

**PREPARATION, CHARACTERISATION AND
PROPERTIES OF
MUSCOVITE/ACRYLONITRILE BUTADIENE
STYRENE NANOCOMPOSITES**

NOR HAFIZAH CHE ISMAIL

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2020

**PREPARATION, CHARACTERISATION AND PROPERTIES OF
MUSCOVITE/ACRYLONITRILE BUTADIENE STYRENE
NANOCOMPOSITES**

by

NOR HAFIZAH CHE ISMAIL

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim, in the Name of Allah; the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. First, my utmost gratitude and praise to Allah S.W.T for His blessings, that I was able to complete this research work. The best and worst moments of my doctoral journey have been shared with many people.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all of them. To my PhD supervisor, Prof. Hazizan Md. Akil, I am extremely grateful for his valuable guidance, scholarly inputs and consistent encouragement I received throughout the research work. It is a great opportunity to do my doctoral program under his supervision and to learn from his research expertise. He was the source of inspiration since the early days, and who taught me many things, including academic and career planning, personal related matters as well as life and spiritual conduct.

I am also grateful to the financial support received through Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), and Ministry of Higher Education, of Malaysia under SLAB/SLAI award of sponsorship. To further extend my gratefulness, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for providing me adequate facilities, functional equipment and also a peacefully studied environment.

I owe a great deal of appreciation and gratitude to Mr. Shahril Amir bin Saleh and Mr. Mohd Suharuddin Bin Sulong (Rubber Lab), Mr. Mohammad Bin Hassan (Plastic Lab), Mr. Muhammad Khairi Bin Khalid (SEM Lab), Mr. Norshahrizol Bin Nordin (Workshop) as well as Mr. Mokhtar Bin Mohamad (Metallographic Lab) for their help and support during my experimentation in those laboratories.

It is an honour for me to thank my research group mates who gave me encouragement and shared my burdens, especially Mohd Hafiz, Azila Rahim, Mohd Helmi, Nur Suraya Anis, Razlan and Siti Zalifah. Words are short to express my deep sense of gratitude towards my following friends.

Many thanks go to fellow friends who give their ears to listen to, their shoulders to cry on, their hearts to care specially Zainathul Akhmar Salim, Faiezah, Siti Nor, Nurul Aizan and Dalina for this ten years' friendship and for their constant support in every way. Indeed, your willingness in sharing ideas, knowledge and skills are deeply appreciated.

My warmest appreciation goes to my beloved parents, Hishah bt. Ariffin and my father Che Ismail bin Udin, my mother in-law and late dearest father in-law for their loves, prayers and endless moral support.

My special words of heartiest appreciation also dedicated to my beloved husband, Azizul Ariffin bin Zaki. Thanks for providing me unconditional love, emotional and moral support, thoughtful and you always with me through laugh and tears over the past several years. My gratefully wonderful thanks belong to my kids, Muhammad Naufal Amzar, Naqib Amsyar, Azra Amanda and the one in my womb. Thank you for being my inspiration and motivation in performing my best in every single thing I do. You are the eyewitness of my great effort to complete this PhD. This thesis is dedicated to them.

Nor Hafizah Che Ismail

March 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
ABSTRAK	xvii
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Problem Statement	5
1.3 Research Objectives	8
1.4 Organisation of the Thesis	9
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Overview	11
2.2 Polymer Nanocomposites	11
2.3 Classification of Layered Silicate	13
2.4 Significance of Muscovite	15
2.4.1 Chemical Structure and Physico-Chemical Properties of Muscovite	17
2.4.2 Morphology of Muscovite	18
2.4.3 Muscovite Nanofiller as a Reinforcement Filler in Polymer Nanocomposite	19

