

**AN IMPROVED FRAMEWORK OF REGION
SEGMENTATION FOR DIAGNOSING
THERMAL CONDITION OF ELECTRICAL
INSTALLATION BASED ON INFRARED IMAGE
ANALYSIS**

MOHD SHAWAL JADIN

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2018

**AN IMPROVED FRAMEWORK OF REGION SEGMENTATION FOR
DIAGNOSING THERMAL CONDITION OF ELECTRICAL
INSTALLATION BASED ON INFRARED IMAGE ANALYSIS**

by

MOHD SHAWAL JADIN

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

July 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my utmost gratefulness to Allah (S.W.T) The Almighty and The Most Powerful for giving me the strength, wisdom and perseverance in successfully accomplishing my research. My deepest appreciation to my main supervisor, Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Dahaman Ishak and my co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Soib Taib, for their knowledgeable support, ideas, high tolerance, great patience and good leadership skill provide me a high spirit to face any difficulty throughout this research. This dissertation would not have been possible without his guidance and persistent supervision. Apart from that, special thanks to my field supervisor Prof. Ir. Dr. Hj. Kamarul Hawari Ghazali for his valuable opinions and guidance to further improve my research.

I would also like to acknowledge with much appreciation to the Ministry of Higher Education, Universiti Malaysia Pahang and Universiti Sains Malaysia, for their sponsorship and financial support as well as the facilities until the research completed.

Special thanks also I would like to dedicate to the lecturers, technicians and the entire staffs of School of Electrical and Electronic, University Sains Malaysia for their technical and motivational support.

Special thanks are due to my parents, wife and family, for their outstanding support and patience which giving me high motivation to complete this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
ABSTRAK	xxi
ABSTRACT	xxiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Background	3
1.3 Research Objective	10
1.4 Scope of Research	11
1.5 Thesis Organization	12
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Infrared Thermography for Diagnosing Electrical Installation	15
2.2.1 Typical Thermal Fault	17
2.2.2 Thermogram Analysis	21
2.2.3 Standard and Guidelines for Infrared Thermography Inspection	22
2.2.4 Measuring Technique and Assessment Method	23
2.3 Automatic Condition Monitoring System	27
2.3.1 Region Detection and Image Segmentation	29

2.3.2	Feature Extraction	43
2.3.3	Classification	48
2.4	Segmentation of Repeated Object Structures within an Image	57
2.4.1	Shape-Based Identical Region Matching	57
2.4.2	Texture / Pattern Based Matching	58
2.4.3	Local Keypoint Descriptor Matching	58
2.5	Summary	61
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Introduction	63
3.2	Data Collection Setup	64
3.3	Image Intensity Enhancement	67
3.4	Region Detection and Image Segmentation	69
3.4.1	Keypoint Detection and Descriptor Calculation	70
3.4.2	Keypoint Feature Matching	78
3.4.3	Agglomerative Clustering of Keypoint	81
3.4.4	Matching and Translating Clusters of Region	84
3.4.5	Grouping and Labelling Regions of Identical Structures	89
3.4.6	Final Segmentation	93
3.5	Feature Extraction	94
3.5.1.	Thermal Features	95
3.5.2.	First-Order Statistical Features	96
3.5.3.	Histogram Distances Between Regions	97
3.6	Feature Evaluation and Identification	98
3.7	Summary	104
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		
4.1	Introduction	106

