

**ANALYSIS OF LARGE IN-PLANE DISPLACEMENT AND STRAIN IN
RUBBER USING 2-D SCANNER-BASED DIGITAL IMAGE
CORRELATION**

by

GOH CHING PANG

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

March 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mani Maran Ratnam. His continuous supervision had led me in the right direction throughout my research. His unconditional motivation had widen my perspectives but kept me focused on the objectives of my research. With his positive and optimistic support, advice and encouragement, I have rekindled my confidence when I was lost. This dissertation would not have been possible without his guidance and persistent supervision. Apart from that, special thanks to my co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Hanafi bin Ismail for his valuable opinions and guidance to further improve my research.

Secondly, I would like to thank Universiti Sains Malaysia for offering the Research University research grant for my work. Also, thank you to Kementerian Pendidikan Tinggi Malaysia for offering MyBrain15 scholarship so that I can fully focus on my research without financial worries.

Last but not least, my gratitude is extended to my beloved family: my parents, Goh Her Yam and Lee Ah Chin, my family members, Goh Jue Ling, Fong Chee Min, Goh Ching Ann, Ng Pui Yee, Goh Jue Yie, Liong Boon Yoong and my beloved Isabelle Chan Shieu Juinn for giving me unconditional love and support throughout the period of my research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
ABSTRAK	xxii
ABSTRACT	xxiv
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.0 Research background	1
1.1 Problem statement	5
1.2 Research objectives	7
1.3 Research approach and scope of research	7
1.4 Thesis outline	8
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.0 Overview	10
2.1 Principle of DIC method	10
2.2 Applications of the 2-D DIC method	13
2.2.1 Application of the 2-D DIC method for small displacement and strain mapping	14
2.2.2 Application of the 2-D DIC method for large displacement and strain mapping	19
2.3 DIC algorithm for large deformation measurement	28

2.4	Evolution of DIC method	31
2.5	Loading mechanism and motor used for uniaxial tensile loading	36
2.6	Chapter summary	38

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY

3.0	Overview	41
3.1	Preparation of NR specimens and variables used in standard tensile test	43
3.2	Design criteria for the motorized loading rig	47
3.2.1	Effectiveness of the clamping system	47
3.2.2	Loading mechanism of motorized loading rig	50
3.2.3	Absence of contact between clamping-loading system and scanner platen	52
3.2.4	Determination of spring extension using image processing	53
3.2.5	In-plane displacement during loading	54
3.2.6	Absence of backlash in the loading system	56
3.3	Preliminary tests on the motorized loading rig	59
3.3.1	Slip test of the clamping system	59
3.3.2	Force transmission efficiency test of loading system	60
3.3.3	Holding torque determination of the stepper motor	62
3.4	Preliminary tests on flatbed scanner	63
3.4.1	Uniformity test of light intensity of flatbed scanner	64
3.4.2	Corrected scanning resolution test of flatbed scanner	65
3.5	Principle, variables, algorithm and experimental procedure of 2-D SB-DIC method	67
3.5.1	2-D SB-DIC principle	67
3.5.2	Strain calculation and PR variables	69

3.5.3	Validation of PR	70
3.5.4	Experimental procedure for 2-D SB-DIC method	71
3.5.5	Algorithm of incremental 2-D SB-DIC method	75
3.5.6	Comparison between incremental 2-D SB-DIC and StrainMaster 2-D DIC methods	78
3.5.7	Principle of single-step 2-D SB-DIC method	79
3.5.8	Single-step 2-D SB-DIC algorithm	83
3.5.9	Reliability of the 2-D SB-DIC algorithm	86
3.5.10	Subset size selection in 2-D SB-DIC method	88
3.5.11	Uniqueness test of the speckle patterns in reference subset	88
3.6	FEM of rubber specimens	89
3.7	Chapter summary	93

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.0	Overview	94
4.1	Preliminary tests for the 2-D SB-DIC method	95
4.1.1	Reliability test of clamping system	95
4.1.2	Determination of force transmission ratio of loading system	97
4.1.3	Holding torque determination of the stepper motor	98
4.1.4	Uniformity test of scanner light intensity	99
4.1.5	Corrected scanning resolution for nominal scanning resolution at 600 dpi	100
4.1.6	Reliability test of the DIC coding in simulation	102
4.1.7	Subset size selection in 2-D SB-DIC method	104
4.1.8	Uniqueness test of the speckle patterns in reference subset	108
4.2	Results of the incremental 2-D SB-DIC method	109

