

**POST-TREATMENT OF PALM OIL MILL EFFLUENT USING MODIFIED
SEQUENCING BATCH REACTOR AUGMENTED WITH ZEOLITE**

HOSSEIN FARRAJI

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2018

**POST-TREATMENT OF PALM OIL MILL
EFFLUENT USING MODIFIED SEQUENCING
BATCH REACTOR AUGMENTED WITH ZEOLITE**

by

HOSSEIN FARRAJI

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

July 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises are due to the Creator without Whom I wouldn't have finished this Ph.D. research work. Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Associate Prof Dr. Nastaein Qamaruz Zaman for the continuous support of my study and related research, for her patience and motivation.

Besides my advisor, I would like to thank my co-supervisors, Prof. Dr. Hamidi Abdul Aziz, and Dr. Mohammad Aqeel Ashraf for their insightful comments and encouragement. I also would like to thank Dr. Amin Mojiri, Dr. Ali Arab, Mr. Parsa Mohajeri and Dr. Amirhossein Vakili for their encouragement and support especially at times when things were going very tough. I would also thank all lab technicians, as without their wise knowledge and assistance this study would not have been successful. This research was funded by the iconic 'Grant for Research Associated with the Solid Waste Management Cluster' (Grant no. 1001/CKT/870023), Engineering campus, Universiti Sains Malaysia. Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my mother for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my life. My honest appreciate to my family for their patient and support. At last but not the least, my brother Dr. Hamed Faraji and my brother in law Mr. Smaeil Jafari who their continuous support and supplies helped me. This acknowledgement will not be fair without superior thanks and appreciate Mr. Taib yacob, the person who spend a major part of his time for teaching skills and improving creative thinking through the designing and manufacturing several types of reactors as well as a brother.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
ABSTRAK	xvii
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Scope of Study	4
1.5 Thesis Organization	4

CHAPTER TWO - LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Palm Oil Production	6
2.2	POME as an Agro-industry Wastewater	7
2.3	Characteristics of POME	8
2.3.1	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	12
2.3.1(a)	Volatile Suspended Solid (VSS)	13
2.3.2	Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)	13
2.3.3	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	14
2.3.4	Color	158
2.3.5	Ammonia and total nitrogen	16
2.3.6	Phosphorus	17
2.4	Biodegradability of POME	20
2.5	POME Treatment Methods	22
2.5.1	Commercial Treatment Method	22
2.5.1(a)	Ponding Treatment	22
2.5.1(b)	Continuously Stirred Tank Reactor	23
2.5.1(c)	Anaerobic Digestion for Methane Production	23
2.5.2	Non-commercial Treatment Methods	26
2.6	SBR as a POME Treatment System	27
2.6.1	SBR System	27
2.6.1(a)	Fundamental and Mechanism of SBR Treatment	30
	Process	
2.6.2	Advantages and Disadvantages of SBR	32

2.6.2(a)	Simple Construction	32
2.6.2(b)	Combination Ability	33
2.6.2(c)	Augmentation and Specific Treatment	34
2.6.2(d)	Wide Range of Application	34
2.6.3	The Application of the SBR in POME Treatment	35
2.6.3(a)	Factors Affecting SBR System	37
2.6.3(b)	Microbial Augmentation for POME	38
2.6.3(c)	Adsorbent Augmentation	39
2.7	Response Surface Method (RSM)	42
2.8	Summary	45

CHAPTER THREE - MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1	Introduction	46
3.2	Case Study Sites	49
3.2.1	Site Description and POME Sampling	49
3.2.2	Site Description and Municipal Wastewater Sampling	50
3.3	Design of Sequencing Batch Reactor	51
3.3.1	SBR Operating	54
3.3.1(a)	Different between LL and POME	55
3.4	Zeolite	57
3.4.1	Characterization of zeolite	58
3.4.2	Determination of Zeolite Dosage	59
3.5	Analytical Methods	60

3.6	Determination of Settling Time	61
3.7	Optimization of RSM	61
3.7.1	Validation of RSM Prediction	66
3.8	Efficiency of Removal	67
CHAPTER FOUR - RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Characteristics of the POME, MWW and Zeolite	69
4.2.1	Characteristics of POME	69
4.2.2	Characteristics of Municipal Wastewater	70
4.2.3	Characteristics of Zeolite before Treatment	71
4.2.4	Zeolite Characteristics after Pollutant Loading	79
4.2.4(a)	Mineral Characteristics	79
4.2.4(b)	Microbial Content	85
4.3	Identification of Suitable Zeolite Dosage using Batch Tests	87
4.3.1	Settling Time	90
4.4	Establishment of Optimum Operating Conditions for the Modify SBR System	92
4.4.1	TSS Removal	92
4.4.1(a)	Turbidity Removal	94
4.4.2	BOD Removal	96
4.4.3	COD Removal	98
4.4.4	Color Removal	101
4.4.5	Total Nitrogen Removal	103

