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| $j \in T$ | \{ set of local vertices within element $T\}$ |
| $T \in \cup \Delta_{i}$ | $\{$ set of elements sharing node $i\}$ |
| $i \in \Omega$ | $\{$ set of nodes in the whole computational domain $\Omega\}$ |
| $T_{h} \in \Omega$ | $\{$ set of elements in the whole computational domain $\Omega\}$ |
| $i \in \partial \Omega$ | $\{$ set of nodes that fall on the outer boundary $\partial \Omega\}$ |
| $T_{h} \in \partial \Omega$ | $\{$ set of elements abutted on the outer boundary $\partial \Omega\}$ |

# PENAMBAHBAIKAN KAEDAH PENGEDARAN SISA UNTUK PERSAMAAN MAXWELL 


#### Abstract

ABSTRAK

Daya elektromagnet mempunyai pelbagai aplikasi, salah satu daripadanya ialah pengesanan objek asing yang terbenam, sebagai contoh dalam tubuh badan melalui pembiasan gelombang. Gelombang telekomunikasi memerlukan pancaran elektromagnet, dan panduan gelombang optik yang membolehkan penghantaran isyarat pada halaju cahaya. Tujuan penyelidikan ini ialah penggunaan teknik pengedaran sisa yang berasas pada bucu segi tiga, salah satu kaedah yang tak tersirat dengan ketepatan orde kedua. Pengkomputeran elektromagnet tidak menetap pada suatu topologi kerangka tertentu, dan ini akan melembapkan kemajuan dalam teknik pengkomputeran. Salah satu skema pengedaran sisa yang terkenal dengan pemeliharaan ketepatan orde kedua ialah kaedah pengedaran sisa (RD) Lax-Wendroff (LW). Selain itu, kaedah pengedaran sisa ini terunggul dengan skema berdasarkan hilir yang mampat, misalnya skema RD-LDA (resapan rendah A), tetapi wujud sebagai kaedah tersirat bagi masalah bendalir yang bersandar kepada waktu. Pembaharuan yang pertama dalam kerja ini ialah memperolehi kaedah RD-LDA yang tak tersirat sementara memelihara ketepatan orde kedua. Di samping itu, skema RD-Galerkin yang jarang ditemui akan dicadangkan dalam kerja ini. Sumbangan yang kedua dalam kerja ini menyetelkan kaedah unsur terhingga (FEM) Galerkin untuk persamaan Maxwell orde kedua yang bersandar kepada waktu, dan juga mereka skema pengedaran sisa yang setara bagi persamaan Maxwell orde kedua ini, iaitu atur cara kecerunan sisa. Kedua-dua kaedah berangka yang lebih cekap ini memerlukan penurunan persamaan Maxwell dari-


pada orde pertama kepada orde kedua. Kaedah unsur terhingga Galerkin adalah kaedah berangka yang amat jitu, tetapi kurang berkesan dengan syarat sempadan berbanding dengan atur cara kecerunan sisa yang diperkenalkan dalam kerja ini. Pembaharuan dalam kerja ini ialah perkenalan kaedah pengedaran sisa (RD) untuk persamaan Maxwell orde pertama, dan mengarang kaedah tersebut untuk persamaan Maxwell orde kedua. Pengujian atur cara dalam kerja ini merangkumi tiga fenomena electromagnetik, iaitu penyebaran dalam panduan gelombang, pemancaran gelombang dan pembiasan gelombang. Masalah dalam tiga dimensi juga dikaji demi mengesahkan kesesuaian kaedah-kaedah ini dalam aplikasi sebenar. Keputusan daripada kaedah berangka yang diubahsuai atau direka dalam kerja ini tidak menunjukkan isu kemantapan. Penggumpalan matriks bagi skema RD-LDA tak tersirat menyusutkan tempoh pengkomputeran sebanyak 50 kali, walaupun jangka masa ini masih 4 hingga 6 kali lebih tiggi daripada kaedah RD-LW. Keseluruhannya, kaedah yang berpusat pada ruang seperti RD-LW, RD-Galerkin, Galerkin lemah FEM dan atur cara kecerunan sisa menawarkan ketepatan antara 1.4212 dengan 2.43871. Di sebaliknya, kaedah RD-LDA yang berpandu kepada hilir hanya mencapai ketepatan antara 0.7825 dengan 0.9335 .

