

**VIBRATION ANALYSIS OF THE CHENDEROH
DAM STRUCTURE DUE TO THE EFFECTS OF
WATER SPILLING AND SURGING**

MOHAMAD HAZWAN BIN MOHD GHAZALI

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2019

**VIBRATION ANALYSIS OF THE DAM STRUCTURE DUE TO THE
EFFECTS OF WATER SPILLING AND SURGING**

by

MOHAMAD HAZWAN BIN MOHD GHAZALI

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science**

August 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praised be to the Almighty Allah Subhanahu Wataalla, the Most Gracious the Most Merciful, for the patience, knowledge and courage.

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Ahmad Zhafran Ahmad Mazlan and my co-supervisor, Dr Mohamad Aizat Abas for continuous encouragement, guidance and suggestions throughout this research. Without them, this research will not be the same as presented here.

I also would like to extend my gratitude to all the Assistant Engineers from the School of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), especially Mr. Wan Mohd Amri bin Wan Mamat Ali, for assisting me in the experimental work. I am thankful to all my research colleagues of TheVibrationLab, USM and also from Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN) for the help, moral support, and valuable hints.

My sincere appreciation also goes to the team from School of Civil Engineering, USM and Civil Engineering Department of UNITEN lead by Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Remy Rozainy Mohd Arif Zainol and Dr. Mohd Hafiz Zawawi, for the construction of physical model.

Last but not least, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my parents for their moral and financial support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF TABLES	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF SYMBOLS	XIV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XVI
ABSTRAK	XVII
ABSTRACT	XVIII
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	19
1.1 Overview	19
1.2 Research background	19
1.3 Problem statement.....	21
1.4 Objectives.....	21
1.5 Research contributions	22
1.6 Scope of research.....	22
1.7 Thesis outline.....	23
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	25
2.1 Overview	25
2.2 Types of dam	25
2.3 Scaled Physical model of dam.....	27
2.4 Material degradation of concrete	30

2.5 General vibration analysis on dam.....	31
2.6 Operational Deflection Shape (ODS) and Experimental Modal Analysis (EMA) measurement on the dam.....	34
2.7 Simulations study on the dam.....	36
2.7.1 Modal analysis on the dam structure using ANSYS	36
2.7.2 Fluid Structure Interaction (FSI) study of the dam structure	38
2.8 Summary	42
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY.....	45
3.1 Overview	45
3.2 Development of 3-D and 2-D dam drawings (1:1 scale)	47
3.2.1 Overall dam.....	47
3.2.2 Intake section	48
3.2.3 Spillway section	50
3.3 Development of 3-D and 2-D dam drawings (1:20 scale)	52
3.3.1 Overall dam.....	52
3.3.2 Intake section	53
3.3.3 Spillway section	54
3.4 Dam material characterization.....	55
3.5 Initial validation of the dam material.....	58
3.6 Mesh sensitivity analysis.....	60
3.7 Modal and harmonic response analysis of the dam structure.....	62
3.7.1 Modal analysis setup in ANSYS 18.2	62
3.7.2 Harmonic response analysis setup in ANSYS 18.2	64
3.8 Fluid Structure Interaction (FSI) analysis on the dam structure.....	65
3.8.1 Theory and equation employed in ANSYS software packages	65
3.8.2 Fluid and Structure Numerical Setup in ANSYS 18.2	68
3.8.3 Fluid domain and boundary conditions	71

3.8.4 Operational condition of intake and spillway section	74
3.9 Experimental validation	77
3.9.1 EMA on the spillway and intake	78
3.9.2 ODS experiment on the spillway and intake section	80
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	82
4.1 Overview	82
4.2 Dam material characteristics	82
4.3 Initial validation results	85
4.4 Sensitivity analysis.....	87
4.5 Modal, harmonic and ODS analyses of the dam structure (1:1 scale).....	91
4.5.1 Spillway	91
4.5.2 Intake	98
4.6 FSI analysis on the dam structure (1:1 scale).....	106
4.6.1 Spillway	106
4.6.2 Intake	111
4.6.3 Source of excitation.....	117
4.7 Modal, harmonic and ODS analyses on the dam structure (1:20 scale)	121
4.7.1 Spillway	121
4.7.2 Intake	125
4.8 FSI analysis on the dam structure (1:20 scale)	128
4.8.1 Spillway	128
4.8.2 Intake	129
4.9 Experimental results and validation.....	131
4.9.1 Experimental result for spillway section	131
4.9.2 Experimental results for intake section.....	133
4.9.3 Validation.....	136
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS	139

