ANODIZATION OF TITANIA NANOTUBE ARRAYS IN ELECTROLYTE CONTAINING HYDROGEN PEROXIDE

LEE KAR CHUN

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2017

ANODIZATION OF TITANIA NANOTUBE ARRAYS IN ELECTROLYTE CONTAINING HYDROGEN PEROXIDE

by

LEE KAR CHUN

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

February 2018

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have conducted, completed the research work and written the

dissertation entitled "Morphological Investigation on Anodized Titania Nanotube

Array in Electrolyte Containing H2O2 and Qualitative Studies on Post-Anodized

Electrolyte Waste". I also declare that it has not been previously submitted for the

award of any degrees or diploma or other similar title of this for any other examining

body or university.

Name of Student

Lee Kar Chun

Date

6 October 2017

Signature

.

Witnessed by

Main Supervisor

Professor Ir. Dr. Srimala Sreekantan

Date

6 October 2017

Signature

:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to take this opportunity to thank Universiti Sains Malysia for offering me the Master program. I am indebted to my supervisor, Professor Ir. Dr. Srimala Sreekantan who has provided a lot of encouragement and has been very supportive on the project. With her guidance and help, I have learnt a lot of new knowledge during completion of this Master project.

I wish to express my warm and sincere thanks to Prof Zainal Affirin Ahmad, Dr. Khairul Arifah Saharudin and Mr Mustaff Ali Azhar Taib for their final touch, interest and support in this particular research. Also, my heartfelt gratitude to Professor Dr. Zuhailawati Hussain, Dean, School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering for opportunity given and facilities provided to complete the Master project in the school.

Besides, I would like to thank Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) for awarding My Brain 15 (under My Master scheme) sponsorship. Also, my sincere appreciation goes to Science & Engineering Research Centre (SERC), Universiti Sains Malaysia for providing assistances in terms of facility, technical advises and guidance in completing this research.

I am also greatly indebted to all the management staffs, lecturers and technicians for their willingness to help and guild me during this entire PhD project. Thousands of thanks dedicated to Mr. Mokhtar, Mr. Shahrul, Mr. Shafiq, Mrs. Mahani, Madam Fong Lee Lee, Mr. Farid and other technicians who has either directly or indirectly guild me throughout the project. Also, special thanks to postgraduate fellow friends including Chin Seik Yee, Teo Pao Ter, Ramarao Poliah,

Nwe Ni Hliang, Kho Chun Min, Lim Zhe Xi, Ooi Chee Hiong and other coursemates

for their continuous motivations and advices along my research study. Special thanks

to Dr Toh Run Hong, School of Chemistry, USM for sharing its view on "Field

Enhancement Effect".

Last but not least, I would like to convey my gratitude and appreciation to all

my family members for their boundless supports on me.

LEE KAR CHUN

Universiti Sains Malaysia

2017

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
ACK	NOWLE	EDGEMENTS	ii
TABI	LE OF C	CONTENTS	iv
LIST	OF TAE	BLES	ix
LIST	OF FIG	URES	xi
LIST	OF SYN	MBOLS	xxi
LIST	OF ABI	BREVIATIONS	xxii
ABST	RAK		xxiii
ABST	RACT		XXV
CHAI	PTER O	NE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Backgr	ound	1
	1.1.1	Clean Energy Crisis	1
	1.1.2	TiO ₂ as Water-Splitting Catalyst	2
1.2	Problem	n Statement	4
	1.2.1	H ₂ O and H ₂ O ₂ Interaction with Ti Substrate Surface	5
	1.2.2	Corrosion Behavior of Ti during Anodization in H ₂ O ₂	5
	1.2.3	Morphological Study on the Origin of Surface Oxide Layer	6
	1.2.4	Electrolyte Waste Product Associated with the Use of H ₂ O ₂	7
1.3	Researc	ch Objectives	7
1.4	Scope o	of Research	8