4.2.1	Comparison between grayscale- and binary-image based incremental 2-D SB-DIC methods	110
4.2.2	Displacement vector field of incremental 2-D SB-DIC method	113
4.2.3	Comparison of stress-axial strain curve and Young's modulus between the incremental 2-D SB-DIC method and standard tensile test machine	117
4.2.4	Comparison between incremental 2-D SB-DIC and standard 2-D DIC methods	123
4.2.5	Comparison of PR between incremental 2-D SB-DIC method and theoretical function	127
4.3	Results of the single-step 2-D SB-DIC method	129
4.3.1	Displacement vector field of the single-step 2-D SB-DIC method	130
4.3.2	Comparison between single-step 2-D SB-DIC and incremental 2-D SB-DIC methods	133
4.3.3	Time comparison between the single-step 2-D SB-DIC and the incremental 2-D SB-DIC methods	136
4.3.4	FEM analysis on non-homogeneous strain distribution	138
4.4	Chapter summary	142
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK		
5.0	Overview	144
5.1	Concluding remarks	144
5.2	Contribution and novelty	146
5.3	Directions for future research	146
REFERENCES		148
APPENDICES		
Appendix A [In-plane displacement vector fields for incremental 2-D SB-DIC method]		

Appendix B [Engineering drawing of the parts for motorized loading rig]

Appendix C [Graph of torque vs. speed characteristics of the MO-STEP-17PM-J342U-Minebea hybrid unipolar stepper motor]

Appendix D [Program of controlling stepper motor in MPLAB IDE v8.60]

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Summary of the maximum FOV or maximum gauge length and maximum strain achieved	40
Table 3.1	Compositions of the unfilled NR specimen	44
Table 4.1	Average force transmission ratio	98
Table 4.2	Comparison of the centroid locations between the white circular blob before and after correlation	104
Table 4.3	Comparison of average tangent modulus between incremental 2-D SB-DIC and UTM tensile test methods	120
Table 4.4	Comparison of average secant modulus between incremental 2-D SB-DIC and UTM tensile test methods	120
Table 4.5	Comparison of the stress value at different strain levels between the the incremental 2-D SB-DIC and the StrainMaster 2-D DIC methods	127
Table 4.6	Comparison of PR based on engineering strain in terms of axial stretch ratio between incremental 2-D SB-DIC method and theoretical result	128
Table 4.7	Comparison of mean axial strain between single-step 2-D SB-DIC and incremental 2-D SB-DIC methods	135

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	(a) Side view of experimental setup for 2-D DIC method and (b) top view of experimental setup for 3-D DIC method	12
Figure 2.2	Routine of the DIC method to obtain the full-field displacement	13
Figure 2.3	Lower part of the specimen moves out of the FOV of CCD camera	20
Figure 2.4	Large displacements measured with CORRELI ^{GD} in a uniaxial tensile test on Smactane TM (Chevalier et al., 2001)	21
Figure 2.5	Photograph and shape predicted by simulation of the plate with holes subjected to 20 N for the model: (a) Mooney, (b) Gent, (c) Haines and Wilson and (d) Ogden (Meunier et al., 2008)	22
Figure 2.6	Two cameras take pictures of two orthogonal free faces of the sample subjected to uniaxial tension (de Crevoisier et al., 2012)	26
Figure 2.7	Image correlations using incremental DIC algorithm	29
Figure 2.8	Qualitative comparison of image tracking abilities. (a) fixed referencing, and (b) dynamic referencing. The engineering strains were measured under dynamic referencing (Han et al., 2012)	30
Figure 2.9	3-D deformation measurements by using right-angle prism (Parsons et al., 2005)	32
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of research methodology	42

