

**DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH PERFORMANCE PORCELAIN AND
ALUMINA FOAM STRUCTURE FOR POROUS MEDIUM BURNER**

by

ABDUL RASHID BIN JAMALUDIN

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

January 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I want to express my pray and gracious to Allah Almighty, for Him has given me the opportunity and blessing to complete this PhD research project. I want to convey my heartfelt gratitude towards the main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Zainal Arifin Ahmad and both co-supervisors, Prof. Dr. Zulkifly Abdullah and Dr. Shah Rizal Kasim, respectively for their kind advice and supports throughout this project. A special thanks to my co-worker Dr. Ahmad Kamal Ismail for his partnership and sharing of technical data. I would like to acknowledge the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for financial support through the MyPhD scheme (MyBrain15). Besides that, this work has also received financial funding from the Universiti Sains Malaysia under the PRGS grant (1001/PBAHAN/8046032). I am very pleased with the helps from the Dean, technical, and administrative staffs of the School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering, USM throughout my PhD study. I am thankful for my loving parents Hj. Jamaludin Ibrahim and Hj. Sharipah Yulan as well as my dear brothers and sister who have been alongside and supportive of my entire academic career. Last but not least, many thankful goes to my research colleagues in Lab 0.36; Dr. Wan Fahmin Faiz Wan Ali, Dr. Nik Akmar Rejab, Mr. Mohd Fariz Ab Rahman, Mr. Johari Abu, Mr. Hamdan Yahya, Ms. Shuhaida Shahabudin, Mrs. Afifah Mohd Ali, Mrs. Fatin Khairah, Mrs. Rosyaini Afindi Zaman, Mr. Zhwan Dilshad Ibrahim Skatani, Mr. Ahmed Omran Alharez and Mr. Mohsen Ahmadipour for contributing their thoughts, suggestions, and supports. Finally, my appreciation goes to the persons who may have directly or indirectly involved with this research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	Error! Bookmark not defined.
List of Abbreviations	xxii
List of Units and Symbols.....	xxiii
Abstrak	xxvi
Abstract	xxviii

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Porous Medium Burner	1
1.2 Porous Ceramics	3
1.3 Coating of Ceramic Foam	5
1.4 Problem Statements	6
1.5 Research Objectives	9
1.6 Scope of Research.....	9

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction.....	12
2.2	Materials for Porous Medium Burner	13
2.3	Types of Porous Ceramics	20
2.3.1	Clay-Based Porous Ceramics.....	20
2.3.2	Porous Alumina	22
2.3.3	SiC-Based Porous Ceramics	24
2.4	Fabrication Techniques	26
2.4.1	Sponge Replication Technique	26
2.4.2	Other Fabrication Techniques	30
2.4.2.(a)	Partial Sintering	30
2.4.2.(b)	Sacrificial Template or Sacrificial Fugitive.....	31
2.4.2.(c)	Direct Foaming Technique.....	32
2.5	Improvement of the Sponge Replication Technique.....	34
2.6	Natural Binders for Porous Materials	44
2.7	Rheological Properties of Slurry.....	48
2.8	Types and Application of Porous Materials.....	50
2.8.1	Structure and Microstructure	50

2.8.2	Cell, Pore, and Porosity	53
2.8.3	Physical and Mechanical Properties	55
2.8.4	Thermal Properties	60
2.9	Coating of Porous Materials	67
2.9.1	Methods of Coating Deposition	68
2.9.2	Components of Dip-Coating	68
2.9.3	Dip-Coating Technique.....	72
2.9.4	Catalyst Material Deposition	74
2.10	Summary of Literature Review.....	77
2.10.1	Research Gaps.....	83

CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction.....	84
3.2	Methodology Flowchart.....	84
3.3	Part I: Preparation of Porous Inert Media Substrates.....	85
3.3.1	Raw Materials	85
3.3.1.(a)	Porcelain Raw Material.....	87
3.3.1.(b)	Alumina.....	87

