

**FABRICATION OF IPMC BIOMIMETIC FLAPPING THRUSTER AND ITS  
SPEED CONTROL BASED ON NAFION MEMBRANE**

**by**

**MUHAMMAD ALIFF BIN ROSLY**

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements**

**for the degree of**

**Master of Science**

**January 2016**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank Allah for giving me guidance, strength and blessing to complete this study.

I also would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Zahurin Samad for his inspiring advice, support and guidance throughout this research. His vast experience, technical knowledge and expertise are vital in guiding me to face difficulties along the study.

A million thanks to my research collaborator, PhD student Mr Muhammad Farid Shaari for his knowledge sharing and beneficial scientific discussion. My gratitude also goes to Automation Control Laboratory staff, Mr Ali Shabana and Mr Hashim Nordin for their consistent support. For my research colleagues Mr Cham Chin Long, Mr Ameer Mohamed Abdeel Aziz Mohamed Hanafee, Mr Mohd Izzat Ahmad Ghazali and Mr Muhammad Husaini Abu Bakar, thank you for your technical assistance and friendship.

Besides, my appreciation also goes to the Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) and Ministry of Education (MOE) for the facilities and financial supports.

Last but not least, I wish to extend my whole-hearted thanks to my beloved wife Mrs Dayana Kamaruzaman, our parents and all family members for their unconditional support, understanding, encouragement and love.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	iii
LIST OF TABLES .....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS .....	xiv
ABSTRAK .....	xvi
ABSTRACT .....	xviii

### CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION

1.1 Chapter overview .....	1
1.2 Research background .....	1
1.3 Problem statements .....	3
1.4 Objectives .....	5
1.5 Scope of studies .....	5
1.6 Research approaches .....	6
1.7 Thesis outline .....	7

### CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Chapter overview .....	9
2.2 Ionic Polymer Metal Composite (IPMC) .....	9

2.2.1	Structure, mechanism, and characteristic .....	10
2.2.2	Perfluorinated ionomer membrane (Nafion) fabrication methods ...	11
2.2.3	IPMC fabrication methods .....	14
2.2.4	Characteristic and performance improvement methods.....	16
2.3	Biomimetic underwater small robot thruster.....	21
2.3.1	Fish robot .....	22
2.3.2	Jellyfish and squid robot .....	24
2.4	Control design .....	25
2.4.1	IPMC deflection tip displacement control .....	25
2.4.2	Control variable consideration .....	28
2.4.3	Speed measurement for thruster control .....	29
2.5	PID controller and parameter tuning .....	30
2.6	Summary .....	32

## CHAPTER 3 - METHODOLOGY

3.1	Chapter overview .....	34
3.2	Fabrication of IPMC actuator.....	35
3.2.1	Physical hot pressing.....	36
3.2.2	Chemical electroless plating .....	38
3.2.3	Characteristic and performance improvement .....	42
3.3	IPMC actuator performance verification.....	42
3.3.1	Tip displacement experiment .....	43
3.3.2	Force experiment.....	44
3.4	Thruster design configuration .....	45
3.5	Control and data logging configuration 1; MATLAB/Simulink interface.....	47
3.5.1	Experiment setup.....	49
3.5.2	Simulink dead zones and H-bridge circuit details .....	52

3.5.3	Measured performance.....	53
3.6	Control and data logging configuration 2; Arduino IDE and PLX-DAQ interfaces .....	55
3.6.1	Experiment setup.....	56
3.6.2	Arduino capabilities improvement.....	57
3.6.3	Measured performance.....	57
3.7	Speed control system of biomimetic flapping thruster development.....	58
3.7.1	Speed measurement using IMU device.....	58
3.7.2	Accelerometer and magnetometer calibration .....	62
3.7.3	Control system design.....	62
3.7.4	Experimental setup.....	64
3.7.5	Experiment measured performance.....	65

