SURVIVAL AND PROGNOSTIC FACTORS OF ORAL CANCER PATIENTS IN HOSPITAL UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA, KELANTAN

by

DR. ASMANI BT. ABD. RAZAK

Dissertation Submitted In Partial Fulfillment Of The Requirements For The Degree Of Master Of Community Medicine (Oral Health)



UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2008

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim

Praise to Allah s.w.t., the most compassionate and most merciful, whose blessing has helped me through the entire completion of this dissertation and the course to pursue the Master of Community Medicine (Oral Health) in School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kelantan.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to everyone who had contributed to this study.

- Professor Dr. Syed Hatim Noor @ Nyi Nyi Naing, Coordinator of Biostatistis and Research Methodology Unit, USM, my supervisor for his constructive remarks and valuable recommendations throughout the research and completion of this dissertation.
- Dr. Norkhafizah Saddki, Co-supervisor and Lecturer of Oral Health, School of Dental Sciences, for her wise comments and guidance in making this dissertation successful.
- Associate Professor Dr. Nizam Abdullah, Co-supervisor and Lecturer of Oral Health, School of Dental Sciences, for her encouragement and helpful support during the initial stage of this study.

- Dr. Zaidun Kamari, Director of Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia (HUSM) and staffs in HUSM record's office for their permission and full cooperation during the conduct of this study
- Professor Ab Rani Shamsuddin, former Dean of School of Dental Sciences, Dr. Norhayati Razak, Lecturer and Oral Maxillofacial Surgeon in HUSM, Dr. Rusdi Abd Rahman, Oral Surgeon Consultant in HRPZ II, for their valuable guidance on the clinical aspects of this study.
- Associate Professor Dr. Abd Rashid Ismail, Dean of School Dental Sciences, for his kind attention and advice toward completing this dissertation.
- All lecturers in the Department of Community Medicine and School of Dental Sciences who have shared their knowledge and support.
- My colleagues, who are involved in the research and dissertation writing either direct or indirectly.
- > All patients who had contributed to this study.
- My deepest gratitude to my dear husband, Mr Mohd Yusri Mohd Yusoff and my children, Anas Yuzairi, Afif Aflah, Alfi Syahmi and Arieff Hazmie, for their endless patience, tolerance, unconditional love and great support for the success of this four years' course.
- Universiti Sains Malaysia (Short Term Grant 304/PPSG/6131502) for kindly providing fund for this study.

٤.,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
AC	KNOWLE	DGEMENTS	ii
TAE	BLE OF C	CONTENTS	iv
LISZ	T OF TAE	BLES	ix
LISZ	T OF FIG	URES	xi
LIST	T OF APH	PENDICES	xiii
LIS	T OF ABR	REVIATIONS	xiv
ABS	STRAK		xv
ABS	STRACT		xviii
CH	APTER 1	: INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	
1.1	Oral ca	ancer	1
	1.1.1	Incidence and prevalence of oral cancer	2
	1.1.2	Mortality of oral cancer	4
	1.1.3	Risk factors	5
	1.1.4	Symptoms	6
	1.1.5	Site classification of oral cancer	7
	1.1.6	Clinical stage	9
	1.1.7	Treatment	9
1.2	Surviva	al of oral cancer	11
1.3	Progno	stic factors of oral cancer	12
	1.3.1	Socio-demographic characteristics	13

	1.3.2	Presence of co-morbidity	14
	1.3.3	High-risk habits	15
	1.3.4	Presence of symptoms	16
	1.3.5	Clinical characteristics of oral cancer	17
		1.3.5.1 Clinical stage	17
		1.3.5.2 Clinical spread	18
		1.3.5.3 Size of the lesion	18
		1.3.5.4 Site of the lesion	19
	1.3.6	Histopathological characteristics	19
	1.3.7	Treatments	20
1.4	Ration	ale of this study	20
1.5	Concer	ptual framework	22
CH	APTER 2:	: OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESIS	
2.1	Objecti	ives of the study	24
	2.1.1	General objective	24
	2.1.2	Specific objectives	24
2.2	Researc	ch questions	25
2.3	Researc	ch hypothesis	25
CHA	APTER 3:	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introdu	ction to study area	26
3.2	Study d	lesign	27
3.3	Referen	ce populations	27
3.4	Source p	populations	27
3.5	Samplin	ng frame	27
	3.5.1	Inclusion criteria	27