5.1 Conclusion..... 139

5.2 Recommendations for Future Research 140

REFERENCES141

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: 2-D DAM DRAWINGS AND BOM

APPENDIX B: ADDITIONAL RESULTS FOR COMPRESSION TEST

APPENDIX C: INSTRUMENT SPECIFICATION

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3. 1. Three case studies with its corresponding design for reinforcement material calculation	57
Table 3. 2. Details of mesh for all types of meshing in sensitivity analysis	61
Table 3. 3. Details of fluid mesh settings	61
Table 3. 4. Properties of material involved in the numerical study	63
Table 3. 5. Four case studies of intake section for FSI analysis	75
Table 3. 6. Four case studies of spillway section for FSI analysis	76
Table 4. 1. Compression test results of the sample at 4 and 7 m depth	82
Table 4. 2. Three different E calculations with the consideration of reinforcement materials	84
Table 4. 3. Results of EMA conducted on the cube sample	85
Table 4. 4. Comparison of simulation results between 30 and 4.27 GPa concrete materials	86
Table 4. 5. Error analysis between experimental and simulation results	87
Table 4. 6. Comparison of different types of meshing and their respective natural frequencies	88
Table 4. 7. Comparison between mesh sizes, number of elements and maximum velocity for the fluid domain of intake section	89
Table 4. 8. Relationship between ODS and mode shape for Case 1 of spillway section	93
Table 4. 9. Relationship between ODS and mode shape for Case 2 of spillway section	95
Table 4. 10. Relationship between ODS and mode shape for Case 3 of spillway section	96

Table 4. 11.	Relationship between ODS and mode shape for Case 4 of spillway section	97
Table 4. 12.	Relationship between ODS and mode shape for Case 1 of intake section	101
Table 4. 13.	Relationship between ODS and mode shape for Case 2 of intake section	102
Table 4. 14.	Relationship between ODS and mode shape for Case 3 of intake section	103
Table 4. 15.	Relationship between ODS and mode shape for Case 4 of intake section	105
Table 4. 16.	The source of excitation for the spillway section	117
Table 4. 17.	The source of excitation for the intake section	119
Table 4. 18.	Relationship between ODS and mode shape of the spillway section (1:20 scale)	124
Table 4. 19.	Relationship between ODS and mode shape of the intake section (1:20 scale)	127
Table 4. 20.	Relationship between ODS and mode shape of the spillway section (experimental)	133
Table 4. 21.	Relationship between ODS and mode shape of the intake section (experimental)	135
Table 4. 22.	Validation between experimental and simulation results	136
Table 4. 23.	Volume flow rate at the penstock from numerical analysis	137
Table 4. 24.	Validation between simulation and experimental volume flow rate	138