2.4.4	Applications of Muscovite	21
2.4.4 (a)	Electrical	21
2.4.4 (b)	Packaging	23
2.4.4 (c)	Paint and cosmetic additives	24
2.4.4 (d)	Automotive industry	25
2.4.4 (e)	Sorptive	26
2.5	Organoclay	26
2.6	Interaction Mechanism of Clay Minerals with Organic Compounds	29
2.7	Organic Cations Arrangement in Organoclays	29
2.8	Modification of Muscovite	30
2.8.1	First stage - Inorganic ion exchange	31
2.8.1 (a)	Sodium Tetrphenylborate (NaTPB)	33
2.8.1 (b)	Barium Chloride (BaCl ₂)	33
2.8.1 (c)	Copper Nitrate (Cu (NO ₃) ₂)	34
2.8.1 (d)	Lithium Nitrate (LiNO ₃)	34
2.8.1 (e)	Other salts	35
2.8.2	Second stage - Organic ion exchange	37
2.9	ABS Polymer	41
2.9.1	ABS/layered Silicate Nanocomposites	42
2.10	Method of Preparing of Nanocomposites	50
2.10.1	Solution Dispersion or Solvent Method	50
2.10.2	Melt Intercalation	52
2.10.3	In-situ Polymerization	53
2.11	Types of Polymer Clay Nanocomposites	54
2.11.1	Immiscible Composite	55

2.11.2	Intercalated Nanocomposites	56
2.11.3	Exfoliated or Delaminated Nanocomposites	56
2.12	Characterisation of Polymer/Clay Nanocomposites	57
2.13	Addressed Research Gap and Summary	59

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Overview	62
3.2	Raw Materials	62
3.3	Instruments	64
3.4	Sample Preparation of Filler	65
3.4.1	First stage – inorganic ion exchange by LiNO_3	65
3.4.2	Second stage- organic ion exchange by CTAB	65
3.5	Fabrication of ABS Nanocomposites	66
3.5.1	Melt Intercalation	67
3.5.2	Hot Compression	68
3.6	Characterisation of Filler	69
3.6.1	X-ray Fluorescence (XRF)	69
3.6.2	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	70
3.6.3	Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET)	70
3.6.4	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	71
3.6.5	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	72
3.6.6	Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX)	72
3.6.7	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	72
3.7	Characterisation and testing of ABS nanocomposites	73
3.7.1	Contact Angle Analysis	73

3.7.2	Mechanical Properties	74
3.7.2 (a)	Tensile Test	74
3.7.2 (b)	Flexural Test	74
3.7.2 (c)	Hardness Test	74
3.7.3	Morphological Analysis	75
3.7.3 (a)	Wide Angle x-ray Diffraction (WAXD) Analysis	75
3.7.3 (b)	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Analysis	76
3.7.3 (c)	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM)	76
3.7.4	Thermogravimetric Analysis	77

CHAPTER FOUR: INTERCALATION OF MUSCOVITE BY TWO STAGES ION-EXCHANGE PROCESS

4.1	Overview	81
4.2	The Effect of LiNO ₃ Treatment of Muscovite	81
4.2.1	X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) Analysis	82
4.2.2	Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) Analysis	84
4.2.3	Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) Analysis	84
4.2.4	X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis	86
4.2.5	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	89
4.2.6	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM)	90
4.3	The Effect of the Intercalation of Li-Muscovite with the CTAB	92
4.3.1	Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) Analysis	92
4.3.2	X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) Analysis	93
4.3.3	Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Analysis	94

4.3.4	Wide Angle x-ray Diffraction (WAXD)	96
4.3.5	The Effect of CTAB Concentration on the Arrangement of Alkylammonium Molecules	101
4.3.6	Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) Analysis	102
4.3.7	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM)	105
4.3.8	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	106

CHAPTER FIVE: EFFECT OF ION-EXCHANGE TREATMENT AND FILLER LOADING ON ABS NANOCOMPOSITE

5.1	Overview	109
5.2	Contact Angle Analysis	109
5.3	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	112
5.4	Wide Angle x-ray Diffraction (WAXD)	113
5.5	Mechanical Properties	116
5.5.1	Tensile Properties	116
	5.5.1 (a) Morphological Study	121
5.5.2	Flexural Properties	126
	5.5.2(a) Morphological Study	128
5.5.3	Hardness Properties	135
5.6	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	136

CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1	Conclusions	139
6.2	Recommendations for Future Work	140

REFERENCES		143
-------------------	--	------------

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Publications	160
Chapter of the Book	160
Conference Proceeding	160

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Classification scheme for 2:1 layer silicate minerals	15
Table 2.2	Types of species in Mica group	16
Table 2.3	Physical characteristics of muscovite	17
Table 2.4	Application of muscovite in electrical engineering area	22
Table 2.5	Commercial method to delaminate muscovite using inorganic ion-exchange	37
Table 2.6	Summary of surfactants used in previous work	40
Table 2.7	Mechanical properties acquired from literature	48
Table 2.8	The comparison between various preparation methods for nanocomposites	54
Table 3.1	Specification of materials and chemicals used in the present study	63
Table 3.2	Instruments used in this research	64
Table 3.3	Description of the samples	67
Table 3.4	Formulation of the composite samples (wt. %)	68
Table 4.1	Chemical composition of muscovite and Li-muscovite	82
Table 4.2	EDX data for Muscovite and Li-muscovite elemental compositions	84
Table 4.3	EDX data for muscovite and OM elemental compositions	92
Table 4.4	Comparison of chemical composition of muscovite and OM	93
Table 4.5	Characteristic parameter of muscovite and various OMs	98
Table 4.6	XRD and BET results of the pristine muscovite and the OM samples	102
Table 5.1	Shore hardness D	135
Table 5.2	TGA results for the thermal degradation of the ABS and its nanocomposites	137

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Scheme of various types of nanofillers or fillers with nanoscale dimensions (Olad, 2011)	12
Figure 2.2	Classifications of clay based on their crystallographic pattern (Kotal & Bhowmick, 2015)	14
Figure 2.3	Schematic illustration of muscovite structure	18
Figure 2.4	SEM micrographs of muscovite: a) 300×; b) 500×; c) 1,000×; and d)5,000× magnification, respectively	19
Figure 2.5	Various applications of muscovite in industries	21
Figure 2.6	Schematic illustration of the cation-exchange process between alkylammonium ions and cations	29
Figure 2.7	Interlamellar arrangements of alkylammonium ions in the interlayers of space of montmorillonite: (a) monolayer; (b) bilayers; (c) pseudotrimolecular layer; and (d) paraffin complex (Olad, 2011)	30
Figure 2.8	Structure of ABS monomers	41
Figure 2.9	Different techniques for the preparation of polymer/clay nanocomposites: (a) solution casting, (b) melt blending and (c) in-situ polymerization (Valapa et al., 2017)	51
Figure 2.10	Three different microstructural configurations of polymer clay nanocomposites (Alexandre & Dubois, 2000)	55
Figure 3.1	The schematic diagram of modification of muscovite	66
Figure 3.2	Photographs of (a) Haake® internal mixer and (b) Kao Tieh GoTech Compression Machine	69
Figure 3.3	Flow diagram for Chapter 4	78
Figure 3.4	Flow diagram for Chapter 4	79
Figure 3.5	Flow diagram for Chapter 5	80
Figure 4.1	FTIR spectra of a) muscovite and b) Li-muscovite	86
Figure 4.2	Powder XRD diffraction patterns of (a) muscovite and (b) Li-muscovite	87