4.2	Survey Result	106
4.3	Results of Region Detection and Segmentation	109
4.3.1	Evaluation of Keypoint Extraction	111
4.3.2	Quantitative Evaluation	116
4.3.3	Qualitative Evaluation	123
4.3.4	Test on Large Dataset	134
4.4	Feature Selection and Classification	145
4.4.1	Test on Thermal Features	149
4.4.2	Test on First-order Statistical Features	155
4.4.3	Test on Histogram Distance Features	159
4.4.4	Combination of All Input Features	164
4.5	Summary	169
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
5.1	Conclusion	170
5.2	Thesis Contributions	173
5.3	Recommendations for Future Work	177
REFERENCES		179
APPENDICES		
Appendix A	Infrared Image of Electrical Installations	
Appendix B	Infrared Camera Specifications	
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1 Maintenance testing specifications for electrical equipment (Infraspection Institute, 2008)	25
Table 2.2 Representative low voltage maximum ΔT (Holst, 2000)	27
Table 2.3 Phase repair priority for a three-phase system (Holst, 2000)	27
Table 2.4 Summary of image segmentation and region detection methods	42
Table 2.5 Summary of feature extraction techniques	48
Table 2.6 SVM kernel functions	54
Table 2.7 Summary of classification techniques for identifying the thermal fault in an infrared image of electrical equipment	56
Table 2.8 Summary of the previous works on the repeated object detection and segmentation methods	62
Table 3.1 Fluke Ti25 infrared camera specification	65
Table 3.2 Thermal feature extraction	96
Table 3.3 First-order statistical thermal distribution features of the region	97
Table 3.4 Histogram distance features	98
Table 3.5 Parameter setting for MLP neural network	103
Table 3.6 SVM Parameter values	104
Table 4.1 Segmentation performance for Figure 4.21	127
Table 4.2 Segmentation performance for the image in Figure 4.22	129

Table 4.3	Segmentation performance for the image in Figure 4.23	131
Table 4.4	Segmentation performance for the image in Figure 4.24	133
Table 4.5	Region segmentation category and description	135
Table 4.6	Data division for training and testing set	145
Table 4.7	Confusion matrix	147
Table 4.8	Classification performance of the histogram distance feature subset using wrapper feature selection method (MLP neural network)	160
Table 4.9	Classification performance of the histogram distance feature subsets using wrapper feature selection method (SVM classifier)	161
Table 4.10	Classification performances for different feature selection methods using MLP neural network and SVM classifier	166

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	A three-phase contactor breaker: (a) visual light image, (b) infrared image (Pareek et al., 2017)	3
Figure 1.2	Examples of the infrared image of three-phase fuses: (a) low contrast of infrared with the background image, (b) appearance of other cables which have the same intensity level, (c) blurred edge and different intensity level along the body of the fuses (Dutta et al., 2016)	5
Figure 1.3	Heat distribution of a three-phase fuse (Wretman, 2006)	6
Figure 1.4	(a) The image segmentation result using JSEG (Deng and Manjunath, 2001), (b) The segmented image using EDISON (Comaniciu and Meer, 2002), (c) The segmented image using normalized cut algorithm (Shi and Malik, 2000)	8
Figure 1.5	(a) The appearance of another identical image in the image, (b) the image of cable connectors mixed with other cables at the back (Wretman, 2006)	9
Figure 2.1	Transmission, absorption and reflection of the thermal radiation incident (Holst, 2000)	16
Figure 2.2	The total radiated energy sensed by an infrared camera (Kaplan, 2007)	17
Figure 2.3	Example of poor electrical connection (a) the thermal image of a breaker with a hot conductor, (b) loose of connection at the middle cable lug (Lucier, 2002)	18
Figure 2.4	Infrared image of an overloaded circuit breaker (Electrical India, 2015)	19

Figure 2.5	Load imbalance condition of a circuit breaker and cable (Dib and Djermane, 2016)	20
Figure 2.6	A typical infrared image depicting a three-phase electrical circuit breaker (Huda and Taib, 2013)	22
Figure 2.7	Hotspot and normal operating condition of fuses (A B Electricals, 2018)	26
Figure 2.8	Block diagram of an automatic image diagnostic system (Faust et al., 2014)	29
Figure 2.9	(a) Real image, (b) infrared image, (c) segmented image using Otsu thresholding algorithm (Laib dit Leksir et al., 2017)	32
Figure 2.10	MLP neural network with one hidden layer (Haykin, 1998)	51
Figure 2.11	Hyperplane through two linearly separable classes (Hsu et al., 2010)	53
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the system	64
Figure 3.2	Ti25 Fluke infrared cameras	65
Figure 3.3	Thermal inspection of electrical installation	66
Figure 3.4	Warm region enhancement: (a) Infrared image in grayscale, (b) image histogram of grayscale image, (c) inverted image, (d) histogram of inverted image, (e) image after enhancement process, (f) histogram of enhanced image	68
Figure 3.5	General framework of the proposed region segmentation technique	69
Figure 3.6	Combination of SIFT (Lowe, 1999) and MSER (Matas et al., 2004) keypoint detectors with SIFT descriptor (Lowe, 2004)	71

