

**TREATMENT OF HARVESTED RAINWATER
FROM DIFFERENT LOCATION OF
CATCHMENT AREA BY USING ZEOLITE,
LIMESTONE AND LATERITE SOIL**

**KHAIRUNNISA FAKHRIAH BINTI MOHD
OMAR**

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2017

**Treatment of Harvested Rainwater from Different Location of
Catchment Area by Using Zeolite, Limestone, and Laterite Soil**

by

KHAIRUNNISA FAKHRIAH BINTI MOHD OMAR

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science**

August 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah S.W.T, the Most Beneficent and the Most Merciful. All praise to Him for giving me an opportunity, strength, capabilities, and patience throughout this journey.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Pugeswary Palaniandy, for her guidance, patience, and cooperation throughout this research. Also, to my co-supervisor, Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Nordin Adlan, for his advices and knowledge for this study.

Not forgotten, I would like thank to Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for funding the research under grant RU (i) grant (1001/PAWAM/814193). Furthermore, I would like to express my appreciation to all the technical staffs in the laboratory (Mr. Mohad Shukri Zambri, Mr. Mohammed Nizam Mohd Kamal, Mr. Dziauddin, Mr. Nabil, Mr. Zaini, and Mdm Samsiah) for their assistance and knowledge in handling the chemicals and equipments. Besides that, a big thank you to all the laboratory mates (Khalilah, Anbarasi, and Aini) for being helpful during the experimental works.

I would never forgot to thank my parents, Hj. Mohd Omar Mohd Haris and Hj. Rosmah Waty Ab Salam for keep on supporting and never giving up on me throughout this journey. I would never go this far without them. Also, to my siblings and my best friends (Hani, Mira, Ainil, and Nisa), thank you for being crazy to cheer me up when I was demotivated.

Next, to my co-researchers and cubicmates (Nurul Aiin, Lee Chee Mei, Siti Nurul Aqilah, and Hazwani), thank you for all the cooperation, suggestion, motivations, and patience along this journey. Last but not least, thank you to everyone who involved and contribute directly and indirectly to this study.

Overall, to my dear self. Thank you for keep on going and never stop.

Khairunnisa Fakhriah Mohd Omar

March 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF PLATES	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
ABSTRAK	xviii
ABSTRACT	xx
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives of Study	5
1.4 Scope of Study	6
1.5 Expected Outcomes	6
1.6 Thesis Outline	7
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 General	9
2.2 Rainwater Harvesting (RWH) System	10

2.3	The Contaminants in Harvested Rainwater	13
2.3.1	Water Quality Standard in Malaysia	15
2.3.2	Comparison of the Quality Harvested Rainwater with INWQS and NDWQS	16
2.4	Primary Treatment for Harvested Rainwater	20
2.5	Mechanisms of Filtration	21
2.5.1	Adsorption	22
2.5.1 (a)	Factors Contributed to Adsorption Batch Study	24
2.5.1(a)(i)	Effects of Adsorbent Dosage	24
2.5.1(a)(ii)	Effects of pH	26
2.5.1(a)(iii)	Effects of Contact Time	27
2.5.1(a)(iv)	Effects of Shaking Speed	29
2.5.1 (b)	Factors Contributed to the Performance of Column Filtration	30
2.5.1(b)(i)	Effects of Depth of Filter Bed	31
2.5.1(b)(ii)	Effects of Flow Rate	32
2.6	Adsorption Isotherm Study	34
2.6.1	Langmuir Isotherm Model	35
2.6.2	Freundlich Isotherm Model	36
2.7	Adsorption Kinetic Study	37
2.7.1	Pseudo First Order Kinetic Model	37
2.7.2	Pseudo Second Order Kinetic Model	38
2.7.3	Intra Particle Diffusion	39
2.8	Types of Commonly Used Filter Media in Filtration Process	39
2.8.1	Zeolite	40

