

**ROBUST CONTROLLER TECHNIQUE OF AN AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER
VEHICLE FOR UNDERWATER POLE INSPECTION**

by

SONG YOONG SIANG

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

January 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

There are many people that deserve mention and thankful for their help, guidance, and support during my PhD study. Without them, it is impossible for me to complete this dissertation.

First of all, I would like to express my warmest gratitude and admiration to my supervisor, Prof. Ir. Dr. Mohd Rizal Arshad. He had given great effort in guiding and helping me along the journey. Prof. Rizal gives me a clear concept about my research which leads to the successfulness of my research. His patience in guiding me to write a good thesis is much appreciated.

I would like to extend my gratitude towards my colleagues in Underwater, Control, and Robotics Group, especially to En. Muhammad Faiz Abu Bakar, En. Ahmad Faris Ali, En. Muhammad Azri Abdul Wahed, and En. Mohd Amirul Hafiez Mohd Mokhtar for assisting me in the real-time performance testing of my project. I truly appreciated them for their kindness in assisting me.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all my family members, friends, and staffs in Universiti Sains Malaysia for their support and encouragement. This research is fully supported by Prototype Research Grant Scheme (grant number: PRGS-203/PELECT/6740003).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
ABSTRAK	xxiv
ABSTRACT	xxvi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statements	3
1.3 Research Objectives	5
1.4 Research Scopes	6
1.5 Thesis Outline	8
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUV)	11
2.3 Path Planning	16
2.4 Control Methods for Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUV)	21
2.4.1 Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) Control	21
2.4.2 Optimal Control	23

	Page
2.4.3 Backstepping Control	24
2.4.4 Adaptive Control	25
2.4.5 Intelligent Control	26
2.4.6 Sliding Mode Control (SMC)	28
2.4.7 Time Delay Control (TDC)	30
2.4.8 Comparison of Control Methods	32
2.5 Summary	34

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction	36
3.2 Research Approach	36
3.3 Modelling of AUV	39
3.3.1 Kinematics Model	39
3.3.2 Dynamics Model	42
3.3.3 Disturbances Model	44
3.4 Parameters of Jacket Leg	45
3.5 Underwater Robust Control Techniques	48
3.5.1 Model Free High Order Sliding Mode Control (MFHOSMC)	48
3.5.2 Time Delay Control (TDC)	49
3.6 Summary	50

CHAPTER FOUR: SYSTEM DESIGN

4.1 Introduction	52
4.2 Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) Prototype Development	52

	Page
4.2.1 Design Specifications of Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV)	52
4.2.2 Prototype Design	54
4.2.2(a) Body Frame	54
4.2.2(b) Propulsion System	56
4.2.2(c) Components Layout	57
4.2.2(d) Sealing System	58
4.2.2(e) Buoyancy System	59
4.2.2(f) Embedded System	60
4.2.3 Prototype Modelling	63
4.2.3(a) Added Mass System Inertia Matrix	63
4.2.3(b) Hydrodynamic Damping Matrix	64
4.2.3(c) Vector of Weight, Buoyancy Force, and Moments	66
4.2.3(d) Vector of Control Inputs	67
4.2.4 Prototype Fabrication	69
4.2.5 Prototype Testing	70
4.2.5(a) Weight and Buoyancy Force	70
4.2.5(b) Passive Stability	71
4.2.5(c) Thruster Model	71
4.2.5(d) Open Loop Speed Response	73
4.3 Inspection Path Planning	74
4.3.1 Performance Specifications of Inspection Path	74
4.3.2 Planar Map of Target Environment	75
4.3.3 Patterns of Inspection Path	77
4.3.4 Analysis of Designed Inspection Paths	78

