

**MORPHODYNAMICS STUDY OF COASTLINE
REGION USING SMOOTHED PARTICLE
HYDRODYNAMICS AND PARTICLE IMAGE
VELOCIMETRY**

MUHAMMAD AQIL BIN AZMAN

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2020

**MORPHODYNAMICS STUDY OF COASTLINE REGION USING
SMOOTHED PARTICLE HYDRODYNAMICS AND PARTICLE IMAGE
VELOCIMETRY**

by

MUHAMMAD AQIL BIN AZMAN

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science**

January 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah and praise to Allah the Al-Mighty as with His blessings, I was able to finish writing my thesis and my research. Without His guidance, I might not be able to complete writing this thesis on time. I am truly grateful that I have managed to finish it. Firstly, I would like to express deepest appreciations to my lovely parents: Azman Bin Asmoi and Fai'zah Binti Suhod, and my siblings for their prayers and continuous support throughout my life.

I want to express my deeply gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Mohamad Aizat Bin Abas for giving me endless guidance throughout the completion of this research. Working with him even in this period has made me realize that no matter how high our academic level is, there is always room for more and for improvement. Regarding this project, he has guided me on the steps I should take in order to achieve the objectives. Also, a big thanks to Dr. Norizham Bin Abdul Razak, he has taught, guided and helped me during experiment testing.

I also want to say thank you to En. Zulkhairi, En. Mahmud and all my friends (Hafiz, Hazwan, Haziq Abu Bakar, Faiz and Haziq Jumaat) in providing me their assistance throughout my study. Thank you very much, without your help I would not be able to do it on my own. Again, I am truly grateful that this research is completed. I hope everything will end well for everyone. Thank You.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
ABSTRAK	xix
ABSTRACT	xxi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Research Background	1
1.2.1 Coastal Zones	1
1.2.2 Coastal Erosion	2
1.2.3 Numerical Method for Sediment Transport Study.....	3
1.3 Problem Statement	3
1.4 Objectives	4
1.5 Research Scope	5
1.6 Thesis outline	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Overview	7
2.2 Coastline	7
2.2.1 Dimensionless number	8
2.2.2 Shoreline Erosion.....	11

2.2.3	Liquid-sediment Interaction.....	16
2.3	Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV)	21
2.4	Summary	22
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		24
3.1	Preliminary data	24
3.1.1	Sediment Properties.	25
3.1.1.(a)	Sieve Analysis.	25
3.1.1.(b)	Direct Shear Test	28
3.1.2	Sea Wave Data	31
3.1.2.(a)	Wave frequency study	31
3.2	Simple Case Model	34
3.2.1	Theoretical Model	34
3.2.2	Numerical Model	37
3.2.2.(a)	Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH)	37
3.2.2.(b)	Navier Stokes Equations and Sub-closure Models.....	38
3.2.2.(c)	Equation of State	39
3.2.2.(d)	Boundary Conditions	39
3.2.2.(e)	Time Integration	40
3.2.2.(f)	Liquid and Sediment Models.....	40
3.2.2.(g)	Distance Particle Analysis	43
3.2.3	Experiment Model	46
3.2.3.(a)	Particle image velocimetry (PIV)	46
3.2.3.(b)	Experiment setup	46
3.2.3.(c)	PIVlab	49
3.2.4	Dimensionless Number	51
3.3	Real Case Model	53
3.3.1	Parameters Analysis for Coastal Area	53

3.3.1.(a)	Drone Topography Mapping	53
3.3.1.(b)	Slope for Overall	55
3.3.1.(c)	Wave Height for Overall	57
3.3.1.(d)	Iribarren Number for Overall	59
3.3.2	Modelling of Liquid-sediment Model.....	62
3.3.2.(a)	Distance Particle	62
3.3.2.(b)	Parameter Settings in Simulation	64
3.3.2.(c)	Wave Generator	65
3.3.2.(d)	Erosion or Accretion Analysis.....	66
3.3.2.(e)	Prediction by Using Fourier with 8 th Order Fitting Curve for 2D Data	67
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....		69
4.1	Introduction.....	69
4.2	Simple Case Model Study.....	69
4.2.1	Validation.....	70
4.3	Real Case Study	78
4.3.1	Constant Wave Case Study	78
4.3.2	Erosion or Accretion Study	81
4.3.2.(a)	Prediction by Using Fourier with 8 th Order Fitting Curve for 2D Data for Constant Wave Study	88
4.3.2.(b)	Prediction by using Polynomial with 5 th Order Fitting Curve for 3D Data	99
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS.....		109
5.1	Conclusion	109
5.2	Recommendations for Future Research	111