2.8.2	Limestone	42
2.8.3	Laterite Soil	43
2.9	Summary of Literature Review	45
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Chemicals, Materials and Equipment Used	49
3.2.1	Chemicals	49
3.2.2	Materials	50
3.2.2 (a)	Preparation of Materials	50
3.2.3	Equipments	51
3.3	Sampling of Harvested Rainwater	52
3.3.1	Description of Sampling Area	53
3.3.2	Sampling Method and Preservation	56
3.4	Preparation of Synthetic Rainwater	57
3.5	Adsorption Batch Study	58
3.5.1	Adsorption Control Study	59
3.5.2	Effects of Adsorbent Dosage	59
3.5.3	Effects of pH	60
3.5.4	Effect of Contact Time	61
3.5.5	Effect of Shaking Speed	61
3.6	Column Study	62
3.6.1	Column Model Design	63
3.6.2	Column Study Procedure	65

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	68
4.2	Characteristics of Zeolite, Limestone, and Laterite Soil	68
4.2.1	Zeolite	70
4.2.2	Limestone	71
4.2.3	Laterite Soil	72
4.3	Quality of Harvested Rainwater	73
4.4	Adsorption Batch Study	74
4.4.1	Zeolite	76
4.4.1 (a)	Effects of Adsorbent Dosage	76
4.4.1 (b)	Effects of pH	78
4.4.1 (c)	Effects of Contact Time	80
4.4.1 (d)	Effects of Shaking Speed	81
4.4.2	Limestone	82
4.4.2 (a)	Effects of Adsorbent Dosage	82
4.4.2 (b)	Effects of pH	84
4.4.2 (c)	Effects of Contact Time	87
4.4.2 (d)	Effects of Shaking Speed	89
4.4.3	Laterite Soil	90
4.4.3 (a)	Effects of Adsorbent Dosage	91
4.4.3 (b)	Effects of pH	92
4.4.3 (c)	Effects of Contact Time	95
4.4.3 (d)	Effects of Shaking Speed	97
4.5	Adsorption Isotherm Model	98
4.5.1	Zeolite	98

4.5.2	Limestone	100
4.5.3	Laterite Soil	102
4.6	Adsorption Kinetic Model	104
4.6.1	Zeolite	104
4.6.2	Limestone	105
4.6.3	Laterite Soil	107
4.7	Comparison of the Performance of Zeolite, Limestone, and Laterite Soil in Terms of Parameters Based on the Optimum Condition	109
4.8	Column Study	112
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION		
5.1	Conclusion	115
5.2	Recommendation for future works	117
REFERENCES		119
APPENDICES		
Appendix A: Plates of Materials and Sampling Area of Harvested Rainwater		
Appendix B: Raw Data of Harvested Rainwater Quality		
Appendix C: Raw Data of Preliminary Batch Study for Zeolite		
Appendix D: Raw Data of Preliminary Batch Study for Limestone		
Appendix E: Raw Data of Preliminary Batch Study for Laterite Soil		
Appendix F: Raw Data of Column Study		
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		

LIST OF TABLES

	Page	
Table 2.1	Types of contaminants present in rainwater	14
Table 2.2	Physicochemical properties of harvested rainwater	18
Table 2.3	Heavy metals and microbiological pollutants concentration in harvested rainwater	19
Table 2.4	Principal Mechanisms and Phenomena in Filtration	23
Table 2.5	Reviews of studies in examining the effects of adsorbent dosage	25
Table 2.6	Reviews of studies in examining the effects of pH	26
Table 2.7	Review on studies in examining the effects of contact time	28
Table 2.8	Review on studies examining the effects of shaking speed	29
Table 2.9	Reviews of studies in examining the effects of bed depth	32
Table 2.10	Reviews of studies in examining the effects of flow rate	33
Table 2.11	Chemical composition in zeolite	41
Table 2.12	Chemical composition in limestone	42
Table 2.13	Chemical composition of laterite soil	44
Table 3.1	List of Chemical Used in This Study	49
Table 3.2	List of adsorbent used in this study	50
Table 3.3	Equipment used in this study	51
Table 3.4	Locations and Techniques for each sampling point	56
Table 3.5	Concentration in synthetic rainwater	57
Table 3.6	Range of adsorbent dosage for adsorption batch study	60