	Page
4.3.5 Comparison between Designed Inspection Paths	82
4.3.6 Mathematical Modelling of Proposed Inspection Path	83
4.3.7 Three-Dimensional (3D) Animation of Proposed Inspection Path	83
4.4 Robust Tracking Control Design	85
4.4.1 Performance Specifications of Robust Tracking Controller	85
4.4.2 Design of Proposed Robust Tracking Control Law	87
4.4.2(a) Nominal Controller Design	88
4.4.2(b) Robust Filter Design	90
4.4.3(c) Fuzzy Logic Controller Design	91
4.4.3 Robustness Analysis	93
4.4.4 Control Parameters Selection	97
4.4.5 Performance Analysis via Simulations	99
4.4.5(a) Simulation 1: Large Initial Position Error	103
4.4.5(b) Simulation 2: Large Initial Velocity Error	104
4.4.5(c) Simulation 3: Station Keeping in Heave Direction	104
4.4.5(d) Simulation 4: Trajectory Tracking in Horizontal Plane	105
4.4.5(e) Simulation 5: Robustness Against Waves	106
4.4.6 Performance Analysis via Pool Test	106
4.4.6(a) Test 1: Station Keeping	107
4.4.6(b) Test 2: Trajectory Tracking	108
4.5 Summary	109

CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

5.1 Introduction	111
------------------	-----

	Page
5.2 Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) Prototype	111
5.2.1 Identified Weight and Buoyancy Force	113
5.2.2 Performance of Passive Stability	115
5.2.3 Estimated Parameters of Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) Model	120
5.2.4 Identified Parameters of Thruster Model	121
5.2.5 Open Loop Speed Response	124
5.2.5(a) Surge Direction	124
5.2.5(b) Sway Direction	126
5.2.5(c) Heave Direction	129
5.2.5(d) Yaw Direction	131
5.3 Inspection Path	133
5.3.1 Performance Analysis of Designed Inspection Paths	134
5.3.1(a) Different Jacket Legs	134
5.3.2(b) Different Camera Resolutions	136
5.3.2 Mathematical Modelling of Proposed Inspection Path	138
5.3.3 Three-Dimensional (3D) Animation of Proposed Inspection Path	141
5.4 Robust Tracking Controller	144
5.4.1 Performance Analysis via Simulations	144
5.4.1(a) Simulation 1: Large Initial Position Error	144
5.4.1(b) Simulation 2: Large Initial Velocity Error	146
5.4.1(c) Simulation 3: Station Keeping in Heave Direction	150
5.4.1(d) Simulation 4: Trajectory Tracking in Horizontal Plane	153
5.4.1(e) Simulation 5: Robustness Against Waves	156

	Page
5.4.2 Performance Analysis via Pool Tests	157
5.4.2(a) Test 1: Station Keeping	158
5.4.2(b) Test 2: Trajectory Tracking	159
5.5 Summary	162

CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

6.1 Conclusion	164
6.2 Future Works	166

REFERENCES	169
-------------------	-----

APPENDICES

Appendix A: SimulinkTM's Subsystems

Appendix B: MATLABTM Code

Appendix C: Fuzzy Inference System Editor

Appendix D: Experiment Results of Proposed Robust Tracking Controller

Appendix E: Video

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Advantages and disadvantages of UUV platforms	15
Table 2.2	Performance specifications of inspection path	19
Table 2.3	Summary of CPP techniques	20
Table 2.4	Advantages and disadvantages of various control methods	33
Table 3.1	The SNAME notation	41
Table 3.2	Examples of currents and waves models	45
Table 3.3	Parameters of jacket leg	47
Table 4.1	Design specifications of AUV prototype	53
Table 4.2	Trajectory length and time taken for single cell motions	81
Table 4.3	Performance indexes of designed inspection path	82
Table 4.4	Performance specifications of robust tracking controller	86
Table 4.5	Differences between $hFOV$ and w_c for 4:3 camera resolution	86
Table 4.6	Allowable maximum thrust of developed AUV	87
Table 4.7	Membership functions of input and output of fuzzy logic controller	98
Table 4.8	Parameters of robust filters used in simulations	98
Table 5.1	Weight distribution of the AUV prototype	114
Table 5.2	Parameters of developed AUV prototype	120
Table 5.3	Value of α and β parameters in thruster model	122
Table 5.4	Value of η_{th} parameter in thruster model	123

		Page
Table 5.5	Performance indexes of the five designed inspection paths in inspecting Jacket A, Jacket B, and Jacket C	135
Table 5.6	Performance indexes of the five designed inspection paths in inspecting Jacket C with 1:1, 4:3, and 16:9 camera resolutions	137
Table 5.7	Results of simulation 1	145
Table 5.8	Results of simulation 2	147
Table 5.9	Results of simulation 3	150
Table 5.10	Results of simulation 4	153
Table 5.11	Results of simulation 5: steady state error	157
Table 5.12	Results of Test 1	159
Table 5.13	Results of Test 2	161