3.3.1.(c)	Binders	87
	3.3.1.(c).(i) Poly(vinyl alcohol).....	88
	3.3.1.(c).(ii) Sago Starch	88
	3.3.1.(c).(iii) Tapioca Starch	88
3.3.1.(d)	Sponge Template	89
3.3.1.(e)	Sodium Silicate	89
3.3.2	Preparation of Porcelain Slurry.....	89
3.3.3	Preparation of Al ₂ O ₃ Slurry	90
3.3.4	Sponge Replication Process	91
3.3.5	Drying and Sintering	92
3.3.6	Characterizations Techniques	94
	3.3.6.(a) Elemental Analysis	94
	3.3.6.(b) Phase Analysis	95
	3.3.6.(c) Thermal Analysis	95
	3.3.6.(d) Particle Size and Particle Distribution Analysis	96
	3.3.6.(e) Rheological Analysis	96
	3.3.6.(f) Density and Porosity Analysis	97
	3.3.6.(g) Morphological Analysis.....	99

3.3.6.(h)	Thermal Conductivity Analysis	100
3.3.6.(i)	Mechanical Strength Analysis	101
3.4	Part II: Dip-Coating of Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM Substrate	102
3.4.1	Raw Materials	104
3.4.1.(a)	SiC Powder	104
3.4.1.(b)	Nickel Powder.....	104
3.4.1.(c)	Chromium Powder	104
3.4.1.(d)	Bentonite	104
3.4.1.(e)	Kaolin.....	105
3.4.2	Preparation of Coating Solutions	105
3.4.3	Dip-Coating Procedure	106
3.4.4	Drying and Sintering.....	107
3.4.5	Characterizations Techniques	108
3.4.5.(a)	Flow Resistance Analysis	108
3.5	Part III: Set-up of Prototype PMB	110
3.5.1	Assembly of Prototype PMB	111
3.5.2	Characterizations Techniques	114
3.5.2.(a)	Flame Temperatures Analysis.....	114

3.5.2.(b) Pollutant Emissions Analysis.....	115
---	-----

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Part I: Preparation of Porous Inert Media Substrates.....	116
4.1.1 Characterization of Starting Materials	116
4.1.1.(a) Porcelain Raw Material.....	116
4.1.1.(b) Al ₂ O ₃	119
4.1.1.(c) Binders	120
4.1.1.(c).(i) Poly(vinyl alcohol).....	120
4.1.1.(c).(ii) Sago Starch	121
4.1.1.(c).(iii) Tapioca Starch	122
4.1.1.(d) Polymeric Sponge	123
4.1.2 Thermal Properties of Binders and Sponge Template	124
4.1.3 Rheological Property of Porcelain Slurry	126
4.1.4 Rheological Property of Al ₂ O ₃ Slurry.....	128
4.1.5 Characterization of Porcelain-PIM Substrate	130
4.1.5.(a) Morphology.....	130
4.1.5.(b) Porosity and Density	136

4.1.5.(c)	Phase Analysis	137
4.1.5.(d)	Mechanical Strength	138
4.1.6	Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM.....	140
4.1.6.(a)	Morphology.....	140
4.1.6.(b)	Porosity and Density	146
4.1.6.(c)	Phase Analysis	148
4.1.6.(d)	Mechanical Strength	148
4.2	Part II: Dip-Coating of Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM	153
4.2.1	Characterization of Starting Materials	153
4.2.1.(a)	SiC Powder	153
4.2.1.(b)	Nickel Powder.....	155
4.2.1.(c)	Chromium Powder	156
4.2.1.(d)	Bentonite	158
4.2.1.(e)	Kaolin.....	159
4.2.2	Dip-Coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM.....	161
4.2.2.(a)	Morphology.....	161
4.2.2.(b)	Porosity and Density	166
4.2.2.(c)	Phase Analysis	169