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Titania	a Overview	11
	2.1.1	Background of Titania	11
	2.1.2	Three Natural Phase of Titania	13
2.2	Synthe	esis of Titania	17
	2.2.1	Hydrothermal Method	17
	2.2.2	Template Method	19
	2.2.3	Anodization Method	20
		2.2.3(a) Overview of Anodization	20
		2.2.3(b) Anodization of Titania Nanotube – Four Synthesis Generations	21
		2.2.3(c) Organic Electrolyte – Ethylene Glycol	24
		2.2.3(d) Anodization potential	24
		2.2.3(e) Fluorine Content	26
		2.2.3(f) Oxygen Source – Water, H ₂ O	26
		2.2.3(g) Oxygen Source - Hydrogen Peroxide, H ₂ O ₂	26
		2.2.3(h) By-product of Anodic Electrolyte	29
	2.2.4	Formation Mechanism and Growth of Nanotube	32
		2.2.4(a) Field Assisted Dissolution	34
		2.2.4(b) Plastic Flow Model	44
	2.2.5	Brief Review on Compact Oxide, Grassy Structure or Surface	
		Precipitates	58

CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

3.1	An Ove	erview	62
3.2	Raw ma	aterials and Chemicals Selection	62
3.3	Experir	ment Design	64
	3.3.1	Stage 1	66
	3.3.2	Stage 2	67
	3.3.3	Stage 3	68
	3.3.4	Stage 4	69
	3.3.5	Stage 5	70
3.4	Experir	mental Procedure	70
	3.4.1	Preparation of Ti Foil	71
	3.4.2	Preparation of Electrolyte	72
	3.4.3	Anodization Process	73
	3.4.4	Post-Anodized Cleaning	74
	3.4.5	PEC Photocurrent Performance Evaluation	74
	3.4.6	Retrieval of Electrolyte By-Product	75
3.5	Charac	terization Technique	76
	3.5.1	Field-Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) coupled	
		with Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX)	77
	3.5.2	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	78
	3.5.3	High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (HRTEM)	
		coupled with Selected Area Eletron Diffraction (SAED)	79

	3.5.4	X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)	79
	3.5.5	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	80
СНА	PTER F	OUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.0	Overvi	iew	81
4.1	Prelim	inary Studies on Anodization with H2O and H2O2	82
	4.1.1	Current Density-Time Profile	82
	4.1.2	Microstructure Analysis	88
	4.1.3	The Presence of Thin Oxide Layer	93
4.2	Corros	ion Behavior with respect to Temperature and Oxygen Source	95
	4.2.1	Macroscopic Examination	97
	4.2.2	Electrolyte Colour after High Temperature Anodization	98
	4.2.3	FESEM Characterization on Morphology	103
	4.2.4	Crystal Structure Analysis	105
	4.2.5	Effect of Temperature on Foil Corrosion	106
4.3	Investi	gation on Compact Oxide	108
	4.3.1	Formation of Compact Oxide and Effect of Anodization Time	108
	4.3.2	Formation of Compact Oxide and Effect of Foil Etching	113
	4.3.3	Formation of Compact Oxide and Effect of Mechanical Polishir	ng 117
4.4	Effect	of Varying Anodization Setup towards Nanotube Morphology	120
	4.4.1	Changes in Current Flow	120
	4.4.2	Current-Time Transient and Electrolyte Conductivity	121

	4.4.3	Temperature and Colour Changes in Electrolyte	124		
	4.4.4	FESEM Characterization	126		
	4.4.5	Formation Mechanism in DA	129		
	4.4.6	Formation Mechanism of SA	132		
	4.4.7	Photocurrent Performance Evaluation	134		
	4.4.8	Formation of Grassy Surface using SA Configuration	137		
4.5	Investi	igation on Electrolyte By-Product	140		
	4.5.1	Crystal Structure Analysis	141		
	4.5.2	FESEM Characterization	144		
	4.5.3	HRTEM and SAED Analysis	146		
	4.5.4	FTIR Analysis	147		
	4.5.5	XPS Analysis	149		
СНА	PTER F	FIVE: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION			
5.1	Conclu	usion	154		
5.2	Sugge	stion and Recommendation	155		
REFERENCES 1			156		
APP	APPENDICES				
LIST	OF PII	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS			