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A: XML SPH CODE

APPENDIX B: DIRECT SHEAR TEST DATA

APPENDIX C: VELOCITY CONTOUR FOR LOW TIDE CASE STUDY

APPENDIX D: TIDAL SCHEDULE AT PORT DICKSON (APRIL 2018)

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1. Breaker types with range of Iribarren number, ξ_0 for wave height, H_0 taking from offshore and ξ_b for wave height, H_b taking at the edge of surf zone (Camenen and Larson 2007).	9
Table 2.2. Vulnerability ranking for coastal area study (M. Mohamad et al., 2014).	11
Table 2.3. Possible natural factors and human activities that affect shoreline change. (Note: erosion (red), accretion (blue), and combination of erosion or accretion (yellow)) (Prasetya 2007)	14
Table 2.4. Previous research summary using numerical method SPH and DEM.	19
Table 3.1. Sieve analysis results	27
Table 3.2. Data collected from site at Port Dickson (17th April 2018).	32
Table 3.3. Distance particle models with computational time to complete 10 seconds and discretization error at 5 seconds.	45
Table 3.4. Distance Particle Analysis.	63
Table 3.5. Parameter for fluid (Chen and Qiu 2013; Crespo et al. 2007; Greenwood and Davidson-Arnott 2009;	

	Hanslow 2014; Lucy 1977; Packwood 1980; Ulrich et al. 2013; Xiao and Long 2016).	64
Table 3.6.	Parameter for sediment (Chen and Qiu 2013; Crespo et al. 2007; Greenwood and Davidson-Arnott 2009; Hanslow 2014; Lucy 1977; Packwood 1980; Ulrich et al. 2013; Xiao and Long 2016).	65
Table 4.1.	The detailed data extracted from points as shown in Figure 4.3.	71
Table 4.2.	The detailed data extracted from points as shown in Figure 4.3.	72
Table 4.3.	Value for every term in Equation 4.10 for average of the total mass loss, mT_{loss} in region A for constant wave case study.	88
Table 4.4.	Value for every term in Equation 4.10 for average of the total mass loss, mT_{loss} in region B for constant wave case study.	89
Table 4.5.	Value for every term in Equation 4.10 for average of the total mass loss, mT_{loss} in region C for constant wave case study.	90
Table 4.6.	Value for every term in Equation 4.10 for average of the total mass loss, mT_{loss} in region A for low tide case study.	91
Table 4.7.	Value for every term in Equation 4.10 for average of the total mass loss, mT_{loss} in region B for low tide case study.	92

Table 4.8.	Value for every term in Equation 4.10 for average of the total mass loss, mT_{loss} in region C for low tide case study.	93
Table 4.9.	R-square value of Fourier fitting curve at all selected region A, B and C for constant wave and low tide case study.	94
Table 4.10.	Value for every term in Equation 4.17 for average of the total mass $mT(t, vf)$ in region A for constant wave case study.	102
Table 4.11.	Value for every term in Equation 4.17 for average of the total mass, $mT(t, vf)$ in region B for constant wave case study.	103
Table 4.12.	Value for every term in Equation 4.17 for average of the total mass, $mT(t, vf)$ in region C for constant wave case study.	104
Table 4.13.	Value for every term in Equation 4.17 for average of the total mass, $mT(t, vf)$ in region A for low tide case study.	105
Table 4.14.	Value for every term in Equation 4.17 for average of the total mass, $mT(t, vf)$ in region B for low tide case study.	106
Table 4.15.	Value for every term in Equation 4.17 for average of the total mass, $mT(t, vf)$ in region C for low tide case study.	107
Table B.1.	Proving ring dial factor	128

Table B.2.(a)	Direct shear test data for load of 5 kg	128
Table B.2.(b).	Direct shear data for load of 10 kg	129
Table B.2.(c)	Direct shear test for load of 15 kg	130
Table B.2.(d)	Direct shear test for load of 20 kg	131
Table D.1.	Tidal schedule at Port Dickson (April 2018)	134