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2. 1. Four main types of dam; (a) Buttress Dam, (b) Embankment dam, (c) Gravity dam, (d) Arch dam	26
Figure 2. 2. Left-view of the elevated PVC spillway (Heiner & Shupe, 2017)	28
Figure 2. 3. (a) Reservoir area and (b) final version of the Urkmez Dam physical model (Tayfur & Guney, 2013)	28
Figure 2. 4. Upstream and downstream view of the physical model (Erpicum et al., 2015)	29
Figure 2. 5. Physical model of Scott Dam Spillway (Hinton et al., 2015)	30
Figure 2. 6. Locations of electromagnetic and electrohydraulic vibrators on the North Fork Dam (Balsara & Fowler, 1974)	35
Figure 2. 7. (a) Meshed structural, (b) fluid domain and (c) physical Model of dam (Ng et al., 2018)	40
Figure 2. 8. Valve geometry models; rounded, chamfer and flat (Javanshir et al., 2015)	41
Figure 2. 9. Physical and FE model of Saemangeum radial gate (Lee et al., 2018)	42
Figure 3. 1. Flow chart of research methodology	46
Figure 3. 2. Real overall dam structure of TNB Chenderoh Hydropower Station	47
Figure 3. 3. Downstream view of the overall dam (1:1 scale)	48
Figure 3. 4. Upstream view of the overall dam (1:1 scale)	48
Figure 3. 5. 3-D model and real photo of Chenderoh intake section	49
Figure 3. 6. Detail sectional views of the intake section	49
Figure 3. 7. 3-D model of Chenderoh spillway section	51
Figure 3. 8. Real photo of Chenderoh spillway section	51

Figure 3. 9.	Detail sectional view of spillway section	52
Figure 3. 10.	Downstream view of the overall dam (1:20 scale)	53
Figure 3. 11.	Upstream view of the overall dam (1:20 scale)	53
Figure 3. 12.	Upstream view of the intake section for simulation geometry and physical model	54
Figure 3. 13.	Downstream view of the intake section for simulation geometry and physical model	54
Figure 3. 14.	Upstream view of the spillway section for simulation geometry and physical model	55
Figure 3. 15.	Downstream view of the spillway section for simulation geometry and physical model	55
Figure 3. 16.	Experimental setup for the compression test	56
Figure 3. 17.	EMA steps for initial validation of concrete material	59
Figure 3. 18.	Linked setup between modal and harmonic response in ANSYS 18.2 Workbench	65
Figure 3. 19.	Linked setup between systems in two-way FSI in ANSYS 18.2 Workbench	69
Figure 3. 20.	Fluid domain of the intake section (1:1 scale)	71
Figure 3. 21.	Fluid domain of the spillway section (1:1 scale)	71
Figure 3. 22.	Fluid domain of the intake section (1:20 scale)	72
Figure 3. 23.	Fluid domain of the spillway section (1:20 scale)	72
Figure 3. 24.	Inlet and outlet area of the intake section	73
Figure 3. 25.	Inlet and outlet area of the spillway section	73
Figure 3. 26.	Structural boundary condition of the intake and spillway section (1:1 scale)	74
Figure 3. 27.	Structural boundary condition of the intake and spillway section (1:20 scale)	74
Figure 3. 28.	Instrumentation for EMA and locations of measurement	78

Figure 3. 29.	EMA test points at the front wall of intake section	79
Figure 3. 30.	EMA test points at the penstock area	79
Figure 3. 31.	EMA test points at the front divider of spillway section	80
Figure 3. 32.	Instrumentation for ODS experiment and location of measurement at penstock area	81
Figure 3. 33.	Locations of ODS measurement at the front divider of spillway section	81
Figure 4.1.	Result of compression test (stress-strain graph) of the sample S2 at 7m depth	83
Figure 4. 2.	FRF graph obtained from simulation result for real concrete (4.27 GPa) material	86
Figure 4. 3.	FRF graph obtained from simulation result for default concrete (30GPa) material	87
Figure 4. 4.	Sensitivity analysis of three different types of mesh for spillway section (structure only)	89
Figure 4. 5.	Mesh sensitivity analysis of five different mesh sizes for fluid domain	91
Figure 4. 6.	Meshed structure of the spillway section	92
Figure 4. 7.	The 16 th mode shape of the spillway section from upstream and downstream view at the natural frequency of 5.09 Hz	92
Figure 4. 8.	FRF graph in z-direction of the spillway section	92
Figure 4. 9.	Meshed structure of the intake section	99
Figure 4. 10.	The 8 th mode shape of the intake section at the natural frequency of 2.76 Hz	99
Figure 4. 11.	FRF graph in z-direction of the intake section	100
Figure 4. 12.	Fluid mesh of the spillway section	107
Figure 4. 13.	Deformation contour for the spillway section (Case 1)	107
Figure 4. 14.	Stress contour for the spillway section (Case 1)	108
Figure 4. 15.	Deformation contour of the spillway section (Case 2)	108