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	A diver is removing the marine growth using a high-pressure jet (Diving, 2017)	1
Figure 1.2	Underwater pole inspection techniques (a) human diver (Flower, 2010) (b) pole climbing robot (DeVault <i>et al.</i> , 1999) (c) ROV (Rovco, 2017)	2
Figure 1.3	Problems considered in this research for the underwater pole inspection system using an AUV	3
Figure 1.4	Research scopes	7
Figure 2.1	Overview of the research scopes	10
Figure 2.2	UUV prototypes (a) ITB-SGAUV (Sagala and Bambang, 2011) (b) STARFISH (Hong <i>et al.</i> , 2010) (c) ODYSSEY IV class (Desset <i>et al.</i> , 2005) (d) SQX-1 (Shea <i>et al.</i> , 2009) (e) Girona 500 (Ribas <i>et al.</i> , 2011) (f) KOS ROV (Gomes <i>et al.</i> , 2005) (g) KAXAN (García-Valdovinos <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	12
Figure 3.1	Research flow chart	37
Figure 3.2	Defined earth-fixed frame and body-fixed frame	40
Figure 3.3	Elevation of Jacket A (Drawe, 1985)	46
Figure 3.4	Elevation of Jacket B (El-Reedy, 2014)	46
Figure 3.5	Elevation of Jacket C (Weidler and Karsan, 1985)	46
Figure 4.1	Designed body frame of AUV prototype (a) top view (b) isometric view (c) front view (d) left view	55
Figure 4.2	Designed propulsion system of AUV prototype (a) top view (b) isometric view (c) front view (d) left view	56

		Page
Figure 4.3	Layout of components attached to AUV prototype (a) top view (b) isometric view (c) front view (d) left view	58
Figure 4.4	Designed sealing system of AUV prototype	59
Figure 4.5	Buoyancy System	60
Figure 4.6	Embedded system design	61
Figure 4.7	Discretisation of first order low pass filter	62
Figure 4.8	Designed AUV Prototype (a) top view (b) isometric view (c) front view (d) left view	69
Figure 4.9	Weight measurement of AUV prototype	70
Figure 4.10	Buoyancy force measurement of AUV prototype	70
Figure 4.11	Experiment to identify the value of α and β parameters in thruster model	72
Figure 4.12	Experiment to identify the value of η_{th} coefficient for front thruster in thruster model	72
Figure 4.13	Model surface used in Simulink TM for open loop control	73
Figure 4.14	Modelled planar map of target environment	76
Figure 4.15	Size of a grid cell	76
Figure 4.16	Pattern of Path A	77
Figure 4.17	Pattern of Path B	77
Figure 4.18	Pattern of Path C	77
Figure 4.19	Pattern of Path D	78
Figure 4.20	Pattern of Path E	78
Figure 4.21	Pattern of the horizontal straight line motion across a single grid cell	79

		Page
Figure 4.22	Pattern of the vertical straight line motion across a single grid cell	79
Figure 4.23	Pattern of the 90° sharp turning across a single grid cell	80
Figure 4.24	Pattern of the inclined straight line motion across a single grid cell	80
Figure 4.25	Pattern of the circular smooth turning across a single grid cell	80
Figure 4.26	Model surface used in Simulink™ for 3D animation of inspection path	84
Figure 4.27	Virtual underwater world built by V-Realm Builder™ 2.0	84
Figure 4.28	Block diagram of proposed control system	88
Figure 4.29	Step response of three designed low pass filters	99
Figure 4.30	Model surface used in Simulink™ for proposed controller	100
Figure 4.31	Model surface used in Simulink™ for MFHOSMC	101
Figure 4.32	Model surface used in Simulink™ for TDC	101
Figure 4.33	Photo of the developed AUV prototype, tether of USB cable, and laptop used in pool tests	107
Figure 5.1	Photo of the developed AUV prototype (a) top view (b) isometric view (c) front view (d) left view	112
Figure 5.2	Photo of the developed electronic system	112
Figure 5.3	“Mass Properties” function block in SolidWorks™	113
Figure 5.4	“Mass Properties” function block in SolidWorks™ for AUV prototype with density of 1000 kgm ⁻³	115