4.4.6	Ammonia Nitrogen Removal	105
4.4.7	Total Phosphorus Removal	107
4.5	Mechanism of Pollutants Removal by Zeolite	108
4.5.1	Introduction	108
4.5.2	Ion Exchange Capacity	110
4.5.3	Bridge Mechanism	111
4.5.4	Surface Adsorption	113
4.5.5	Micro-Pollutants	113
4.6	The Role of Municipal Wastewater in the Treatment Mechanism	114
4.7	Biodegradation	115
4.7.1	Total Count and <i>E.coli</i>	116
4.8	Adsorption	117
4.8.1	Macro Pollutants	118
4.9	Summary of Removal Mechanisms	119
4.10	Continuous Experimental Results	119
4.11	Establishment of Prediction RSM Model for POME Treatment	123
4.11.1	TSS Removal	123
4.11.2	COD Removal	125
4.11.3	Nitrogen Removal	128
4.11.4	Biodegradability	129
4.11.4.a	Discussion on pollutant removal	134
4.11.5	Desirability	135
4.11.6	Summary of RSM Modelling	138

4.12 Recommended Economical SBR Operating	139
---	-----

CHAPTER FIVE - CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions	141
-----------------	-----

5.2 Recommendation for Future Studies	143
---------------------------------------	-----

REFERENCES	144
-------------------	-----

APPENDICES

PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

	Page	
Table 2.1	World's vegetable oil critical specifications	6
Table 2.2	World's main palm oil production countries	7
Table 2.3	Different agroindustry wastewater characteristics	8
Table 2.4	The centrifugal fraction of POME	9
Table 2.5	Characteristics of raw POME	19
Table 2.6	Characteristics of raw POME, Malaysian discharge standards and required efficiency for passing discharge standards	25
Table 2.7	The effectiveness of aerobic SBR treatment for POME	36
Table 2.8	Characteristics of natural zeolite in literature	39
Table 2.9	Summary of natural zeolite application for POME treatment in literature	41
Table 3.1	Characteristics of Landfill leachate and POME	56
Table 3.2	Actual values for variable factors	64
Table 3.3	Experimental variable factors for SBRs	65
Table 4.1	Characteristics of anaerobically treated POME and Malaysian discharge standards	70
Table 4.2	Characteristics of MWW collected for this study	70
Table 4.3	Weight and atomic percentage of natural zeolite elements	75
Table 4.4	XRF characteristics of the natural zeolite	77
Table 4.5	BET test results in natural zeolite before treatment	78
Table 4.6	ICP characteristics of raw POME before treatment	79

Table 4.7	Weight and atomic percentage of zeolite elements after treatment	82
Table 4.8	BET test results in natural zeolite after treatment	85
Table 4.9	ICP results of treated POME	85
Table 4.10	Total count and <i>E.coli</i> in treated SBR system	86
Table 4.11	Operation conditions and TSS removal performance	94
Table 4.12	Operation conditions and turbidity removal performance	96
Table 4.13	Operation conditions and BOD ₅ removal performance	98
Table 4.14	Operation conditions and COD removal performance	101
Table 4.15	Operation conditions and color removal performance	103
Table 4.16	Operation conditions and total nitrogen removal performance	105
Table 4.17	Operation conditions and ammonia nitrogen removal performance	107
Table 4.18	Operation conditions and total phosphorus removal performance	108
Table 4.19	Operation factors and results of selected responses in continuous test	121
Table 4.20	Experimental condition and results of collected responses for RSM modeling	132
Table 4.21	ANOVA results for the equation of the Design Expert 10.0.3 for collected responses	133
Table 4.22	Efficiency report for desirability in different operations, targets, and other responses	137
Table 4.23	Economic SBR for achieving the highest efficiency in the lowest cost of operation factors	139

