

**IMPULSE RESPONSE ON THE RELATIONSHIP
BETWEEN STOCK PRICE, OIL PRICE AND FUTURES:
REGIONAL RESPONSE AMONG ASIAN EQUITIES**

by

CHAN SHEAU TYNG

**Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Science in Statistics**

June 2007

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to express my appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Zainudin Arsad who has given guidance and assistance in order to understand the methodologies used in this dissertation and for the encouragement from the very beginning. In addition, I would also like to thank all my friends who have been very helpful when any problems arise. Last but not least, I would like to express my gratefulness to my family members who have been very encouraging throughout the period this dissertation is carried out. This dissertation would not be completed successfully without the help and encouragement by all the individuals.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
ABSTRAK	xiv
ABSTRACT	xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.1.1 Kuala Lumpur Composite Index	2
1.1.2 Market efficiency	3
1.1.3 Effect of Asian Financial Crisis on Malaysia	4
1.2 Objectives	6
1.3 Data	7
1.4 Literature Review	8
CHAPTER 2 METHODOLOGY	
2.1 Summary of Methodology	19
2.2 Descriptive Statistics	21
2.2.1 Mean	21
2.2.2 Standard deviation	22
2.2.3 Coefficients of skewness and kurtosis	23
2.2.4 Jarque-Bera statistics	23
2.3 Inferential Statistics	24
2.3.1 Correlation analysis	24

2.3.2	Regression analysis	25
2.4	Unit Root Test	26
2.4.1	Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test	28
2.4.2	Phillips-Perron (PP) test	30
2.5	Lag Length Criteria for VAR model	31
2.5.1	Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)	32
2.5.2	Schwartz Information Criterion (SIC)	32
2.5.3	Final Prediction Error (FPE)	33
2.6	Vector Autoregression (VAR) Model	33
2.7	Cointegration Tests	35
2.7.1	The trace test	36
2.7.2	The maximum eigenvalue test	36
2.8	Granger causality test	36
2.9	Impulse Response Analyses	37
2.10	Variance Decomposition	39
2.11	Sensitivity Analysis	40

CHAPTER 3 PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS

3.1	Time Series Plot for KLCI, Macroeconomic Variables and Asian Stock Markets	42
3.2	Descriptive Statistics	47
3.3	Correlation	50
3.4	Regression Analysis	53
3.5	Unit Root Test	56

CHAPTER 4 EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1	VAR Model for KLCI and Macroeconomic Variables	67
4.1.1	Lag length criterion	67
4.1.2	Cointegration test	68
4.1.3	Short run Vector Autoregression	72
4.2	VAR Model for Asian Stock Markets	74
4.2.1	Lag length criterion	75

4.2.2	Cointegration test	75
4.2.3	Short run Vector Autoregression	76
4.3	Developing of Cholesky Ordering from Granger Causality	77
CHAPTER 5	RESULT OF IMPULSE RESPONSE AND VARIANCE DECOMPOSITION	
5.1	Dynamic Interaction based on Generalized Impulse Response	79
5.1.1	Impulse response for KLCI and macroeconomic variables	79
5.1.2	Impulse response for Asian stock markets	89
5.2	Variance Decompositions for KLCI, Macroeconomic Variables and Asian Stock Markets	93
5.2.1	Variance decompositions for macroeconomic variables	94
5.2.2	Variance decompositions for Asian stock markets	105
CHAPTER 6	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	
6.1	VAR Models for KLCI and Macroeconomic Variables	112
6.2	Impulse Response for KLCI and Macroeconomic Variables	112
6.3	Variance Decompositions for KLCI and Macroeconomic Variables	122
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSION	
7.1	Summary of Results and Discussion	130
7.2	Contribution of Study	133
7.3	Suggestions for Future Research	134
BIBLIOGRAPHY		136
APPENDICES		
APPENDIX A: DATA		140

APPENDIX B: IMPULSE RESPONSE FOR MACROECONOMIC VARIABLES AND ASIAN STOCK MARKETS	145
APPENDIX C: VARIANCE DECOMPOSITIONS FOR MACROECONOMIC VARIABLES	147
APPENDIX D: DYNAMIC INTERACTION FOR SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	150
APPENDIX E: IMPULSE RESPONSE FOR SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	156
APPENDIX F: VARIANCE DECOMPOSITIONS FOR SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	162