		Page
Figure 5.5	Location of COB and COG of designed AUV (a) front view (b) left view	116
Figure 5.6	Real time response of roll angle	118
Figure 5.7	Orientation of AUV after displaced in roll direction (a) time = 0s (b) time = 1s (c) time = 2s (d) time = 3 s (e) time = 4s (f) time = 5s (Video is available in the attached disk in Appendix E)	118
Figure 5.8	Real time response of pitch angle	119
Figure 5.9	Orientation of AUV after displaced in pitch direction (a) time = 0s (b) time = 1s (c) time = 2s (d) time = 3 s (e) time = 4s (f) time = 5s (Video is available in the attached disk in Appendix E)	119
Figure 5.10	Real time response of positive thrust provided by thruster	122
Figure 5.11	Real time response of negative thrust provided by thruster	122
Figure 5.12	Relationship between input signal and output force generated by thruster without considering thruster-hull interaction	123
Figure 5.13	Simulated acceleration of AUV in surge direction	125
Figure 5.14	Simulated speed response of AUV in surge direction	125
Figure 5.15	Measured acceleration of AUV in surge direction	125
Figure 5.16	Filtered acceleration of AUV in surge direction	126
Figure 5.17	Estimated speed response of AUV in surge direction	126
Figure 5.18	Simulated acceleration of AUV in sway direction	127
Figure 5.19	Simulated speed response of AUV in sway direction	127
Figure 5.20	Measured acceleration of AUV in sway direction	128

		Page
Figure 5.21	Filtered acceleration of AUV in sway direction	128
Figure 5.22	Estimated speed response of AUV in sway direction	128
Figure 5.23	Simulated acceleration of AUV in heave direction	129
Figure 5.24	Simulated speed response of AUV in heave direction	130
Figure 5.25	Measured acceleration of AUV in heave direction	130
Figure 5.26	Filtered acceleration of AUV in heave direction	130
Figure 5.27	Estimated speed response of AUV in heave direction	131
Figure 5.28	Simulated heading of AUV in yaw direction	132
Figure 5.29	Simulated angular speed response of AUV in yaw direction	132
Figure 5.30	Measured heading of AUV in yaw direction	132
Figure 5.31	Filtered heading of AUV in yaw direction	133
Figure 5.32	Estimated angular speed response of AUV in yaw direction	133
Figure 5.33	Ratio of inspection time of the five designed inspection paths in inspecting Jacket A, Jacket B, and Jacket C	135
Figure 5.34	Ratio of inspection time of the five designed inspection paths in inspecting Jacket C with 1:1, 4:3, and 16:9 camera resolutions	137
Figure 5.35	3D trajectory of the proposed inspection path	142
Figure 5.36	Yaw angle of the AUV in proposed inspection path	142
Figure 5.37	Top view of 3D animation of inspection path (a) time = 0 s (b) time = 196 s (c) time = 395 s (d) time = 592 s (e) time = 790 s (Video is available in the attached disk in Appendix E)	143

	Page
Figure 5.38	Side view of 3D animation of inspection path (a) time = 386 s (b) time = 389 s (c) time = 392 s (d) time = 395 s (e) time = 398 s (f) time = 401 s (Video is available in the attached disk in Appendix E)
Figure 5.39	Result of simulation 1: depth response
Figure 5.40	Result of simulation 1: tracking error
Figure 5.41	Result of simulation 1: force profile
Figure 5.42	Result of simulation 2: velocity response
Figure 5.43	Result of simulation 2: tracking error
Figure 5.44	Result of simulation 2: force profile
Figure 5.45	Result of simulation 3: depth response
Figure 5.46	Result of simulation 3: tracking error
Figure 5.47	Result of simulation 3: force profile
Figure 5.48	Result of simulation 4: Position of AUV in horizontal plane
Figure 5.49	Result of simulation 4: RMS of tracking error
Figure 5.50	Result of simulation 4: force profile in surge direction
Figure 5.51	Result of simulation 4: force profile in sway direction
Figure 5.52	Result of simulation 4: torque profile in yaw direction
Figure 5.53	Result of simulation 5: tracking error
Figure 5.54	Result of Test 1: tracking error
Figure 5.55	Result of Test 2: tracking error

