

**PREPARATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF AN
ETHYLENE VINYL ACETATE (EVA)
COPOLYMER EMULSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR
POUR POINT DEPRESSANT (PPD)
APPLICATION**

MUHAMAD RIDHWAN HAFIZ BIN ROSDI

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2016

**PREPARATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF AN ETHYLENE VINYL
ACETATE (EVA) COPOLYMER EMULSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR POUR
POINT DEPRESSANT (PPD) APPLICATION**

by

MUHAMAD RIDHWAN HAFIZ BIN ROSDI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Science

March 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to my project supervisor, Professor Azlan Ariffin for taking me as his student, and giving me advices and patient guidance throughout my Master project. His willingness to give his time so generously has been very much appreciated.

My grateful thanks are also extended to PhD students, Mr. Mohd Amin Mohd Razali and Mr. Afrizal Admiral for their commitments as my mentors in giving me a lot of support, guidance and advice.

Next, I would like to convey my special thanks to Dean, Professor Dr. Zuhailawati binti Hussain, Deputy Deans, lecturers and all staffs of School Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), for their kind assistant and supports. Without their kind cooperation, this study would not be completed on time.

Finally, I wish to thank my parents for their support and encouragement throughout my study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xi
List of Plates	xvii
List of Abbreviations	xviii
List of Symbols	xix
Abstrak	xx
Abstract	xxii

CHAPTER 1-INTRODUCTION

1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Problem Statement	4
1.3	Objectives	6
1.4	Thesis Structure	6

CHAPTER 2-LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Pour Point Depressant (PPD)	8
2.1.1	Overview	8
2.1.2	Types of PPD	9
2.1.2.1	Methacrylate	9
2.1.2.2	Alkyl acrylate	10
2.1.3	Pour Point Depressant Mechanism	12

2.1.4	Subfreezing Applications	13
2.2	Ethylene Vinyl Acetate (EVA)	14
2.2.1	Overview of Ethylene Vinyl Acetate (EVA)	14
2.2.2	Production of EVA	15
2.2.3	Molecular Structure of EVA	15
2.2.4	EVA as Pour Point Depressant (PPD)	17
2.3	Emulsion Characteristic	18
2.3.1	Overview	18
2.3.2	Emulsifier Type	21
2.3.2.1	Ionic	22
2.3.2.2	Zwitterionic	22
2.3.2.3	Non-Ionic	22
2.3.3	Emulsion Stability	23
2.4	Emulsification Techniques	27
2.4.1	Overview	27
2.4.2	Conventional Mechanical Stirrer	28
2.4.3	High-Shear Mixer	29
2.4.4	Ultrasonic Devices	30
2.5	Emulsion Characterization	31
2.5.1	Freeze-thaw test	31
2.5.2	Particle size	32
 CHAPTER 3-METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Materials	33
3.2	Experimental Method	33

3.2.1	Emulsification of EVA copolymer with different vinyl acetate (VA) content	33
3.2.2	Emulsification of EVA copolymer with different Span 80 concentration	35
3.2.3	Optimization homogenization parameter for EVA copolymer emulsion	35
3.2.4	Sample Characterization	38
3.2.4.1	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	38
3.2.4.2	Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC)	38
3.2.4.3	Intrinsic Viscosity	38
3.2.4.4	Particle Size Measurement	39
3.2.4.5	Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)	39
3.2.5	Emulsion Evaluation	39
3.2.5.1	Interfacial tension measurement	39
3.2.5.2	Viscometer	40
3.2.5.3	Freeze-thaw(F/T) stability test	41
3.2.5.4	Emulsion stability storage	41
3.2.5.5	Polarized Optical Microscopy	41
3.2.6	Applied Test	42
3.2.6.1	Pour point testing (ASTM D97)	42
3.2.6.2	Pour Point Reduction	43

CHAPTER 4-RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Enhancing Cold Flow-Ability of Ethylene Vinyl Acetate Copolymer Emulsion: Effect of Vinyl Acetate Content	44
-----	---	----