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1.3(a)	List of macroeconomic variables	8
1.3(b)	List of Asian stock markets	8
2.7.1	Summary of granger causality test	37
3.2(a)	Descriptive statistic for KLCI and macroeconomic variables for whole sample	48
3.2(b)	Descriptive statistic for KLCI and macroeconomic variables during the Asian Crisis Period	48
3.2(c)	Descriptive statistic for KLCI and macroeconomic variables during the Recovery Period	48
3.2(d)	Descriptive statistic for Asian stock markets	50
3.3(a)	Correlation coefficients for whole sample (macroeconomic variables)	51
3.3(b)	Correlation coefficients during the Asian Crisis Period (macroeconomic variables)	51
3.3(c)	Correlation coefficients during the Recovery Period (macroeconomic variables)	51
3.3(d)	Correlation coefficients for Asian stock markets	53
3.4(a)	Simple regression analysis (macroeconomic variables)	54
3.4(b)	Multiple regression analysis (macroeconomic variables)	55
3.4(c)	Simple regression analysis (Asian stock markets)	56
3.4(d)	Multiple regression analysis (Asian stock markets)	56
3.5.1(a)	Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test for whole sample (macroeconomic variables)	59
3.5.1(b)	Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test during the Asian Crisis Period (macroeconomic variables)	60
3.5.1(c)	Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test during the Recovery Period (macroeconomic variables)	61
3.5.1(d)	Phillips-Perron (PP) test for whole sample (macroeconomic variables)	62
3.5.1(e)	Phillips-Perron (PP) test during the Asian Crisis Period (macroeconomic variables)	63
3.5.1(f)	Phillips-Perron (PP) test during the Recovery Period (macroeconomic variables)	64
3.5.1(g)	Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test for Asian stock markets	65

3.5.1(h)	Phillips-Perron (PP) test for Asian stock markets	66
4.1.1(a)	Lag order selection criteria for whole sample	68
4.1.1(b)	Lag order selection criteria for the Recovery Period	68
4.1.2(a)	Number of cointegration relations by model for whole sample	69
4.1.2(b)	Johansen-Juselius cointegration tests for whole sample	69
4.1.2(c)	Number of cointegration relations by model for the Asian Crisis Period	70
4.1.2(d)	Johansen-Juselius cointegration tests for the Asian Crisis Period	70
4.1.2(e)	Number of cointegration relations by model for the Recovery Period	70
4.1.2(f)	Johansen-Juselius cointegration tests for the Recovery Period	70
4.2.1(a)	Lag order selection criterion	75
4.2.2(a)	Number of cointegration relations by model for Asian stock markets	76
4.2.2(b)	Johansen-Juselius cointegration tests for Asian stock markets	76
5.2.1(a)	Variance decompositions: variance of KLCI	97
5.2.1(b)	Variance decompositions: variance of CPI	97
5.2.1(c)	Variance decompositions: variance of FUT	97
5.2.1(d)	Variance decompositions: variance of M1	98
5.2.1(e)	Variance decompositions: variance of OIL	98
5.2.1(f)	Variance decompositions: variance of STG	98
5.2.1(g)	Variance decompositions: variance of TBR	98
5.2.1(h)	Variance decompositions: variance of KLCI (Crisis and Recovery Period)	103
5.2.1(i)	Variance decompositions: variance of CPI (Crisis and Recovery Period)	104
5.2.1(j)	Variance decompositions: variance of FUT (Crisis and Recovery Period)	104
5.2.1(k)	Variance decompositions: variance of M1 (Crisis and Recovery Period)	104
5.2.1(l)	Variance decompositions: variance of OIL (Crisis and Recovery Period)	104
5.2.1(m)	Variance decompositions: variance of STG (Crisis and Recovery Period)	105

