

**POLY METHYL METHACRYLATE DENTURE  
BASE FILLED WITH NITRILE BUTADIENE  
RUBBER AND CERAMIC PARTICLES**

**AHMED OMRAN SALEM ALHAREB**

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**2017**

**Peningkatan Sifat-sifat Tapak Gigi Palsu PMMA melalui Gabungan Partikel-partikel NBR dan Keramik sebagai Bahan Pengisi**

**Oleh**

**AHMED OMRAN SALEM ALHAREB**

**Tesis yang diserahkan untuk memenuhi keperluan ijazah  
Doktor Falsafah**

**September 2017**

**POLY METHYL METHACRYLATE DENTURE BASE FILLED WITH  
NITRILE BUTADIENE RUBBER AND CERAMIC PARTICLES**

**by**

**AHMED OMRAN SALEM ALHAREB**

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the  
requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**September 2017**

## *Dedication*

*To the spirit of my father dear, my beloved  
mother and my family*



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

### **In the name of Allah, The Most Beneficent, The Most Merciful**

First of all, all praises and gratitude to Allah Almighty, the beneficent, the merciful, without whose will and help it was impossible for me to accomplish this research work.

At the onset I would like express my sincere and heartfelt gratitude to Professor Dr. Hj. Zainal Arifin Ahmad for his supervision, understanding, support and generosity in sharing his expertise. I would also like to express my warm and sincere thanks to my co-supervisor Professor Dr. Hazizan Bin Md Akil for his important support throughout his research.

My special thanks to Dean of School of Materials And Mineral Resource Engineering, USM, for her kind assistance and support in providing all the facilities and in conduct of experiments in my research area.

I am also thankful to all the colleagues who have made my life easier during the hard times of this work, to the school of materials and mineral resource engineering, and lab technicians for their tireless cooperation.

I want to express my love and gratitude to for my mother, God have mercy and forgive her and also my dear father who made it possible for me to overcome all the obstacles throughout this research work and their continuous support and constant encouragement that filled me with enthusiasm. My heartfelt thanks to my lovely wife “Sana Alrawimi” her enduring support and great patience during my study. Also, my deep love for my two kids; Janat & Aisha and Alharith for personal support for their presence with me.

*May Allah bless all of you, thank you,*

**AHMED OMRAN ALHAREB**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	ii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	iii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxiii
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xxvi
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	xxviii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	xxx

### **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

1.1	Research background	1
1.2	Criteria success of ideal denture base material	4
1.3	Problem statement	7
1.4	Research Objectives	10
1.5	Structure of the thesis	11

### **CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1	Introduction of dental materials	13
2.2	Definition of dental prosthetics (Dentures)	15
2.3	Classifications of removable denture bases	19
2.4	Historical of Prosthodontics (Denture Base) Materials	21
2.5	Materials in dental applications	26
2.5.1	Metals as denture materials	26
2.5.2	Ceramics as denture materials	30

2.5.3	Polymers as denture materials	33
2.5.4	Composites as denture materials	36
2.6	Denture base polymer composites	40
2.6.1	Denture base matrix	41
2.6.2	Reinforcement fillers in denture-based composite	43
2.6.2 (a)	Alumina	44
2.6.2 (b)	Yttrium stabilized zirconia	46
2.6.2 (c)	NBR	48
2.6.3	Coupling agent	53
2.7	Mixing and curing of denture base materials	54
2.7.1	Physical stages of mixing and curing	56
2.8	Polymerization Method	57
2.8.1	Classification of polymerization methods	60
2.9	Mechanical properties of denture base materials	62
2.9.1	Impact strength	62
2.9.2	Fracture toughness	64
2.9.3	Environmental properties	67
2.9.4	Radiopacity property	69
2.9.5	Surfaces roughness	72
2.9.6	Effect of residual MMA monomer content	76
2.10	Previous studies on the PMMA denture base materials	80

### **CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODOGY**

3.1	Introduction	84
3.2	Materials	84
3.2.1	Matrix	84
3.2.2	Reinforcement fillers	86
3.2.2 (a)	Alumina	86
3.2.2 (b)	Yttrium stabilizer zirconia	86
3.2.2 (c)	Nitrile butadiene rubber	86