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1. Effect of coastal erosion in Malaysia (Awang et al. 2014; Ghazali 2006).	2
Figure 2.1. Classification of breaking waves (Pecher and Kofoed 2016)	8
Figure 2.2. Schematic diagram for Froude number calculation	10
Figure 2.3. a) Standard strategies management for coastal erosion. b) Defend, Adapt and retreat strategies. c) Adaption with further axis (Williams et al. 2017).	16
Figure 3.1. Flow chart of methodology	24
Figure 3.2. Location of sample	25
Figure 3.3. Sample of sediment: (a) Dried in the oven and (b) After dried in oven	26
Figure 3.4. Sieves: (a) for coarse sand and (b) for fine sand	26
Figure 3.5. (a) Total sample collection and (b) Sieve shaker	27
Figure 3.6. Particle size distribution chart based on sieve analysis	28
Figure 3.7. Direct and residual testing machine (Wykeham Farrance International): (a) Front view and (b) Back view	29
Figure 3.8. Graph of Load (N) against Division	29
Figure 3.9. Shear stress, τ (Pa) against shear displacement, δ (mm) of the sample for weight of 5kg, 10kg, 15kg and 20kg.	30
Figure 3.10. Shear stress, vs shear displacement (Arora 2003).	30
Figure 3.11. Shear stress, τ (Pa) against normal stress, σ (Pa) of the specimen.	31

Figure 3.12.	Velocity meter.	32
Figure 3.13.	Tidal current study at site from 1 pm to 7.30 pm on 17th April 2018.	33
Figure 3.14.	Simple case model: (a) CAD model with dimension in mm, (b) Numerical model and (c) Experiment model.	34
Figure 3.15.	The single-flap wavemaker with the governing equation and its boundary conditions (Kusumawinahyu et al. 2006; Wang and Li 2018).	35
Figure 3.16.	Schematic diagram of the boundary used in the SPH model.	40
Figure 3.17.	Drucker-Prager criterion (Desai and Siriwardane 1984).	43
Figure 3.18.	Selected surface of sediment highlighted in red.	44
Figure 3.19.	Average velocity magnitude at each time for different distance particles (D_p).	44
Figure 3.20.	Schematic diagram of the flow system of the PIV experiment.	47
Figure 3.21.	Dimension for sediment setup.	47
Figure 3.22.	PIV experimental setup.	49
Figure 3.23.	(a) stages in PIVlab process; (b) raw image imported in PIVlab; (c) Masking in the pre-processing stage; (d) Selecting reference distance (red line) in image calibration process; (e) vector calibration process.	51
Figure 3.24.	Simple case with different frequency at period of 20 s.	51
Figure 3.25.	Iribarren number ξ , against frequency, Hz.	52
Figure 3.26.	Classification of breaking waves (Pecher and Kofoed 2016).	53

Figure 3.27.	The relationship of Iribarren number ξ , with Froude number, FnL with difference slope angle, α .	53
Figure 3.28.	Topography captured by drone (a) capture during high tides, (b) capture during low tides, (c) after processing with figure 1(a) and 1(b) and (d) the dimension for drone mapping area in meter.	54
Figure 3.29.	Region of interest for SPH simulation.	55
Figure 3.30.	Top view of coastal area with dimension.	55
Figure 3.31.	Slope, (%) distribution along width, (m) of coastal area and its changes within the initial (0 s) and final (100 s) for both case study.	56
Figure 3.32.	Beach profile point coordinates plotted for each elevation, (m) along width, (m) from SPH result.	56
Figure 3.33.	Percentage slope differences, (%) between final and initial slope, (%) for constant wave and low tide case study along width, (m) of the coastal area.	57
Figure 3.34.	Graph of sine wave for applied periodic boundary (red).	58
Figure 3.35.	Wave height and wave length of wave generated by periodic boundary.	58
Figure 3.36.	Wave height, H_0 (m) variation taken from offshore along width, (m) of coastal area at final.	58
Figure 3.37.	Iribarren number, ξ_0 distribution along width, (m) of coastal area.	60
Figure 3.38.	Iribarren number, ξ_0 distribution against Froude number.	60