5.2.1(n)	Variance decompositions: variance of TBR (Crisis and Recovery Period)	105
5.2.2(a)	Variance decompositions: variance of KLCI	109
5.2.2(b)	Variance decompositions: variance of BSE	109
5.2.2(c)	Variance decompositions: variance of HSI	109
5.2.2(d)	Variance decompositions: variance of NIK	110
5.2.2(e)	Variance decompositions: variance of SSE	110
5.2.2(f)	Variance decompositions: variance of STI	110
6.3(a)	Variance decompositions: variance of KLCI	128
6.3(b)	Variance decompositions: variance of macroeconomic variables	129
A.1	Monthly data for KLCI and macroeconomic variables	140
A.2	Monthly data for Asian stock indices	143
C.1	Variance decompositions: variance of KLCI (Crisis Period)	147
C.2	Variance decompositions: variance of CPI (Crisis Period)	147
C.3	Variance decompositions: variance of FUT (Crisis Period)	147
C.4	Variance decompositions: variance of M1 (Crisis Period)	147
C.5	Variance decompositions: variance of OIL (Crisis Period)	148
C.6	Variance decompositions: variance of STG (Crisis Period)	148
C.7	Variance decompositions: variance of TBR (Crisis Period)	148
C.8	Variance decompositions: variance of KLCI (Recovery Period)	148
C.9	Variance decompositions: variance of CPI (Recovery Period)	148
C.10	Variance decompositions: variance of FUT (Recovery Period)	149
C.11	Variance decompositions: variance of M1 (Recovery Period)	149
C.12	Variance decompositions: variance of OIL (Recovery Period)	149
C.13	Variance decompositions: variance of STG (Recovery Period)	149
C.14	Variance decompositions: variance of TBR (Recovery Period)	149
D.1	Lag order selection criteria for bivariate and 4-variables setting	150
D.2	Number of cointegration relations by model for bivariate and 4-variables setting	152
D.3	Johansen-Juselius cointegration tests for bivariate and 4-variables setting	154
F.1	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, CPI}	162
F.2	Variance of CPI {KLCI, CPI}	162

F.3	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, FUT}	162
F.4	Variance of FUT {KLCI, FUT}	162
F.5	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, M1}	162
F.6	Variance of M1 {KLCI, M1}	162
F.7	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, OIL}	162
F.8	Variance of OIL {KLCI, OIL}	162
F.9	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, STG}	162
F.10	Variance of STG {KLCI, STG}	162
F.11	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, CPI, FUT, M1}	163
F.12	Variance of CPI {KLCI, CPI, FUT, M1}	163
F.13	Variance of FUT {KLCI, CPI, FUT, M1}	163
F.14	Variance of M1 {KLCI, CPI, FUT, M1}	163
F.15	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, CPI, FUT, OIL}	163
F.16	Variance of CPI {KLCI, CPI, FUT, OIL}	164
F.17	Variance of FUT {KLCI, CPI, FUT, OIL}	164
F.18	Variance of OIL {KLCI, CPI, FUT, OIL}	164
F.19	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, CPI, FUT, STG}	164
F.20	Variance of CPI {KLCI, CPI, FUT, STG}	164
F.21	Variance of FUT {KLCI, CPI, FUT, STG}	165
F.22	Variance of STG {KLCI, CPI, FUT, STG}	165
F.23	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, CPI, M1, OIL}	165
F.24	Variance of CPI {KLCI, CPI, M1, OIL}	165
F.25	Variance of M1 {KLCI, CPI, M1, OIL}	165
F.26	Variance of OIL {KLCI, CPI, M1, OIL}	166
F.27	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, CPI, M1, STG}	166
F.28	Variance of CPI {KLCI, CPI, M1, STG}	166
F.29	Variance of M1 {KLCI, CPI, M1, STG}	166
F.30	Variance of STG {KLCI, CPI, M1, STG}	166
F.31	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, CPI, OIL, STG}	167
F.32	Variance of CPI {KLCI, CPI, OIL, STG}	167
F.33	Variance of OIL {KLCI, CPI, OIL, STG}	167
F.34	Variance of STG {KLCI, CPI, OIL, STG}	167
F.35	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, FUT, M1, OIL}	167

F.36	Variance of FUT {KLCI, FUT, M1, OIL}	168
F.37	Variance of M1 {KLCI, FUT, M1, OIL}	168
F.38	Variance of OIL {KLCI, FUT, M1, OIL}	168
F.39	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, FUT, M1, STG}	168
F.40	Variance of FUT {KLCI, FUT, M1, STG}	168
F.41	Variance of M1 {KLCI, FUT, M1, STG}	169
F.42	Variance of STG {KLCI, FUT, M1, STG}	169
F.43	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, FUT, OIL, STG}	169
F.44	Variance of FUT {KLCI, FUT, OIL, STG}	169
F.45	Variance of OIL {KLCI, FUT, OIL, STG}	169
F.46	Variance of STG {KLCI, FUT, OIL, STG}	170
F.47	Variance of KLCI {KLCI, M1, OIL, STG}	170
F.48	Variance of M1 {KLCI, M1, OIL, STG}	170
F.49	Variance of OIL {KLCI, M1, OIL, STG}	170
F.50	Variance of STG {KLCI, M1, OIL, STG}	170