Figure 4. 16.	Stress contour of the spillway section (Case 2)	109
Figure 4. 17.	Deformation contour of the spillway section (Case 3)	109
Figure 4. 18.	Stress contour of the spillway section (Case 3)	110
Figure 4. 19.	Deformation contour of the spillway section (Case 4)	111
Figure 4. 20.	Stress contour of the spillway section (Case 4)	111
Figure 4. 21.	Fluid mesh of the intake section	112
Figure 4. 22.	Deformation contour of the intake section (Case 1)	112
Figure 4. 23.	Stress contour of the intake section (Case 1)	113
Figure 4. 24.	Deformation contour of the intake section (Case 2)	114
Figure 4. 25.	Stress contour of the intake section (Case 2)	114
Figure 4. 26.	Deformation contour of the intake section (Case 3)	115
Figure 4. 27.	Stress contour of the intake section (Case 3)	115
Figure 4. 28.	Deformation contour of the intake section (Case 4)	116
Figure 4. 29.	Stress contour of the intake section (Case 4)	116
Figure 4. 30.	Meshed structure of the spillway section (1:20 scale)	122
Figure 4. 31.	Mode shape of the spillway section at the natural frequency of 220.87 Hz(1:20 scale)	122
Figure 4. 32.	FRF graph in x-direction of the spillway section (1:20 scale)	123
Figure 4. 33.	Meshed structure of the intake section (1:20 scale)	125
Figure 4. 34.	Node shape 1 of the intake section at the natural frequency of 51.23 Hz (1:20 scale)	125
Figure 4. 35.	Mode shape 2 of the intake section at the natural frequency of 104.35 Hz (1:20 scale)	126
Figure 4. 36.	FRF graph in x-direction of the intake section (1:20 scale)	126
Figure 4. 37.	Fluid mesh of the spillway section (1:20 scale)	128
Figure 4. 38.	Deformation contour of the spillway section (1:20 scale)	129

Figure 4. 39.	Stress contour of the spillway section (1:20 scale)	129
Figure 4. 40.	Fluid mesh of the intake section (1:20 scale)	130
Figure 4. 41.	Deformation contour of the intake section (1:20 scale)	130
Figure 4. 42.	Stress contour of the intake section (1:20 scale)	130
Figure 4. 43.	Mode shape of the front divider of spillway section between simulation and experiment (1:20 scale)	131
Figure 4. 44.	Natural frequency from EMA	131
Figure 4. 45.	Experimental ODS result for spillway section measured at the front divider	132
Figure 4. 46.	Experimental ODS result for intake section measured at the front wall	133
Figure 4. 47.	Natural frequency from EMA at the front wall of intake section	134
Figure 4. 48.	Experimental ODS result for intake section measured at the penstock area	134
Figure 4. 49.	Natural frequency from EMA at the penstock area of intake section	134
Figure 4. 50.	Experimental ODS result for intake section measured at the penstock area	135
Figure 4. 51.	Five probe locations at the penstock area	137
Figure 4. 52.	Velocity measurement using Nixon flow meter	138

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$[C]$	Damping matrix
d	Depth of flow
d_g	Degradation index
E	Young modulus
ε	Strain
ϵ	Turbulence dissipation rate
$\{F\}$	Vector of applied force
\vec{F}	External body forces
F_r	Froude number
$F(\omega)$	Input force
g	Gravitational acceleration
G_b	Generation of turbulence kinetic energy due to buoyancy
G_k	Generation of turbulent kinetic energy due to mean velocity gradient
$H(\omega)$	Transfer function coefficient
k	Turbulence kinetic energy
$[K]$	Stiffness matrix
$[M]$	Mass matrix
\dot{m}_{qp}	Mass transfer from phase q to phase p
p	Static pressure
$\rho \vec{g}$	Gravitational body forces
$\bar{\tau}$	Stress tensor
$\tau\alpha$	Design life of the structure
u_{max}	Maximum displacement
$\{u\}$	Displacement vector