Figure 3.7	Keypoint detection: (a) 150 keypoints detected by SIFT (b) 221 keypoints detected by MSER	78
Figure 3.8	(a) and (c) are the original infrared image in grayscale, (b) and (d) are the results of initial keypoints matching	81
Figure 3.9	Dendrogram of keypoints clustering	83
Figure 3.10	Voting procedure for matching the cluster	87
Figure 3.11	The result of the voting procedure and cluster grouping	89
Figure 3.12	Finding the orientation of object structure and splitting the cluster of keypoints using grid line approach	90
Figure 3.13	Grouping cluster of the same object. The dotted yellow lines are the centre lines of the clusters while the red and blue lines are the grid lines drawn to split between left and right of the regions respectively	91
Figure 3.14	(a) The effect of perspective distortion that causes vertically dislocated of the cluster groups. The grid lines show the clusters are divided into incorrect groups which are not perpendicular to the main direction of the target objects. (b) grid lines to divide each pair of clusters	92
Figure 3.15	Process of merging clusters in the same group using convex hull	93
Figure 3.16	General framework of feature selection technique using a wrapper model	100
Figure 3.17	Steps for finding the optimal parameters setting for classifiers	102
Figure 4.1	Causes of thermal fault found in electrical installations	107

Figure 4.2	Infrared images of thermal anomalies: (a) image of overloading condition, (b) image of poor electrical connection	108
Figure 4.3	Distribution of faulty equipment according to the level of maintenance priority	109
Figure 4.4	Illustration of overlapping regions between SI and GT	110
Figure 4.5	Examples of infrared images of electrical installation and their corresponding ground truth images	111
Figure 4.6	Number of keypoints detection using SIFT and the proposed adaptive coefficient method	112
Figure 4.7	Number of keypoint pairs for SIFT and the proposed adaptive coefficient method	112
Figure 4.8	Analysis of the stability behavior on blurred regions. (a) keypoints detection by original MSER algorithm (65 extracted keypoints), (b). Keypoint detection using the proposed stability function (69 extracted keypoints)	114
Figure 4.9	Number of keypoint extractions using MSER and improved MSER	114
Figure 4.10	Number of keypoint matching using MSER and improved MSER	114
Figure 4.11	Boxplots represent the segmentation accuracies produced by SIFT, MSER, improved SIFT and improved MSER. (a). Segmentation accuracy in terms of TPR , (b). Segmentation performance in terms of FPR , (c). Overall segmentation accuracy based on AUC	116
Figure 4.12	The number of keypoints detected using SIFT and MSER keypoint detectors	118

Figure 4.13	Number of matched keypoints at different matching threshold	118
Figure 4.14	The comparison number of feature pairs using SIFT, MSER and combination of both detectors	118
Figure 4.15	Segmentation accuracy for three types of clustering linkage methods	119
Figure 4.16	Example results of infrared image segmentation that can be considered as correct region detection	120
Figure 4.17	Examples of images that not perfectly segmented: (a). wrong location of identical region segmentation, (b). partially correct region segmentation, (c). segmented regions merge with other parts of the equipment	121
Figure 4.18	Appearance of other parts of the equipment that have similarities will cause false positive cluster detection. (a) pair of cluster between cluster 2 and 3, (b). the final segmentation result after grouping the clusters	122
Figure 4.19	Segmentation result without applying an image enhancement: (a) original infrared image in grayscale form, (b) ground truth image, (c) Otsu method ($T_h=79$), (d) Hamadani method with the value of k_1 and k_2 are set at 1.5 and 2, respectively ($T_h=136$), (e) Kapur method ($T_h=138$), (f) Fuzzy C-means ($T_h=99$)	123
Figure 4.20	Segmentation results after applying image enhancement: (a) enhanced image, (b) ground truth image, (c) Otsu ($T_h=69$), (d) Hamadani ($T_h=49$ for $k_1=1.5$ and $k_2=2$), (e) Kapur ($T_h=50$), (f) Fuzzy C-means ($T_h=102$)	125
Figure 4.21	Segmentation results of cable connectors: (a). Original infrared image, (b). ground truth image, (c). Otsu ($T_h=81.5$), (d). Kapur ($T_h=133$), (e). Hamadani ($T_h=145.3$), (f). Fuzzy C-means ($T_h=140.6$), (g). Maximum entropy, (h). Level set,	126