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
AUV	Autonomous Underwater Vehicle
CPP	Coverage Path Planning
COB	Centre of Buoyancy
COG	Centre of Gravity
DOF	Degree of Freedom
ESC	Electronic Speed Controller
IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit
INS	Inertial Navigation System
LQR	Linear Quadratic Regulator
MFHOSMC	Model Free High Order Sliding Mode Control
PID	Proportional-Integral-Derivative
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
PWM	Pulse Width Modulator
RMS	Root Mean Square
ROV	Remotely Operate Vehicle
SMC	Sliding Mode Control

SNAME	Society of Naval Architects and Marine Engineers
TDC	Time Delay Control
USB	Universal Serial Bus
UUV	Unmanned Underwater Vehicle

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	Filtered acceleration data
A_x	Frontal area of AUV in surge direction
A_y	Frontal area of AUV in sway direction
A_z	Frontal area of AUV in heave direction
B	Buoyancy force acting on AUV
C	Coriolis-centripetal matrix
C_d	Ratio of drag for real object to drag for ideal object
d_f	Filtered IMU data
d_p	Diameter of target pole
d_r	Raw IMU data
D_L	Linear hydrodynamic damping matrix
D_{Lu}	Linear hydrodynamic damping in surge direction
D_{Lv}	Linear hydrodynamic damping in sway direction
D_{Lw}	Linear hydrodynamic damping in heave direction
D_{Lr}	Linear hydrodynamic damping in yaw direction
D_Q	Quadratic hydrodynamic damping matrix
D_{Qu}	Quadratic hydrodynamic damping in surge direction
D_{Qv}	Quadratic hydrodynamic damping in sway direction
D_{Qw}	Quadratic hydrodynamic damping in heave direction
D_{Qr}	Quadratic hydrodynamic damping in yaw direction
e	Vector of tracking error
f_s	Parameter of robust filter
f_l	Parameter of robust filter
F	Earth-fixed forces and moment matrix
F_{LP}	Low pass filter matrix
$F_{LP,x}$	Low pass filter in North direction

$F_{LP,y}$	Low pass filter in East direction
$F_{LP,z}$	Low pass filter in Heave direction
$F_{LP,\psi}$	Low pass filter in yaw direction
g	Vector of gravitational and buoyancy forces
h_c	Height of grid cell
h_p	Vertical height of target pole
h_{FOV}	Vertical field of view of camera
h_M	Metacentric height
i	Direction
I_d	Moment of inertia of the displaced water by AUV
I_z	Moment of inertia of AUV in yaw direction
J	Jacobian transformation matrix
K_D	Derivative gain matrix
K_{Dx}	Derivative gain in North direction
K_{Dy}	Derivative gain in East direction
K_{Dz}	Derivative gain in downward direction
$K_{D\psi}$	Derivative gain in yaw direction
K_P	Proportional gain matrix
K_{Px}	Proportional gain in North direction
K_{Py}	Proportional gain in East direction
K_{Pz}	Proportional gain in downward direction
$K_{P\psi}$	Proportional gain in yaw direction
l	Perpendicular distance between AUV and surface pole
l_h	Trajectory length of horizontal straight line motion across single grid cell
l_v	Trajectory length of vertical straight line motion across single grid cell
l_i	Trajectory length of inclined straight line motion across single grid cell
l_{sh}	Trajectory length of 90° sharp turning across single grid cell

l_{sm}	Trajectory length of circular smooth turning across single grid cell
l_x	Length of AUV in surge direction
l_y	Length of AUV in sway direction
l_z	Length of AUV in heave direction
m	Mass of AUV
M_A	Added mass system inertia matrix
M_{Au}	Added mass of AUV in surge direction
M_{Av}	Added mass of AUV in sway direction
M_{Aw}	Added mass of AUV in heave direction
M_{Ar}	Added moment of inertia of AUV in yaw direction
M_{RB}	Rigid-body system inertia matrix
n	n^{th} sample of data
n_c	Number of column of grid cells
n_r	Number of row of grid cells
N	Torque acting on AUV in yaw direction
q	Vector of equivalent disturbance
Q	Quality factor of robust filter
r	Radius of target environment
t_h	Inspection time of horizontal straight line motion across single grid cell
t_v	Inspection time of vertical straight line motion across single grid cell
t_i	Inspection time of inclined straight line motion across single grid cell
t_{sh}	Inspection time of 90° sharp turning across single grid cell
t_{sm}	Inspection time of circular smooth turning
T	Sampling time
u	Input signal given to thruster
u_N	Vector of nominal control signal
u_R	Vector of robust compensating signal
V	Total volume of AUV