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>FIGURE</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
2.1	Analyses and tests procedures	20
3.1.1(a-g)	KLCI and macroeconomic variables, January 1996 - September 2006	44
3.1.2(a-f)	Asian stock markets, January 2000 - September 2006	46
4.1.3(a)	Granger causality test for VAR estimate in whole sample	73
4.1.3(b)	Granger causality test for VAR estimate during the Asian Crisis Period	74
4.1.3(c)	Granger causality test for VAR estimate during the Recovery Period	74
4.2.3	Granger causality test for VAR estimate between Asian stock markets	77
5.1.1(a)	Responses of KLCI to macroeconomic variables for whole sample	82
5.1.1(b)	Responses of macroeconomic variables to KLCI for whole sample	83
5.1.1(c)	Responses of KLCI to macroeconomic variables during the Asian Crisis Period	84
5.1.1(d)	Responses of macroeconomic variables to KLCI during the Asian Crisis Period	85
5.1.1(e)	Responses of KLCI to macroeconomic variables during the Recovery Period	86
5.1.1(f)	Responses of macroeconomic variables to KLCI during the Recovery Period	87
5.1.2(a)	Responses of KLCI to Asian stock markets	90
5.1.2(b)	Responses of Asian stock markets to KLCI	91
6.2 (a)	Responses of KLCI to CPI and responses of CPI to KLCI	117
6.2 (b)	Responses of KLCI to FUT and responses of FUT to KLCI	118
6.2 (c)	Responses of KLCI to M1 and responses of M1 to KLCI	119
6.2 (d)	Responses of KLCI to OIL and responses of OIL to KLCI	120
6.2 (e)	Responses of KLCI to STG and responses of STG to KLCI	121
B.1	Responses of macroeconomic variables for whole sample	145
B.2	Responses of macroeconomic variables during the Asian Crisis Period	145

B.3	Responses of macroeconomic variables during the Recovery Period	146
B.4	Responses of Asian stock markets	146
E.1	Responses in {KLCI, CPI}	156
E.2	Responses in {KLCI, M1}	156
E.3	Responses in {KLCI, FUT}	156
E.4	Responses in {KLCI, OIL}	156
E.5	Responses in {KLCI, STG}	156
E.6	Responses in {KLCI, CPI, FUT, M1}	157
E.7	Responses in {KLCI, CPI, FUT, OIL}	157
E.8	Responses in {KLCI, CPI, FUT, STG}	158
E.9	Responses in {KLCI, CPI, M1, OIL}	158
E.10	Responses in {KLCI, CPI, M1, STG}	159
E.11	Responses in {KLCI, CPI, OIL, STG}	159
E.12	Responses in {KLCI, FUT, M1, OIL}	160
E.13	Responses in {KLCI, FUT, M1, STG}	160
E.14	Responses in {KLCI, FUT, OIL, STG}	161
E.15	Responses in {KLCI, M1, OIL, STG}	161

**REAKSI IMPULS TERHADAP HUBUNGAN ANTARA HARGA SAHAM,
HARGA MINYAK DAN KONTRAK HADAPAN: REAKSI SERANTAU
DI KALANGAN PASARAN EKUITI NEGARA-NEGARA ASIA**

ABSTRAK

Adalah diketahui bahawa prestasi sesuatu pasaran saham adalah dipengaruhi oleh pelbagai faktor, terutamanya pembolehubah-pembolehubah makroekonomi. Desertasi ini mengkaji hubungan jangka panjang dan hubungan jangka pendek antara pasaran saham Malaysia yang diwakili oleh Indeks Komposit Kuala Lumpur (KLCI) dan enam pembolehubah makroekonomik tempatan, iaitu Indeks Harga Pengguna (CPI), Kontrak hadapan KLCI (FUT), Penawaran wang (M1), Harga Minyak Mentah Tapis (OIL), Kadar tukaran wang Pound Sterling (STG) dan Kadar Bil Perbendaharaan (TBR). Selain itu, pertalian dinamik antara harga saham negara-negara Asia terpilih juga dikaji. Indeks yang terpilih ialah KLCI (Malaysia), Indeks Pertukaran Pasaran Bombay (BSE - India), Indeks Hang Seng (HSI - Hong Kong), Indeks Nikkei 225 (NIK - Japan), Indeks Komposit Shanghai (SSE - China) dan Indeks Straits Times (STI - Singapore). Tambahan lagi, ketegapan pertalian dinamik di antara harga saham dan pembolehubah makroekonomi juga dikaji.