Figure 3.39.	The relationship of Iribarren number, ξ_0 , wave height, H_0 (m) and width, (m) of coastal area for constant wave case study.	61
Figure 3.40.	The relationships of Iribarren number, ξ_0 wave height, (m) and width, (m) of coastal area for low tide case study.	62
Figure 3.41.	Distance particles analysis of average velocity magnitude, [m/s] and discretization error, [%] for each model.	63
Figure 3.42.	Coastal area of SPH model	66
Figure 3.43.	Selected sediment regions.	67
Figure 4.1.	Comparison between theoretical, PIV experiment and SPH simulation for each location, at the fluid domain for velocity measurement: (a) Point 1, (b) Point 2, (c) Point 3, (d) Point 4 and (e) Point 5.	73
Figure 4.2.	Comparison between the SPH simulation and PIV experiment for liquid-sediment interaction.	76
Figure 4.3.	Fluid-sediment profile comparison between the SPH simulation and PIV experiment.	77
Figure 4.4.	Sea wave and sediment particles interaction at 0s to 100s.	79
Figure 4.5.	Sea wave and sediment particles interaction at 0s to 100s (continued).	80
Figure 4.6.	Coastal area profile for SPH simulation real case model.	80
Figure 4.7.	Total mass (kg) at selected region A for constant wave case study.	81
Figure 4.8.	Total mass (kg) at selected region B for constant wave case study.	82

Figure 4.9.	Total mass (kg) at selected region C for constant wave case study.	82
Figure 4.10.	Total mass loss (kg) at selected region A for constant wave case study. (*Note: the positive value indicated accretion and the negative value indicated erosion).	83
Figure 4.11.	Total mass loss (kg) at selected region B for constant wave case study. (*Note: the positive value indicated accretion and the negative value indicated erosion).	83
Figure 4.12.	Total mass loss (kg) at selected region C for constant wave case study. (*Note: the positive value indicated accretion and the negative value indicated erosion).	84
Figure 4.13.	Total mass (kg) at selected region A for low tide case study.	85
Figure 4.14.	Total mass (kg) at selected region B for low tide case study.	85
Figure 4.15.	Total mass (kg) region C for low tide case study.	86
Figure 4.16.	Total mass loss (kg) at selected region A for low tide case study. (*Note: the positive value indicated accretion and the negative value indicated erosion).	86
Figure 4.17.	Total mass loss (kg) at selected region B for low tide case study. (*Note: the positive value indicated accretion and the negative value indicated erosion).	87
Figure 4.18.	Total mass loss (kg) at region C for low tide case study. (*Note: the positive value indicated accretion and the negative value indicated erosion).	87
Figure 4.19.	Average total mass loss forecast over time (year) for constant wave study at region A.	95

Figure 4.20.	Average total mass loss forecast over time (year) for constant wave study at region B.	96
Figure 4.21.	Average total mass loss forecast over time (year) for constant wave study at region C.	96
Figure 4.22.	Average total mass loss forecast over time (year) for low tide case study at region A.	97
Figure 4.23.	Average total mass loss forecast over time (year) for low tide case study at region B.	97
Figure 4.24.	Average total mass loss forecast over time (year) for low tide case study at region C.	98
Figure 4.25.	Relationship of total mass (kg), time (s) and average velocity magnitude of fluid (m/s) for constant and low tide case study at; (a) region A, (b) region B, (c) region C.	101
Figure C.1.	Sea Wave and Sediment Particles Interaction at 0s to 100s.	132
Figure C.2.	Sea Wave and Sediment Particles Interaction at 0s to 100s (continued).	133

LIST OF SYMBOLS

L	Characteristic length
L_0	Deep-water wavelength
ξ	Iribarren number
Fn_L	Froude number
ρ	Density of the fluid
v	Velocity of the fluid flow
p	Fluid pressure
μ	Apparent viscosity
μ_p	Viscosity of the suspending fluid
$\dot{\gamma}$	Shear rate
$\dot{\gamma}_c$	Critical shear rate
d_f	Fluid depth
f	Frequency
α	Slope angle
ρ^s	Density of the structure
\bar{m}_T	Average total mass
$\bar{m}_{T\,loss}$	Average total mass loss
\bar{v}_f	Average velocity of fluid
δ	Delta SPH
Δx	Particles displacement
Δt	Time interval between the two consecutive images
σ_u	Standard deviation of velocity