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Summary of Ti precursors used in hydrothermal reaction and their respective product shape.	19
Table 2.2	Proposed Colour Forming Species in Titanium-Hydrogen Peroxide compound.	30
Table 3.1	List of raw materials and chemicals used to fabricate TiO ₂ nanotubes and nanostructure.	63
Table 3.2	Anodization parameters adopted in Stage 1 to investigate the effect of stirring speed and use of H ₂ O and H ₂ O ₂ as oxidant.	66
Table 3.3	Anodization parameters adopted in Stage 2 to evaluate the effect of oxidant and temperature on foil corrosion.	67
Table 3.4	Anodization parameters investigated and constant used Stage 3. Evaluations on compact oxide morphology were conducted via prolonging anodization duration and surface preparation (mechanical polishing and chemical etching) on Ti substrate.	68
Table 3.5	Anodization parameters adopted in Stage 4 to study the effect of anodization setup (DA and SA) on nanotube morphology.	69
Table 4.1	The effect of stirring towards the tubes formation via anodization in H ₂ O and H ₂ O ₂ oxygen source.	82
Table 4.2	Corrosion behavior of Ti foils with respect to temperature and oxygen source.	96
Table 4.3	Samples selected for Linear Sweep Voltammetry. The samples are first categorized in accordance to oxidant used, H ₂ O and H ₂ O ₂ . The samples in each category are subsequently evaluated based on the presence of compact oxide layer and anodization configuration. Photocurrent measurement are taken at 0 V vs Ag/AgCl reference	135

electrode.

Table 4.4 XPS Atomic Concentration and Mass Concentration of each species.

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Number of publications association with titania. The data was collected based on web of science database using titanium oxide, titanium dioxide and TiO ₂ as searching keywords.	12
Figure 2.2	Summary of main shapes in each phase under Wulff construction (Liu et al., 2014)(Liu et al., 2014).	13
Figure 2.3	Truncated bipyramid anatase in its most relaxes stoichiometry according to Wulff Construction. The mainly exposed (101) surface is characterized by its Ti-O bonds arranged in saw-tooth orientation. The surface energy of (101) is greatly influence the overall photocatalytic property (Lazzeri <i>et al.</i> , 2001).	14
Figure 2.4	Variation of enthalpies for anatase, brookite and rutile versus particle size. Below 11 nm, anatase is predicted to be the most stable; followed by brookite from 11 nm to 35 nm. Above 35 nm, rutile is most thermodynamically stable (Zhang and Banfield, 2000).	15
Figure 2.5	Rutile with the pyramidal {111} facets. {110} facets present when rod-like rutile is formed and it covers the body of the rod. Picture re-modified from Wang <i>et al.</i> ,(2014); Sun <i>et al.</i> ,(2011); Zhang <i>et al.</i> ,(2013).	16
Figure 2.6	(a) High reactivity anatase phase synthesized by (Yang et $al.$, 2008) using hydrothermal method under the presence of fluorine (TiF ₄).	18
Figure 2.7	Synthesis of titanium dioxide nanotube array using AAO template via 2-steps anodization method. (a) FESEM of AAO template. (b) Synthesis procedure of Titania nanotube array using AAO template. Re-modified from (Hoyer, 1996; Masuda and Fukuda, 1995)	20
Figure 2.8	Four synthesis generations. (a) 1 st generation, HF-based aqueous electrolyte (b) 2 nd generation, buffer solution electrolyte (c) 3 rd generation, organic electrolyte (d) 4 th generation, fluoride-free electrolyte (Grimes and Mor,	23

Figure 2.9	Influences of fluorine content and anodizing potential toward the length of nanotube. (Albu et al., 2007)	25
Figure 2.10	MO degradation test conducted on TNT. (a) Controlled MO tube. (b) H ₂ O-anodized TNT. (c) H ₂ O ₂ -anodized TNT. (Sreekantan <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	29
Figure 2. 11	Timeline for development of FAD. Refer subsection for further details.	33
Figure 2.12	Field-assisted oxidation of Alumina film. Al ³⁺ cations move towards the film-electrolyte interface and form new oxide layer with the metastable lattice.	34
Figure 2.13	Schematic diagram for changes in current flow lines at barrier layer and thickness during pore initiation (O'Sullivan and Wood, 1970). (a) Homogeneous current distribution on film. (b) Twisted with regards to pore structure. (c) Skewed towards pore bottom. (d) Concentrated current flow lines along the pores.	36
Figure 2.14	Schematic diagram for polarization processes on Alumina Oxide films. (O'Sullivan and Wood, 1970) (a) Maximum oxide thickness is achieved; electric field at surface is low. (b) Polarization from the anions. (c) Hydration of Al atoms on the film surface; lowering the electrical resistance. (d) Dissolution of the hydrated species into the electrolyte, forming Al complex.	36
Figure 2.15	(a) The presence of NH ⁴⁺ and F ⁻ creates a local acidification. (b) pH profile within the nanotube is calculated based on numerical simulation on the ions flux. (c) Rate of dissolution fitted into the tube model. (d) Dissolution rate obtained experimentally by immersing TiO ₂ films into fluoride-containing etching solutions with different pH.	39
Figure 2.16	Compact oxide layer is present above the nanotube.	40
Figure 2.17	Natural Selection Process originally proposed by Taveira <i>et al.</i> ,(2005). (a) Formation of barrier layer or compact oxide layer above Ti metal; the barrier layer consists of	41