Analisis dijalankan dengan menggunakan teknik-teknik yang standad dan diterima ramai, iaitu ujian punca unit, ujian kointegrasi dan analisis model VAR. Reaksi impuls dan dikomposisi varians diperoleh berdasarkan model VAR. Data bulanan dari Januari 1996 sehingga September 2006 digunakan. Bagi objektif pertama, data

dibahagikan kepada dua subsampel: Tempoh Krisis Kewangan Asia dan Tempoh Pemulihan. Ujian punca unit mencadangkan bahawa semua pembolehubah adalah pegun pada pembezaan peringkat pertama. Analisis kointegrasi menunjukkan bahawa wujud hubungan jangka panjang antara KLCI dan pembolehubah makroekonomi bagi setiap subsampel. Persamaan kointegrasi mencadangkan bahawa dalam jangka masa panjang, KLCI sentiasa mempunyai hubungan positif dengan FUT dan M1 sementara berhubung negative dengan CPI.

Secara umumnya, KLCI bertindakbalas positif and signifikan terhadap kejutan dalam FUT, M1, dan CPI. sementara STG dan TBR menunjukkan tindakbalas negatif yang serta merta terhadap kejutan dalam KLCI. Seperti yang dijangkakan, tindakbalas antara pembolehubah pada tempoh Krisis Kewangan Asia adalah amat berbeza dengan tindakbalas bagi sampel keseluruhan dan Tempoh Pemulihan. Keputusan dari analisis-analisis dikomposisi varians mencadangkan peranan utama harga minyak semasa kedua-dua subsampel.

Berdasarkan keputusan empirikal analisis kointegrasi, KLCI mempunyai hubungan positif dengan BSE, NIK dan HSI dalam jangka masa panjang. Keputusan model VAR mencadangkan bahawa STI dan HSI adalah lebih berpengaruh berbanding dengan KLCI. Keputusan dikomposisi varians menunjukkan bahawa STI, HSI, dan SSE menyumbang kepada kebanyakan variasi dalam KLCI. Tambahan lagi, dalam jangka masa panjang, perubahan pasaran di India menyumbang secara signifikan terhadap variasi di pasaran saham Asia yang lain. Analisis kepekaan menunjukkan interaksi di antara KLCI dan setiap pembolehubah makroekonomi adalah tidak tegap terhadap model yang berbeza dengan pembolehubah yang berbeza. Secara umumnya, kecekapan

pasaran tidak wujud dalam KLCI dalam KLCI kerana harga pada masa akan datang boleh diramalkan dengan menggunakan perubahan pada indeks-indeks lain dan juga perubahan pada pembolehubah makroekonomi.

ABSTRACT

It is widely known that the performance of a particular stock market is affected by various factors, particularly macroeconomic variables. This dissertation investigates long run and short run relationship between Malaysia stock market, represented by Kuala Lumpur Composite Index (KLCI) and six macroeconomic variables such as Consumer Price Index (CPI), KLCI Futures (FUT), Money Supply (M1), Tapis Crude Oil Price (OIL), Pound Sterling Exchange Rate (STG) and Treasury Bills Rate (TBR). In addition, dynamic linkages among selected Asian Stock Indices are also investigated. The selected indices are KLCI (Malaysia), Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE - India), Hang Seng Index (HSI - Hong Kong), Nikkei 225 Stock Average (NIK - Japan), Shanghai Composite Index (SSE - China) and Straits Times Index (STI - Singapore). Furthermore, the robustness of dynamic linkages between stock price and macroeconomic variables is also investigated.