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3D	3-dimensional
2D	2-dimensional
SPH	Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics
WCSPH	weakly compressible SPH
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamic
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
Dp	Distance Particle
PIV	Particle Image Velocimetry
GPU	Graphics Processing Unit
Fps	Frame per second
FEM	Finite Element Method
FVM	Finite Volume Method
LBM	Lattice Boltzmann method
EOS	Equation of State
LED	Light Emitting Diode
CFL	Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy
PDE	Partial Differential Equation
HBP	Herschel-Bulkley-Papanastasiou
MPPD	Majlis Perbandaran Port Dickson
TNB	Tenaga Nasional Berhad

**KAJIAN MORPHODINAMIK DI PERSISIRAN PANTAI
MENGUNAKAN KAEDAH ZARAH HIDRODINAMIK LANCAR DAN
GAMBARAN HALAJU ZARAH**

ABSTRAK

Hakisan merupakan salah satu fenomena yang berlaku pada kawasan pantai yang boleh menyebabkan kegagalan pada struktur binaan yang berhampiran dengan kawasan pantai. Kajian terhadap hakisan sangat penting dalam mengatasi masalah hakisan yang berlaku berhampiran Kawasan pantai. Kebanyakan penyelidik hanya menggunakan pendekatan 2-dimensi (2D) untuk menyelesaikan masalah cecair-enapan yang boleh terlebih memudahkan dan mengurangkan kerumitan topografi kawasan pantai. Simulasi di antara dua fasa iaitu cecair dan enapan model berangka menggunakan berasaskan Zarah Hidrodinamik Lancar (SPH) untuk aplikasi pada kawasan pantai. Pada permulaan, kajian awal telah diuji sebelum diaplikasi pada kes sebenar. Kod SPH telah dibina melalui perumusan daripada Monaghan yang telah dimodifikasi mengikut keadaan model yang diperlukan untuk diselesaikan. Kod tersebut kemudiannya dihitung dengan menggunakan Unit Pemprosesan Grafik (GPU), Nvidia Quadro P4000 dengan 14 teras multipemproses. Kesan hakisan telah diselidik dalam kajian ini melalui perumusan pengangkutan enapan berpandukan model Herschel-Bulkley-Papanatasiou (HBP). Model awal telah menggunakan gelombang sinus dengan variasi frekuensi yang telah diaplikasikan pada sempadan untuk menghasilkan gelombang air laut yang kemudiannya boleh mengakibatkan enapan terhakis atau terakresi pada garis pantai. Kajian awal tersebut dihitung secara berangka dengan menggunakan simulasi SPH dan kemudian telah mengesahkan menggunakan data eksperimen PIV. Perbandingan antara simulasi SPH dan eksperimen PIV menunjukkan penerimaan yang baik pada magnitud halaju maksimum dan kontur

dengan purata perbezaan peratusan sebanyak 5%. Lebih daripada itu, hubungan kait antara dua nombor tak berdimensi iaitu nombor Iribarren dan Froude telah dihitung dengan memanipulasikan gelombang frekuensi-frekuensi dan sudut kecerunan untuk menentukan jenis pemecah ombak. Kawasan kajian telah dipilih berhampiran dengan pusat janakuasa Tunku Jaafar, Tenaga Nasional Berhad (TNB) untuk mengenal pasti hentaman air laut terhadap pengangkutan enapan. Berpandukan kajian sebenar, Kawasan yang terpilih telah dianalisis bertujuan untuk mengurangkan kerumitan kajian dan secara terus dapat menyelesaikan masalah pengangkutan enapan. Hasil dapatan mendapati, kawasan C mengalami pengangkutan enapan yang tinggi untuk kajian gelombang malar berbanding dengan kawasan A dan B. Akresi pada kawasan C adalah 904.01 kg pada 90 s manakala hakisan jisim adalah 906.24 kg pada 91 s. Berbanding dengan region B, akresi jisim adalah 902.24 kg pada 90 s manakala hakisan jisim adalah 903.24 kg pada 91 s. Tambahan pula, kawasan A terakresi dengan jisim sebanyak 788.51 kg pada masa 24 s manakala menghakis dengan jisim sebanyak 790.01 kg pada masa 23 s. Hasil dapatan pada kawasan A, B and C diramal dalam tempoh 10 tahun menggunakan pepadanan keluk Fourier dengan order 8 untuk cerapan arah aliran pengangkutan enapan. Ramalan tersebut mendapati, kawasan C juga mengalami pengangkutan enapan yang tinggi dengan akresi jisim sebanyak 7343.54 kg pada tahun 1.37 untuk gelombang malar dan 876.44 kg pada tahun ke 10 untuk kajian surut.