	3.5.2	Exclusion criteria	27
3.6	Study	subjects	28
3.7	Sampl	e size determination	28
3.8	Samp	ling method	29
3.9	Resea	rch tools	30
3.10	Data c	collection	30
3.11	Defini	tion of operational terms	31
3.12	Statist	ical analysis	34
3.13	Ethica	l approval	38
3.14	Flow	chart of the study	39
СНА	PTER 4	: RESULTS	
4.1	Surviv	val status of oral cancer	41
4.2	Distrib	oution of specific groups variables	42
	4.2.1	Distribution of socio-demographic characteristics	42
	4.2.2	Distribution of co-morbidity	43
	4.2.3	Distribution of high-risk habits	44
	4.2.4	Distribution of symptoms	45
	4.2.5	Distribution of clinical characteristics	46
	4.2.6	Distribution of histopathological characteristics	48
	4.2.7	Distribution of treatment profiles	49
4.3	Overall	survival probabilities for oral cancer	50
4.4	Surviva	al probabilities in specific groups variable	51
	4.4.1	Survival probabilities in socio-demographic characteristics	51
	4.4.2	Survival probabilities in co-morbidity and high-risk habits	52
	4.4.3	Survival probabilities in symptoms	54

	4.4.4	Survival probabilities in clinical characteristics	56
	4.4.5	Survival probabilities in histopathology characteristics and treatment profiles	59
4.5	Progno	ostic factors of oral cancer in HUSM by univariable analysis	67
	4.5.1	Prognostic factors of socio-demographic characteristics	67
	4.5.2	Prognostic factors of co-morbidity	68
	4.5.3	Prognostic factors of high-risk habits	69
	4.5.4	Prognostic factors of symptoms	69
	4.5.5	Prognostic factors of clinical characteristics and	71
	4.5.6	Prognostic factors of histopathological characteristics	73
	4.5.7	Prognostic factors of treatment profiles	74
4.6	Prognostic factors of oral cancer patients in HUSM by multivariable analysis		
	4.6.1	Interaction and multicollinearity	76
	4.6.2	Proportional hazard assumptions	76
	4.6.3	Model fitness assessment	77
	4.6.4	Outlier and influential diagnostic assessment	77
	4.6.5	Final model	86
СНА	PTER 5:	DISCUSSION	
5.1	Profile	of the patients	87
5.2	Overall	survival probabilities of oral cancer	93
5.3	Surviva	al probabilities of oral cancer in specific groups	95
	5.3.1	Survival probabilities based on socio-demographic characteristics	95
	5.3.2	Survival probabilities based on presence of co-morbidity	96
	5.3.3	Survival probabilities based on high-risk habits	97

	5.3.4	Survival probabilities based on presence of symptoms	98
	5.3.5	Survival probabilities based on clinical characteristics	98
	5.3.6	Survival probabilities based on histopathological characteristics	100
	5.3.7	Survival probabilities based on treatment profiles	100
5.4	Progno	stic factors of oral cancer patients in HUSM	101
CHA	PTER 6:	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	107
6.1	Sur	nmary	107
6.2	Cor	nclusion	110
СНА	PTER 7:	LIMITATIONS	112
СНА	PTER 8:	RECOMMENDATIONS	114
Refe	rences		116
Арре	endices		122

•

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	Title	<u>Page</u>
Table 1.1	Frequent signs and symptoms of oral cancer	7
Table 1.2	Site classification of oral cancer in ICD 9 and ICD 10	8
Table 4.1	Survival status of oral cancer patients in HUSM	41
Table 4.2	Frequency distribution of socio-demographic characteristics among oral cancer patients in HUSM	42
Table 4.3	Frequency distribution of co-morbidity among oral cancer patients in HUSM	43
Table 4.4	Frequency distribution of high-risk habits practiced among oral cancer patients in HUSM	44
Table 4.5	Frequency distribution of symptoms of oral cancer among patients in HUSM	45
Table 4.6	Frequency distribution of clinical characteristics of oral cancer among patients in HUSM	47
Table 7.7	Frequency distribution of histopathological characteristics of oral cancer among patients in HUSM	48
Table 4.8	Frequency distribution of treatment profiles of oral cancer among patients in HUSM	49
Table 4.9	Five-year survival rates differences by Kaplan-Meier estimates in socio-demographic characteristics	52
Table 4.10	Five-year survival rates differences by Kaplan-Meier estimates in presence of co-morbidity and high-risk habits	53
Table 4.11	Five-year survival rates differences by Kaplan-Meier estimates in presence of symptoms	55
Table 4.12	Five-year survival rates differences by Kaplan-Meier estimates in clinical characteristics	57