4.1.1	Emulsion Formation	44
4.1.2	Intrinsic Viscosity	46
4.1.3	Molecular Weight Measurement	49
4.1.4	Particle Size Measurement	51
4.1.5	Cold Flowability Of Emulsion Product	53
4.1.6	Thermal Analysis	57
4.1.7	Emulsion Stability Study at Room Temperature	59
4.1.8	Pour Point Measurement	61
	4.1.8.1 Effect of Solvent Ratio	61
	4.1.8.2 Effect of VA Content	62
4.2	Properties and Stability of EVA Emulsion Copolymer by Non-Ionic Emulsifier at Different Concentration	64
4.2.1	Interfacial Tension Evaluation	64
4.2.2	Particle Size	65
4.2.3	Viscosity Evaluation	67
	4.2.3.1 Effect of Emulsifier Concentration on Emulsion Viscosity	67
	4.2.3.2 Effect of Temperature on Emulsion Viscosity	68
4.2.4	Emulsion Stability	70
4.2.5	Pour Point Measurement	73
	4.2.5.1 Effect of Emulsifier Concentration on Pour Point Reduction	73
	4.2.5.2 Effect of PPD Dosage on Pour Point Reduction	74
4.2.6	Microscopy	76
4.3	Effect of Homogenization Process Parameters on EVA Emulsion Properties and Stability	77

4.3.1	Effect of Shearing Intensity	77
4.3.1.1	Particle Size Generated By Different Shearing Apparatus	77
4.3.1.2	Microscopy of Emulsion Generated By Different Shearing Mixer	79
4.3.1.3	Freeze-Thaw Stability of Emulsion	81
4.3.1.4	Viscosity Evaluation of Produced Emulsion at Different Shearing Intensity	84
4.3.2	Effect of Homogenization Temperature	85
4.3.2.1	Particle Size Generated at Different Homogenization Temperature.	85
4.3.2.2	Microscopy of EVA Emulsion At Different Temperature	87
4.3.2.3	Emulsion Viscosity at Different Homogenization Temperature	89
4.3.2.4	Emulsion Storage Stability at Ambient Temperature	90
4.3.2.5	Freeze-Thaw Stability of Emulsion	91
4.3.3	Effect of Homogenization Time	92
4.3.3.1	Particle Size Generated at Different Homogenization Time	92
4.3.3.2	Microscopy of EVA Emulsion at Different Homogenization Time	93
4.3.3.3	Emulsion Viscosity at Different Homogenization Time.	95
4.3.3.4	Emulsion Storage Stability at Ambient Temperature	96

4.3.3.5	Freeze-Thaw Stability of Emulsion	97
CHAPTER 5-CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
5.1	Conclusion	99
5.2	Recommendations	101
	References	102
	Appendices	
	List of Publication	

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 3.1	General EVA PPD emulsion formulation.	33
Table 3.2	Formulation for EVA copolymer emulsification with different VA content	34
Table 3.3	Formulation for EVA 12-S8 emulsification with different Span 80 loading	35
Table 3.4	Homogenization parameters for EVA 12-S8-E3 emulsification with different shearing speed	36
Table 3.5	Homogenization parameters for EVA 12-S8-E3 emulsification with different emulsification temperature	36
Table 3.6	Homogenization parameters for EVA 12-S8-E3 emulsification with different emulsification temperature	37
Table 4.1	Overview of emulsion formation for EVA12 and EVA18.	45
Table 4.2	Effect of VA content in EVA copolymer in emulsion formation.	49
Table 4.3	Emulsion stability duration for samples EVA12 and EVA18	60
Table A-1	Pour point test data for EVA 12 at different solvent/polymer ratio	

Table A-2 Pour point test data for EVA 18 at different solvent/polymer ratio

Table A-3 Pour point test data for EVA PPD at different emulsifier concentrations.