Figure 3.2	Dumbbell-shaped rubber specimen	45
Figure 3.3	Circular hole-containing and square hole-containing rubber specimens	45
Figure 3.4	Isometric view of the motorized loading rig developed	48
Figure 3.5	Clamping system of the motorized loading rig	49
Figure 3.6	Zig-zag pattern on the clamper	50
Figure 3.7	Schematic design of the loading mechanism for the motorized loading rig	51
Figure 3.8	Top view of the motorized loading rig	53
Figure 3.9	Scanned image showing white markers used to provide the spring extension	54
Figure 3.10	5.0 mm gap separating the lower surface of “female” clampers relative to the scanner platen	55
Figure 3.11	Flowchart for controlling the stepper motor	58
Figure 3.12	Scanned image showing white markers used to obtain spring extension in image processing	61
Figure 3.13	Analogy of the principle for screw jack and motorized loading rig	63
Figure 3.14	Four line segments in multi-frequency grid distortion target used to obtain the light intensity profile in x - and y -directions	64
Figure 3.15	Three independent dot sizes used to obtain the corrected scanning resolution	66

Figure 3.16	Principle of the 2-D SB-DIC method	68
Figure 3.17	Variables used in local strain measurement for 2-D DIC method	69
Figure 3.18	Experimental setup of the 2-D SB-DIC method	72
Figure 3.19	Flowchart of the 2-D SB-DIC experiment	73
Figure 3.20	Rubber specimen with speckle patterns	74
Figure 3.21	Flowchart of the incremental 2-D SB-DIC method	76
Figure 3.22	Flowchart of the auto-cropping process	77
Figure 3.23	Auto-cropping of the ROI	77
Figure 3.24	Schematic diagram of working principle for the single-step 2-D SB-DIC method	80
Figure 3.25	Vectors of (a) reference subset and (b) deformed reference subset	81
Figure 3.26	Stress-axial stretch ratio curve obtained from standard tensile machine	82
Figure 3.27	Flowchart of single-step 2-D SB-DIC method	84
Figure 3.28	Comparison of (a) conventional incremental 2-D SB-DIC method and (b) proposed single-step 2-D SB-DIC method	86
Figure 3.29	Reference image with a white circular blob and five “deformed” images with randomly translated white circular blobs	87

Figure 3.30	Single-ended dumbbell-shaped model used in finite element modelling	90
Figure 3.31	(a) Circular hole-containing rubber model and (b) square hole-containing rubber model used in finite element modelling	92
Figure 4.1	Average slippage displacements of subset centroid for rubber specimen at static in 90 minutes	96
Figure 4.2	Figure 4.2 (a)-(h): Light intensity profiles provided by the flatbed scanner at line segments 1 to 8	99
Figure 4.3	Corrected scanning resolution in y -direction at height of 1.24 mm above the scanner platen	101
Figure 4.4	Corrected scanning resolution in x - and y -directions at height of 3.06 mm away from the scanner platen	102
Figure 4.5	Successful tracking of the white circular blob at different locations	103
Figure 4.6	NCC coefficient distributions of the successful match for first deformed image	103
Figure 4.7	Effect of subset size on the average NCC coefficient	105
Figure 4.8	Erroneous displacement fields at subset sizes of (i) 11×11 (ii) 21×21 pixel and (ii) perfect match for all of reference subsets at subset sizes over 31×31 pixel	105
Figure 4.9	Frequency of white speckle in x - and y -directions of bounding box	106
Figure 4.10	Definition of element, bounding box and size of the element	107

Figure 4.11	Effect of subset size on DIC programme code running time	108
Figure 4.12	Average NCC coefficients of self-correlation for rubber specimens used in the 2-D SB-DIC experiments	109
Figure 4.13	Comparison of (a) stress-axial strain curve and (b) average NCC coefficient between grayscale and binary images using incremental 2-D SB-DIC method	111
Figure 4.14	Comparison of DIC programme code running time between grayscale and binary images using incremental 2-D SB-DIC method	112
Figure 4.15	2-D displacement vector field at loads of (a) 0.23 MPa (b) 0.66 MPa (c) 1.21 MPa and (d) 1.91 MPa	114
Figure 4.16	3-D representatives of in-plane displacement vector fields at (a) 0.23 MPa (b) 0.66 MPa (c) 1.21 MPa and (d) 1.91 MPa	115
Figure 4.17	Stress-axial strain curves of incremental 2-D SB-DIC method and UTM tensile test	118
Figure 4.18	Comparison of fitted average stress-axial strain curve between incremental 2-D SB-DIC and UTM tensile test	118
Figure 4.19	Axial strain maps of the rubber specimen	121
Figure 4.20	SEM micrograph taken in the cross section area at central part of (a) rubber specimens 1 and (b) rubber specimens 2	122
Figure 4.21	Comparison of 2-D displacement vector fields between the incremental 2-D SB-DIC and the StrainMaster 2-D DIC methods at loads of (a) 0.08 MPa (b) 0.15 MPa and (c) 0.22 MPa	124
Figure 4.22	Comparison of stress-axial strain curve between the incremental 2D SB-DIC and the StrainMaster 2-D DIC methods	126