3.2.3	Coupling Agent	87
3.2.4	Artificial saliva compositions	88
3.3	Characterization of fillers particles (raw materials)	89
3.3.1	Particles size and distribution of fillers	89
3.3.2	Morphology of filler particles	89
3.3.3	Elements analysis of fillers particles by XRF	90
3.3.4	Identification of fillers particles by XRD	90
3.4	Surface treatment Process of fillers powder	91
3.4.1	Silane Treatment of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and YSZ filler particles	91
3.4.2	FTIR	92
3.5	Preparation of artificial saliva	93
3.6	Preparation of the PMMA Denture Base Composite	96
3.6.1	First stage: Effects of NBR and ceramic fillers particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composites	96
3.6.1 (a)	Mixing process	101
3.6.1 (b)	Packing	102
3.6.1 (c)	Pressing	103
3.6.1 (d)	Curing process	103
3.6.1 (e)	Cooling	103
3.6.1 (f)	Finishing and polishing	105
3.6.1 (g)	Testing	105
	3.6.1 (g) (i) Density analysis	105
	3.6.1 (g) (ii) Impact strength	106
	3.6.1 (g) (iii) Fracture toughness	108
	3.6.1 (g) (iv) Morphology of microstructure observation by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM)	110
3.6.2	Second stage: Effect of different ratios of ceramic fillers together with particles on the properties of PMMA denture	110

base composites	
3.6.3 Third stage: Effect of filler loading of ceramic fillers together with NBR particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composite	113
3.6.3 (a) Vickers hardness test (VHN)	115
3.6.3 (b) Thermal analysis	117
3.6.3 (b) (i) Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)	117
3.6.3 (b) (ii) Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)	117
3.6.3 (c) Environmental Characterizations	118
3.6.3 (c) (i) Absorption of artificial saliva (AS)	118
3.6.3 (c) (ii) Absorption of water	120
3.6.3 (c) (iii) Effect of AS exposure on the fracture toughness and microstructure morphology by FESEM	120
3.6.3 (d) Radiopacity property test	121
3.6.4 Fourth stage: Comparison between the optimum PMMA denture base composite with commercial PMMA	123
3.6.4 (a) Surface roughness test ( $R_a$ )	124
3.6.4 (a) (i) Surface roughness ( $R_a$ ) measurements	127
3.6.4 (b) High-performance liquid chromatography test (HLPC)	129
3.7 Statistical Analysis of Data	134
 <b>CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISSCUSION</b>	
4.1 Characterization of raw materials	136

4.1.1	Mineralogical identification of fillers particles	136
4.1.2	Quantitative analysis of fillers	138
4.1.3	Particles size of fillers and distribution analysis	139
4.1.4	Field emission scanning electron microscopy and chemical microanalysis of fillers particles	142
4.2	FTIR analysis of fillers particles	147
4.2.1	NBR particles	147
4.2.2	Confirmation of the effect of silane coupling agent ( $\gamma$ -MPS) treatment of ceramic Filler	148
4.3	First stage: Effects of NBR and ceramic fillers particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composites	158
4.3.1	Density analysis of PMMA composites	158
4.3.2	Impact strength	160
4.3.3	Fracture toughness	166
4.3.4	Morphology of fracture surfaces	174
4.4	Second stage: Effect of different ratios of ceramic fillers together with NBR particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composites	188
4.4.1	Impact strength	188
4.4.2	Fracture toughness	192
4.4.3	Morphology of fracture surface	196
4.5	Third stage: Effect of filler loading of ceramic fillers together with NBR particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composites	201
4.5.1	Impact strength	201
4.5.2	Fracture toughness	205
4.5.3	Morphology of fracture surface	209
4.5.4	Vickers Hardness	216
4.5.5	Thermal properties	218
4.5.5 (a)	Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA)	219
4.5.5 (b)	Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)	222
4.5.6	Environmental properties	226
4.5.6 (a)	Water absorption	227

4.5.6 (b)	Artificial saliva (AS) absorption	231
4.5.6 (c)	Artificial saliva (AS) solubility	234
4.5.6 (d)	Effect of AS exposure on the fracture toughness	237
4.5.6 (e)	Morphology of fracture surface by FESEM	240
4.5.7	Radiopacity property	246
4.6	Fourth stage: Comparison between the optimum PMMA denture base composite with commercial PMMA	250
4.6.1	Comparison of surface roughness	251
4.6.2	Comparison of roughness surfaces morphology	255
4.6.3	Leaching of residual MMA monomer	261