Table 4.13	Five-year survival rates differences by Kaplan-Meier estimates in histopathological characteristics and treatment profiles	60
Table 4.14	Prognostic factors of sociodemographic characteristics among oral cancer patients in HUSM using Simple Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model	67
Table 4.15	Prognostic factors of co-morbidity among oral cancer patients in HUSM using Simple Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model	68
Table 4.16	Prognostic factors of high-risk habits among oral cancer patients in HUSM using Simple Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model	69
Table 4.17	Prognostic factors of presence of symptoms among oral cancer patients in HUSM using Simple Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model	70
Table 4.18	Prognostic factors of clinical characteristics among oral cancer patients in HUSM using Simple Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model	72
Table 4.19	Prognostic factors of histopathological characteristics among oral cancer patients in HUSM using Simple Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model	73
Table 4.20	Prognostic factors of treatment profiles among oral cancer patients in HUSM using Simple Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model	74
Table 4.21	Significant prognostic factors of oral cancer patients in HUSM using Multivariable Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model	75
Table 5.1	Comparison of 5-year survival rate among oral cancer patients	94
Table 5.2	Prognostic factors of oral cancer death identified in other studies	102

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
Figure 1.1	Conceptual framework of the study	23
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of the study	40
Figure 4.1	Kaplan-Meier curve for overall survival estimate among oral cancer patients in HUSM	50
Figure 4.2	Kaplan-Meier survival curves for oral cancer patients in HUSM according to demographic characteristics (a) categorical age and (b) sex	61
Figure 4.3	Kaplan-Meier survival curves for oral cancer patients in HUSM according to symptoms (a) dysphagia, (b) loss of appetite, (c) loss of weight, (d) hoarseness of voice, (e) oral cavity bleeding, (f) pain and (g) earache	62
Figure 4.4	Kaplan-Meier survival curves for oral cancer patients in HUSM according to clinical characteristic (a) T stage, (b) Lymph nodes involvement, (c) M stage, (d) TNM stage, (e) cancer spread, (f) size and (g) site	64
Figure 4.5	Kaplan-Meier survival curves for oral cancer patients in HUSM according to histopathology characteristics (a) morphology types	65
Figure 4.6	Kaplan-Meier survival curves for oral cancer patients in HUSM according to treatment profiles (a) treatment received, (b) treatment intention and (c) complications of treatment	66
Figure 4.7	The log cumulative hazard curve plotted against survival time in all categorical variables in preliminary final model	78
Figure 4.8	The hazard function curve plotted against survival time in all categorical variable in the preliminary model	79
Figure 4.9	The scaled Schoenfeld residual plot for numerical variable in the preliminary model	80
Figure 4.10	The Martingale residual plots (a) with survival time, (b) with rank survival time and (c) with age at diagnosis	80

.

Figure 4.11	The Cox-Snell residuals plot	81
Figure 4.12	The deviance residuals plot against linear prediction	81
Figure 4.13	The df-beta residual plot age at diagnosis versus survival time	82
Figure 4.14	The df-beta residual plot sex versus survival time	82
Figure 4.15	The df-beta residual plot loss of weight versus survival time	83
Figure 4.16	The df-beta residual plot pain versus survival time	83
Figure 4.17	The df-beta residual plot earache versus survival time	84
Figure 4.18	The df-beta residual plot TNM stage versus survival time	84
Figure 4.19	The df-beta residual plot treatment versus survival time	85

LIST OF APPENDICES

<u>Appendix</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Appendix A	TNM staging systems	122
Appendix B	Data collection form – "Survival and prognostics factors of oral cancer in HUSM	123
Appendix C	ACE 27	128
Appendix D	Universiti Sains Malaysia ethical approval	129
Appendix E	USM short term grant	130
Appendix F	Approval for record's review from HUSM Director	131
Appendix G	Borang Maklumat dan Keizinan pesakit	132

LIST OF ABREVIATIONS

ACE-27	Adults Comorbidity Evaluation-27
BDA	British Dental Association
CDC	Center for Disease Control and Prevention
CI	Confidence Interval
cm	centimeter
df	Degree of freedom
HR	Hazard ratio
HRPZ II	Hospital Raja Perempuan Zainab II
HUSM	Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia
ICD	International Classification of Diseases
LR	Likelihood Ratio
MC	Multicollinearity
МОН	Ministry of Health
SCC	Squamous Cell Carcinoma
SD	Standard deviation
SEER	Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Result
SES	Socio-economic status
US	United States
USM	Universiti Sains Malaysia
VIF	Variation Inflation Factor
WHO	World Health Organisation