V_d	Volume of water displaced by AUV
w	Body-fixed environmental disturbances
w_c	Width of grid cell
w_{FOV}	Horizontal field of view of camera
W	Earth-fixed environmental disturbances
W_a	Weight of AUV
x	Position of AUV in North direction
x_d	Desired position of AUV in North direction
X	Force acting on AUV in surge direction
y	Position of AUV in East direction
y_d	Desired position of AUV in East direction
Y	Force acting on AUV in sway direction
z	Position of AUV in downward direction
z_d	Desired position of AUV in downward direction
Z	Force acting on AUV in heave direction
α	Rate of change of output force with respect to input signal
α_1	Root matrix of characteristic equation
α_2	Root matrix of characteristic equation
β	The value of input signal when the output force is 0 N
γ	Output of fuzzy logic controller
η	Earth-fixed positions and orientation matrix
η_d	Desired Earth-fixed positions and orientation matrix
η_{th}	Efficiency coefficient of thruster-hull interaction
θ	Angle of AUV in pitch direction
ρ	Density of fresh water
τ	Body-fixed forces and moment matrix
τ_B	Force generated by back thruster
τ_C	Force generated by centre thruster
τ_F	Force generated by front thruster

τ_L	Force generated by left thruster
τ_R	Force generated by right thruster
ν	Body-fixed linear and angular velocities matrix
ϕ	Angle of AUV in roll direction
ψ	Angle of AUV in yaw direction
ψ_d	Desired angle of AUV in yaw direction
ω_n	Undamped natural frequency

KAEDAH PENGAWAL TEGAP UNTUK KENDERAAN BAWAH AIR BERAUTONOMI BAGI PEMERIKSAAN TIANG BAWAH AIR

ABSTRAK

Pelantar luar pantai untuk minyak dan gas menghadapi masalah pertumbuhan organisma marin yang tidak diingini. Pemeriksaan berkala pada tiang pelantar yang terendam dalam air diperlukan. Kajian ini menyelidik kemungkinan untuk melibatkan Kenderaan Bawah Air Berautonomi (AUV) bagi aplikasi pemeriksaan tiang bawah air. Laluan pemeriksaan diperlukan untuk meningkatkan kecekapan AUV di dalam misi pemeriksaan. Sebaliknya, teknik pengawal tegap diperlukan untuk menyekat kesan ketidaktentuan dalam parameter hidrodinamik dan gangguan luaran pada sistem AUV. Sebagai jalan penyelesaian, kajian ini mencadangkan satu laluan pemeriksaan yang mempunyai masa pemeriksaan optimum untuk pemeriksaan tiang yang tegak dengan menggunakan Perancangan Laluan Liputan (CPP) berasaskan grid. Sebuah peta satah telah dimodelkan untuk mewakili ruang 3D dalam aplikasi pemeriksaan tiang. Lima corak laluan pemeriksaan telah direka dan dibandingkan untuk memilih laluan pemeriksaan yang terbaik. Selain itu, pengawal tegap yang menggabungkan teknik kawalan penapis dan teknik kawalan logik kabur telah dicadangkan. Teknik kawalan penapis digunakan untuk mengimbangi kesan jisim bertambah, kesan redaman hidrodinamik, ketaklelurusan model, kesan gandingan, dan gangguan luaran pada sistem AUV, manakala teknik kawalan logik kabur digunakan untuk memperbaiki daya kawalan. Selain itu, sebuah AUV berbentuk kotak yang sesuai dengan aplikasi pemeriksaan tiang telah dibangunkan untuk mengesahkan prestasi pengawal yang dicadangkan. Laluan pemeriksaan yang dicadangkan direka berdasarkan gerakan Boustrophedon dengan pusingan lancar dan