$\{\dot{u}\}$	Velocity vector
$\{\ddot{u}\}$	Acceleration vector
μ_t	Turbulent viscosity
V	Volume
v	Velocity
ω	Natural frequency
$X(\omega)$	Output deflection
Y_M	Contribution of the fluctuating dilatation in compressible turbulence to the overall dissipation rate
λ	Eigenvalues
σ	Stress
σ_ε	Turbulent Prandtl numbers for ε
σ_k	Turbulent Prandtl numbers for k
Ω	Excitation frequency
ϕ	Displacement phase shift

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3-D	Three-dimensional
APDL	ANSYS Parametric Design Language
AVT	Ambient vibration test
BOM	Bill of material
CAD	Computer aided design
DOF	Degree of freedom
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
EMA	Experimental Modal Analysis
FDD	Frequency domain decomposition
FE	Finite element
FEA	Finite element analysis
FFT	Fast fourier transform
FRC	Frequency response curve
FRF	Frequency response function
FSI	Fluid-structure interaction
FVT	Forced vibration test
GVT	Ground vibration test
ODS	Operational Deflection Shape
PolyMAX	Polyreference Modal Analysis Extended
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
SAP	Structural Analysis Program
SHEM	Société Hydro-Electrique du Midi
SIMPLE	Semi Implicit Method Linked Equations
SLV	Scanning laser vibrometer
SSI	Soil structure interaction
SVEGM	Spatially varying earthquake ground motion
TNT	Trinitrotoluene
VOF	Volume of fluid
WSEL	Water surface elevation

ANALISIS GETARAN TERHADAP STRUKTUR EMPANGAN CHENDEROH DISEBABKAN OLEH LIMPAHAN DAN LAMPIAS AIR

ABSTRAK

Struktur empangan hendaklah sentiasa diperiksa untuk memantau tahap keselamatan struktur disebabkan oleh kesan gegaran aliran. Gegaran yang disebabkan oleh air boleh menyebabkan kerosakan yang teruk pada struktur empangan jika frekuensi asli bertembung dengan frekuensi aliran air. Dalam kajian ini, kesan limpahan dan lampias air pada Empangan Chenderoh, Malaysia dari segi gegaran akan dikaji. Untuk tujuan ini, hanya bahagian alur limpah dan bahagian pengambilan Empangan Chenderoh yang terlibat. Analisis ragaman dan harmonik telah dijalankan menggunakan perisian ANSYS versi 18.2 (ANSYS 18.2) untuk menentukan ciri-ciri dinamik struktur empangan. Seterusnya, kajian simulasi terhadap interaksi bendalir-struktur (FSI) telah dilakukan dan bentuk pesongan operasi (ODS) daripada kesan gegaran yang disebabkan oleh aliran akan dibandingkan dengan frekuensi semula jadi dan bentuk mod struktur empangan. Berdasarkan hasil kajian, frekuensi semulajadi yang diperoleh untuk bahagian alur limpah dan pengambilan ialah 5.09 dan 2.76 Hz. Analisis FSI menghasilkan frekuensi operasi 4.71 Hz untuk Kes 1 dan 7.45 Hz untuk Kes 2-4 pada bahagian alur limpah. Ini menunjukkan bahawa tiada risiko fenomena resonan berlaku semasa keadaan operasi alur limpah. Fenomena resonan berlaku semasa Kes 3 untuk bahagian pengambilan di mana frekuensi menekan daripada kajian FSI (2.35 Hz) bertembung dengan frekuensi semula jadi bahagian pengambilan. Ini bermakna bahagian pengambilan boleh dianggap tidak selamat untuk keadaan operasi ini. Justeru, prosedur penutupan yang betul untuk kedua-dua pintu air hendaklah dipatuhi untuk mengelakkan segala kerosakan pada struktur.