titanium oxide or titanium hydroxide. (b) High electric field causes dielectric breakdown of barrier layer at various points. (c) Growth and dissolution at the Ti-TiO₂ interface produces worm-like structures (d) Dissolution gradually forms tubular morphology (e) Prolonged dissolution removes the barrier layer and produces self-organized porous layer.

41 Figure 2.18 Selective Dissolution process proposed by Yasuda et al.,(2007) (a) Barrier layer is formed on Ti metal. (b) Dielectric breakdown on barrier layer, forming pores with different sizes. (c) Different size of pores leads to different oxidation area. (d) Larger pores undergo further oxidation and continue to grow, smaller pores diminish. Figure 2.19 Model of transformation from pore to tube proposed by 43 (a) Yasuda et al.,(2007) based on volume expansion of oxide. (b) Mor et al.,(2003); Raja et al.,(2005) based on unanodized metallic Ti at inter-pore segments. Figure 2.20 Double-walled features reported in the work of Albu et 43 al.,(2008). Ti metal (Ti), Inner shell tube consists of electrolyte components (IST), Outer shell tube (OST) and Fluorine rich layer (FRL). Figure 2.21 44 Layer-by-layer model proposed by Cao and coworkers. Figure 2.22 Timeline for development of Plastic flow mechanism. 46 The plastic flow mechanism begins from year 1962 to 1994 where the earlier development focuses heavily on establishing tracer study method. Latter development from year 1998 to year 2012 utilizes the tracer study to evaluate the feasibility of flow during anodization. Refer subsection for further details. Anodic growth of Al metal. Al3+ cations move towards Figure 2.23 47 the film-electrolyte interface and OH- moves towards the metal-film interface. Hoar and Mott propose that both processes need to be present in order to explain the formation cell-like structure at pore bottom in porous anodic film. Figure 2.24 Radioactivity obtained by etching the anodic layer. For 48 sulfate coating only (green line), the radioactivity decreases upon subjected to chemical dissolution. Bilayer

coated sample by first anodizing in tartrate electrolyte and followed by sulfate electrolyte (red line) shows similar trend. For bilayer sample first anodized in sulfur and followed by tartrate electrolyte (orange line), the radioactivity remains high until 10 mins, after which it decreases in similar fashion. These results indicate that growth process is more dominant at the film-electrolyte interface. Figure modified from (Lewis and Plumb, 1958).

Figure 2.25 Anodic alumina profile generated by anodizing first in 49 O¹⁸-rich electrolyte and followed by O¹⁶-rich electrolyte based on nuclear reaction resonance (Amsel and Samuel, 1962). Figure 2.26 50 The count rate for decay on un-anodized and anodized Al surface; the peaks correspond to 21.79 keV represent electrons that have not lost much of its energy. The solid line represents un-anodized surface. It has highest count rate due to direct emission. Al anodized in 10 V and 200 V shows decrease in count rate due to presence of an oxide layer above the Xe¹²⁵. Thickness is calculated based on the count rate for decay (Davies et al., 1962). Figure 2.27 Schematic diagram for anodic Al structure based on the 51 "Transport number" experiment conducted by (Davies et al., 1965). Inversion of film observed in O18 tracer study. Both Figure 2.28 52 samples are first anodized in O¹⁸ rich electrolyte followed by O¹⁶ rich electrolyte (a) Barrier-type, reported by Amsel and Samuel, (1962). (b) Porous-type, reported by Cherki and Siejka, (1973). Figure 2.29 Al substrate implanted with non-radioactive Xe tracer. 54 Light grey colour represents the base Al metal while dark grey represents the Alumina layer. Figure 2.30 Anodic Oxidation of Aluminium with tungsten tracer. (a) 55 Aluminium substrate with 30 at% W layer. (b) Tracer layer movement into barrier alumina layer. (c) Tracer layer is distorted along the direction of flow due to growth stresses. The movement of W layer corresponds

