 2(a) & RAF 4 in 3(a) in 60x magnification; Surfaces of control in 1(b), best formulation in 2(b) & RAF 4 in 3(b) in 200x magnification; Cross sections of control in 1(c), best formulation in 2(c) & RAF 4 in 3(c) in 100x magnification	60

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations	Captions
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
a*	Redness
b*	Yellowness
h	Hour
$L^*$	Brightness
min	Minute
MOCAF	Modified cassava flour
Ν	Newton
RAF	Rice analogue formulation
S	Second
UV-vis	Ultraviolet-visible

### LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbols	Caption
%	Percentage
±	Plus-minus sign
°C	Degree Celsius
<	Less than

### PEMBANGUNAN ANALOG BERAS BERDASARKAN UBI KAYU DAN KAJIAN MENGENAI FISIKOKIMIANYA

#### ABSTRAK

Analog beras telah dikembangkan sebagai salah satu sumber karbohidrat makanan ruji. Ia dihasilkan dari sumber karbohidrat bukan padi seperti ubi kayu, jagung dan sorgum. Nasi putih komersial kaya dengan karbohidrat tetapi ia rendah dalam protein, serat dan mineral penting. Ia didapati berkaitan dengan pengembangan diabetes Jenis 2. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengembangkan analog beras berasaskan ubi kayu yang dapat berfungsi sebagai pilihan alternatif untuk beras komersial. Pengaruhan perkadaran tepung ubi kayu yang dimodifikasi kepada tepung beras dan peratusan daun ubi kayu terhadap sifat fizikokimia analog beras berasaskan ubi kayu akan dikaji. Sampel akan dianalisis berdasarkan komposisi proksimat, kandungan amilosa, kandungan sianida, profil tekstur, warna, daya bengkak, keupayaan penyerapan air dan morfologi. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua factor menunjukkan pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap sifat fizikokimia analog beras berasaskan ubi kayu. Kajian menunjukkan bahawa RAF 2 (analog beras ubi kayu yang diformulasikan dengan 50 % tepung ubi kayu) dengan tambahan 20 % daun ubi kayu memiliki sifat yang paling diinginkan dari segi komposisi proksimat, kandungan amilosa dan sifat fungsi. Berbanding dengan kawalan, ia menunjukkan nutrient yang lebih baik dari segi kadar protein  $(10.91 \pm 0.59 \%)$ , serat  $(2.14 \pm 0.11 \%)$  dan amilosa  $(27.48 \pm 0.76 \%)$  yang tinggi. Ia juga mempunyai sifat berfungsi yang lebih baik and tekstur menyerupai dengan kawalan. Dari aspek keselamatan, kandungan sianida (2.65  $\pm$  0 ppm) juga memenuhi syarat oleh WHO dan ia adalah selamat untuk dimakan. Sebagai kesimpulan, analog beras yang dikembangkan menggunakan ubi kayu dan daun ubi kayu dapat bertindak sebagai alternatif yang lebih sihat daripada beras putih komersial.

#### DEVELOPMENT OF CASSAVA-BASED RICE ANALOGUE AND THE STUDY ON ITS PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES

### ABSTRACT

Rice analogue has been developed as one of the carbohydrate sources of staple food. It is made from non-paddy carbohydrate source such as cassava, corn and sorghum. Commercial white rice is rich in carbohydrate while low in protein, fiber and other essential minerals and it is found to associate with Type 2 diabetes. Therefore, this study was aimed to develop cassava-based rice analogue which can act as healthier alternative to commercial white rice. The effect of ratio of modified cassava flour to rice flour and percentage of cassava leaves on the physicochemical properties of cassava-based rice analogue was studied. Samples developed were analyzed based on proximate composition, amylose content, cyanide content, texture profile analysis, colour, swelling power, water absorption capacity and morphology. Results showed that both ratio of modified cassava flour to rice flour and percentage of cassava leaves addition had significant effect on the physicochemical properties of cassava-based rice analogue. It was found that RAF 2 (rice analogue formulated with 5:5 in term of ratio of modified cassava flour to rice flour) fortified with 20 % cassava leaves possessed the most desirable properties in term of proximate composition, amylose content and functional properties. It demonstrated better nutrition in term of high level in protein  $(10.91 \pm 0.59 \%)$ , fiber  $(2.14 \pm 0.11 \%)$  and amylose content  $(27.48 \pm 0.76 \%)$ . It also possessed better functional properties in term of swelling power and water absorption capacity and its texture was resembled to control (rice flour). In term of safety aspect, cyanide content (2.65  $\pm$  0 ppm) was also fulfilled the requirements by WHO indicates that it is safe to be consumed. In a conclusion, rice analogue developed using both cassava roots and leaves can act as healthier alternative to commercial white rice.