	(i). Normalized cut, (j). CTREM, (k). Wretman method, (l). The proposed method	
Figure 4.22	Segmentation results for the circuit breaker connection: (a). Original infrared image, (b). Ground truth image, (c). Otsu ($T_h = 74.5$), (d). Kapur ($T_h = 155$), (e). Hamadani ($T_h = 231$), (f). Fuzzy C-means ($T_h = 164$), (g). Maximum entropy, (h). Level set, (i). Normalized cut, (j). CTREM, (k). Wretman method, (l). Proposed method	128
Figure 4.23	Segmentation results for a circuit breaker: (a). Infrared image, (b). Ground truth image, (c). Otsu ($T_h = 62.5$), (d). Kapur (T_h $= 136$), (e). Hamadani ($T_h = 195$), (f). Fuzzy C-means ($T_h =$ 147), (g). Maximum entropy, (h). Level set, (i). Normalized cut, (j). CTREM, (k). Wretman method, (l). The proposed method	130
Figure 4.24	Segmentation result for cable connectors: (a). Infrared image, (b). Ground truth image, (c). Otsu ($T_h = 54.5$), (d). Kapur (T_h $= 124$), (e). Hamadani ($T_h = 149$), (f). Fuzzy C-means ($T_h =$ 122.91), (g). Maximum entropy, (h). Level set, (i). Normalized cut, (j). CTREM, (k). Wretman method, (m). The proposed method	132
Figure 4.25	Box and whisker plot of AUC for all nine methods	134
Figure 4.26	Image segmentation categories: (a). Good, (b). Borderline, (c). Fail Mode 1, (d). Fail Mode 2	137
Figure 4.27	Segmentation result using Otsu thresholding method	137
Figure 4.28	Segmentation result by using Kapur method	138
Figure 4.29	Segmentation result by using CTREM method	139
Figure 4.30	Segmentation result by using the maximum entropy method	140

Figure 4.31	Segmentation result by using the level set method	140
Figure 4.32	Segmentation result by using Fuzzy C-Means method	141
Figure 4.33	Segmentation result by using Hamadani method	142
Figure 4.34	Overall accuracies for Wretman method	142
Figure 4.35	Overall accuracies of the proposed segmentation method based on different categories	143
Figure 4.36	Faulty and normal operating regions (a) hotspot in the middle phase of the cable connection due to connection problem, (b) overloading condition at the rightmost phase cable	146
Figure 4.37	Performance accuracy of the thermal feature subsets based on training data using MLP neural network	150
Figure 4.38	Performance accuracy for the thermal features based on the training data using SVM classifier	151
Figure 4.39	Classification accuracy versus the optimal number of neurons in the hidden layer for MLP neural network using the combination of T_{mean} , T_{delta} and DT_{bg} input features	152
Figure 4.40	Testing performance before and after applying feature selection for MLP neural network and SVM	153
Figure 4.41	Classification performances of the first-order statistical feature subsets using wrapper feature selection method (MLP neural network)	155
Figure 4.42	Classification performances of the first-order feature subsets using the wrapper feature selection method (SVM classifier)	156
Figure 4.43	Classification accuracy versus the optimal number of neurons in the hidden layer for MLP neural network using the combination of T_{σ} , T_{skew} and T_{var} input features	157

Figure 4.44	Testing performance before and after applying feature selection for MLP neural network and SVM	158
Figure 4.45	Classification accuracy versus the optimal number of neurons in the hidden layer of MLP neural network for the input feature of d_E , d_M and d_B	162
Figure 4.46	Performance comparison before and after applying feature selection for MLP neural network and SVM classifier using histogram distance features	163
Figure 4.47	Performance comparison between different types of feature sets classified using MLP neural network and SVM classifier	167