## CHAPTER 4 - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Chapter overview .....	67
4.2	Fabricated materials .....	67
4.2.1	Multiple thicknesses of Nafion membrane .....	67
4.2.2	Multiple thicknesses of IPMC actuator.....	69
4.3	IPMC actuator performance verification.....	71
4.3.1	Tip displacement measurement.....	71
4.3.2	Generated force measurement.....	73
4.3.3	IPMC actuator fabrication summary .....	75
4.4	Thruster design consideration .....	75
4.4.1	Thruster design 1 (fish shape).....	75
4.4.2	Thruster design 2 (salp shape).....	77
4.4.3	Thruster design summary.....	78
4.5	MATLAB/Simulink interface .....	78

4.5.1	PID parameters tuning effect .....	79
4.5.2	Steady-state response .....	80
4.5.3	Sinusoidal wave tracking performance .....	82
4.5.4	Capability and limitation of current configuration.....	87
4.6	Arduino IDE and PLX-DAQ interfaces .....	88
4.6.1	Steady-state response comparison and improvement results .....	88
4.6.2	Control and data logging configuration summary .....	91
4.7	Speed control system of biomimetic flapping thruster development.....	92
4.7.1	Speed calculation verification .....	92
4.7.2	Calibration result .....	93
4.7.3	Filtering effect.....	96
4.7.4	Open loop result .....	97
4.7.5	Closed loop result.....	99
4.7.6	Summary .....	100

## CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSION

5.1	Conclusions .....	101
5.2	Contributions .....	103
5.3	Recommendations .....	104

REFERENCES.....	106
-----------------	-----

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS .....	113
----------------------------	-----

## APPENDICES

Speed closed loop control code

## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>Page</b>
Table 3.1. Arduino parameter setting	51
Table 3.2. Control and data logging interfaces comparison	57
Table 4.1. Fabricated Nafion membrane samples through physical hot pressing	68
Table 4.2. Fabricated IPMC actuator samples through electroless plating	70
Table 4.3. Tip displacement experiment result and comparison	72
Table 4.4. Tip generated force experiment result and comparison	74
Table 4.5. Tracking error comparison for all conditions	85
Table 4.6. IPMC actuator performance comparison	90
Table 4.7. Voltage to IPMC and current range with different supplied voltage	98

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>Page</b>
Figure 2.1. IPMC actuation mechanism (Bhandari et al., 2012)	10
Figure 2.2. Nafion solution casting method (Kim et al., 2003)	12
Figure 2.3. Nafion membrane hot pressing setup (Sang Jun et al., 2006)	13
Figure 2.4. Nafion resin hot pressing setup (Viljar et al., 2013)	13
Figure 2.5. Schematic illustration of physical metal loading fabricated IPMC (Shahinpoor and Kim, 2002)	15
Figure 2.6. IPMC fabrication using Ag nano-powder (Chung et al., 2006)	16
Figure 2.7. Length, width and thickness effect to the blocking force (Kim et al., 2007a)	17
Figure 2.8. Generative force, capacitance and resistance vs. preheating time (Nakamura et al., 2009)	17
Figure 2.9. Generative force, capacitance and resistance vs. number of plating repetitions (Nakamura et al., 2009)	18
Figure 2.10. IPMC cross section. A: Pt layer, B: Conducting electrode layer or boundary layer, C: Nafion membrane layer (Nakamura et al., 2009)	19
Figure 2.11. Generative tip force vs. actuation voltage for different cations (Lee et al., 2006)	20
Figure 2.12. Parylene uncoated and coated performance comparison (a) uncoated displacement response (b) coated displacement response (c) generated force comparison (Seong Jun et al., 2006)	21
Figure 2.13. IPMC biomimetic underwater robot classification (Chu et al., 2012)	22