4.2.2.(d)	Mechanical Strength	174
4.2.2.(e)	Thermal Conductivity	178
4.2.2.(f)	Air Flow Resistance	181
4.3	Part III: Set-up of Prototype PMB	183
4.3.1	Surface Flame Temperature	183
4.3.1.(a)	Self-Aspirated and Air Supplied PMB	183
4.3.1.(b)	Dip-Coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM	187
4.3.2	Pollutant Emissions.....	195
4.3.2.(a)	Self-Aspirated and Air Supplied PMB	195
4.3.2.(b)	Dip-Coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM	199

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Conclusions.....	205
5.2	Recommendations for Future Work.....	206

REFERENCES	207
------------------	-----

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	229
----------------------------	-----

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1 Major coating deposition methods (Tracton, 2005; Gutoff & Cohen, 2009; Grosso, 2011)	68
Table 2.2 Summary of the literatures review	79
Table 3.1 Batch composition of porcelain slurry	90
Table 3.2 Batch composition of Al ₂ O ₃ slurry	91
Table 3.3 Batch composition of coating solution for Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate	105
Table 4.1 XRF analysis of porcelain	117
Table 4.2 XRF analysis of kaolin powder	160
Table 4.3 Comparison between the plain Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate and dip-coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM	166
Table 4.4 Surface flame temperature at different Φ (fuel inlet = 0.25 L/min)	190
Table 4.5 The released CO, CO _u and NO _x emissions at different Φ (fuel inlet = 0.25 L/min)	200

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Different zones inside a PMB	1
Figure 2.1	Schematic of different layers of PIM; the blue color Region A represent the preheating zone and red color Region C is the combustion zone (Brehmer et al., 2003)	14
Figure 2.2	Illustrations represent the steps involved in the SRT; images were modified from Studart et al. (2006)	27
Figure 2.3	Illustration of fabrication process using the partial sintering technique; images were modified from Ohji (2013)	30
Figure 2.4	Illustration represent the sacrificial template technique; images were modified from Studart et al. (2006)	31
Figure 2.5	Illustration represent the foaming method using gas intrusion; images were modified from Studart et al. (2006)	33
Figure 2.6	Naturally occurring or existing porous structure (a) Cork, (b) Balsa wood, (c) Sea sponge, (d) Bone fragment, (e) Coral reef, (f) Cuttle bone, (g) Al ₂ O ₃ foam, and (g) Bonded stainless steel fibres; modified from Ashby & Medalist (1983), Colombo & Degischer (2010), and Gibson (2003)	51
Figure 2.7	Images of (a) natural and (b) man-made honeycomb structures; modified from Scheffler & Colombo (2005)	52

Figure 2.8	SEM images of (a) polymeric sponge template, (b) sintered skeleton struts, and (c) strut cross-sectional area; modified images form Colombo (2006)	53
Figure 2.9	Distinguishes of different appearances on sintered ceramic foam (a) open and closed cell, and (b) void and micropores; modified SEM images from (Zhu et al., 2001)	54
Figure 2.10	Stress-strain curves for deformation of porous structures in compressive mode (a) elastic deformation, (b) elastic-plastic deformation, and (c) elastic-brittle deformation; modified from Ashby & Medalist (1983)	56
Figure 2.11	Methods of porous structure deformation upon compressional stress (a) liner-elastic deformation, (b) yielding of plastic-deformation, and (c) structure collapsed; modified from Ashby & Medalist (1983)	57
Figure 2.12	Images of 3D for (a) open- and (b) closed-cell idealized structure; modified from Ashby & Medalist (1983)	58
Figure 2.13	Thermal conductivity (shown by square and triangle symbols) with respect to volume fraction of porosity of porous mullite (Barea et al., 2005)	65
Figure 2.14	Thermal conductivity with respect to different cell sizes (Lo et al., 2011)	66
Figure 2.15	Thermal conductivity with respect to different grain sizes (Lo et al., 2011)	66