## **CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

5.1	Conclusions	266
5.2	Recommendations for future work	268

<b>REFERENCES</b>	270
-------------------	-----

## **LIST OF PUBLICATIONS**

## LIST OF TABLES

		<b>Page</b>
Table 2.1	Some of properties for conventional PMMA compared to SBR rubber reinforced PMMA	35
Table 2.2	Classification of Denture Base Polymers According to ISO 1567:2005	38
Table 2.3	Composition of acrylic denture base materials type 1 and type 2 (McCabe and Walls, 2013)	39
Table 2.4	Requirements of denture base polymers are set out in 1567:2005	39
Table 2.5	Filler particles size classifications according to Deb (1995), Bhat and Nandish (2006) and Noort (2013)	44
Table 2.6	Radiopaque denture base materials (Abboud et al., 2000; McCabe and Walls, 2002; Elshereksi et al., 2009; Okuda et al., 2010)	72
Table 2.7	Previous researches for the improvement of PMMA denture base properties using various additives as reinforcement	81
Table 3.1	The materials and ingredients of the artificial saliva (AS - grams per liter) as according to Macknight-Hane and Whitford (1992)	94
Table 3.2	The powder mixture components ratios (wt.%) between nitrile rubber (NBR) and ceramic (fixed at 5 wt.%) particles as fillers in PMMA denture base	98

Table 3.3	Sample compositions for stage 2 containing different ratios of treated ceramic fillers together with fixed amount of NBR particles (7.5 wt.%) in PMMA matrix	111
Table 3.4	Sample compositions for stage 3 containing filler loading of treated ceramic fillers together with fixed amount of NBR particles (7.5 wt.%) in PMMA matrix	113
Table 3.5	Different polishing systems applied to PMMA denture base materials according to Kuhar and Funduk (2005), Kim et al. (2009), Gungor et al. (2014) and Govindswamy et al. (2014)	127
Table 4.1	The quantitative analysis (wt.%) of the chemical composition of filler elements	138
Table 4.2	Shows the particles Size and Surface Area of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , YSZ, NBR, PMMA Matrix and Conventional denture base material	156
Table 4.3	Various functional groups present in the ceramic fillers (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and YSZ) treated by silane as the coupling agent	152
Table 4.4	The effect of different ratios of NBR particles together with ceramic fillers on the density ( $\rho_c$ ) compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA	159
Table 4.5	Glass transition temperature (T <sub>g</sub> ), degradation temperature and residual filler of PMMA matrix compared to reinforced PMMA denture base composite	221
Table 4.6	Shows the WA values of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and conventional denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C	228

Table 4.7	Shows the AS absorption values of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and conventional denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C	232
Table 4.8	AS solubility values of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ together with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and conventional denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C	236

## LIST OF FIGURES

		<b>Page</b>
Figure 2.1	The types of the denture base; complete denture; (a) upper, (b) lower; partial denture; (c) upper, (d) lower and (e) transmission complete denture (Vanable and LoPresti, 2004; Singh et al., 2011; Rama et al., 2015)	18
Figure 2.2	The 4 Kennedy's classification for the partially edentulous arches of dentures (Silva, 2008; Johnson et al., 2011)	21
Figure 2.3	The parts of acrylic –metal partial denture base (McCabe & Walls, 2013; Noort, 2013)	29
Figure 2.4	Monomer molecular converts to polymer molecular occurrence during the polymerization reaction (Powers & Sakaguchi, 2012)	58
Figure 2.5:	The preparation of denture base (a) denture being finishing and (b) denture being polishing (Ulusoy et al., 1986; Ural et al., 2011; Prawez et al., 2015)	74
Figure 3.1	Chemical structure of: (a) PMMA, (b) MMA, (c) PBO and (d) EGDMA	85
Figure 3.2	Chemical structure of $\gamma$ -MPS	88
Figure 3.3	The treatment process of filler powder silane coupling agent	92
Figure 3.4	Preparation steps of artificial Saliva (AS) solution	95
Figure 3.5	Flow chart of preparation of PMMA composite reinforced by	99