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Particle size distribution data for raw POME	10
Figure 2.2	Figure 2.2: PSA system of POME (Khanam et al., 2016)	11
Figure 2.3	Biodegradability line for wastewater (Metcalf and Eddy, 2014) and collected biodegradability in previous studies on POME, COD, and BOD	21
Figure 2.4	Process Flow Diagram of anaerobic digestion	24
Figure 2.5	SBR operation for one cycle	29
Figure 2.6	SBR	32
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the methodology	48
Figure 3.2	Aerial view of the UOPM	49
Figure 3.3	Anaerobically treated POME sampling (Pond number 8)	50
Figure 3.4	Municipal wastewater sampling point	51
Figure 3.5	Schematic characteristics of SBR with CBA system, air pump, and air flow meter	53
Figure 3.6	All 20 SBR reactors running simultaneously in same environmental condition	57
Figure 3.7	Batch test process and optimum dosage of adsorbent	60
Figure 4.1	SEM micrograph of natural zeolite	74
Figure 4.2	Element content (EDS mapping) before treatment in natural zeolite structure (a:Oxygen, b:Aluminum, c:Silicon, d:Carbon, and e:Magnesium)	76
Figure 4.3	Natural zeolite spectrum (EDS of the plotted area) before	77

	treatment	
Figure 4.4	SEM micrograph of a pollutant-loaded natural zeolite (a:10000, b:20000, and c: 35000 magnified)	81
Figure 4.5	Element content (EDS mapping) after treatment in natural zeolite structure (a:Oxygen, b:Aluminum, c:Silicon, d:Carbon, and e:Magnesium)	83
Figure 4.6	Zeolite spectrum (EDS of the plotted area) after treatment	84
Figure 4.7	Removal efficiency of ammonia, COD, TSS, TP, and color in batch test against zeolite dosage	87
Figure 4.8	Anaerobically treated POME and 15g/L dosage zeolite treatment in batch study	88
Figure 4.9	Effect of settling time on concentration of dissolved oxygen in modify SBR	91
Figure 4.10	The 3D surface plots and 2D contour plot for TSS removal	124
Figure 4.11	Predicted vs. Actual contour plot for TSS removal	125
Figure 4.12	The 3D surface plots and 2D contour plot for COD removal	126
Figure 4.13	Predicted vs. Actual contour plot for COD removal	127
Figure 4.14	The 3D surface plots for total and ammonia nitrogen removal (contact time of 12 h)	129
Figure 4.15	The 3D surface plots and 2D contour plot for biodegradability	136
Figure 4.16	Two-dimensional plot count of highest desirability in operation considering TSS, BOD, COD, and color as target pollutants	137

Figure 4.17 Two-dimensional plot count of engineered desirability 138
operation considering TSS, BOD, COD, and color as target
pollutants (minimum aeration).

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BET	Brunauer-Emmer-Teller
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
CCD	Central Composite Design
CEC	Cation Exchange Capacity
cfu	Colony Forming Units
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CPO	Crude Palm Oil
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
MLVSS	Mixed liquor volatile suspended solids
MNDWQS	Malaysian National Drinking Water Quality Standards
MWW	Municipal Wastewater
NG	Not Given
Neg	Negative
POME	Palm Oil Mill Effluent
PSA	Particle Size Analyze
Rem	Removal
rpm	Round Per Minute
RSM	Response Surface Methodology

SBR	Sequencing Batch Reactor
SSA	Specific Surface Area
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SERC	Science and Engineering Research Centre
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TN	Total Nitrogen
TP	Total Phosphorus
TS	Total Solids
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
UASB	Up flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change Program
UOPM	United Oil Palm Mill
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USM	Universiti Sains Malaysia
VSS	Volatile Suspended Solids
XRF	X-Ray Fluorescence

LIST OF SYMBOLS

μm	Micrometer
nm	Nano meter
O	Oxygen
H	Hydrogen
C	Carbon
Al	Aluminum
Fe	Ferron
Ca	Calcium
C°	Degree Celsius
L	Liter
W	Weight
N	North
E	East
g	Gram
h	Hour
ha	Hectare
Å	Angstrom
R ²	Coefficient of Determination

**PASCA-OLAHAN EFLUEN KILANG KELAPA SAWIT MENGGUNAKAN
REAKTOR KELOMPOK PERJUJUKAN TERUBAHSUAI DITINGKATKAN
DENGAN ZEOLITE**