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society for Testing & Materials
AUC	Area Under Curve
CTREM	Complexity Based Transition Region Extraction Method
DoG	Difference of Gaussians
EDISON	Edge Detection and Image Segmentation
FN	False-Negative
FP	False-Positive
FPR	False-Positive Rate
F	Fault Condition
GT	Ground Truth
NETA	International Electrical Testing Association
JSEG	J measure based SEGmentation
MSER	Maximally Stable Extremal Regions
MSE	Mean Squared Error
MLP	Multilayer Perceptron
NEMA	National Electrical Manufacturers Association
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
NF	No Fault Condition
RBF	Radial Basis Function
RANSAC	RANdom SAmple Consensus
SIFT	Scale Invariant Feature Transform
SI	Segmented Image
SVM	Support Vector Machine

TRE	Transition Region Extraction
TN	True-Negative
TP	True-Positive
TPR	True-Positive Rate

LIST OF SYMBOLS

α	Absorption of thermal radiation
$F(\bullet)$	Activation function
T_{amb}	Ambient temperature
θ_{co}	Angle of clusters matching
T_{mean}	Average temperature
T_{bg}	Background temperature
d_B	Bhattacharyya histogram distance between target and reference region
d_C	Canberra histogram distance between target and reference region
H_B	Classes of image background
H_F	Classes of image foreground
$d(\Omega_a, \Omega_b)$	Cluster dissimilarity function
α_{ent}	Coefficient of the maximal entropy
w_{ij}^1, w_{jk}^2	Connection weights between the hidden and the output layers
$P(T_g)$	Cumulative probability
T_{cut}	Dendrogram cutting threshold
E_{obj}	Energy emitted directly from the target object
E_{amb}	Energy reflected from other surrounding objects
R_i	Estimated absolute radius of region
d_E	Euclidean histogram distance between target and reference region
H_1, H_2	First and second histogram of a region
f_j, f_k	First and the second nearest neighbour
n_i	Frequency of gray level
Q_{obj}	Fuzzy objective function

i	Gray level of an image
k_1, k_2	Hamadani method's constants
I_n	Image brightness value
\mathcal{R}_0	Initial region clustering
I_{inv}	Inverted image
K	Kernel function parameter
λ_1, λ_2	Length of the first and second axis of the ellipse
F_{sift}	List of SIFT descriptors
A_{gb}	Local accumulated gradient of brightness
$E(\mathcal{Q}_k)$	Local entropy of neighborhood
\hat{x}	Local extrema
T_{coef}	Local texture coefficient
X_{match}	Matching candidate coordinates
T_{match}	Matching threshold
d_M	Matusita histogram distance between target and reference region
Q_i	Maximally stable region
T_{max}	Maximum temperature of the selected region
T_{rmax}	Maximum temperature range of the image
μ	Mean
E_r	Mean squared error
μ_b	Mean values of the image background
μ_f	Mean values of the image foreground
$T_{component}$	Measured absolute temperature of the component
T_{rmin}	Minimum temperature range of the image
S	Multiplication factor of the scale-space image

v_i	Neurons in the input layer
C_l	Number of clusters
n_h	Number of hidden nodes
n_i	Number of input nodes
n	Number of samples
g_j	Number of pixels in the neighbourhood of grayscale image
θ	Orientation
C	Penalty parameter of error (Cost)
T_{gray}	Pixel intensity value at a certain point in the grayscale image
d_k	Predicted output
$p(i)$	Probability distribution of the image histogram
$p(j)$	Probability distribution local entropy of neighbourhood
γ	RBF kernel function parameter
T_r	Real temperature value
T_{ref}	Reference temperature
ρ	Reflection of thermal radiation
DT_{bg}	Relative to ambient temperature
S_f	Scaling factor of the image scale
T_h	Segmentation threshold
f	SIFT feature descriptor
ζ	Slack variable
Ω_k	Small neighbourhood window of image
q_R	Stability criterion of region
σ_{sd}	Standard deviation of image intensity
σ_b	Standard deviation of image background