with the movement of oxide substance from pore base

Figure 2.31	Anodic growth of Alumina in H ₃ PO ₄ at 195V. (a) 180 s. (b) 240 s. (c) 350 s. (d) Simulated profile for W tracer with 31.2 s time interval.	56
Figure 2.32	Tracer study conducted on TNT by (LeClere <i>et al.</i> , 2008) (a) Base metal (b) Growth Model assuming field assisted dissolution. (c) Field-assisted dissolution model accompanied with field-assisted ejection of cations. (d) Plastic flow model accompanied with field-assisted ejection.	56
Figure 2.33	(a) Oxide growth efficiency for anodization of Ti in glycerol, 0.35M and 0.175M NH ₄ F.The efficiency value recorded for 0.35M NH ₄ F approximate 0.52 (Berger <i>et al.</i> , 2009). (b) Morphological stability modeling showing that for Pilling-Bedworth ratio ~2.4, the efficiency is 0.50 to 0.58 (Hebert <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	577
Figure 2.34	Models proposed to explain the formation of compact oxide layer above nanotube. (a) Compact oxide layer is formed from non-ordered initiation layer. (b) Compact oxide is formed from thinning of nanotube wall and subsequently collapse into grassy structure. (c) Compact oxide is formed from dissolution of tube wall and reprecipitation above the nanotube.	61
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of overall process in this research study.	65
Figure 3.2	Overall experimental procedure used in this study.	71
Figure 3.3	(a) Double-side anodization; both front and back sides are exposed to electrolyte for anodization. (b) Single-side anodization; front-side with only 1 cm \times 1 cm surface immersed in electrolyte. Back-side is fully covered, exposing only 1 cm \times 0.5 cm for connection to power supply.	72
Figure 3.4	A typical two-electrode anodization setup with main components. (I) Ti foil (II) Platinum mesh (III) Power supply (IV) electrolyte.	73

Figure 3.5	Schematic diagram for PEC setup.	75
Figure 3.6	Appearance of (a) electrolyte by-product(b) after vacuum filtered and (c) grinded and annealed	76
Figure 3.7	FESEM sample preparation for foil type sample.	78
Figure 4.1	Current Density-Time transient for anodization conducted with different stirring speed using electrolyte containing 0.18 wt% NH ₄ F + 98.82 wt% EG and (a) 1 wt% H ₂ O (b) 1 wt% H ₂ O ₂ .	84
Figure 4.2	Surface condition of Ti foil at t=0 (no potential bias) when immersed in (a) H ₂ O-based electrolyte (b) H ₂ O ₂ -based electrolyte. Increase in electrical resistance in H ₂ O ₂ is due 1) Quick passivation on Ti surface to form thicker oxide and OH layer 2) Metastable redox reaction at substrate-electrolyte interface affects the electrical resistance on substrate surface.	85
Figure 4.3	The product yielded in (a) H ₂ O-based electrolyte. (b) H ₂ O ₂ -based electrolyte. The ejection of Ti ⁴⁺ species is more vigorous due to presence of stronger OOH-nucleophile.	87
Figure 4.4	FESEM images of TiO ₂ nanotubes anodized in H ₂ O and H ₂ O ₂ electrolyte, (a) F2H1-S0, (b) F2H2-S0, (c) F2H1-S450, (d) F2H2-S450, (e) F2H1-S900, (f) F2H2-S900. Inset (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) shows the side view of nanotubes.	89
Figure 4.5	Schematic diagram showing the tendency of forming smaller diameter below compact oxide and longer ones in the absence of compact oxide due to volume expansion. Smaller diameter nanotubes are observed in Figure 4.4(a), (d) and (e). Volume expansion at the metal-film interface.	91
Figure 4.6	Enlarged FESEM of inset in Fig 4.7(e) and (f). Samples anodized using 900 rpm stirring speed in (a) H ₂ O-based electrolyte, (b) H ₂ O ₂ -based electrolyte.	92