Figure 2.14.	(a) Fish-like underwater vehicle free-locomotion (b) Comparison between model prediction and experimental data (surge $X$ , sway $Y$ , and yaw $Z$ motions) (Aureli et al., 2010)	23
Figure 2.15.	(a) Conventional IPMC actuator (b) Conventional IPMC with passive caudal fin (c) Patterned IPMC actuator (d) Active bending/twisting fin based on patterned IPMC (Viljar et al., 2013)	23
Figure 2.16.	(a) Jellyfish robot based on IPMC actuator (b) Floating velocity comparison between bio-inspired frequency and pure sinusoidal input (Sung-Weon and Il-Kwon, 2009)	24
Figure 2.17.	(a) Contractile water jet thruster (CWJT) (b) CWJT below main hull (Shaari and Samad, 2013; Shaari et al., 2013)	25
Figure 2.18.	Open loop response (Richardson et al., 2003)	26
Figure 2.19.	Closed loop response (Richardson et al., 2003)	26
Figure 2.20.	Self-sensing IPMC actuator (a) surface area principle (b) generative voltage principle (Punning et al., 2007a; Punning et al., 2007b)	27
Figure 2.21.	Integrated sensing IPMC actuator (a) IPMC/Strain gage (b) IPMC/PVDF (Leang et al., 2012, Chen et al., 2008)	27
Figure 2.22.	Velocity model verification with 3.3 V square waves input (Zheng et al., 2010)	28
Figure 2.23.	Salp organism jet thrust (Sutherland and Madin, 2010)	29
Figure 2.24.	Step signal tracking graph (Haugen, 2009)	31
Figure 3.1.	Research flow chart	34
Figure 3.2.	IPMC fabrication summary	36
Figure 3.3.	Ultrasonic washer	37
Figure 3.4.	Mold	37

Figure 3.5.	Heater temperature controller	37
Figure 3.6.	Applied pressure by hydraulic press	38
Figure 3.7.	Water bath	39
Figure 3.8.	Nafion membrane in Pt complex solution	40
Figure 3.9.	Reduction agent addition into heated beaker	41
Figure 3.10.	Fabricated IPMC in $\text{LiCl}_2$ solution	42
Figure 3.11.	Tip displacement experiment setup	44
Figure 3.12.	Force experiment setup	45
Figure 3.13.	First thruster design sketch	46
Figure 3.14.	Second thruster design sketch	47
Figure 3.15.	Arduino micro controller	48
Figure 3.16.	ArduinoIO Library in MATLAB/Simulink	49
Figure 3.17.	IPMC actuator deflection angle closed loop diagram	49
Figure 3.18.	IPMC actuator deflection angle explanation	50
Figure 3.19.	Experimental setup	50
Figure 3.20.	MATLAB/Simulink Model	52
Figure 3.21.	H-bridge mechanism	53
Figure 3.22.	H-bridge circuit schematic	53
Figure 3.23.	Arduino IDE written code	55
Figure 3.24.	PLX-DAQ interface	56
Figure 3.25.	IMU device orientation measurement axes	59
Figure 3.26.	Integration through Trapezoidal method	60
Figure 3.27.	Accelerometer fluctuation caused by DC bias	61
Figure 3.28.	Accelerometer drift caused by linear movement	61
Figure 3.29.	DFRduino L298N Shield stacked above Arduino micro controller	63

Figure 3.30.	Open loop diagram of speed control system	64
Figure 3.31.	Closed loop diagram of speed control system	64
Figure 3.32.	Experimental setup	65
Figure 3.33.	Thruster schematic	65
Figure 4.1.	Unbalance force distribution during press process	69
Figure 4.2.	Generative force response measurement	74
Figure 4.3.	Actual fabricated thruster 1 (fish robot)	76
Figure 4.4.	Thruster 1 movement observation	76
Figure 4.5.	Actual fabricated thruster 2 (salp robot)	77
Figure 4.6.	Thruster 2 movement observation	78
Figure 4.7.	$K_p$ increment effect to the IPMC $t3$ transient stage response rise time	79
Figure 4.8.	$K_p$ increment effect to the IPMC $t3$ steady state stability	80
Figure 4.9.	PID controller tuning results for different IPMC actuator thicknesses (a) IPMC $t1$ (b) IPMC $t2$ (c) IPMC $t3$	81
Figure 4.10.	Tracking results for square wave 0.05 Hz reference input (a) IPMC $t1$ (b) IPMC $t2$ (c) IPMC $t3$	82
Figure 4.11.	IPMC $t1$ tracking responses to sinusoidal waves (a) 0.05 Hz (b) 0.25 Hz (c) 0.5 Hz	83
Figure 4.12.	IPMC $t2$ tracking responses to sinusoidal waves (a) 0.05 Hz (b) 0.25 Hz (c) 0.5 Hz	84
Figure 4.13.	IPMC $t3$ tracking responses to sinusoidal waves (a) 0.05 Hz (b) 0.25 Hz (c) 0.5 Hz	85
Figure 4.14.	Tracking error ( $e_{max}$ , $e_{rms}$ ) comparison for all IPMC thicknesses when supplied with different frequencies of sine wave input	86