Table 3.7	Design Data for Column Study Experiment	67
Table 4.1	Physical and chemical characteristics of adsorbents	70
Table 4.2	Quality of harvested rainwater at different types of location	75
Table 4.3	Adsorption isotherm models for the removal of COD, manganese, and lead by zeolite	99
Table 4.4	Adsorption isotherm models for the removal of COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , manganese, iron and lead by limestone	101
Table 4.5	Adsorption isotherm models for COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , manganese, iron and lead by laterite soil	103
Table 4.6	Adsorption kinetic model for the removal of COD, manganese, and lead by zeolite	105
Table 4.7	Adsorption kinetic model for the removal of COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , manganese, iron and lead by limestone	106
Table 4.8	Adsorption kinetic model for COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , manganese, iron and lead by laterite soil	108
Table 4.9	Summary of optimum condition from the adsorption batch study	110

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Rainwater harvesting system categories (Che-Ani et al., 2009)	11
Figure 2.2	Typical domestic rainwater harvesting system in Ireland (Li et al., 2010)	12
Figure 2.3	Typical Breakthrough Curve (Foo et al., 2013)	31
Figure 3.1	Methodology flowchart	48
Figure 3.2	Details of column filtration model that has been used in this study. *Note: the dimensions of the column details in the figure are in mm	65
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram of column filtration study	66
Figure 4.1	Effects of zeolite dosage on the removal of synthetic harvested rainwater for (a) COD, manganese, and lead; (b) turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , and iron (volume of sample; 100mL, particle size: 2.00 mm, contact time: 60 minutes, shaking speed: 200 rpm; pH: COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> : 7; pH: manganese, iron, and lead: 5).	78
Figure 4.2	Effects of pH on the removal of pollutants in synthetic rainwater by zeolite (Particle size: 2.00mm, contact time: 60 minutes, shaking speed: 200 rpm, zeolite dosage; COD: 0.5g, manganese: 2.0g, and lead: 8.0g)	79
Figure 4.3	Effects of contact time on the removal of COD, manganese, and lead from synthetic rainwater by zeolite (Particle size: 2.00mm; pH: COD: pH 3, manganese and lead: pH5 ; zeolite dosage: COD: 0.5g, manganese: 2.0g, and lead: 8.0g; shaking speed: 200 rpm)	81
Figure 4.4	Effects of contact time on the removal of COD, manganese, and lead from synthetic rainwater by zeolite (Particle size: 2.00mm; pH: COD: pH 3, manganese and lead: pH5; zeolite dosage: COD: 0.5g, manganese: 2.0g, and lead: 8.0g; contact time: COD:10 minutes, manganese: 5 minutes, lead: 60 minutes)	82

Figure 4.5	Effects of adsorbent dosage on the removal of (a) COD and turbidity, (b) <i>E.coli</i> , iron, manganese, and lead from synthetic harvested rainwater by limestone (particle size: 2.00mm, pH: COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> : 7; pH: iron, lead, manganese: 5, contact time: 60 minutes, shaking speed: 200 rpm)	83
Figure 4.6	Effects of pH on the removal of COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , manganese, iron, and lead from synthetic rainwater by limestone (particle size: contact time: 60 minutes, shaking speed: 200 rpm, Limestone dosage: COD: 1.0g, turbidity: 8.0g, <i>E.coli</i> : 6.0g, manganese: 10.0g, iron: 3.0g, lead: 20.0g)	85
Figure 4.7	Effects of contact time on the removal of COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , manganese, iron, and lead from synthetic rainwater by limestone (particle size: 2.00 mm, shaking speed: 200 rpm, Limestone dosage: COD: 1.0g, turbidity: 8.0g, <i>E.coli</i> : 6.0g, manganese: 10.0g, iron: 3.0g, lead: 20.0g, pH: COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> : pH 7; manganese, iron, and lead: pH 5)	88
Figure 4.8	Effects of shaking speed on the removal of COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , manganese, iron, and lead from synthetic rainwater by limestone (particle size: 2.00 mm., Limestone dosage: COD: 1.0g, turbidity: 8.0g, <i>E.coli</i> : 6.0g, manganese: 10.0g, iron: 3.0g, lead: 20.0g, pH: COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> : pH 7; manganese, iron, and lead: pH 5, contact time: COD: 5 minutes, turbidity:10 minutes, <i>E.coli</i> : 60 minutes, heavy metals: 30 minutes))	90
Figure 4.9	Effects of adsorbent dosage on the removal of COD and turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , iron, manganese, and lead from synthetic harvested rainwater by laterite soil (particle size: 650µm, pH: COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> : 7; pH: iron, lead, manganese: 5, contact time: 60 minutes, shaking speed: 200 rpm)	92