ABSTRAK

Salah satu sistem olahan air sisa adalah penjujukan reaktor kelompok (PRK). Pasca olahan effluen kilang kelapa sawit (EKKS) dengan aplikasi air sisa bandar dan penggunaan zeolit semulajadi dalam sistem PRK aerobic terubahsuai. EKKS mengandungi kepekatan pencemar organik yang sangat tinggi, kepekatan elemen logam toksik yang rendah bersama kandungan mikrob yang rendah. Aplikasi terus air sisa bandar sebagai pembekal mikrob dan zeolite sebagai penggumpal semulajadi dalam PRK diubahsuai dijalankan bagi menghapuskan aklimitasi enapcemar bagi menjimatkan kos dan masa operasi melalui proses aerobik. Pengudaraan digunakan untuk mengadun dan sebagai sumber oksigen dalam sistem PRK. Kajian kelompok dijalankan dengan 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 dan 35 g/L zeolite. 15 g/L zeolite dikenalpasti sebagai dos yang optimum. Zeolit semulajadi yang digunakan mempunyai saiz dari 75 μm to 150 μm . Kaedah Permukaan Tindak Balas (RSM) dan Rekabentuk Komposit Pusat (CCD) digunakan bagi mendapatkan keadaan operasi optimum (pembolehkan bebas) bagi nisbah air sisa bandar kepada EKKS, kadar pengudaraan dan masa sentuh. Tujuh parameter dipilih sebagai pembolehkan tanggungan (respons) iaitu Jumlah Pepejal

Terampai, Keperluan Oksigen Biologi, Keperluan Oksigen Kimia, Nitrogen Ammonia, Jumlah Nitrogen dan Jumlah Fosforus. Hasil pengumpulan data menunjukkan, kaedah rawatan tunggal mampu mengolah EKKS dalam masa yang singkat tanpa memerlukan proses aklimitasi enap cemar. Dalam keadaan optimum dengan nisbah air sisa bandar kepada EKKS (58.7 v/v,%), kadar pengudaraan (6.85 L/min) dan masa sentuh (17.9 jam) bagi PRK, keberkesanan pengurangan TSS, BOD, COD, warna, nitrogen ammonia, jumlah nitrogen dan jumlah fosforus adalah 99.16%, 90.67%, 98.4%, 84.34%, 98.33%, 96.26% dan 93.49% setiapnya. Mekanisme penyingkiran adalah melalui degradasi mikrob, penggumpalan, kapasiti penukaran kation dan penjerapan permukaan. Kesan spesifik oleh air sisa bandar melalui proses olahan mikrobiologi dengan bantuan zeolite bagi pertumbuhan mikrob dan penggumpalan bahan tidak boleh terdegradasi adalah aspek utama keberkesanan. Gabungan air sisa bandar dan EKKS ditingkatkan dengan bantuan zeolite semulajadi dalam sistem PRK terubahsuai aerobik boleh digunakan sebagai kaedah mikrobiologi yang berkebolehan untuk rawatan EKKS dengan kos yang efektif dan dalam masa yang singkat.

POST-TREATMENT OF PALM OIL MILL EFFLUENT USING MODIFIED SEQUENCING BATCH REACTOR AUGMENTED WITH ZEOLITE

ABSTRACT

One of the biological treatment system for wastewater treatment is sequencing batch reactor (SBR). Post-treatment of palm oil mill effluent by direct application of municipal wastewater and augmentation of natural zeolite in aerobic modified SBR system. POME contain extremely high concentration of organic pollutants, very low concentration of toxic metallic elements, and low microbial content. Direct application of municipal wastewater as microbial supply and zeolite as natural coagulant in modified aerobic sequencing batch reactor (SBR) conducted in order to decreasing operation time and cost of operation process through the aerobic treatment. Aeration used for mixing and oxygen source in SBR system. Batch study carried out with 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 g/L zeolite. 15g/L zeolite collected as optimum adsorbent dosage. The size of natural zeolite ranged from 75 μm to 150 μm . Response Surface Methodology (RSM) and Central Composite Design (CCD) were used for collecting the optimum operating conditions (independent variables) which were ratio of municipal wastewater (MWW) to POME, aeration flow and contact time. Seven parameters namely total suspended solids (TSS), biological oxygen demand (BOD_5), chemical oxygen demand (COD), ammonia nitrogen ($\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$), total nitrogen and total phosphorus selected as dependent parameters (responses). Collected results indicates that this single