Figure 4.23	PR as a function of axial stretch ratio based on engineering and true strain	128
Figure 4.24	Lateral contraction as a function of axial stretch ratio used to describe the incompressible assumption	129
Figure 4.25	2-D displacement vector fields at loads of (a) 0.23 MPa (b) 0.66 MPa (c) 1.21 MPa and (d) 1.91 MPa	131
Figure 4.26	Figure 4.26 (a) 3-D representative of in-plane displacement vector fields at stage 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 49, 63 and 76 (b) 3-D representative of in-plane displacement vector fields at stage 35, 49, 63 and 76	132
Figure 4.27	Comparison of axial strain between the single-step 2-D SB-DIC and the incremental 2-D SB-DIC methods and normalized cross correlation coefficient of single-step SB-DIC method	134
Figure 4.28	Loading time, scanning time and DIC programme code running time for (a) incremental DIC method and (b) single step DIC method, (c) comparison of total processing time between single-step 2-D SB-DIC and incremental 2-D SB-DIC methods	137
Figure 4.29	Comparison of the fitted stress-axial strain curve between the three hyperelastic models and the standard tensile test	139
Figure 4.30	Comparison of resultant strain maps between single step 2-D SB-DIC method and FEM in (a) circular hole-containing rubber specimen and (b) square hole-containing rubber specimen	140

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2-D	Two-dimensional
3-D	Three-dimensional
2-D DIC	Two-dimensional Digital Image Correlation
2-D SB-DIC	Two-dimensional Scanner Based-Digital Image Correlation
3-D DIC	Three-dimensional Digital Image Correlation
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CB	Carbon-black
CB-NR	Carbon-black filled natural rubber
CBS	N-cyclohexyl-benzothiazyl-sulphenamide
CC	Cross-correlation
CCD	Charge-coupled device
CCW	Counter-clockwise
CMM	Coordinate measuring machine
CW	Clockwise
DIC	Digital image correlation
DP	Dual-phase
DSLR	Digital Single Lens Reflex
EPDM	Ethylene propylene diene monomer
FEM	Finite element modelling
FOV	Field-of-view
IPPD	N-isopropyl-N'-phenyl-p-phenylenediamine
MATLAB	Matrix laboratory
MDR	Moving die rheometer

MTS	Mesostructural testing system
NCC	Normalized cross-correlation
NR	Natural rubber
PCB	Printed circuit board
PDMS	Polydimethylsiloxane
PHR	Part per Hundred
PIC	Programmable Interface Controller
PR	Poisson's ratio
ROI	Region of interest
SAD	Sum of absolute differences
SB-DIC	Scanner based-digital image correlation
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SIF	Stress intensity factor
SMAC	Smactane
SMR L	Standard Malaysia Rubber L-grade
SSD	Sum-squared difference
SSSIG	Sum of Square of Subset Intensity Gradients
TiAl	Titanium alloy
UK	United Kingdom
uNR	Unfilled natural rubber
USA	United States of America
USC	University of South Carolina
ZNCC	Zero-Mean normalized cross correlation

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	Constant in polynomial equation
\mathbf{a}	Vector in reference subset
α_i	Material constant
B	Initial value in decay function
A	Cross sectional area
b	Constant in polynomial equation
\mathbf{b}	Vector in deformed subset
$B1$	Marker 3
$B2$	Marker 4
c	Constant in polynomial equation
C_1	Material constant
C_2	Material constant
C_3	Material constant
C_4	Material constant
C_5	Material constant
d	Constant in polynomial equation
Δl	Elongation
Δw	Width contraction
d_{pixel}	Distance in pixel unit
ε_a^e	Engineering axial strain
ε_l^e	Engineering lateral strain in width
$\varepsilon_{resultant,DIC}^e$	Resultant strains in 2-D DIC method
$\varepsilon_{resultant,FEM}^e$	Resultant strains in FEM