Figure 2.16	Steps of solution deposition in dip-coating technique	73
Figure 2.17	Different types of catalyst support materials (Heck et al., 2001)	75
Figure 3.1	Methodological flow chart of the research	85
Figure 3.2	Flow charts for the preparation of porcelain- and Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrates in Part I	86
Figure 3.3	Illustration for removal of excess slurry using two pre-set rollers with constant gap	92
Figure 3.4	Sintering profile graph for preparation of porcelain- and Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrates	93
Figure 3.5	Schematic diagram of the Archimedes method: (a) weighing of dry sample, (b) weighing of sample suspended in distilled water, and (c) weighing of sample saturated with distilled water	98
Figure 3.6	Flow charts for the preparation of dip-coated porcelain- and Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM in Part II	103
Figure 3.7	Dip-coating procedures for Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate	106
Figure 3.8	The re-sintering profile graph for dip-coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate	108
Figure 3.9	Schematic diagram of the air flow resistance experimental set-up	109

Figure 3.10	Flow charts for the prototype PMB set-up in Part II	111
Figure 3.11	Schematic layout of the prototype PMB test rig system	112
Figure 3.12	Schematic of the burner housing (dimension is in mm)	113
Figure 3.13	Cross section view of the burner casing	114
Figure 4.1	XRD pattern for porcelain raw material	117
Figure 4.2	Cumulative particle size distribution of porcelain raw materials	118
Figure 4.3	Morphology of porcelain raw material particles at 1.8k× magnification	118
Figure 4.4	XRD pattern for Al ₂ O ₃ powder	119
Figure 4.5	Cumulative particle size distribution of Al ₂ O ₃ powder	120
Figure 4.6	Morphology of Al ₂ O ₃ powder: (a) 1.2k× and (b) 20k× magnification	120
Figure 4.7	SEM images of PVA particles at 100× magnification	121
Figure 4.8	SEM images of the sago starch; (a) granulated (sago pearls) at 60× magnification and (b) agglomerated particles (1.0k× magnification)	122
Figure 4.9	SEM images of tapioca starch; (a) agglomerated (1.5k× magnification) and (b) discrete particles (5.0k× magnification)	123

Figure 4.10	Two types of sponge template used for the research; (a) 8 ppcm and (b) 18 ppcm at 100× magnification	124
Figure 4.11	TGA results for the binders and PU sponge	125
Figure 4.12	Viscosity of porcelain slurries measured at various shear rates	127
Figure 4.13	Viscosity of Al ₂ O ₃ slurries measured at various shear rates	129
Figure 4.14	SEM micrographs of porcelain-PIM substrate at 100× magnification: (a) P.5:5; (b) P.6:4; (c) P.7:3; (d) P.5:5.T5; (e) P.6:4.T5; (f) P.7:3.T5; (g) P.5:5.S5; (h) P.6:4.S5; and (i) P.7:3.S5	131
Figure 4.15	SEM micrographs of voids and pores developed on the skeletons for the highest solid loading ratio samples: (a) P.7:3; (b) P.7:3.T5; and (c) P.7:3.S5	133
Figure 4.16	SEM images of (a) sponge template and (b) porcelain-PIM substrate after sintered	136
Figure 4.17	Bulk density (dotted line) and total porosity (solid line) of sintered of sintered porcelain-PIM substrate	137
Figure 4.18	XRD pattern of sintered porcelain-PIM substrate	138
Figure 4.19	Total porosity (solid line) and compressive strength (dotted line) of sintered porcelain-PIM	139