Figure 4.15.	Step signal tracking result for (a) IPMC $t1$ (b) IPMC $t2$ (c) IPMC $t3$	89
Figure 4.16.	Sampling period for PLX-DAQ data logging interfaces	90
Figure 4.17.	Steady state noise comparison between interfaces	91
Figure 4.18.	Trapezoidal method code	92
Figure 4.19.	Trapezoidal method input output validation	92
Figure 4.20.	FreeIMU software calibration output	93
Figure 4.21.	(a) Accelerometer uncalibrate and calibrated all axes data comparison (b) Accelerometer uncalibrate projected data onto 2D (XY, XZ, YZ) planes (c) Accelerometer calibrated projected data onto 2D (XY, XZ, YZ) planes	94
Figure 4.22.	(a) Magnetometer uncalibrate and calibrated all axes data comparison (b) Magnetometer uncalibrate projected data onto 2D (XY, XZ, YZ) planes (c) Magnetometer calibrated projected data onto 2D (XY, XZ, YZ) planes	95
Figure 4.23.	Low and high pass filter effect on accelerometer data in linear movement	96
Figure 4.24.	High pass filter effect on speed data in linear movement	97
Figure 4.25.	Heading angle comparison with different supplied voltage	98
Figure 4.26.	Instantaneous speed comparison with different supplied voltage	99
Figure 4.27.	Instantaneous speed control	99

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BCA	Body/caudal Actuation
DAQ	Data acquisition
DC	Direct coupling
DI	Deionized
EAP	Electroactive polymer
GPS	Global positioning system
IC	Integrated circuit
IMU	Inertial measurement unit
IPMC	Ionic polymer metal composite
JET	Jet propulsion
MPA	Median/paired Actuation
PID	Proportional integral derivative
PVDF	Polyvinylidene difluoride
PWM	Pulse-width modulation
PZT	Plumbum/ lead zirconate titanate
SMA	Shape memory alloy

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Description</b>
-SO <sub>2</sub> F	Sulfonyl fluoride form
-SO <sub>3</sub> K	Potassium salt form
-SO <sub>3</sub> Na	Sodium salt form
Ag	Silver
Au	Gold
$e(t)$	Tracking error
$e_{max}(t)$	Maximum tracking error
$e_{rms}(t)$	Root-mean-squared tracking error
$F_{max}$	Maximum generative force
$F_t$	Generative force at a certain time
H <sup>+</sup>	Hydrogen cation
HCl	Hydrochloric
KOH	Potassium hydroxide
Li <sup>+</sup>	Lithium cation
LiCl <sub>2</sub>	Lithium chloride
M	Molar

$\text{NaBH}_4$	Sodium borohydride
$\text{NaOH}$	Sodium hydroxide
$\text{NH}_2\text{NH}_2$	Hydrazine
$\text{NH}_2\text{OH}\cdot\text{HCl}$	Hydroxylamine hydrochloride
$\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$	Ammonium hydroxide
$\text{Pt}$	Platinum
$[\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_4]\text{Cl}_2$	Platinum complex
$t$	Time
$\Delta t$	Response time
$\overline{\Delta t}$	Average response time
$T_d$	Delay time
$T_r$	Rise time