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Paraffin wax deposition at pipelines (Guozhong and Gang, 2010)	1
Figure 2.1	Unit group of Polymethacrylates (El-Gamal et al., 1997)	10
Figure 2.2	Unit group of Polyacrylates (El-Gamal et al., 1997)	11
Figure 2.3	PPD Inhibition mechanism of wax modification. 2A) Chemical structure of wax. 2B) Crystal structure of wax. 2C) Crystal structure of growing wax lattice. 2D) Polymeric additive with wax-like components. 2E) Co-crystallization of wax and PPD. 2F) Sterically hindered wax structure (Wang et al., 1999).	12
Figure 2.4	Traditional solvent-based paraffin inhibitor polymers solidified at 0oC	13
Figure 2.5	EVA chemical structure (Soni and Bharambe, 2008)	16
Figure 2.6	PPD comb polymer diagram (Atta, 1997)	18
Figure 2.7	Various extrinsic parameters that can negatively impact the stability of emulsions.(Weiss, 2002)	24
Figure 2.8	Destabilization paths of emulsions(Wegmann, 1997)	26

Figure 2.9	2-step of homogenization process.(Degner et al., 2014)	27
Figure 2.10	Mechanical Stirrer (paddle impeller) flow pattern(Hemrajani and Tatterson, 2004)	29
Figure 2.11	High shear mixer flow pattern (Charles Ross & Son Company)	29
Figure 3.1	Overall experimental design flowchart of EVA emulsification process.	37
Figure 4.1	Emulsion flowability evaluation based on viscosity at 25 oC	44
Figure 4.2	Reduced viscosities of EVA copolymers with different vinyl acetate contents	47
Figure 4.3	Intrinsic viscosities of EVA copolymers with different vinyl acetate contents	48
Figure 4.4	Molecular weight of EVA copolymers	50
Figure 4.5	Particle size distribution curve EVA12	52
Figure 4.6	Particle size distribution curve EVA18	52
Figure 4.7	Viscosity evaluation at different temperature for EVA 12	55
Figure 4.8	Viscosity evaluation at different temperature for EVA 18	55

Figure 4.9	Viscosity evaluation at different temperature for EVA 25	56
Figure 4.10	Viscosity evaluation at different temperature for EVA 40	56
Figure 4.11	DSC thermogram of EVA 12S8 before and after emulsification	58
Figure 4.12	DSC thermogram of EVA 18S8 before and after emulsification	59
Figure 4.13	Pour point reductions of (a) EVA 12 and (b) EVA 18 with different solvent percentages at various dosages.	61
Figure 4.14	Pour point reduction of paraffin wax after addition EVA12 and EVA18 at 8:1 solvent/polymer ratio at various dosages.	63
Figure 4.15	The interfacial tension as a function of emulsifier concentration for EVA12S8	65
Figure 4.16	Particle size values at different emulsifier concentrations for EVA12S8	66
Figure 4.17	Emulsifier concentration (%) versus emulsion viscosity at ambient temperature for EVA12S8	68
Figure 4.18	Effect of temperature on the emulsion viscosity for each emulsifier percentage concentration for EVA12S8	69

Figure 4.19	Emulsion destabilization time versus emulsifier concentration at ambient temperature for EVA12S8	70
Figure 4.20	Particle size changes during freeze thaw cycle for EVA12S8 at different emulsifier loading	72
Figure 4.21	Effect of emulsifier concentration (%) on pour point reduction at 300 ppm for EVA12S8	73
Figure 4.22	Effect of emulsifier concentration toward pour point reduction of EVA12S8	75
Figure 4.23	Morphology of paraffin wax crystals before treated with EVA12S8E3	76
Figure 4.24	Morphology of paraffin wax crystals after treated with EVA12S8E3 emulsion (at 3 % of concentration at 300 ppm - X10 magnification)	77
Figure 4.25	Effect of shearing intensity on the particle size of the EVA12S8E3 emulsion	79
Figure 4.26	Microscopic picture (magnification 10×) of the paddle-agitated EVA12S8E3 emulsion	80
Figure 4.27	Microscopic picture (magnification 10×) of the high-shear EVA12S8E3 emulsion	80
Figure 4.28	Sedimentation behaviour progression of the HS1 emulsion at different F/T cycle.	82