σ_f	Standard deviation of image foreground
y_k	Target output in the output layer
ΔT_{phase}	Temperature difference between phases
T_{delta}	Temperature difference between target equipment and reference region
T_{kurt}	Temperature kurtosis
T_{σ}	Temperature standard deviation
T_{skew}	Temperature skewness
T_{var}	Temperature variance
T_{mgv}	The highest grayscale value of the image
L	The highest pixel intensity in the image
T_{L1}	The hot spot temperature of the measured object
T_{L2}	The hotspot temperature of the reference object
b_j	Threshold in the hidden nodes
T_g	Threshold value to divide the class variance
E_{cam}	Total energy sensed by an infrared camera
N_{pixel}	Total number of image pixels
τ	Transmission of thermal radiation
E_{bg}	Transmitted energy through the target object by other surrounding objects
v_p	Voted cluster pair
I_h	Warm region enhancement
m	Weighting exponent parameter
σ	Width of Gaussian filter
d_x	χ^2 histogram distance between target and reference region
w_0, w_1	Zero-order cumulative moment

**SATU RANGKA PENINGKATAN SEGMENTASI RANTAU UNTUK
DIAGNOSIS KEADAAN TERMA PEMASANGAN ELEKTRIK
BERDASARKAN ANALISIS IMEJ INFRAMERAH**

ABSTRAK

Keadaan yang tidak normal bagi peralatan elektrik akan berlaku apabila suhunya melebihi had yang dibenarkan, yang boleh mengakibatkan kegagalan peralatan tersebut. Oleh itu, pencegahan awal amat penting untuk mengelakkan perkara ini berlaku disamping meningkatkan kebolehpercayaan peralatan tersebut. Kajian ini mencadangkan satu teknik baharu bagi segmentasi kawasan imej dan kaedah untuk mendiagnosis keadaan haba bagi peralatan elektrik dengan mengambilkira analisa imej inframerah secara kualitatif dan kuantitatif. Memandangkan kebanyakan pemasangan elektrik kebiasaannya disusun secara tetap dengan struktur yang berulang-ulang, satu kaedah baharu dicadangkan bagi mengesan semua struktur peranti elektrik yang serupa dalam satu imej inframerah. Kaedah ini menggunakan gabungan dua algoritma pengesanan titik utama iaitu algoritma transformasi ciri-ciri invarian skala (SIFT) dan kawasan ekstrem yang stabil (MSER) bagi meningkatkan bilangan pengesanan titik utama. Satu kaedah baharu untuk memadan dan menterjemahkan kluster telah dicadangkan dengan memperkenalkan prosedur pengundian bagi menentukan padanan kluster. Pengesanan rantau dicapai dengan menggunakan kaedah grid di mana ia membahagikan kelompok-kelompok berulang sebelum keseluruhan objek yang disasarkan itu disegmentasi dengan sempurna. Untuk menilai keadaan pemasangan peralatan elektrik, keberkesanan menggunakan tiga jenis ciri input yang berbeza telah diselidiki. Pendekatan model ‘wrapper’ digunakan untuk memilih ciri yang sesuai di mana perseptron berbilang

lapisan (MLP) rangkaian neural tiruan dan mesin vektor sokongan (SVM) digunakan untuk menilai setiap set gabungan ciri. Berdasarkan hasil kajian terhadap kaedah segmentasi yang dicadangkan, kira-kira 94.27% dari rantau telah dikesan dengan betul dengan purata nilai kawasan di bawah lengkung (AUC) sebanyak 0.79 telah dicapai. Semasa menentukan keadaan terma, didapati bahawa gabungan ciri input T_{delta} , T_{skew} , T_{kurt} , T_{σ} dan d_B menghasilkan ketepatan terbaik bagi mengesan kerosakan haba yang diklasifikasikan oleh SVM menggunakan fungsi kernel asas jejarian. Kadar prestasi tertinggi dicapai pada 99.46% dan 97.78% berdasarkan ketepatan dan nilai $f\text{-score}$.