The analyses applied standard and well accepted techniques of unit root test, cointegration test and analysis on VAR model. Impulse response and variance decomposition are obtained based on the VAR model. Monthly data from January 1996 to September 2006 are used. For the first objective, the data is further divided into two sub-samples: Asian Financial Crisis Period and Recovery Period. Unit root test suggests that all the variables are stationary at the first difference. Cointegration analysis shows that there exists a long run relationship between KLCI and macroeconomic variables for each of the sub-sample. Cointegration equations suggest that KLCI, in the long-run,

consistently has a positive relationship with FUT and M1 while the price is negatively related to CPI.

Generally, KLCI responds positively and significantly to shocks in FUT, M1 and CPI whereas STG and TBR show immediate and negative responses to shocks in KLCI. As expected, the responses among the variables during the Asian Financial Crisis period are very much different to those for the whole sample and Recovery Period. The result from variance decomposition analyses suggests the relative leading role of the oil price during the two sub-sample periods.

According to the empirical result of cointegration analysis, KLCI is positively related to BSE, NIK and HSI in the long run. The VAR result suggests that STI and HSI are more influential than KLCI. The results of variance decomposition show that STI, HSI and SSE contribute a relatively large proportion of variations in KLCI. In addition, in the long run, market changes in India contribute significantly on variations in the rest Asian stock markets. Sensitivity analysis shows the interaction between KLCI and each macroeconomic variable are not robust to different model with different set of variables. In general, KLCI is not informationally efficient as future price can be predicted using changes in other indices as well as changes in macroeconomic variables.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

According to Stock Market (2006), a stock market is a market for the trading of company stock, and derivatives of same both of these are securities listed on a stock exchange as well as those only traded privately. In addition, the stock market is a concept for the mechanism that enables the trading of company stocks, other securities, and derivatives.

The stock market is one of the most important sources for companies to raise capital. This allows businesses to go public, or raise more capital for expansion. This provides affords investors the place to quickly and easily sell securities. This is an attractive feature of investing in stocks, compared to other less liquid investments such as real estate.

According to the efficient market hypothesis (EMH), only changes in fundamental factors, such as profits or dividends, ought to affect share prices. Moreover, while the EMH predicts that all price movement (in the absence of change in fundamental information) is random. Many studies have shown a marked tendency for the stock market to trend over time periods of weeks or longer.

In addition, economic theory suggests that stock prices should reflect

expectations about future corporate performance. Meanwhile, corporate profits generally may reflect the level of economic activities. If stock prices accurately reflect the underlying fundamentals, then the stock price should be employed as leading indicators of future economic activity.

History has shown that the price of shares and other assets is an important part of the dynamics of economic activity and can influence or be an indicator of social mood. For instance, rising share prices tend to be associated with increased business investment and vice versa. Share prices also affect the wealth of households and their consumption. Therefore, central banks tend to keep an eye on the control and behavior of the stock market and, in general, on the smooth operation of financial system functions. Thus, causal relations and dynamic interactions among macroeconomic variables and stock prices are important in the formulation of the nation's macroeconomic policy.

Based on the brief discussion above, it is important to study, investigate and forecast character of the stock market. In addition to that, is it important to identify factors affecting the stock price and also the dynamic between stock price and various macroeconomic variables.

1.1.1 Kuala Lumpur Composite Index

According to Stock Market Indices (2007), the Kuala Lumpur Composite Index (KLCI) is the benchmark of Malaysia stock Market. The KLCI is introduced in 1986 to answer the need for a stock market index which would serve as an accurate performance

indicator of the Malaysian stock market as well as the economy. The KLCI is also one of the best known indices in region and is widely used by local and foreign fund managers and investors as a performance benchmark to measure their portfolios held in Malaysia.

The KLCI is a market capitalization-weighted index (shares outstanding multiplied by stock price) of 100 blue chip stock on the index's performance and is directly proportional to its relative market value. The 100 component stock chosen from different sectors including Consumer Products, Industrial Products, Industrial Products, Constructions, Trading, Finance, Properties, Plantation, Mining, Infrastructure Projects, Hotels and Technologies.

1.1.2 Market efficiency

Based on the information gathered and summarized from What Is Market Efficiency? (2002), the efficient market hypotheses (EMH) assert that financial markets are informationally efficient. In other words, the prices on traded assets such as stocks, bonds or property already reflect all known information.