Figure 4.20	SEM micrographs of plain Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate (a) skeleton struts (60× magnification) and (b) surface of skeleton struts (4.0k× magnification)	141
Figure 4.21	Optical photographs of fractured Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate after compressive test at different viewing angles	142
Figure 4.22	SEM images of fractured Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate at 60× magnification: (a) Al.5:5.PVA0.8, (b) Al.5:5.S0.8, (c) Al.5:5.T0.8, (d) Al.6:4.PVA0.8, (e) Al.6:4.S0.8, (f) Al.6:4.T0.8, (g) Al.7:3.PVA0.8, (h) Al.7:3.SG0.8, and (i) Al.7:3.T0.8	144
Figure 4.23	SEM images of fractured Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate at 2.5k× magnification: (a) Al.5:5.PVA0.8, (b) Al.5:5.S0.8, (c) Al.5:5.T0.8, (d) Al.6:4.PVA0.8, (e) Al.6:4.S0.8, (f) Al.6:4.T0.8, (g) Al.7:3.PVA0.8, (h) Al.7:3.SG0.8, and (i) Al.7:3.T0.8	146
Figure 4.24	Dependence bulk density (dotted line) and total porosity (solid line) of sintered Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate	147
Figure 4.25	XRD pattern of sintered Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate	148
Figure 4.26	Dependence of total porosity (dotted line) and compressive strength (solid line) of the sintered Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate	149
Figure 4.27	Comparison of compressive strength between experimental data and predicted value from Gibson & Ashby model for Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM	152

Figure 4.28	XRD pattern for SiC powder	153
Figure 4.29	Cumulative particle size distribution of SiC powder	154
Figure 4.30	SEM image of SiC powder	154
Figure 4.31	XRD pattern for Ni powder	155
Figure 4.32	Cumulative particle size distribution of Ni powder	155
Figure 4.33	SEM image of Ni powder	156
Figure 4.34	XRD pattern for Cr powder	156
Figure 4.35	Cumulative particle size distribution of Cr powder	157
Figure 4.36	SEM image of Cr powder	157
Figure 4.37	XRD pattern for bentonite powder	158
Figure 4.38	Cumulative particle size distribution of bentonite powder	159
Figure 4.39	SEM image of bentonite powder	159
Figure 4.40	Cumulative particle size distribution of kaolin powder	160
Figure 4.41	XRD pattern for kaolin powder	160

Figure 4.42	SEM image of kaolin powder	161
Figure 4.43	SEM images of SiC-coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM at different sintering temperatures; (a) 900 °C, (b) 1150 °C, (c) 1250 °C, and (d) 1250 °C (at higher magnification)	162
Figure 4.44	SEM image of Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate after dip-coated and sintered at 1250 °C: (a) SiC-, (b) Ni-, and (c) Cr-based coating	164
Figure 4.45	SEM images of comparison between (a) plain Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate and (b) SiC-, (c) Ni-, and (d) Cr-based coating	165
Figure 4.46	Photographic images of open cells and solid area within the (a) plain Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate, (b) SiC-coated, (c) Ni-coated, and (d) Cr-coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM	166
Figure 4.47	Porosity evaluation between the plain Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM substrate and dip-coated substrate with respect to different sintering temperatures	167
Figure 4.48	XRD patterns of (a) SiC-, (b) Ni-, and (c) Cr-coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM sintered at (i) 900 °C, (ii) 1150 °C, and (iii) 1250 °C	170
Figure 4.49	XRD patterns of (a) SiC-, (b) Ni-, and (c) Cr-coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM, sintered at 1250 °C	172
Figure 4.50	EDX results for coating layer of (a) SiC-coated, (b) Ni-coated, and (c) Cr-coated Al ₂ O ₃ -PIM, sintered at 1250 °C	173

Figure 4.51	Comparison of compressive strength for selected dip-coated Al_2O_3 -PIM samples sintered at 1250 °C	175
Figure 4.52	Relation between compressive strength (solid line) and porosity (dotted line) of selected dip-coated Al_2O_3 -PIM	176
Figure 4.53	SEM micrographs of samples after triple coating cycles and 1250 °C re-sintering temperatures: (a) SiC-, (b) Ni-, and (c) Cr-based coating	178
Figure 4.54	Thermal conductivity of plain Al_2O_3 -PIM substrate and dip-coated Al_2O_3 -PIM at different testing temperatures	179
Figure 4.55	Dependence of porosity (bar) and thermal conductivity (solid line) of dip-coated Al_2O_3 -PIM	181
Figure 4.56	Airflow resistance plot for plain Al_2O_3 -PIM substrate and dip-coated	182
Figure 4.57	Equivalence ratio of different fuel inlet for the self-aspirated PMB	183
Figure 4.58	Flame temperature for the self-aspirated PMB	184
Figure 4.59	Flame temperature for the air supplied PMB	185
Figure 4.60	Thermal images of flame temperature distribution for the self-aspirated and air supplied PMB, taken at (a)/(b) 0.19 L/min, (c)/(d) 0.21 L/min, and (e)/(f) 0.25 L/min fuel inlet	186