MORPHODYNAMICS STUDY OF COASTLINE REGION USING SMOOTHED PARTICLE HYDRODYNAMICS AND PARTICLE IMAGE VELOCIMETRY

ABSTRACT

Scour is the one of phenomena that occurs at coastal area which can cause catastrophic failure to structure near to the coastal area. The study of the scour in the coastal area is important in order to overcome the erosion occur near to coastal area. There is limited study which simulates liquid-sediment at coastal area. Most of researcher using 2-dimensional (2D) problem to solve liquid-sediment problem which will over simplify and reduce the complexity of the topography of coastal area. Consequently, this thesis simulates a 3D two-phase liquid-sediment numerical model by using particle based Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) for application at coastal area. Initially, a preliminary study has been tested before application to real case. The SPH code is constructed based on formulation from Monaghan that is modified according to the desired model to be solved. The code is then computed by using single Graphic Processing Unit (GPU), Nvidia Quadro P4000 with 14 cores multiprocessors. The effect of scouring is investigated in this research through the formulation of sediment transport based on Herschel-Bulkley-Papanastasiou (HBP) model. An early model that used sine wave with varying frequencies are applied to the boundary to create sea wave effect that will then cause either sediment erosion or accretion at the coastline. The preliminary study of liquid-sediment interactions is computed numerically using SPH simulation which is then validated using PIV experimental data. The comparisons between SPH simulation and PIV experimental showed good agreement in the maximum velocity magnitude and contours with average percentage difference of 5%. Moreover, the correlation between two dimensionless numbers which are Iribarren and

Froude number have also been calculated by manipulating frequencies of wave and slope angles to determine the type of wave breaker. The research area was chosen near to Tenaga Nasional Berhad (TNB) Tuanku Jaafar power station to analyse the impact of sea wave to sediment transport. Based on real case study, the selected regions were analysed in order to reduce the complexity of the studies and directly captured the sediment transport. Based on the findings, region C experienced high sediment transport for constant wave study as compared to region A and B. The accretion at region C is 904.01 kg at 90 s while the mass erosion is 906.24 kg at 91 s. Compared to region B, the mass accretion is 902.24 kg at 90 s while the mass erosion is 903.24 kg at 91 s. Additionally, region A accreted a mass of 788.51 kg at a time of 24 s while eroding with a mass of 790.01 kg at a time of 23 s. The results for region A, B and C have been forecasted for the period of 10 years by using Fourier fitting curve with 8th order to observe the sediment transport trend. The prediction found that region C also experienced highest sediment transport with accretion mass of 7343.54 kg in 1.37 year for constant wave study and 876.44 kg at year 10 for low tide study.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

This chapter will discuss research background, research scope and the thesis outline. The problem statements and objectives are then presented in section 1.3 while the research scope will be discussed in section 1.4. Section 1.5 will then present the outline of the thesis.

1.2 Research Background

1.2.1 Coastal Zones

Coastal zones are dynamic interface between land and water which are subjected to frequent natural hazards such as flooding, storm impacts, coastal erosion and tsunami inundation. The nearshore or in other term called littoral zone is the most active environment of the coast and one in which constant mobility of sediment is observed. The migration of material in this zone depends mainly on three factors such as the nature of material available for transport, orientation of the coast and the angle of wave approaches. These obliquely incident breaking waves generated longshore current which plays an important role in transporting sediment in the littoral zone and the current velocity varies, reaching a maximum value close to the wave-breaking point. The longshore transport is a major contribution which moves the sediment along the coast which particularly occur in the surf zone and along the beaches. Sediment moves along the coast until it reaches a place of permanent removal from the transportation system into a beach, dune, tidal delta or offshore. This wave-induced sediment transport causes changes in beach morphology due to cross-shore and material transported phenomena called littoral drift. The impacts of human activities such as infrastructure development, intensive agricultural expansion and coastal development