Figure 4.3	TEM images of (a, b) muscovite and (c, d) Li-muscovite at two different magnifications. Left (low magnification), right (high magnification)	90
Figure 4.4	FESEM images: (a,b) pristine muscovite; and (c,d) Li-muscovite, at 1,000× and 5,000× magnifications	91
Figure 4.5	FTIR spectra of a) muscovite, b) Li-muscovite and c) OM	94
Figure 4.6	XRD diffraction patterns of powdered samples: a) OM _{0.25} ; b) OM _{0.6} ; c) OM ₁ ; and d) OM ₂	96
Figures 4.7	Schematic representation of the route to muscovite organomodification via two stage ion-exchange process	100
Figure 4.8	A plot of basal spacing (filled circles) to specific surface area (filled squares) of pristine muscovite and OM samples	103
Figure 4.9	FESEM images of the resultant organoclay (a) OM _{0.25} , (b) OM _{0.6} , (c) OM ₁ , and (d) OM ₂	106
Figure 4.10	TEM images of (a, b) muscovite, (c, d) Li-muscovite and (e, f) OM at two different magnifications. Left (low magnification), right (high magnification)	108
Figure 5.1	Contact angle measurement for neat ABS and ABS nanocomposites	111
Figure 5.2	FTIR spectra of neat ABS	112
Figure 5.3	FTIR spectra of (a) ABS/muscovite, and (b) ABS/OM	113
Figure 5.4	XRD patterns of (a) neat ABS, (b) muscovite, (c) OM, and (d–f) ABS nanocomposites of 1, 3, and 5 wt. % organoclay loading samples, respectively	115
Figure 5.5	Tensile properties of the neat ABS and the ABS nanocomposites at 1, 3, and 5 wt. % of muscovite and OM: (a) tensile strength, (b) tensile modulus, and (c) elongation at break	118
Figure 5.6	FESEM micrographs of the tensile-fractured surfaces of the (a & b) neat ABS at 100× and 1000× magnifications, (c) ABS/muscovite 1, (d) ABS/OM 1, (e) ABS/muscovite 5, and (f) ABS/OM 5	122
Figures 5.7	TEM images of ABS nanocomposites containing (a & b) 1 wt.	125

% OM (c & d) 3 wt. % OM, and (e & f) 5 wt. % OM at low (left) and high (right) magnifications

Figure 5.8	Flexural strength and modulus of neat ABS and ABS nanocomposites with 1, 3, and 5 wt. % of muscovite and OM	127
Figure 5.9	FESEM micrographs of neat ABS at (a) 1000× and (b) 5000× magnifications	128
Figure 5.10	FESEM images illustrating the morphological differences in ABS nanocomposites containing (a) 3 and (c) 5 wt. % of untreated muscovite and (b) 3 and (d) 5 wt. % of treated muscovite at 1000× (left) and 5000× (right)	130
Figure 5.11	TEM images of ABS nanocomposites containing untreated muscovite at (a) 1 and (b) 5 wt. %	132
Figure 5.12	TEM images of ABS nanocomposites containing OM at all various filler loading	134
Figure 5.13	Thermograms of neat ABS and ABS nanocomposites	134

LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	Percentage
°C	Degree Celcius
°	Degree
Å	Angstrom
µm	Micrometers
%	Percent
wt.%	Weight percent
h	Hour
nm	Nanometers
g	Gram
g/cm ³	Gram per cubic centimeters
mL	Mililiter
m ² /g	Meter square per gram

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABS	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
Al ³⁺	Aluminium ion
BaCl ₂	Barium Chloride
BET	Brunauer–Emmett–Teller
CAM	Contact Angle Measurement
Ca ²⁺	Calcium ion
Ca (NO ₃) ₂	Calcium Nitrate
CTAB	Cetyltrimethylammonium Bromide
CuNO ₃	Copper Nitrate
DDAC	Dodecylammonium Chloride
DMHDIM	Dimethyl-Hexadecyl-Imidazolium Modified
DTAB	Dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-ray
FDM	Fused Deposition Modeling
Fe ³⁺	Ferum ion
FESEM	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
HDT	Heat Distortion Temperature
HDTMA	Hexadecyltrimethylammonium Bromide
HMC	Hemimicellar Concentration
K ⁺	Kalium/Potassium ion
KNO ₃	Potassium Nitrate
Li ⁺	Lithium ion
LiCl	Lithium Chloride
LIF	Laser Induced Fluorescence
LiNO ₃	Lithium Nitrate
LS	Layered Silicate
MAH	Maleic Anhydride
Mg ²⁺	Magnesium ion
MMT	Montmorillonite
MPa	Mega Pascal
Na ⁺	Natrium/Sodium ion