Figure 4.61	Surface flame temperature at $\Phi = 0.8$	188
Figure 4.62	Surface flame temperature at $\Phi = 1.0$	189
Figure 4.63	Surface flame temperature at $\Phi = 1.3$	190
Figure 4.64	XRD patterns of (a) SiC-, (b) Ni-, and (c) Cr-coated Al_2O_3 - PIM after the combustion test	192
Figure 4.65	Comparison of the preheater chamber and surface flame temperature measured at 0.25 L/min fuel inlet	195
Figure 4.66	The released of CO and CO_u emissions by the self-aspirated PMB	196
Figure 4.67	The released of CO and CO_u emissions by air supplied PMB	197
Figure 4.68	Emission of NO_x by both types of PMB	198
Figure 4.69	The released of (a) CO, (b) CO_u , and (c) NO_x emissions at $\Phi =$ 0.8	201
Figure 4.70	The released of (a) CO, (b) CO_u , and (c) NO_x emissions at $\Phi =$ 1.0	202
Figure 4.71	The released of (a) CO, (b) CO_u , and (c) NO_x emissions at $\Phi =$ 1.3	204

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3D	Three dimensions
AF	Air-fuel
CSD	Chemical solution deposition
CMC	Carboxy-methyl cellulose
CVD	Chemical vapour deposition
EDX	Energy dispersive X-ray
ICDD	International Centre for Diffraction Data
IR	Infra-red
PE-CVD	Plasma enhanced-chemical vapour deposition
PEG	Polyethylene glycol
PIM	Porous inert media
PMB	Porous medium burner
PVA	Poly(vinyl alcohol)
PVD	Physical vapour deposition
RBAO	Reaction bonded Al ₂ O ₃
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SRT	Sponge replication technique
syngas	Synthetic gas
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis
UHC	Unburned hydrocarbon
XRD	X-ray diffraction
XRF	X-ray fluorescence

LIST OF UNITS AND SYMBOLS

A	Area
Al	Aluminum
Al_2O_3	Alumina
C	Geometric constant
C_p	Specific heat
CaO	Calcium oxide
CuO	Cupric/copper oxide
Cr	Chromium
CO/CO _u	Carbon oxides
d	diameter of open cell
F	Force/load
Fe_2O_3	Iron oxide
K	Thermal conductivity
k_R	Photon conductivity
k_p	Phonon conductivity
k_S	Thermal conductivity of solid phase
k_G	Thermal conductivity of air
k_T	Total thermal conductivity
K_2O	Potassium oxide
l	Length
l_R	Mean free path of radiation energy
M_S	Moment
m_1	Dry weight

m_2	Suspended weight
m_3	Water saturated weight
MgO	Magnesium oxide
Na ₂ O	Sodium oxide
Ni	Nickel
NO/NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
P_o	Open porosity
P	Porosity
P ₂ O ₅	Phosphorous oxide
Pa.s	Pascal.second
Pe	Peclet number
ppi	Pore per-inch
ppm	Part per-million
SiC	Silicon carbide
SiO ₂	Silica/quartz/cristobalite
SnO	Tin oxide
t	Thickness
T	Temperature
SO ₃	Sulfur trioxide
TiO ₂	Titanium oxide
V_o	Volume of open space
V_c	Volume of the closed space
V_s	Solid volume
vol. %	Volume percentage
wt. %	Weight percentage