ε_a^t	True axial strain
ε_l^t	True lateral strain in width
ε_{lt}^e	Engineering lateral strain in thickness
f	Subset centred at reference image
$f(x_i, y_j)$	Pixel value in the reference image
F	Force applied or load applied on rubber specimen in UTM
F_a	Downward force
F_h	Force applied on handle
F_{nr}	Restoring forces in the rubber specimen after applying correction factor
F_{out}	Output force
F_r	Restoring forces in the rubber specimen before applying correction factor
F_s	Restoring forces in the springs
F	2-D deformation factor
g	Subset centred at deformed image
g_r	Gear ratio
$g(x'_i, y'_j)$	Pixel value in the deformed image
γ_1	Shear strain in x -direction on yz -plane
γ_2	Shear strain in z -direction on yz -plane
γ_3	Shear strain in z -direction on xz -plane
\hat{i}	Component vector in horizontal direction
I_1	First invariant
I_2	Second invariant
I_3	Third invariant

\hat{j}	Component vector in vertical direction
k	Spring constant
$k_{eq.}$	Equivalent spring constant
k_{out}	Spring constant of the output spring
λ_1	Axial stretch ratio or elongation deformation factor
λ'_1	Axial stretch ratio or elongation deformation factor at particular point
λ_2	Lateral contraction ratio in width or lateral deformation factor
λ'_2	Lateral contraction ratio or lateral deformation factor in width at particular point
λ_3	Lateral contraction ratio in thickness
l	Pitch of the leadscrew
l_f	Final gauge length
l_i	Initial gauge length
l_{fs}	Final length given by the distance of the centroid of each subset from the reference end
l_{is}	Initial length given by the distance of the centroid of each subset from the reference end
m	Subset row
μ_i	Material constant
n	Subset column
r	Radius
R_0	Decay rate
$R_{corrected}$	Corrected scanning resolution
$\bar{R}_{corrected}$	Mean corrected scanning resolution
s	Displacement

\bar{s}	Average displacement
$S1$	Marker 1
$S2$	Marker 2
σ	Engineering stress
σ'	Engineering stress at particular point
t_c	Programme code running time
t_l	Loading process time
t_s	Scanning process time
\bar{T}	Mean thickness
T_h	Binarization threshold value before auto-cropping process
T_s	Binarization threshold value after auto-cropping process
τ_i	Input torque
τ_o	Output torque
ν	variable used to keep tracking of the number of images read
ν^e	Poisson's ratio based on engineering strain
$\nu^{e'}$	Poisson' ratio based on engineering strain at particular point
ν^t	Poisson's ratio based on true strain
w_{is}	Initial width given by the distance between center points of each subset from the center position
w_{fs}	Final width given by the distance between center points of each subset from the center position
W	Function of the strain invariants or principle stretch ratios
\bar{W}	Mean width
W_r	Maximum load to be applied onto the rubber specimen
x	Variable in decay function

x_o	Length in horizontal direction in reference subset
x'	Length in horizontal direction in deformed reference subset
$x_{avg.}$	Average extension of springs
x_{out}	Extension for the output spring
y_{off}	Offset from the x -axis in decay function
y_o	Length in vertical direction in reference subset
y'	Length in vertical direction in deformed reference subset
y_1	y -coordinates of the top left corner in marker $B1$
y_2	y -coordinates of the bottom left corner in marker $B2$