# **FABRIKASI PENUJAH MENGIBAS BIOMIMETIK IPMC DAN KAWALAN LAJUNYA BERASASKAN MEMBRAN NAFION**

## **ABSTRAK**

Keperluan yang semakin meningkat bagi pengesahan maklumat permulaan yang sebenar dalam ruang kecil untuk mana-mana operasi di bawah air yang melibatkan mencari dan menyelamat, penerokaan arkeologi dan kajian oseanografi telah mendorong pembangunan penujah mengibas biomimetik bawah air yang menggunakan penggerak pintar Komposit Logam Polimer Ionik (IPMC). Walau bagaimanapun, salah satu masalah yang melibatkan penujah seperti ini ialah penjanaan kuasa tujah yang tidak konsisten dan tidak terkawal kerana tiada mekanisma kawalan yang dicadangkan. Oleh itu, objektif utama kajian ini ialah untuk melengkapkan penujah mengibas biomimetik dengan sistem kawalan laju untuk memastikan pergerakan penujah ini akan mengikuti kelajuan yang telah ditetapkan. Pertama, pengesahan pergerakan dan kebolehkawalan penggerak IPMC yang telah difabrikasi dilakukan. Perisian MATLAB/Simulink dan Arduino IDE/PLX-DAQ telah digunakan sebagai konfigurasi kawalan dan perakaman maklumat untuk membangunkan sistem kawalan menggunakan pengawal mikro Arduino. Analisis keputusan tindak balas pemasangan penggerak IPMC yang berdasarkan suap balik pengesan laser membuktikan bahawa penggerak IPMC yang telah difabrikasi berjaya menjejaki isyarat masukan seperti isyarat langkah, gelombang persegi, dan gelombang sinus. Oleh itu, ia mampu menghasilkan pergerakan mengibas yang diperlukan dalam penujah berbentuk ikan. Kemudian penggerak IPMC telah digunakan sebagai sirip belakang penujah untuk menggerakkan badan penujah pada kelajuan tertentu. Satu peranti Unit Pengukuran Inersia (IMU) telah digunakan sebagai pengesan kelajuan dan orientasi dalam



eksperimen kawalan gelung terbuka dan gelung tertutup. Keputusan gelung terbuka membuktikan bahawa kelajuan semasa penujah yang dijana bertambah dengan peningkatan amplitud voltan kepada IPMC. Berdasarkan maklumat ini, sistem kawalan gelung tertutup telah dibangunkan, dan prestasinya telah disahkan. Dengan mengawal amplitud voltan, sistem kawalan gelung tertutup berjaya mengawal kelajuan semasa penujah di sekitar sasaran 1.5 cm/s. Kesimpulannya, kelajuan penujah mengibas berasaskan IPMC boleh dikawal secara berkesan dengan menggunakan peranti IMU sebagai maklum balas kelajuan. Pengetahuan penyelidikan ini adalah penting dalam menyediakan satu penyelesaian kepada penyelidik robot biomimetik berasaskan IPMC yang lain untuk mengawal kuasa tujah.

# **FABRICATION OF IPMC BIOMIMETIC FLAPPING THRUSTER AND ITS SPEED CONTROL BASED ON NAFION MEMBRANE**

## **ABSTRACT**

The increasing need for real preliminary information verification in small space for any underwater operations involving search and rescue, archaeological exploration and oceanographic studies had inspired the development of biomimetic underwater flapping thruster using Ionic Polymer Metal Composite (IPMC) smart actuator. However, one of the problems with this kind of thruster is the inconsistent and uncontrollable thrust generation due to no proposed control mechanism. Therefore, the main objective of this research is to equip this biomimetic flapping thruster with speed control system to ensure the motion of this thruster is following the pre-determined speed. Firstly, the fabricated IPMC actuator's actuation and controllability were verified. MATLAB/Simulink and ArduinoIDE/PLX-DAQ interfaces were utilized as control and data logging configurations to develop control system using Arduino micro controller. Results analysis of IPMC actuator deflection response from laser sensor feedback proves that the fabricated IPMC actuator managed to track step, square wave, and sinusoidal wave input signal. Hence, it is capable of producing a flapping actuation that was needed in a fish like thruster. Then the IPMC actuator was utilized as the thruster's caudal fin to move the thruster body at certain speed. An Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) device was used as the speed and orientation sensor for open loop and closed loop control experiments. Open loop results prove that the generated thruster instantaneous speed increases with the increases of amplitude of voltage to IPMC. Based on this information, a closed loop control system was developed, and its performance was verified. By controlling the voltage amplitude, the closed loop control system managed to control