NaCl	Sodium Chloride
NaNO ₃	Sodium Nitrate
NaTPB	Sodium Tetrphenylborate
O	Oxygen
-OH	Hydroxyl group
ODTMA	Octadecyl Trimethylammonium Bromide
OFET	Organic Field Effect Transistors
OM	Organomuscovite
OTAC	Octadecyl Trimethylammonium Chloride
PBD	Polybutadiene
PC	Polycarbonates
PCN	Polymer Clay Nanocomposites
PE	Polyethylene
PET	Polyethylene Terephthalate
PLA	Polylactic Acid
PLSN	Polymer Layered Silicate Nanocomposites
PP	Polypropylene
SAN	Styrene-Acrylonitrile
SBS	Styrene Butylene Styrene
SEBS	Styrene Ethylene Butylene Styrene
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
Si ⁴⁺	Silicon ion
SSA	Specific Surface Area
TCRD	Toyota Central Research & Development
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscopy
TGA	Thermogravimetric Analysis
TMA	Trimethylammonium Chloride
TOT	Tetrahedral Octahedral Tetrahedral
TSS	Tetrasulfane
WAXD	Wide Angel X-ray Diffraction
XRD	X-ray Diffraction
XRF	X-ray Fluorescence

**PENYEDIAAN, PENCIRIAN DAN SIFAT-SIFAT NANOKOMPOSIT
MUSKOVIT/AKRILONITRIL BUTADIENA STIRENA**

ABSTRAK

Penghasilan nanokomposit akrilonitril butadiena stirena (ABS) berdasarkan mineral tanah liat tidak boleh dikembangkan menunjukkan pendekatan yang berpotensi yang belum diteroka secara relatif. Muskovit telah dipilih daripada sejumlah mineral tanah liat yang biasa digunakan disebabkan oleh nisbah aspek yang lebih tinggi berbanding montmorilonit (MMT). Oleh itu, kajian ini meneliti kemungkinan muskovit mengembang dan berfungsi sebagai pengisi pengukuhan dalam matriks ABS melalui proses pertukaran ion dua peringkat untuk penyerasian matriks pengisi dan penyebatian lebur bagi pembuatan polimer. Proses pengubahsuaian melibatkan rawatan menggunakan LiNO_3 (peringkat pertama) dan pengubahsuaian menggunakan setiltrimetilammonium bromida (CTAB) dengan kepekatan yang berbeza sebagai tindak balas pertukaran kation. Percirian terhadap muskovit terawat dinilai menggunakan pendarflour sinar-X (XRF), belauan sinar-X (XRD), inframerah transformasi Fourier (FTIR), Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET), mikroskopi elektron imbasan pancaran medan (FESEM) digandingkan dengan spektroskopi sinar-X tenaga terserak (EDX), dan mikroskopi electron pancaran (TEM). Hasil eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa bukan sahaja jarak dasar tetapi juga luas permukaan tertentu bertambah sementara bilangan lapisan silikat bertindan organo tanah liat semakin berkurang pada kepekatan tinggi CTAB yang menandakan pemisahan dalam lapisan muskovit. Perubahan jarak dasar seterusnya membuktikan bahawa muskovit menunjukkan kemungkinan untuk mengembang. Matlamat seterusnya dalam penyelidikan ini adalah untuk memperluaskan aplikasi matriks ABS