	NBR particles	
Figure 3.6	Flow chart of preparation of PMMA composite reinforced by a mixing of NBR and ceramic fillers	100
Figure 3.7	Moulds used in fabrication of samples; (a) impact, (b) fracture toughness, (c) flexural, and (d) water/artificial saliva absorption and HLPC samples	102
Figure 3.8	Laboratory activities of daily routine to preparation of samples to composite PMMA filled with NBR particle with together Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and YSZ powder. (a) mixing; (b) packing; (c) Compressing Test; (d) water bath; (e) heat curing; (f) cooling; (g) sample production and polishing	104
Figure 3.9	Schematic diagram of the Charpy impact test	107
Figure 2.10	Edgewise impact with single-notched specimen. Schematic illustration of specimen used for Charpy impact test	107
Figure 3.11	Diagrammatic representation of SEN-B sample, [a] tapping by a razor blade in the notch of a sample and [b] representing initiation of pre-crack	109
Figure 3.12	Flow chart of sample preparation for the PMMA composite reinforced with different ratios of treated ceramic fillers together with 7.5 wt.% of NBR	112
Figure 3.13	Flow chart of preparation of PMMA composite reinforced with ceramic fillers as filler loading	114
Figure 3.14	Vickers Indenter	116

Figure 3.15	Sample tested by X-Ray Radiography for radio-opacity phenomena	122
Figure 3.16	The calibration curve for the optical density of the aluminium step-wedge (ISO4049-2009)	123
Figure 3.17	Show the finishing stage by removing the excess of resin material at the denture border or between the teeth using tungsten carbide bur	126
Figure 3.18	Lathe polishing by slurry of pumice, water with bristle brush and soft wheels lathe	128
Figure 3.19	Automatic polishing machine with using 600 grit silicon carbide polished by pumice	129
Figure 3.20	High-performance liquid chromatography test	130
Figure 3.21	Flowchart shows the preparation of samples to HPLC analysis	132
Figure 3.22	Flowchart shows preparation of calibration graph of the procedures of HPLC analysis	133
Figure 4.1	X-ray diffraction peaks results for $Al_2O_3$ particles (ICDD reference 00-010-0173)	137
Figure 4.2	X-ray diffraction peaks results for YSZ particles (ICDD reference file for tetragonal (red lines) and monoclinic (blue lines) are 89-9068 and 78-1807 respectively)	137
Figure 4.3	Graph of $Al_2O_3$ particles size and its distribution analysis	140

Figure 4.4	Graph of YSZ particles size and its distribution analysis	140
Figure 4.5	Graph of NBR particles size and its distribution analysis	141
Figure 4.6	Graph of commercial PMMA particles size and its distribution analysis	141
Figure 4.7	Graph of PMMA matrix particles size and its distribution analysis	142
Figure 4.8	FESEM images expose the fillers particles size; (a) alumina particles, (b) YSZ particles and (c) NBR particles	144
Figure 4.9	FESEM morphology and EDX analysis; (a) for Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> particles, (b) for YSZ and (c) for NBR particles	146
Figure 4.10	FTIR spectra shown of nitrile butadiene rubber (NBR) particles analyzed	148
Figure 4.11	Confirmation of silane treatment by FESEM and EDX of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> particles; (a) before silane treatment, (b) after silane treatment	149
Figure 4.12	Confirmation of silane treatment by FESEM and EDX of YSZ particles; (a) before silane treatment, (b) after silane treatment	150
Figure 4.13	FTIR spectra of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> particles for both (a) untreated and (b) treated by silane treatment	151
Figure 4.14	FTIR spectra of YSZ particles for both; (a) untreated and (b) treated by silane treatment	153