**ANALISA ANJAKAN SATAH YANG BESAR DAN TERIKAN BAGI GETAH
MENGUNAKAN KORELASI IMEJ DIGITAL BERASASKAN
PENGIMBAS 2-D**

ABSTRAK

Pelbagai teknik korelasi imej digital (DIC) telah diperkenalkan pada masa lalu untuk menyelesaikan masalah medan penglihatan (FOV) yang terhad untuk ukuran defomasi yang besar. Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah-kaedah tersebut berkongsi satu kelemahan iaitu FOV yang rendah. Dalam penyelidikan ini, satu kaedah yang baru iaitu korelasi imej digital dua dimensi berasaskan pengimbas (2-D SB-DIC) yang memberikan FOV yang besar untuk pengukuran deformasi yang besar bagi specimen getah asli (uNR) yang tidak diisi telah dibangunkan. Untuk mendapatkan data bagi anjakan, terikan, beban dan tekanan, imej-imej telah diimbas dan diproses. Data anjakan diperolehi dengan menggunakan algoritma korelasi imej meningkat. Min untuk modulus tangen and modulus sekan bagi specimen uNR yang diperolehi daripada kaedah 2-D SB-DIC dari lima eksperimen berulang telah dibandingkan dengan hasilan yang diperolehi daripada mesin ujian sejagat (UTM). Satu algoritma baru untuk pemetaan deformasi yang besar bagi specimen uNR dalam satu langkah tanpa memerlukan siri deformasi imej juga dibangunkan. Terikan paksi yang diperolehi daripada cadangan algoritma langkah tunggal 2-D SB-DIC telah dibanding dengan hasilan yang diperolehi daripada algoritma konvensional meningkat korelasi imej digital. Ujian-ujian agihan terikan yang tidak homogen juga telah dijalankan dengan menganalisa deformasi bagi dua specimen getah bersegi empat yang mengandungi lubang bulat dan segi empat tepat dengan menggunakan algoritma yang dicadangkan. Peta-peta terikan paduan bagi spesimen getah segi

empat tepat dibandingkan dengan hasil yang diperolehi daripada model unsur terhingga (FEM). Modulus Young yang diperolehi dengan menggunakan algoritma mengikat 2-D SB-DIC menunjukkan maksimum kesilapan mutlak sebanyak 9.5% pada 250% terikan paksi dan 4.2% pada 50% terikan paksi bagi modulus tangen and modulus sekan, masing-masing. Sementara itu sisihan maksimum nisbah Poisson berdasarkan terikan kejuruteraan dan terikan sebenar sehingga nilai ambang bagi ketakbolehmpatan untuk bahan polimer adalah hanya 1.36% dan 1.24%, masing-masing. Maksimum sisihan mutlak sebanyak 10.7% pada terikan paksi 320% telah didapati dengan menggunakan cadangan algoritma langkah tunggal 2-D SB-DIC. Untuk ujian-ujian agihan terikan tidak homogen, perbandingan hasil peta terikan menunjukkan bahawa cadangan algoritma langkah tunggal berganding dengan kaedah 2-D SB-DIC boleh digunakan untuk pemetaan terikan dengan tepat bagi bahan deformasi yang besar seperti getah. Algoritma 2-D DIC langkah tunggal menghapuskan ralat kumulatif yang diperkenalkan daripada algoritma 2-D DIC meningkat di samping mengurangkan masa pemprosesan dalam pemerolehan imej dan imej korelasi dengan banyak.

**ANALYSIS OF LARGE IN-PLANE DISPLACEMENT AND STRAIN IN
RUBBER USING 2-D SCANNER-BASED DIGITAL IMAGE
CORRELATION**

ABSTRACT

Various digital image correlation (DIC) techniques have been introduced in the past to solve the limited field-of view (FOV) problem for large deformation measurement. However, these methods share a common limitation which is low FOV. In this research, a novel two-dimensional scanner-based digital image correlation (2-D SB-DIC) method that enables the acquisition of a large FOV of an unfilled natural rubber (uNR) specimen at large deformation has been developed. The images were scanned and processed to obtain displacement, strain, load and stress data. The displacement data were obtained by using the incremental image correlation algorithm. The mean of the tangent and secant moduli of the uNR specimen obtained from the 2-D SB-DIC method from five repeated experiments were compared with those obtained from a universal testing machine (UTM). A new algorithm for mapping large deformation in the uNR specimens in a single-step without the need for a series of deformation images has also been developed. The axial strains obtained by using the proposed single-step 2-D SB-DIC algorithm were compared with those obtained using the conventional incremental image correlation algorithm. Non-homogeneous strain distribution tests were also conducted by analysing the deformation of two rectangular rubber specimens containing circular and square holes using the single-step 2-D SB-DIC algorithm. The resultant strain maps for the rectangular specimens were compared with those from finite element modelling (FEM). The Young's moduli obtained by using the incremental 2-D SB-DIC