ABSTRAK

TAJUK:

Kadar jangka hayat dan faktor-faktor prognostik di kalangan pesakit kanser mulut di Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kelantan

PENGENALAN:

Kanser mulut adalah penyakit yang boleh dicegah yang memberikan impak yang besar terhadap kematian dan keadaan tidak sihat serta kualiti hidup pesakit. Secara global, kadar survival lima-tahun untuk kanser mulut tidak berubah secara ketara, walaupun dengan kemajuan di dalam teknologi mendiagnosa dan merawat kanser mulut serta adanya pakar yang handal. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk meneroka survival dan mengenalpasti faktor-faktor prognostik yang boleh mempengaruhi risiko untuk mati dikalangan pesakit kanser mulut di Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia (HUSM).

METHODOLOGI:

Satu kajian semula rekod secara retrospektif telah dijalankan dari Ogos hingga Disember 2006, dengan melibatkan 133 pesakit yang telah didiagnosis sebagai pesakit kanser mulut dari tahun 1986 hingga 2005. Susulan tambahan selama satu tahun selepas pemilihan subjek telah dijalankan sejak 1hb Januari hingga 31hb Disember 2006, untuk menentukan status pesakit. Semua pesakit yang memenuhi kriteria dipilih memasuki kajian ini. Maklumat yang diperlukan semasa diagnosa dan status survival pesakit sehingga 31hb Disember 2006 telah disemak dari rekod perubatan oleh penyelidik utama. Maklumat berkenaan direkodkan ke dalam borang yang disediakan khas, bagi memastikan pengumpulan data yang sama antara pesakit. Panggilan telefon dan lawatan ke rumah juga dijalankan untuk mendapatkan maklumat tentang status survival untuk pesakit yang masih hidup semasa keluar hospital tetapi gagal menghadiri temujanji dan tiada rekod susulan. Kemasukan data dan analisis telah dilakukan dengan menggunakan perisian SPSS versi 12 dan STATA versi 8. Kaplan-Meier telah digunakan untuk mengira anggaran survival sementara Cox Proportional Hazards Model menentukan faktor-faktor prognostik.

KEPUTUSAN:

Kadar survival 5-tahun dikalangan pesakit kanser mulut di HUSM adalah 19.6% (95%CI:12.9, 27.2) dengan masa median survival selama 10 bulan. Dengan menyesuaikan pembolehubah yang lain, multivariable Cox Proportional Hazards Model, mengenalpasti faktor-faktor prognostik yang bermakna yang boleh mempengaruhi kematian akibat kanser mulut di in HUSM adalah umur semasa diagnosis (HR=1.02; 95%CI:1.00-1.03; p=0.004), jantina (HR=0.61; 95%CI:0.40-0.95; p=0.028), kehilangan berat badan (HR=1.74; 95%CI:1.13-2.64; p=0.012), kesakitan (HR=1.64; 95%CI:1.01-2.66; p=0.045), sakit telinga (HR=2.55; 95%CI:1.15-5.62; p=0.021), TNM tahap III dan IV (HR=3.79; 95%CI:1.62-8.87; p=0.002) dan rawatan (HR=0.32; 95%CI:0.21-0.49; p<0.001).

KESIMPULAN:

Survival dikalangan pesakit kanser mulut di HUSM boleh di katakan sangat rendah. Peningkatan umur semasa diagnosa, kehilangan berat badan, mengalami kesakitan, mengalami sakit telinga dan tahap TNM yang telah lanjut adalah faktor yang meramalkan keterukan risiko kematian akibat kanser mulut, manakala jantina perempuan dan menerima rawatan adalah faktor pelindung terhadap hazad kanser mulut.

Kata Kunci: Kanser mulut, survival, prognosis, faktor prognostik, HUSM.

ABSTRACT

Title:

Survival and prognostic factors of oral cancer in Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kelantan

Introduction:

Oral cancer is a preventable disease that gives high impact on mortality and morbidity as well as patients' quality of live. Worldwide, the five-year survival rate remained unchanged despite the advancement in the technology in diagnosis and treatment of oral cancer, as well as the highly experience specialist. The aims of this study were to determine the survival and to identify the prognostic factors that influence the risk of death of oral cancer patients in Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia (HUSM).