Figure 4.10	Effects of pH on the removal of COD and turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , iron, manganese, and lead from synthetic harvested rainwater by laterite soil (particle size: 650µm, contact time: 60 minutes, shaking speed: 200 rpm, dosage of laterite soil: COD: 0.5g, turbidity:1.0g, <i>E.coli</i> : 2.0g, manganese:1.0g, iron: 3.0g, lead: 0.5g)	93
Figure 4.11	Effects of contact time on the removal of COD and turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , iron, manganese, and lead from synthetic harvested rainwater by laterite soil (particle size: 650µm, contact time: 60 minutes, shaking speed: 200 rpm, dosage of laterite soil: COD: 0.5g, turbidity: 1.0g, <i>E.coli</i> : 2.0g, manganese: 1.0g, iron: 3.0g, lead: 0.5g, pH: COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> : pH 7, heavy metals: pH 5)	96
Figure 4.12	Effects of shaking speed on the removal of COD and turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> , iron, manganese, and lead from synthetic harvested rainwater by laterite soil (particle size: 650µm, contact time: 60 minutes, shaking speed: 200 rpm, dosage of laterite soil: COD: 0.5g, turbidity:1.0g, <i>E.coli</i> : 2.0g, manganese:1.0g, iron: 3.0g, lead: 0.5g, pH: COD, turbidity, <i>E.coli</i> : pH 7, heavy metals: pH 5)	97
Figure 4.13	Removal of <i>E.coli</i> by laterite soil in column study (Bed depth: 300 mm; Flow rate: 20 mL/min)	113

LIST OF PLATES

		Page
Plate 3.1	Locations of Rainwater Sampling (Refer to Appendix A.2 for the details of the sampling locations)	52
Plate 3.2	Open surface sampling location for (a) point 1, (b) point 2, and (c) point 3	53
Plate 3.3	Agricultural sampling location	54
Plate 3.4	Residential sampling location for (a) sub-urban (b) rural	55
Plate 3.5	Industrial sampling location	55
Plate 4.1	Morphological characteristics of (a) zeolite, (b) limestone, and (c) laterite soil by SEM	70

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$1/n$	Adsorption intensity
b	Langmuir constant, L/mg
C	The value intercept from the linear
C_e	Final equilibrium concentration of adsorbate after adsorption has occurred, mg/L
C_o	Initial concentration of adsorbate, mg/L
k_1	Rate constant of pseudo-first order adsorption (1/min)
k_2	Rate constant of pseudo-second order adsorption (g/mg/min)
k_3	Intra particle diffusion rate constant ($\text{mg g}^{-1} \text{min}^{1/2}$)
K_F	Indicator of the adsorption capacity
m	Mass of adsorbent, g
q_e	Adsorbent phase concentration after equilibrium, mg/g adsorbent
Q_m	Maximum adsorption capacity, mg/g
q_t	The amount of adsorbate adsorbed (mg/g) at time t (min)
R_L	Equilibrium parameter
$t^{0.5}$	The square root of time ($\text{min}^{1/2}$)
V	Volume of liquid in the reactor, L

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Ar (III)	Arsenic (III)
BET	Brunaue-Emmett-Teller (BET) pore size analysis
CaCO ₃	Calcium carbonate
Cd (II)	Cadmium (II)
COD	Chemical oxygen demand
Cr (VI)	Hexavalent Chromium
Cu ²⁺	Copper (II) ions
DOC	Department of Chemistry
DOE	Department of Environment
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy
<i>E.coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
H ⁺	Hydrogen cations
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
INWQS	Interim National Water Quality Standard
NDWQS	National Drinking Water Quality Standard
MgCO ₃	Magnesium carbonate
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NH ₃ -N	Ammoniacal Nitrogen
OH ⁻	Hydroxide ions
REPAS	Western Pacific Regional Centre for the Promotion of Environmental Planning and Applied Studies
RWH	Rainwater harvesting system
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopes
Soco-dis	Solar collector disinfection
SODIS	Solar disinfection