VIBRATION ANALYSIS OF CHENDEROH DAM STRUCTURE DUE TO THE EFFECTS OF WATER SPILLING AND SURGING

ABSTRACT

Dam structure has to be inspected regularly in order to monitor its reliability due to the effect of flow-induced vibration. Vibration induced by the fluid (water) on the dam structure can lead to the catastrophic failure if the natural frequencies coincide with the frequencies of the water flow. In this research, the effects of water spilling and surging to the Malaysian Chenderoh Dam in terms of vibration are studied. For this purpose, only spillway and intake sections of the Chenderoh Dam are taken into consideration. Modal and Harmonic Analyses are carried out using ANSYS software version 18.2 (ANSYS 18.2) to determine the dynamic characteristics of the dam structure. Next, fluid-structure interaction (FSI) simulation study is conducted and the Operational Deflection Shapes (ODS) from the effect of flow-induced vibration are compared with the natural frequencies and mode shapes of the dam structure. From the results, the natural frequencies obtained for spillway and intake sections are 5.09 Hz and 2.76 Hz respectively. The FSI analysis produced the operating frequency of 4.71 Hz for Case 1 and 7.45 Hz for Case 2-4 of spillway section. This indicates that there is no resonance phenomenon during the operating condition of the spillway. However, resonance phenomenon may occurred for the Case 3 of intake section where the forcing frequency from the FSI analysis (2.35 Hz) coincides with the natural frequency of the intake section. This means that the intake is considered unsafe for this operational condition. Thus, a proper closing procedure for both gates should be conducted to avoid any failure to the structure.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

This chapter presents the research background, problem statement, objectives, research contributions, scope of research and the thesis outline.

1.2 Research background

A dam can be defined as a barrier which mostly man-made, and built across the river to stop and control the flow of water. There are various types of dam which function to generate electricity, flood control, navigation, fishing and recreation. In ancient times, dams were used to control the water level and the earliest dam was built in 3000 BC. There are more than 20 dams in Malaysia and one of them is Chenderoh Hydropower Station located in Perak.

The safety of the dam structure can be threatened by the uncertainties of vibration induced from the internal and external sources of the dam structures such as flow of water, earthquake effect, vibration of the hydropower mechanical machine and other related sources. Vibration analysis in the form of experimental modal analysis (EMA) and operational deflection shape (ODS) needs to be carried out in order to test the reliability of the dam structure. The vibration characteristics including the natural frequencies, mode shapes, operating frequencies and deflection shapes need to be studied to aid the monitoring process of the dam. Mode shape is the behaviour of the structure at a specific natural frequency whereas ODS is the vibration response of the structure, depending on its operating frequency (Richardson & McHargue, 1993; Hammad, 2014).

Analysis on the dynamic characteristics of the dam structures such as Vieux Emosson Dam and North Fork Dam have been conducted over the years and it is discussed in Chapter 2. However, most of the three-dimensional (3-D) models used in the simulation study are overly simplified, which does not represent the actual dam structure. Some researchers even used 2-D models in the simulation study (Iman et al., 2012; Khosravi & Heydari, 2014).

Structural vibrations due to the effects of water spilling and surging may have a significant impact on the dam structure which leads to a reduction in dam lifetime. Although this information is very useful for dam monitoring process, such study has not been reported in the literature. Simulation technique by fluid-structure interaction (FSI) is one of the methods to predict these vibrations realistically. In order to produce a realistic simulation data, the modelling of a dam should reflect the actual dam structure and its behaviour such as the correlated fluid-structure system by considering the fact that fluid and structure are in direct contact with each other and the overall response of the system is dependent on their interaction.

In order to verify the simulation and experimental results, EMA and ODS experiment will be performed on the scaled down physical model of the Chenderoh Dam. The validation will be in terms of mode shape, ODS, natural and operating frequencies.

From the background study of the research, there are three issues that motivated this research:

- 1) Comprehensive 3-D model that represents the actual dam structure and its behaviour
- 2) Dynamic characteristics of Chenderoh Dam in terms of mode shape, natural frequency, operating frequency and ODS

- 3) Vibration analysis on the dam structure due to the effects of water spilling and surging

1.3 Problem statement

Dam operates by allowing water to enter from the main river and at some point discharging back to the downstream river. The flow of water conditions in and out of the dam through the spillway and tunnel can be in the form of spilling and surging. The effects of water spilling from upstream to the downstream area through the spillway and the sudden closing and opening of tunnel gate (for emergency cases) may destruct the dam structure due to the presence of resonance phenomenon. These are the cases that need to be studied for the dam structural reliability.