Methodology:

A retrospective record review was conducted from August to December 2006 in HUSM involving 133 patients diagnosed as oral cancer from 1986 to 2005. Additional followup of one year after the recruitment of the subjects was done from 1st January till 31st December 2006 to verify patients' status. All patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included in the study. The required information at time of diagnosis as well as patients' survival status until 31st December 2006 was retrieved from medical records by the principle researcher and transferred to a specially design data extraction form. For some patients who were alive upon discharged from hospital but defaulted appointment or were loss to follow up, telephone calls and home visit were conducted to obtain information on patients' survival status. Data entry and analysis was done using SPSS version 12 and STATA version 8. Kaplan-Meier was used to calculate survival estimates while Cox Proportional Hazards Model was used to determine prognostic factors.

Results:

The overall 5-year survival rate for oral cancer patients in HUSM was 19.6% (95%CI:12.9, 27.2) with median survival time of 10 months. Adjusted for other variables, Cox Proportional Hazards Model identified the significant prognostic factors that influence death in oral cancer patients in HUSM as age at diagnosis (HR=1.02; 95%CI=1.00-1.03; p=0.004), sex (HR=0.61; 95%CI=0.40-0.95; p=0.028), weight loss (HR=1.74; 95%CI=1.13-2.64; p=0.012), pain (HR=1.64; 95%CI=1.01-2.66; p=0.045), earache (HR=2.55; 95%CI=1.15-5.62; p=0.021), TNM stage III&IV (HR=3.79; 95%CI=1.62-8.87; p=0.002) and treatment (HR=0.32; 95%CI=0.21-0.49; p<0.004).

Conclusion:

Oral cancer patients' survival in HUSM is very low. Older age at diagnosis, loss of weight, present of pain, present of earache, and advanced TNM stage were more likely to die due to oral cancer, while being female and received treatment, were protective factors. All these factors were considerably similar to other countries.

Key word: Oral cancer, survival, prognosis, prognostic factors, HUSM.

CHAPTER ONE:

INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1 ORAL CANCER

Oral cancer is a malignant growth involving any of the oral cavity structure either the lips, tongue, salivary glands, buccal mucosa, gingiva, floor of the mouth, hard palate and retromolar trigone. It may arise as a primary lesion originating in any part of the oral cavity, by metastasis from distant site of origin, or by extension from neighbouring anatomic structure (CDC, 1996).

Oral cancer may originate from any of the tissues in the mouth, thus the histologic appearance may vary. The most common oral cancer is squamous cell carcinoma, originating from the tissues that line the mouth and lips. Other types of oral cancer are mucoepidermoid carcinoma, acinic cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma derived from major or minor salivary gland, lymphoma from tonsillar or other lymphoid tissue, or melanoma from the pigment producing cells of the oral mucosa (Zakrzewska, 1999).

1.1.1 Incidence and prevalence of oral cancer

Oral cancer was the sixth most common cancer worldwide, accounting for approximately 4% of all cancers and 2% of all cancer deaths (Worrall, 2001). Of 8.1 million new cases of cancer in 1990, 2.6% was oral cancer (Scully and Bedi, 2000). In 2002, 274,000 cases of oral cancer were diagnosed (Parkin *et al.*, 2005).

Globally, there was a marked variation in the incidence of oral cancer. National Cancer Institute, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Result (SEER) United State (US) data reported, the overall incidence rates for oral and pharyngeal cancer combined were 10.4 per 100,000 populations for the year 1991 (CDC, 1996). In 2006, Academy of Dental Therapeutics and Stomatology in US reported a lifetime risk of developing oral and pharyngeal cancer was 1 in 98 persons (Kerr, 2006). In the United Kingdom (UK), 4300 new cases of oral cancer were diagnosed yearly with 1,700 deaths toll (BDA, 2000).

Oral cancer was the most common cancer in India with prevalence up to 40% of all cancers (Zakrzewska, 1999) and accounting for a quarter of the world burden of oral cancer, 79,000 from a total 267,000 cases (Yeole *et al.*, 2003). In Sri Lanka, oral cancer accounted for 16.8% of all cancer cases for the year 2000 (Siriwardena *et al.*, 2006). In Thailand, oral cancer ranked fourth of the most common cancer in males and second in females. The highest incidence was reported in Songkhla with male incidence of 9.8 per 100,000 population for the year 1998 (Kerdpon and Sriplung, 2001).