Figure 4.29	Sedimented aqueous phase in the EVA12S8E3 emulsion as a function of the F/T cycle at different shearing intensity.	83
Figure 4.30	Emulsion particle coalescence behavior during F/T cycle test.	84
Figure 4.31	Effect of shearing intensity on the viscosity of the EVA12S8E3 emulsion at ambient temperature	85
Figure 4.32	Effect of homogenization temperature on EVA12S8E3 emulsion particle size	86
Figure 4.33	Micrograph of emulsion at different homogenization temperature	88
Figure 4.34	Effect of different homogenization temperature on EVA12S8E3 emulsions viscosity	89
Figure 4.35	Effect of homogenization temperature on EVA12S8E3 emulsions stability on ambient temperature	90
Figure 4.36	Sedimented aqueous phase in the EVA12S8E3 emulsion as function of F/T cycle at different homogenization temperature	91
Figure 4.37	Effect of homogenization time on EVA12S8E3 emulsion particle size	93
Figure 4.38	Micrograph of emulsions at different homogenization time	95

Figure 4.39	Effect of different homogenization time on EVA12S8E3 emulsions viscosity	96
Figure 4.40	Effect of homogenization time on EVA12S8E3 emulsions stability at ambient temperature	97
Figure 4.41	Sedimented aqueous phase in the EVA12S8E3 emulsions as a function of the F/T cycle at different homogenization time.	98

LIST OF PLATES

		Page
Plate 3.1	Brookfield viscometer	40
Plate 3.2	Pour point Tester Apparatus	42

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EVA	Ethylene Vinyl Acetate
PPD	Pour Point Depressant
rpm	Rotation per minute
FTIR	Fourier Transfer Infra Red
DSC	Differential Scanning Calorimetry
ppm	Part per million
F/T	Freeze-thaw
Mw	Molecular Weight

LIST OF SYMBOLS

dL/g	Deci litre/gram
g	gram
μm	Micrometer
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	degree celcius
mN/m	Milnewton/meter
g/mol	Gram per mol
%	Percentage
Δ	Delta
mPa.s	Millipascal-second
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Degree celcius

**PENYEDIAAN DAN PEMBANGUNAN SISTEM PENGEMULSIAN
ETILENEA VINIL ASETAT (EVA) KOPOLIMER DALAM APLIKASI
AGEN PENEKAN TITIK TUANG**

ABSTRAK

Produk emulsi agen penekan titik tuang berkelebihan untuk digunakan dalam suhu yang rendah kerana ia meningkatkan ciri-ciri pengendalian fizikal berbanding produk tradisional. Penyediaan emulsi EVA kopolimer yang stabil adalah kritikal bagi kejayaan penghasilan emulsi EVA kopolimer. Kajian ini mempertimbangkan kesan VA (vinil asetat) yang berbeza untuk EVA kopolimer dalam menghasilkan emulsi untuk mengekalkan kestabilan emulsi. Melalui analisa kelikatan intrinsik dan kromatografi penyerapan gel, hubungan antara kandungan VA daripada EVA kopolimer dan tindak balas keupayaan mengalir emulsi semasa pengemulsian telah diterokai. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kandungan VA yang tinggi menyumbang kepada ketidakstabilan emulsi. Kesan kandungan VA dikaji secara lanjut dengan mengubah nisbah pelarut / polimer untuk memerhati tindak balas keupayaan mengalir emulsi. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa dalam setiap siri, nisbah pelarut / polimer yang tinggi menghasilkan keupayaan aliran emulsi yang baik pada kandungan VA, 12% dan 18 %. Tambahan pula, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa parameter keterlarutan dan kandungan VA menunjukkan korelasi yang baik dan berat molekul mempunyai pengaruh penting ke atas tindak balas keupayaan mengalir emulsi dan pengurangan titik tuang. Emulsi EVA12S8 menunjukkan prestasi pengurangan titik tuang yang terbaik, 12°C pada 300 ppm. Selepas itu, kesan agen emulsi tidak berion terhadap kestabilan emulsi dikaji untuk memperolehi muatan yang optimum. Alat interfacial tensionmeter, alat ZetaSizer Malvern, ujian kestabilan emulsi, kaedah kitaran beku-cair, dan Brookfield meter telah digunakan