berisi organomuskovit (OM). Dalam kes ini, OM dan muskovit yang tidak diubah suai dimasukkan ke dalam matriks ABS dengan muatan pengisi 1, 3, dan 5 wt %. Aspek-aspek kajian yang menjadi tumpuan termasuk kesan pertukaran ion, tahap penyebaran yang dicapai, dan kesan pelbagai muatan pengisi terhadap sifat mekanik nanokomposit ABS. Maka, kajian ini telah menunjukkan bahawa nanokomposit ABS/OM mempunyai kecenderungan untuk menunjukkan sifat mekanikal yang dipertingkatkan berbanding ABS/muskovit. Walau bagaimanapun, penggabungan muskovit pada semua muatan pengisi telah mengakibatkan pengurangan tidak ketara dalam kekuatan tegangan, penurunan yang ketara dalam pemanjangan takat putus, sedikit peningkatan dalam modulus dan kekerasan, serta peningkatan dalam kestabilan terma berbanding dengan sampel ABS tulen. Kekuatan lentur dan modulus masing-masing meningkat sebanyak 10% dan 28% berbanding dengan keputusan yang diperolehi daripada ABS tulen. Belauan sinar-X sudut lebar dan analisis TEM menunjukkan pembentukan campuran struktur terselit dan terkelupas dengan penggabungan OM. Oleh itu, penghasilan muskovit yang tidak boleh dikembangkan yang digabungkan dengan matriks polimer membuka peluang untuk meneroka fungsi-fungsi baharu selain daripada yang terdapat dalam bahan konvensional.

**PREPARATION, CHARACTERISATION AND PROPERTIES OF
MUSCOVITE/ ACRYLONITRILE BUTADIENE STYRENE
NANOCOMPOSITES**

ABSTRACT

The development of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) nanocomposite based on non-expandable clay minerals presents a promising approach that has been relatively unexplored. Muscovite was chosen over the most commonly used clay minerals, due to its higher aspect ratio when compared to montmorillonite (MMT). As such, this study investigated the possibilities of muscovite to expand and to function as reinforcement filler in ABS matrix via two-stage ion exchange process for filler-matrix compatibilisation and melt compounding for polymer fabrication. The modification process involved treatment with LiNO_3 (first-stage) and modification with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) at various concentrations as a second-stage cation exchange reaction. Characterisation of treated muscovite was assessed by using X-ray fluorescence (XRF), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET), Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) coupled with Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX), and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). The experimental outcomes showed that not only basal spacing, but also specific surface area increased while the number of stacked individual silicate layers of organoclay kept decreasing at high CTAB concentrations, which signified separation within the muscovite layers. The changes in basal spacing further evidenced that muscovite displayed a possibility for expansion. A further goal of this research is to extend the application of organomuscovite (OM) filled ABS matrix. In this case, OM and unmodified muscovite were embedded in ABS

matrix at various filler loading of 1, 3, and 5 wt.%. The aspects addressed included the effect of ion-exchange process, the degree of dispersion that was achieved, and the effect of various filler loading on the mechanical properties of ABS nanocomposites. Along this line, this study reveals that the ABS/OM nanocomposites possessed a tendency to exemplify enhanced mechanical properties, in comparison to those of ABS/muscovite. Nevertheless, incorporation of muscovite at all filler loadings led to a slight reduction in tensile strength, a significant decrease in elongation at break, a slight improvement in modulus and hardness, and increment in thermal stability over those of the neat ABS. Flexural strength and modulus were improved by 10% and 28%, respectively, when compared to those retrieved from neat ABS. Both wide angle x-ray diffraction (WAXD) and TEM analyses indicated the formation of mixed intercalated and exfoliated structures with incorporation of OM. Therefore, the development of non-expandable muscovite incorporated with polymer matrices provide the opportunities to explore new functionalities beyond those found in conventional materials.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Polymer nanocomposites based on layered silicate (LS) have garnered much interest in the present materials field due to their possibility in achieving impressive enhancements in the properties, in comparison to virgin polymers (Ray et al., 2005). Such improvements include high moduli, enhanced strength and heat resistance, as well as decreased gas permeability and flammability (Liu, 2007; Pavlidou & Papaspyrides, 2008). Interestingly, these improvements can be attained without significantly increasing the density of polymer or changing its opaque properties after incorporating minimal loading of fillers. These unique characteristics enable its use in broad applications, such as automotive, aerospace, filling industries, electronics, and food packaging (Alexandre & Dubois, 2000; Fu et al., 2008). As a result, this scenario has attracted interest from researchers at the global scale.