TOC	Total organic compound
WHO	World Health Organization

**RAWATAN AIR TADAHAN HUJAN DARIPADA LOKASI TADAHAN
YANG BERBEZA DENGAN MENGGUNAKAN ZEOLITE, BATU KAPUR
DAN TANAH LATERIT**

ABSTRAK

Malaysia dikurniakan jumlah air hujan yang banyak. Walau bagaimanapun, ia tidak digunakan sepenuhnya dan dibiarkan sebagai air permukaan. Secara umum, air hujan mempunyai pencemaran dalam kepekatan yang rendah. Oleh itu, satu rawatan air diperlukan untuk menggunakan air hujan sebagai sumber alternatif air minuman. Kajian ini dijalankan bagi menyiasat prestasi 3 jenis penjerap semulajadi yang berasaskan sumber mineral. Penjerap yang digunakan ialah zeolite, batu kapur, dan tanah laterit untuk mengeluarkan bahan pencemar daripada air hujan seperti keperluan oksigen kimia (COD), kekeruhan, mangan, besi, plumbum, dan bakteria *E.coli*. Kajian awal berkumpulan telah dijalankan untuk setiap jenis bahan pencemar dan penjerap. Berdasarkan keputusan kajian, zeolite mempunyai potensi untuk mengeluarkan COD, mangan, dan plumbum ketika dijalankan dalam keadaan optimum. Peratusan pengeluaran oleh zeolite untuk bahan pencemar COD, mangan, dan plumbum masing - masing adalah 74%, 80%, dan 79%. Dalam kajian ini, batu kapur telah menunjukkan keputusan yang baik untuk pengeluaran logam berat seperti mangan, besi dan plumbum. Selain daripada itu, batu kapur berupaya untuk mengeluarkan bakteria *E.coli* pada keadaan optimum, iaitu sebanyak 99%. Walaubagaimapun, batu kapur kurang berupaya untuk mengeluarkan COD memandangkan peratusan yang diperolehi sebanyak 48% sahaja. Penggunaan tanah laterit sebagai penjerap turut dikaji. Kajian menunjukkan tanah laterit mempunyai

potensi yang baik dalam mengeluarkan bahan pencemar dalam keadaan yang optimum. Peratusan pengeluaran bagi COD, kekeruhan, bakteria *E.coli*, mangan, besi, dan plumbum oleh tanah laterit adalah 56%, 83%, 99.6%, 89%, 83%, dan 76.8%. Kajian ini turut menganalisis penjerapan isoterma model dan juga penjerapan kinetik model untuk setiap parameter dan juga penjerap. Penggunaan tanah laterit sebagai media tapisan untuk kajian penapisan ruangan adalah kerana prestasi yang bagus dalam eksperimen kajian awal. Kajian penapisan ruangan telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan kadar aliran sebanyak 20mL/min dan kedalaman penjerap setinggi 300mm. Kajian ini menunjukkan tanah laterit masih mampu mengeluarkan bakteria *E.coli* walaupun eksperimen telah dijalankan selama 58 hari berterusan, di mana kepekatan *E.coli* dalam air hujan hampir memenuhi kepekatan yang dibenarkan seperti yang dinyatakan di dalam "*Malaysian Drinking Water Guidelines*". Justeru itu, air hujan didapati mempunyai potensi sebagai air minuman sekiranya ia telah dirawat dengan tanah laterit.