untuk menyiasat kestabilan emulsi. Peningkatan kepekatan agen emulsi sehingga 3 % mengurangkan ketegangan antara muka dalam sistem cecap, dengan itu membolehkan pemecahan titisan yang lebih efisien semasa pengemulsian. Ini mengurangkan saiz titisan dimana akan meningkatkan kestabilan dan kelikatan system. Melebihi kandungan ini, kestabilan emulsi mula merosot. Selepas itu, kesan pemboleh ubah proses penyeragaman yang berbeza terhadap kestabilan emulsi telah dikaji berdasarkan uji kaji eksperimen. Emulsi ini telah disediakan menggunakan pengacau mekanikal dan pengadun ricih tinggi. Sorbitan monooleate (Span 80) ditetapkan pada kandungan 3% sebagai ejen emulsi. Pembolehubah yang dikaji adalah kekuatan pengacau, suhu process penyeragaman dan masa. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa kondisi optimum bagi process penyeragaman adalah 5000 rpm bagi kekuatan pengacau, 80°C untuk suhu process penyeragaman dan 30 minit untuk masa. Melampaui kondisi tersebut yang biasanya dirujuk pemprosesan yang terlebih batas, ia akan menjejaskan saiz zarah emulsi dan kestabilan emulsi.

**PREPARATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF AN ETHYLENE VINYL
ACETATE (EVA) COPOLYMER EMULSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR
POUR POINT DEPRESSANT (PPD) APPLICATION**

ABSTRACT

PPD emulsion product is advantageous for use in sub-ambient temperature as it improve the physical handling characteristic compared to traditional product. The preparation of stable EVA copolymer emulsion is critical for the success of production of EVA copolymer emulsion. This study considers the effect of different VA (vinyl acetate) of EVA copolymer in producing the emulsion form as to maintain the emulsion stability. Through the intrinsic viscosity and gel permeation chromatography analysis, the relationship between VA content of EVA copolymer and the flow ability response of an emulsion during emulsification is explored. The result is shown that higher VA content contributes to the instability of the emulsion. Effects VA content were further study by varying the solvent/polymer ratio to observe the flow-response of the emulsion. The results showed that in each series, a higher solvent/polymer ratio produces good flow ability emulsion at 12% and 18% of VA content. Furthermore, these results also revealed that the solubility parameter and VA content show good correlation and that molecular weight has an important influence on the flow ability response of emulsion and pour point reduction. EVA12S8 emulsion exhibited the highest pour point reduction, 12°C at 300 ppm. Subsequently, impact of nonionic emulsifier on emulsion stability was studied as to obtain the optimal loading. Interfacial tensiometer, ZetaSizer Malvern instrument, emulsion stability test, freeze–thaw cycle method, and Brookfield viscometer were employed to investigate emulsion stability. Increasing the emulsifier concentration

up to 3% will decrease the interfacial tension in the system efficiently, thus allowing for more efficient droplet break-up during emulsification. This will reduce the particle size, which in turn increased the stability and viscosity of the system. Beyond this loading, the emulsion stability began to decline. Afterward, the effects of different homogenization parameters on emulsion stability were investigated. The emulsion was prepared using paddle agitator and high-shear mixer. Sorbitan monooleate(Span 80) at constant 3% loading as emulsifier. Three parameters were monitored in this section, namely stirring intensity, homogenization temperature and time. The results showed that the optimum homogenization conditions are: stirring intensity, 5000 rpm; homogenization temperature, 80°C and homogenization time, 30 minutes. Exceed these conditions as commonly referred as over-processing; it will impair the emulsion particle size and the emulsion stability.