treatment method has capability for effective POME treatment in short time without requiring to sludge acclimatization process. In the optimum condition of municipal wastewater to POME ratio (58.7 v/v; %), aeration rate (6.85 L/min) and contact time (17.9h) for the SBR, efficiency of the reduction for TSS, BOD, COD, colour, ammonia nitrogen, total nitrogen and phosphorus were 99.16%, 90.67%, 98.4%, 84.34%, 98.33%, 96.26% and 93.49% respectively. Mechanism of removal mostly were microbial biodegradation, coagulation, cation exchangeable capacity, and surface adsorbent. Specific effect of municipal wastewater through the microbiological treatment process and assistance influence of zeolite for microbial growth and non-degradable matters coagulation are main aspects of efficiency. Combining municipal wastewater with POME and augmenting with natural zeolite in aerobic modified SBR system, could be considered as a capable microbiological method for POME in cost effective and short time treatment.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Nowadays, oil palm production in Malaysia reached to 20,000,000 tons (MPOB, 2016). Activities of 426 mills, production and by products have a positive effect on the Malaysian economy. Other side of this industry is environmental impacts of palm oil industry which means 60,000,000 tons effluent being a major concern for Malaysia as the leader of scientific researches in green improvement. Due to aforementioned facts, the palm oil industry as main agroindustry; faces a big challenge in balancing the economic viability, environmental protection and sustainable development. Thus, there is an urgent need to finding a suitable way to keep our economy growth while preserving the environment (Chin et al., 2013).

The conventional treatment method for palm oil mill effluent is ponding system which is an easy operation, time consuming process in several ponds or digesting system, with high cost operation in a big land area and environmental impacts (Mansor et al., 2017). On the other hand, this method is highly dependent to land application and rivers as final destination of effluent discharge. Unfortunately, inability of this anaerobic-aerobic method in passing discharge standards (Bhatia et al., 2007) has led to concerning on numerous scientific researches on high efficiency, cost effective, easy operation and short time treatment methods. Ponding system which has been applied in 85% of oil palm industry is the most common commercial treatment method (Poh and Chong 2009) while the remaining 15% are operated by

using tank digestion system. Finding effective treatment method for Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) will be more serious when Malaysian authorities aim to strengthen standard limits to BOD₅ equals to 20 mg/L (Liew et al., 2015) .

Anaerobic digestion of POME in covered ponds which known as methane production process, have positive attraction on environmental footprint by decreasing the greenhouse gas emission and methane gas collection despite the, expensive construction, high maintenance fee, operation, high land requirement caused to slow improving trend in this traditional treatment system. This method is based on the microbial activity in anaerobic circumstances on organic matter in aquatic nutrient media for methane production. Raw POME is an acidic media with temperature of 80-90 °C (Chin et al., 2013) will caused low content of microbial population. Long period of time is required for available microbial population to adapt and starting digestion process. However, low biodegradability of lignin compounds (Oswal et al., 2002), makes the digestion process longer as well as decreasing in pollutant removal efficiency and methane production. Further studies should be conducted to develop innovative methods to reduce the cost of treatment and increase treated water quality (Mansor et al., 2017).

1.2 Problem Statement

Biological treatment could be a high effective treatment method for wastewater with high biodegradability index and low concentration of non-biodegradable compound such as lignin (Pérez et al., 2002). Approximately 50 % of POME pollutants are low or non-biodegradable organic matters which should be separate from fine, easily degradable organic compound such as fatty acids by suitable coagulation mechanism (Ahmad et al., 2005a). Efficiency of pollutant removal can

be improved using aerobic sequencing batch reactor (SBR) (Wang and Li, 2009). Augmentation of municipal wastewater is an advanced treatment technique for enhancing the efficiency of pollutant removal in strength wastewaters and often have been used in landfill leachate (Mojiri et al., 2014, Aziz et al., 2011b). Activated sludge recognized as one of the most effective aerobic treatment meanwhile, it is the least applied by oil palm mills since of its higher operation cost (Chan et al., 2010). In a SBR system sludge acclimatization is necessary for microorganisms adaptation to new condition, and it takes 10 days when treating landfill leachate (Aziz et al., 2011b). On the other hand, high concentration of ammonia nitrogen is another factor cause low efficiency of SBR treatment and high concentration of ammonia nitrogen which is very toxic for microorganisms population and gradually could be protected by sludge acclimatization process (Aziz et al., 2011b). Since POME has nontoxic properties (Rupani et al., 2010) and contain very low concentration or absence of hazardous heavy metals such as Pb and Cu (Agustin et al., 2008), Cd and Cr (Ubani et al., 2017).

The knowledge of natural adsorbent (zeolite) application in SBR for POME treatment contain some gaps and need more development. Literature indicate that single pollutant removal such as oil (Shavandi et al., 2012a) and heavy metal (Shavandi et al., 2012b) have been studied in POME which the main pollutants are BOD₅, COD, TSS and color (Liew et al., 2015).

Since of two major types of pollutants (biodegradable and capable for coagulation) in POME a new finding is needed to present direct application of municipal wastewater as microbial community supplier and natural adsorbent as coagulation and microbial biofilm formation is required as a novel combined microbiological treatment method for POME in aerobic SBR system.