Figure 4.7	FESEM of Ti foil anodized in electrolyte containing 0.18 wt% NH ₄ F + 98.82 wt% EG and 1 wt% H ₂ O ₂ using 5k magnification. A compact oxide layer is seen covering the nanotube surface. Tiny holes connected to nanotube are observed on the surface of the compact layer. Inset shows the full surface of Ti foil with unidirectional property from rolling process.	93
Figure 4.8	FESEM showing thin oxide layer, grassy-like structure and nanotube layer in typical anodization conducted with 0.18 wt% NH ₄ F + 98.82 wt% EG and 1 wt% H ₂ O ₂ using 10k magnification. Inset shows the schematic diagram indicating the position of each layer.	95
Figure 4.9	Photos taken for as-anodized foils in various oxygen sources with 0.68 wt% of NH ₄ F and in 100 ml of electrolyte.	98
Figure 4.10	Colours of electrolyte after Ti anodization in EG electrolyte containing 0.68 wt% NH ₄ F (a) without oxidant (b) with H ₂ O (c) with H ₂ O ₂ . Colour changes in electrolyte upon addition of 30% H ₂ O ₂ solution into each beaker, (d), (e) without oxidant. (f), (g) with H ₂ O content	99
Figure 4.11	EDX scan on the reddish-white powder derived from HT-F7H0 after filtering and drying.	100
Figure 4.12	FESEM images of TiO_2 nanotubes anodized in (a) RT-F7H0, (b) HT-F7H0, (c) RT-F7H1, (d) HT-F7H1, (e) RT-F7H2 and (f) HT-F7H2	104
Figure 4.13	XRD patterns for samples anodized in room temperature condition (a) H ₂ O-based electrolyte (b) H ₂ O ₂ -based electrolyte.	106
Figure 4.14	Ti foil anodized for 1 hr. (a) Overview, 1 k mag. (b) Side view, 10 k mag. (c) Top view, 10 k mag.	109
Figure 4.15	Ti foil anodized for 2 hrs. (a) Overview, 2 k mag. (b) Side view, 15 k mag. (c) Top view, 20 k mag.	110
Figure 4.16	Ti foil anodized for 3 hrs. (a) Overview, 3 k mag. (b)	111

Figure 4.17	Ti foil anodized for 10 hrs. (a) Overview, 1 k mag. (b) Side view, 10 k mag. (c) Top view, 10 k mag.	112
Figure 4.18	Surface of foil etched for (a) 0 min (prior to etching) (b) 15 mins (c) 30 mins (d) 45 mins.	114
Figure 4.19	FESEM of anodized Ti foil surface after undergo chemical etching in HF solution for (a) 0 mins and (b) 15 mins. Left: 1 k mag. Right 10 k mag.	117
Figure 4.20	Anodized foil surface without any polishing process (a) thin foil (b) thick foil. Anodized foil surface after polishing process (c) thin foil and (d) thick foil.	119
Figure 4.21	Current-time transients for (a) single-side anodization, SA and (b) double-side anodization, DA of Ti foils at 60V using 0.6 wt% of NH ₄ F, 1 wt% of H ₂ O ₂ and 98.4 wt% of EG. Inset shows the enlarged transients at stage II.	122
Figure 4.22	Electrolyte conductivity for SA and DA anodized in 0.6 wt% NH ₄ F, 1 wt% H ₂ O ₂ 98.4 wt% EG at 60V.	123
Figure 4.23	Temperature profile for SA and DA anodized in 0.6 wt% NH ₄ F, 1 wt% H ₂ O ₂ 98.4 wt% EG at 60V. Inset reveals colour changes in each sample.	125
Figure 4.24	FESEM for SA anodization of Ti substrate in 0.6 wt% of NH ₄ F, 1 wt% of H ₂ O ₂ and 98.4 wt% of EG for 1 hour minutes at (a) 1k mag. (b) 5k mag. (c) 30k mag (d) 5k mag side view.	126
Figure 4.25	FESEM for DA anodization of Ti substrate in 0.6 wt% of NH ₄ F, 1 wt% of H ₂ O ₂ and 98.4 wt% of EG for 1 hour minutes at (a) 1k mag. (b) 5k mag. (c) 30k mag (d) 5k mag side view.	127
Figure 4.26	(a) Radial flow of current in Ti foil while performing DA.(b) The hydroxyl species have reacted rapidly with the Ti substrate under the influences of electric field to form the compact oxide layer on the surface. Uneven morphology	131

causes deviation in volume expansion; hence fissure occurs on the compact oxide layer. (c) The tendency of F-with small radius to penetrate the compact oxide and even distribution of current at metal-metal oxide interface caused hexagonal symmetry. (d) Growth of nanotube stemmed from the Ti-TiO₂ interface to form nanotubes in honeycomb orientation. The compact oxide layer can be shaken off with prolonged sonication, revealing layer of nanotube in honeycomb orientation.