In Malaysia, a national epidemiology survey of oral mucosal lesion reported oral cancer prevalence was 0.04% (Zain *et al.*, 1997). Another study among elderly population in Klang District showed higher oral cancer prevalence of 0.4% (Ali *et al.*, 1996). From 1994 to 1998, overall crude incidence rate was 0.74 per 100,000 population in Kelantan (Ghazali *et al.*, 2006). Penang Cancer Registry reported oral cancer accounted for 3% of all Penang Hospital cancer admissions in 1995 (Hooi and Devaraj, 1998). In 2003, 3.3% of all cancer in National Cancer Registry was oral cancer accounted for (Lim and Yahaya, 2004).

Looking at sex distribution of oral cancer, male was reported to have higher tendency in contracting oral cancer than female. The world area with the highest incidence was Melanesia with 31.5 per 100,000 in men and 20.2 per 100,000 in women. Rates in men were high in Western Europe (11.3 per 100,000), Southern Europe (9.2 per 100,000), South Asia (12.7 per 100,000), Southern Africa (11.1 per 100,000), and Australia/New Zealand (10.2 per 100,000). In females, incidence is relatively high in Southern Asia (8.3 per 100,000) (Parkin *et al.*, 2005).

In Malaysia, National cancer registry showed higher prevalence of oral cancer among female (51.3%) compared to male (48.7%) (Lim and Yahaya, 2004), consistent with data from oral cancer database and tissue resource bank, 2004, reported prevalence among female was 58.6% compared to 41.4% in males (Zain *et al.*, 2005). Mustafa et al., (2007) also reported similar finding.

In US, distribution of incidence and prevalence of oral cancer showed that Black ethnic group was more affected compared to White ethnic group (CDC, 1996). As for Malaysian, the highest prevalence was 1.9 per 1000 population among Bumiputras, followed by Chinese with 0.4 per 1000 population and Malays with 0.3 per 1000 population (Zain *et al.*, 1997). However, more recent evidence showed Indians' male were ranked first of all cancer and second for female (Lim and Yahaya, 2004). Mustafa et al. (2007) and Zain *et al.* (2005) also reported highest prevalence of oral cancer among Indians.

Differences in age, sex and ethnic groups had a particular pattern in development of oral cancer. Older person were more likely to develop oral cancer compared to younger person (BDA, 2000). Men were 2 to 3 times more affected than women, largely due to high use of alcohol and tobacco (Johnson, 2001). Black group was more susceptible to develop oral cancer. However, in the absence of smoking, the rate of cancer was nearly equal for Black and White in US (Day *et al.*, 1993).

1.1.2 Mortality of oral cancer

Mortality of oral cancer on average was less than half of the incidence (Parkin *et al.*, 2005). The US SEER data reported, the overall mortality rates for oral and pharyngeal cancer combined were 2.9 per 100,000 populations for the year 1991 (CDC, 1996). In 1996, the American Cancer Society estimated that of 1,200,000 new cases diagnosed and more than a half million would die (Worrall, 2001). In Japan, oral cancer mortality

was 3.3 per 100,000 population for the year 1990-1994 (Su et al., 1999).

Females had lower mortality rate of 1.7 per 100,000 compared to 4.5 per 100,000 for males (CDC, 1996). A study in US reported the oral cancer mortality among males were 4.2 per 100,000 population compared to 1.6 per 100,000 populations among females for the period of 1995 to 2001 (Kerr, 2006). In the year 1980, oral and pharyngeal cancer caused 4.6% death of all cancers among Brazilian males compared to only 1.1% among females (Wunsch-Filho, 2002)

1.1.3 Risk Factors

While the exact cause of oral cancer was unknown, several factors have been identified as contributing factors in the development of oral cancer. Tobacco and alcohol had the greatest impact on malignancy development. Tobacco used was associated with up to 12 times higher risk than the nonsmoking group (Ghali and Connor, 1999). Tobacco and alcohol interact synergistically to further amplify the risk of oral cancer (Robinson and Macfarlane, 2003). However, alcohol itself was not a strong risk factor. Its only raised the risk of oral cancer by three folds (Fioretti *et al.*, 1999).

In Malaysia and other Asian countries, chewing betel and areca nut had been known to be a strong risk factor for development of oral cancer (Zain, 2001; Chen *et al.*, 2004). In India, where such practices were common, oral cancer represented up to 40% of all cancers, compared to just 4% in the UK (Yeole *et al.*, 2003).