The efficient market hypothesis states that it is not possible to consistently outperform the market by using any information that the market already knows, except through luck. Information in the EMH is defined as anything that may affect the stock price that is not known in the present and thus appears randomly in the future. This random information will be the cause of future stock price changes.

There are three common forms in which the efficiency market hypothesis is commonly stated. The common forms include weak form efficiency, semi-strong form efficiency and strong form efficiency where each form has different implication for how markets. Weak-form efficiency implies that technical analysis techniques will not be able to consistently produce excess returns. However, some forms of fundamental analysis may still provide excess returns. In addition, no excess returns can be earned by using investment strategies based on historical share prices or other financial data.

For semi-strong form efficient, share prices adjust within an arbitrarily small but finite amount of the time and in an unbiased fashion to publicly available new information, therefore no excess returns can be earned by trading on that information. In addition, fundamental analysis techniques will not be able to reliably produce excess returns. On the other hand, strong-form efficiency indicates that share prices reflect all information and no one can earn excess returns. However, as with insider trading laws, strong-form of efficiency is impossible if there are legal barriers to private information becoming public except in the case where the laws are universally ignored. Studies in U.S. stock market have shown that people do trade on inside information.

1.1.3 Effect of Asian Financial Crisis on Malaysia

According to Malaysia: Trade Policy Review December 2001 (2001), the East Asian Financial Crisis was a period of economic unrest that started in July 1997 in Thailand and affected currencies, stock markets, and other asset prices in several Asian countries. Indonesia, South Korea, Malaysia, Thailand and Philippines were the countries most affected by the crisis.

Before crisis, Malaysia had a large current account deficit of 5% of GDP. At the time, Malaysia was a top investment destination, and this was reflected in KLSE activity which was regularly the most active exchange in the world. In July, within days of the Thai baht depreciation, the Malaysian ringgit was "attacked" by speculators. The overnight rate jumped from under 8% to over 40%. By end 1997, the KLSE had lost more than 50% from above 1,200 to fewer than 600, and the ringgit had lost 50% of its value, falling from above 2.50 to under 3.80 to the dollar.

In 1998, the output of the real economy declined plunging the country into its first recession for many years. Overall, the country's gross domestic product plunged 6.2% in 1998. During that year, the ringgit plunged below 4.7 and the KLSE fell below 270 points. In September that year, various defensive measures were announced to overcome the crisis. In response, the Malaysian government imposed capital controls and pegged the Malaysian Ringgit at 3.80 to US dollar while refusing economic aid from International Monetary Fund (IMF) which came with austere lending conditions.

In addition, Malaysia continuously recorded budget deficits in the few years that followed. Economic recovery has been led by strong growth in exports, particularly of electronics and electrical products, to the United States, Malaysia's principal trade and investment partner. Inflationary pressures remained favorable, and, as a result, Bank Negara Malaysia, the central bank, had been able to follow a low interest rate policy. Later, the country enjoyed faster economic recovery compared to its neighbors though in many ways, the level of pre-1997 affluence has yet to be achieved.

In July 2005, the fixed exchange rate regime was abandoned in favor of

managed floating system. In the same week, Ringgit strengthened a percent against various major currencies and was expected to appreciate further. As of December 2005, there has been no further appreciation of the Ringgit. In spite of the large positive current account surplus, foreign reserves have started to fall at a rapid rate. Official statistics released in March 2006, confirmed capital flight of more than USD 10 billion.

1.2 Objective

The main goal of this study is to analyze the dynamic relationship between stock price and macroeconomic variables as well as the linkage between stock indices in selected Asian countries using Vector Autoregression (VAR) approach. Impulse Response Functions (IRFs) and Variance Decomposition (VDs) in the VAR model enable us to investigate how the variable response to shocks and contributes on the variation of other variables.

In this dissertation, three objectives will be studied. The first objective is to investigate the long run relationship between local stock price (KLCI) and fundamental macroeconomic variables such as Consumer Price Index (CPI), local stock price Futures (FUT), Money Supply (M1), local produced Tapis Crude Oil Price (OIL), Pound Sterling Exchange Rate (STG) and Treasury Bills Rate (TBR). Oil price is included in this study as the price of oil has noticeable increases in recent years and in such way, it may contributes some effects on local stock price. Analysis in this objective will be executed on the whole sample and two sub samples; the Asian Financial Crisis and the Recovery Period.