Among all the LS, those based on clays have been more widely investigated probably because the starting clay materials are easily available, naturally abundant, economical, and more importantly, possess higher aspect ratio, hence making them a favourable material to be applied in polymer layered silicate nanocomposites (PLSN). Aside from these properties, there are two essential characteristics that make it a good candidate in preparing PLSN. First, their layered structures enable them to be separated into individual sheets, thus generating an aspect ratio of as high as 1000 (Lin et al., 2010). Second, ion-exchange within the interlayers provides clay with rich intercalation chemistry. This surface chemistry can be fine-tuned with various organic

or inorganic cations to make them compatible with a wide range of polymer. In fact, the intercalation chemistry has been assessed since a long time and has gained attention since the pioneering work of Toyota researchers in the late 1980s, whom have demonstrated an outstanding application of clay-polyimide 6 nanocomposites within the automotive industry (Kojima et al., 1993; Okada & Usuki, 1995). Ever since then, a vast range of scientific publications have emphasised on the incorporation of LS with several polymers, such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET) (Ammala et al., 2008; Parvinzadeh et al., 2010), polypropylene (PP) (Ataeefard & Moradian, 2011; Hasegawa et al., 2000), polycarbonates (PC) (Xiao et al., 2013), polylactic acid (PLA) (Chang et al., 2003), polyethylene (PE) (Yang et al., 2003) , polyester (Sreekanth et al., 2009), and epoxy (Lin et al., 2010).

The commonly used clays for preparing PLSNs belong to the same general family of 2:1 layered or phyllosilicates. MMT, hectorite, saponite, and koalinite, are among the most widely used fillers in PLSN since decades ago (Mittal, 2009). Be that as it may, despite the numerous studies that have focused on these types of clay minerals, none has looked into muscovite, a subdivision of the Mica group. Muscovite is a kind of clay mineral that has been employed for various applications, including electrical installations and equipment, wastewater absorbent, and as fillers in polymer, paint, and cosmetics industries. In truth, very rarely has the literature reported regarding the application of muscovite in thermoplastic composites, especially in its micron size. Hence, a need emerges for the development of a new filler material, in which this present work has undertaken to address the suitability of muscovite to be incorporated in polymer matrix. Exploring these abilities has been reckoned as a good starting point in preparing PLSN with extensive delaminated clay stacks.

In this aspect, a substantial number of PLSN preparation methods have embedded LS materials into polymer matrix materials in a fine dispersion manner (Lebaron et al., 1999; Pavlidou & Papaspyrides, 2008; Ray et al., 2003; Usuki et al., 1993). Surface modification by organic surfactant on silicate layers is a vital process to generate conditions for PLSN. A proper surface modification technique can be performed by using a variety of mechanisms, such as silanization (Di Gianni et al., 2008; Romanzini et al., 2015), grafting (Solhi et al., 2012), and ion exchange (Metz et al., 2015). In relation to this, the ion-exchange reaction method has been widely implemented because it is an easy and rapid technique (Lagaly et al., 2006). Additionally, a study was reported for muscovite, wherein modification via ion exchange seemed to be a better choice than using silylating agents that failed to reach the few hydroxyl groups buried within aluminosilicate crystals (Proust et al., 1988). This method helps to modify the surface properties of inert minerals through the ionically-bound organic monolayers (Osman et al., 2003). The diverse applications of the ion exchange process has been extensively used to prepare various organoclays, such as sepiolite (Pratap Singh et al., 2016), bentonite (Kwolek et al., 2003; Shen, 2001), MMT (Gallego et al., 2010; Jian et al., 2016; Merijs Meri et al., 2015; Pourabas & Raeesi, 2005), and hectorite (Voulgaris & Petridis, 2002).

Therefore, this study investigated the potential of non-expandable muscovite to serve as reinforcement filler in polymer matrix. Although muscovite particles used are in micron size, it is believed that they could be delaminated into nanometre platelets with approximately one nm thickness. Likewise, muscovite is believed to be non-exchangeable with inherent expansion and incompatible with most of the polymer systems. Due to such issues, studies on muscovite of clay mineral-polymer