**TREATMENT OF HARVESTED RAINWATER FROM DIFFERENT
LOCATION OF CATCHMENT AREA BY USING ZEOLITE, LIMESTONE,
AND LATERITE SOIL**

ABSTRACT

Malaysia is blessed with huge amount of rainwater. However, the rainwater is not fully utilized and is abandoned as surface runoff. Generally, rainwater has low concentration of pollutants. A treatment is necessary prior to utilize the rainwater as a source of drinking water. This study was carried out to determine the performance of three natural mineral adsorbents, namely zeolite, limestone, and laterite soil to remove pollutants such as COD, turbidity, manganese, iron, lead, and *E.coli*. Preliminary batch studies were conducted to determine the optimum conditions for each parameter and adsorbents. Based on the results, zeolite was able to remove COD, manganese and lead at optimum condition with percentage removal of 74%, 80%, and 79%, respectively. In this study, the performance of limestone was undoubtedly shown good removal of heavy metals (namely manganese, iron, and lead). Besides, the limestone also has good removals on *E.coli* at optimum condition with 99% removal. However, limestone has poor removals for COD with only 48% of percentage removals. The performance of laterite soil was determined and the results demonstrated that it performs a good removal for all the parameters at optimum condition. The percentage removals of COD, turbidity, *E.coli*, manganese, iron, lead by laterite soil were 56%, 83%, 99.6%, 89%, 83%, and 76.8%, respectively. In this study, adsorption isotherm model and adsorption kinetic model were determined for the removals of each parameter by the adsorbents. Laterite soil

was chosen as a filter media in column study to remove *E.coli* due to the good performance in batch study. The column study was conducted with 300mm bed depth and 20 mL/min of flow rate. The results demonstrated a good performance as the removal of *E.coli* was continuously occur with the percentage removal of 90 - 99% after 58 days of treatment, whereby the final concentration of E.coli almost complied with the allowable concentration as stated in "*Malaysian Drinking Water Guidelines*". Therefore, the study discovered that the rainwater has shown the potential as drinking water after being treated by laterite soil.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1. General

Water shortage problems have been discussed around the world. It has been identified that the freshwater and scarcity and security is one of the major global environmental problems of the 21st century as the water crisis may kill 34 to 76 million people by 2020 (Cain, 2010; Srinivasan *et al.*, 2012). It is estimated the accessibility of renewable freshwater is limited due the increasing global populations about 9 billion by 2050 (Gleick and Palaniappan, 2010). In Malaysia, the water resources are fully dependent on treated fresh water from the main sources such as river water, storage dam, and groundwater (Che-Ani *et al.*, 2009; Sukereman, 2013).

In 1998, Malaysia faced severe drought and it lead to an unpleasant water supply disruption. The Klang Valley and certain states in Malaysia such as Melaka, Selangor, Kedah, Kelantan, Sabah, and Sarawak were affected during this water crisis. It was reported that there were about 1.8 million residents in the Klang Valley and 170,000 residents in Sabah who faced water disruption problems from this phenomenon (Lee *et al.*, 2016).

Additionally, increasing water demand has become a major contributor to water shortage problems. Population growth combined with the expansions in urbanization, industrialization and irrigated agriculture has increased daily water

consumption (Sukereman, 2013). Law and Bustami concluded that the water consumption among Malaysians increased to more than 300L per capita per day, which leads to the increase of water demand (Law and Bustami, 2013).

Further, the low quality of freshwater from main source such as river is also contributed to water crises. The authorities in Malaysia have reported that a primary water treatment plant in Selangor was closed in January 2014 from increased ammonia content in the Langat River. In a nutshell, the three major factors as discussed above have given a major impact in water resources. Due to that, it is important to find an alternative source for water supply such as rainwater.

Rainwater is precipitation in liquid form that comes from the moisture in the clouds and falls to the earth's surface. It can be considered as the purest water in the world (Biswas and Mandal, 2014). However, every drop of rainwater picked up particles and impurities that exist in the air. These impurities able to change the purity of the rainwater drastically as it reaches the earth (Aziz *et al.*, 2016). Rainwater that flows on the top of the soil can be defined as surface runoff (Drgoňová *et al.*, 2016).

Rainwater harvesting system is a system to collect and store rainfall from roofs or other surface catchment for later usage (Nzewi *et al.*, 2010). Generally, domestic rainwater harvesting system is commonly used throughout the world. It consists of a collection area (roof area) and conveyance system such as gutters, storage systems, and plumbing systems (Li *et al.*, 2010). Rainwater harvesting system has many advantages for a sustainable living. It is capable to reduce urban