thruster's instantaneous speed around the 1.5 cm/s setpoint. In conclusion, the speed of IPMC based flapping thruster can be effectively controlled by using IMU device as a speed feedback. This research knowledge is critical in providing other IPMC based biomimetic robot researcher a solution for controlling the thrust generation.

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Chapter overview

This chapter describes a brief background explanation of the research. It also provides the problem statements, research objectives, scope of studies, and research approaches. The general thesis outline is presented at the end of this chapter.

### 1.2 Research background

The increasing need for real information verification in a small space especially for any underwater operations involving search and rescue, archaeological exploration and oceanographic studies had inspired the development of biomimetic underwater small robot thruster using smart actuators in recent years (Chu et al., 2012; Roper et al., 2011). This need was strengthened by recent series of high-profile incidents such as Malaysia Airlines Flight MH370 disappearance in Southern Indian Ocean (Wikipedia, 2014b), Indonesia Air Asia Flight QZ8501 crash in Java Sea (Wikipedia, 2014a), and the sinking of MV Sewol ferry in South Korea Sea (Wikipedia, 2014c). During an underwater operation to rescue the victims or to salvage the sunken vehicle body, the rescuer may face difficulties to operate in a tight space inside the sunken vehicle due to limited of surrounding information. Therefore, there is a need for the small underwater robot that can access this tight area. Thus, the development of controllable small underwater robot is being considered in this research.

Fish robots which implemented undulatory and oscillatory actuator movement as propulsion system were introduced (Heo et al., 2007; Low et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Low, 2007). Moreover, jet propulsion of jellyfish and squid

locomotion also were referred in designing underwater robot thruster (Sung-Weon and Il-Kwon, 2009; Yunchun et al., 2007; Alex et al., 2011; Shaari et al., 2013; Joseph et al., 2012). In this kind of biomimetic underwater small robot thrusters or propulsion systems, more compatible smart actuators like ionic polymer metal composite (IPMC), shape memory alloy (SMA) and plumbum/lead zirconate titanate (PZT) were implemented instead of using conventional motor as an actuator. However, this research only focuses on the IPMC actuator; a bending actuator first discovered by Oguro et al. (1993). It is made of ion exchangeable polymer membrane and noble metal electrodes which response to external electric stimulation. The reason for this actuator selection is due to its beneficial properties such as light weight, biocompatibility and its capability to be used directly underwater (Kim et al., 2007b). These properties make IPMC more preferable smart actuator as it can reduce small underwater robot total weight and prevent the usage of costly water proofing accessories. On top of that, IPMC has specific advantages over other smart actuators. IPMC has a superior response over SMA, the important characteristic of excellence actuator. Moreover, IPMC is more suitable for small size robot due to its lower power consumption property compared to PZT (Chu et al., 2012; Bhandari et al., 2012).

However, one of the problems with IPMC actuator based biomimetic underwater small robot flapping thruster is the inconsistent and uncontrollable thrust generation due to no proposed control mechanism (Chen et al., 2012; Sung-Weon and Il-Kwon, 2009; Shaari et al., 2013). Previous researchers proposed direct feedback control using the integrated sensor/actuator scheme that is based on actuator deflection feedback. However, these methods cannot utilize full IPMC actuator potential such as large displacement actuation range (Zheng et al., 2007; Leang et al., 2012; Sisi et al., 2010). Besides, physical bonding between sensor and actuator may interrupt the

actuator reaction. There is also a thought of using camera and hydrodynamic knowledge in measuring the system output feedback such as thrust force; similar to experiment done by Sutherland and Madin (2010) which used camera and dye coloring to measure propulsion jet wake of salps organism. But the complicity of measurement and usage of external apparatus restrict the application. Thus, instead of measuring the thrust force directly, speed measurement using Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) device as a feedback is proposed in this research. Taking inspiration from previously explained underwater robot project, speed control system of IPMC based biomimetic flapping thruster is introduced.