In order to detect the presence of resonance phenomenon, the vibration characteristics of the Chenderoh dam such as the mode shapes, natural frequencies, operating frequencies and ODS must be investigated in this research using the 3-D model that represents the actual Chenderoh dam.

1.4 Objectives

In this research, three main objectives are set to be achieved:

- To determine the dynamic characteristics of the Chenderoh dam structure (1:1 and 1:20 scale) such as the natural frequencies, mode shapes, operating frequencies and ODS
- To carry out the vibration analysis of the dam structure (1:1 and 1:20 scale) due to the effects of water spilling and surging
- To validate the simulation vibration analysis with the scaled down physical model of the dam

1.5 Research contributions

The findings of this research will contribute the knowledge to the hydroelectric energy and structural safety areas. In terms of structural safety, information on the natural frequency of the dam is very important in order to avoid resonance phenomenon. This is because any vibration that occurs closed to the natural frequency can cause significant damage to the dam structure. The effects of any forced-induced vibration on the dam structure can also be visualized in this research. Aside from the dam, this research work can be applied to study the dynamic characteristics of other structures such as bridge, building and tunnel and this can help prevent unnecessary accidents.

Apart from that, the next contribution of this research is the determination of material degradation effects in terms of mode shape and natural frequency that will assist the responsible parties in monitoring the condition of the structure. Through this research, the effects of opening and closing the gates are studied and based on the results, the Operational Section of Chenderoh Dam can use the results as their references, so that unwanted accidents can be avoided.

1.6 Scope of research

This research focuses on the vibration analysis of the dam structure due to the effect of water spilling and surging. For this reason, only intake and spillway parts of the Chenderoh dam will be investigated and the 3-D model of the real dam is developed. After that, the dam structural material characterization was performed in order to determine the Young Modulus of the concrete material for use in the simulation study. This is based on the compression test that been performed at the School of Civil Engineering, USM.

Simulation study for this research covers the modal and harmonic response analyses to determine the dynamic characteristics of the dam structure and FSI analysis to obtain the ODS and operating frequency using ANSYS 18.2. Then, the relationship between ODS and mode shape are investigated to determine the presence of resonance phenomenon. For the simulation study, spillway and intake are treated as an individual section with proper boundary conditions. After the physical model was constructed, the simulation study was repeated for the 1:20 scale to match the physical model geometry for the validation between simulation and experimental results. However, there are some limitations in this study. Firstly, due to high computational cost, the FSI simulation for each case was conducted for only 5 seconds of running time, which contributes to the limitation of data plot for the frequency response conversion. Secondly, due to lack of information in the original Chenderoh dam drawing, some parts of the dam have to be assumed in term of material that have been used. Finally, for the 1:20 scale, the simulation results are limited to the frequency of 50 Hz.

1.7 Thesis outline

This thesis is divided into five chapters which include:

- Chapter 1 (Introduction), presents the research background, problem statement, objectives, contribution of the research, scope and thesis outline.
- Chapter 2 (Literature review), presents the comprehensive literatures on different types of dam, dam physical model, general vibration analysis on dam, modal and harmonic analysis on dam structure, FSI analysis on dam structure and material degradation of concrete.

- Chapter 3 (Methodology), presents the development of 2D and 3D dam drawings (1:1 and 1:20 scale), dam material characterization, initial validation of dam material, mesh sensitivity analysis, FSI analysis on the dam structure and modal and harmonic analysis of the dam structure
- Chapter 4 (Results and discussions), presents the results of dam material characterization, initial validation of dam material, sensitivity analysis, FSI analysis and modal and harmonic analysis
- Chapter 5 (Conclusion), summarizes the outcomes of the research work and possible future work recommendations.