Figure 4.27	(a) Axial flow of current in Ti foil while performing SA. (b) Fissure occurs and the strong flow of currents causes growth of seed crystal into a star-like Ti oxide polygon. (c) Crystal grows into octahedron shape. Dissolution at the compact oxide layer is random and disordered. Dissolution at the interface is aided by the presence of current at the Ti-TiO ₂ interface. (d) Dissolution occurring at different regions produces different nanostructure texture. Dissolution at compact oxide layer generates a rough texture with undissolved TiO _x debris. Dissolution at interface is aided by current flow, generates smooth-looking nanotubes.	133
Figure 4.28	Photocurrent recorded when TNT is subjected to AM 1.5 irradiation (a) F7H1(CO), DA. (b) F7H2(CO), DA. (c) F7H1, DA. (d) F7H2, DA. (e) F7H1, SA. (f) F7H2, SA.	137
Figure 4.29	Samples anodized in 0.6 wt% NH ₄ F, 1 wt% H ₂ O ₂ ethylene glycol electrolyte at 60 V without stirring for (a) 30 mins (b) 60 mins and (c) 90 mins. Left panel, 1 k magnification. Right panel, 10 k magnification.	139
Figure 4.30	Appearance of (a) electrolyte by-product(b) after vacuum filtered and (c) grinded and annealed.	143
Figure 4.31	XRD pattern to determine phase present. (a) Pre-anneal yellowish powder fits well with (NH ₄) ₃ TiOF ₅ . (b) Post-anneal white powder show the presence of (NH ₄)TiOF ₃ and (NH ₄) ₂ TiF ₆ .	144
Figure 4.32	FESEM images of yellowish by-product collected from anodization of Ti foil in EG containing 0.6 wt% NH ₄ F and 1 wt% H ₂ O ₂ prior to annealing.	145

Figure 4.33	FESEM images of whitish by-product collected from anodization of Ti foil in EG containing 0.6 wt% NH ₄ F and 1 wt% H ₂ O ₂ after annealing at 200 °C.	146
Figure 4.34	Nucleation process of titanate crystals by conducting FESEM imaging on foil surface. The anodization of Ti foil is performed in EG containing 0.6 wt% NH ₄ F and 1 wt% H ₂ O ₂	147
Figure 4.35	FTIR spectra of (a) pre-anneal (red-spectrum line) and (b) post-anneal (black-spectrum line) samples.	148
Figure 4.36	XPS spectra of pre-anneal (red spectrum line) and post-anneal (blue-spectrum line) samples. (a), (d) Survey scan. (b), (e) F 1s spectra. (c), (f) O 1s spectra (g), (j) Ti 2p spectra. (h), (k) N 1s spectra. and (i), (j) C 1s spectra.	153

LIST OF SYMBOLS

2θ Two-theta

Ag/AgCl Silver-silver Chloride reference electrode

Cu Kα Copper K-alpha X-ray source

e⁻ electron

h⁺ holes

hv Photon energy

H₂ Hydrogen gas

HF Hydrofluoric acid

H₂O Water

H₂O₂ Hydrogen peroxide

KBr Potassium bromide

MO Methyl Orange dye

NH₄F Ammonium fluoride

(NH₄)TiOF₃ Ammonium titanate oxytrifluoride

(NH₄)₃TiOF₅ Triammonium oxotitanate pentafluoride

(NH₄)₂TiF₆ Diammonium Hexafluorotitanium

O¹⁶ Oxygen 16 isotope

Oxygen 18 isotope

OH- Hydroxyl group

•OH Hydroxyl radical

Pt Platinum

Ti⁴⁺ Titanium 4+ cation

TiF₄ Titanium tetrafluoride

TiO₂ Titanium Dioxide

Xe¹²⁵ Xenon 125 isotope