### **1.3 Problem statements**

Three main problems are identified in developing speed control system of IPMC based biomimetic flapping thruster in this research.

(a) Current IPMC actuator cost is very high.

Shipping cost, currency exchange fluctuation, and fabrication costs made imported IPMC actuator price is very high for developing countries researcher. For instance, price for even a small piece of IPMC actuator (5 mm × 30 mm × 0.2 mm) can reach up to USD 200 which is equivalent to MYR 761.80 (exchange rate USD/MYR 3.81) (Environmental Robot Inc., 2015). This high cost restricts any risky testing experiment or development during research work, thus preventing research potential to be achieved. Therefore, for this research usage, fabrication of IPMC actuator is internally conducted to minimize the cost.

(b) Suitable thruster design and fabricated IPMC actuator control configuration are initially undecided.

There are two types of biomimetic thruster designs which are actuated by IPMC actuator: fish robot and jellyfish/squid robot. Which one is the suitable thruster design configuration to this research is initially undecided. Therefore, design feasibility study based on their movement observation inside the aquarium is being conducted to decide the design. After the design is confirmed, it is necessary to decide the IPMC actuator control configuration based on the selected actuation mechanism. Therefore, even though there are many IPMC control related literatures out there (Mallavarapu and Leo, 2001; Richardson et al., 2003; Chen and Tan, 2008; Ahn et al., 2010; Bhat and Kim, 2004), there is still a need to find out and verify whether fabricated IPMC actuator is controllable underwater.

(c) Direct physical integration of feedback sensor with IPMC actuator limits the actuation displacement and generative force.

Chen et al. (2008) stated that the integrated IPMC/PVDF sensory actuator has limitation for IPMC applications where actuator deformation is relatively large. Moreover, sensors physical bonding to the IPMC actuator surface may interrupt the structural and actuation properties of IPMC actuator itself. For example, added sensor stiffness interrupted the generative force versus applied voltage relationship of IPMC as reported by (Nemat-Nasser, 2002). Besides, the electromechanical transduction reaction underneath IPMC actuator like hydrated ion movement and electrolysis may be disturbed by bonded sensor surface (Nemat-Nasser and Li, 2000). Thus, to avoid unnecessary complications, the best way is to avoid any contact between used sensor and IPMC actuator. Unlike conventional and unpractical

laser sensor, the compact setup still can be achieved through the use of small IMU device.

#### **1.4 Objectives**

The general aim of this thesis is to develop a speed control system of IPMC based biomimetic flapping thruster. For that reason, the following objectives must be achieved.

- (a) To fabricate different thicknesses of IPMC actuator using the physical hot pressing method and the chemical electroless plating method.
- (b) To configure thruster design and then verify whether fabricated IPMC is controllable based on selected actuation type.
- (c) To develop and analyse the performance of a speed control system of IPMC based biomimetic flapping thruster using an IMU device.

#### **1.5 Scope of studies**

In this research, IPMC actuator is made of Nafion membrane and Platinum (Pt) electrodes that is fabricated through hot pressing and electroless plating methods. These fabrication methods are chosen because of the reliability and stability that have been reported (Bhandari et al., 2012). Besides, even though there are more advanced materials to produce high-performance IPMC, Nafion membrane and Platinum (Pt) materials are chosen as they are widely used in IPMC research field, hence there are abundant literature reference available (Jo et al., 2013). For IPMC actuator characteristic improvement methods, even though there are many established high impact methods, only repeated reduction and adsorption process, counter ion exchange (Hydrogen cation ( $H^+$ ) with Lithium cation ( $Li^+$ )), preheat