Figure 4.15	Schematic diagram representing the possible interaction mechanism reaction of silane coupling agent interlinked with inorganic ceramic surface treatment and PMMA matrix (Tham et al., 2010)	157
Figure 4.16	Effect of NBR particles content on the impact strength of PMMA composite compared to PMMA matrix	161
Figure 4.17	Comparison of Effect of $\gamma$ -MPS silane on the impact strength of 5 wt.% $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ together with different concentrations ratios of NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to unreinforced PMMA Matrix	163
Figure 4.18	Comparison of Effect of $\gamma$ -MPS silane on the impact strength of 5 wt.% YSZ together with different concentrations ratios of NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to unreinforced PMMA Matrix	165
Figure 4.19	Effect of NBR particles content on the fracture toughness of PMMA composite compared to PMMA matrix	167
Figure 4.20	Comparison of Effect of $\gamma$ -MPS on the fracture toughness of 5 wt.% $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ together with different concentrations ratios of NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to unreinforced PMMA Matrix	171
Figure 4.21	Comparison of effect of $\gamma$ -MPS on the fracture toughness of 5 wt.% YSZ together with different concentrations ratios of NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to unreinforced PMMA Matrix	174
Figure 4.22	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of PMMA matrix	176

Figure 4.23	FESEM micrograph of the fracture surface morphology of (a) 5 % NBR, (b) 7.5 % NBR and (c) 10% NBR	177
Figure 4.24	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> together with 5% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> together with 5% NBR filled PMMA matrix	180
Figure 4.25	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> together with 7.5% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> together with 7.5% NBR filled PMMA matrix	181
Figure 4.26	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> together with 10% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> together with 10% NBR filled PMMA matrix	182
Figure 4.27	Figure 4.27: FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 5% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 5% NBR filled PMMA matrix	185
Figure 4.28	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 7.5% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 7.5% NBR filled PMMA matrix	186
Figure 4.29	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 10% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 10% NBR filled PMMA matrix	187
Figure 4.30	Effect of NBR particles together with different ratios of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and YSZ particles on the IS of PMMA composites compared to PMMA matrix	190

Figure 4.31:	Effect of NBR particles together with different ratios of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and YSZ particles on the K <sub>IC</sub> of PMMA composites compared to PMMA matrix	193
Figure 4. 32	Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix	197
Figure 4.33	Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 4% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /1% YSZ	189
Figure 4.34	Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 3% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /2% YSZ	189
Figure 4.35	Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 2.5% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /2.5% YSZ	199
Figure 4.36	Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 2% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /3% YSZ	200
Figure 4.37	Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 1% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /4% YSZ	201
Figure 4.38	Effect of filler loading of ceramic filler reinforced PMMA matrix on IS compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA	202
Figure 4.39	Effect of filler loading of ceramic filler reinforced PMMA matrix on K <sub>IC</sub> compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA	207
Figure 4.40	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of commercial denture base material	210
Figure 4.41	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of PMMA matrix	210

Figure 4.42	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 1 wt.% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix	212
Figure 4.43	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 3 wt.% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix	212
Figure 4.44	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 5 wt.% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix	213
Figure 4.45	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 7 wt.% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix	214
Figure 4.46	FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 10 wt.% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix	215
Figure 4.47	Effect of PMMA composite reinforced Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ with together NBR particles as fillers compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA on the VH values	217
Figure 4.48	TGA curves illustrating the thermal degradation temperature of the PMMA composites compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA	220
Figure 4.49	Shows the DSC curves unreinforced PMMA denture base material by heat curing; (a) commercial PMMA and (b) PMMA matrix; represent, 1 <sup>st</sup> run (blue color line) and 2 <sup>nd</sup> run	223

(red color line)

Figure 4.50	Shows the DSC thermograms for reinforced PMMA denture base material; (a) 1% ceramic filler, (b) 3% ceramic filler, (c) 5% ceramic filler, (d) 7% ceramic filler and (e) 10% ceramic filler; represent, 1 <sup>st</sup> run (blue color line) and 2 <sup>nd</sup> run (red color line)	225
Figure 4.51	WA curves of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and commercial denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C	227
Figure 4.52	AS absorption curves of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and commercial denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C	232
Figure 4.53	AS solubility graph of Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and commercial denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C	235
Figure 4.54	Effect of AS exposure on fracture toughness of PMMA matrix filled Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /YSZ with NBR particles composite filler compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA after immersion with different duration in AS at 37°C	240
Figure 4.55	FESEM micrograph of K <sub>IC</sub> fracture surface of commercial denture base material after 28 days immersion in AS at 37 °C	241
Figure 4.56	FESEM micrograph of K <sub>IC</sub> fracture surface of unreinforced PMMA matrix after 28 days immersion in AS at 37 °C	242