

**REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX BEAM
STRENGTHENED BY
CARBON-FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER
SUBJECTED TO COMBINED SHEAR AND
TORSION**

MA SHENGQIANG

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2017

**REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX BEAM STRENGTHENED BY
CARBON-FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER SUBJECTED TO COMBINED
SHEAR AND TORSION**

by

MA SHENGQIANG

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree
of Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises to the mighty Allah, for blessing me with faith, strength, and motivation throughout my PhD research.

I would like to express my deepest and sincerest gratitude to my Supervisor Dr Norazura Muhamad Bunnori for her invaluable guidance and support. Her kindness, selflessness, and willingness helped me to move ahead in the study and to achieve the objectives of the work. Acknowledgments are extended to my co-supervisor, Dr Kok Keong Choong for giving me inestimable suggestions and assistance while working on this research.

Thanks go to my friends, all administrative staff, and technicians (Mr Shahril, Mr Abdullah, Mr Fadhil, and Mr Fauzi) from School of Civil Engineering, USM. For their assistance, I could finish my test in the lab.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my parents and lovely siblings for giving me prayers and support to complete my PhD studies.

Last but not least, special thanks to my wife and daughter for their everlasting love, understanding, endless encouragement, and patience from the beginning until completing my PhD study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xvi
ABSTRAK	xvii
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem statement	4
1.3 Objective of study	7
1.4 Scope of study	8
1.5 Thesis organization	10
CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Failure modes of strengthened RC beams with FRP	12
2.3 Shear strengthening of RC beams with FRP	16
2.3.1 Experimental study in FRP shear-strengthened members	18
2.3.2 Models for FRP contribution to shear capacity of RC beam	21
2.4 Torsional strengthening of RC beams with FRP	36
2.4.1 Experimental study	37
2.4.2 Analytical models for ultimate torque	45
2.5 RC beams strengthened with FRP under combined action	53
2.5.1 Combined torsion and bending moment	54
2.5.2 Combined shear and torsion	55
2.5.3 Combined shear, torsion, and bending moment	59
2.6 Summary	61
CHAPTER THREE EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	66
3.1 Introduction	66
3.2 Materials of samples	67
3.2.1 Concrete	67
3.2.2 Steel reinforcement	68
3.2.3 Carbon-Fiber-Reinforced polymer (CFRP)	69
3.3 Details of specimens and experimental setup	70
3.3.1 General design of specimens	70
3.3.2 Specimen preparation	71

3.3.3	Flowchart of the research methodology	73
3.3.4	Shear strengthening	75
3.3.5	Strengthening specimens under pure torsion	80
3.3.6	Strengthening specimens under combined shear and torsion	84
3.4	Summary	92
CHAPTER FOUR	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	93
4.1	Introduction	93
4.2	Concrete compressive and tensile strength	93
4.3	Mechanical properties of steel reinforcement	94
4.4	Shear strengthening	94
4.4.1	Load-deflection response envelopes	95
4.4.2	Cracks pattern and failure mode	96
4.4.3	Strains in CFRP fiber	101
4.5	Torsional Strengthening	103
4.5.1	Torsional strengthening testing results	104
4.5.2	Strain in CFRP fiber	106
4.5.3	Failure and cracking pattern	107
4.6	Strengthening under combined shear and torsion	110
4.6.1	Testing results of strengthened RC box beam	110
4.6.2	Crack patterns and failure modes	115
4.6.3	Torsional behavior	122
4.6.4	Bending moment and deflection	125
4.6.5	Strain in CFRP strips	128
4.7	Summary	130
4.7.1	Shear strengthening	130
4.7.2	Torsional strengthening	131
4.7.3	Strengthening under combined shear and torsion	132
CHAPTER FIVE	THEROTICAL RESULTS OF CFRP CONTRIBUTION TO SHEAR AND TORSION	133
5.1	Introduction	133
5.2	Predicting CFRP shear contribution in strengthened RC box beams	133
5.2.1	Discussion of guidelines and models	134
5.2.2	Database description	136
5.2.3	Prediction of FRP shear contribution	138
5.3	Prediction of torsional capacity of strengthened specimens	144
5.3.1	Database description	144
5.3.2	Predicting ultimate torque of strengthened specimens	146
5.4	Calculation equations of ultimate torque and shear	152
5.4.1	Shear flow of box wall	152

5.4.2	Equilibrium of the force equations	154
5.4.3	Moment equilibrium and equilibrium equation of torque and shear	156
5.4.3	Predicting ultimate torque and shear of specimens	158
5.5	Summary	161
CHAPTER SIX CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORK		164
6.1	Conclusions	164
6.2	Prediction of CFRP shear contribution to strengthened RC box beam	165
6.3	Evaluation and prediction in CFRP torsional strengthening	165
6.4	Models for predicting CFRP shear and torsional contribution	166
6.5	Recommendation for future work	167
REFERENCES		168
APPENDICES		
Appendix A : Resistance strength of designed RC box beams under BS 8110-1:1997		
Appendix B : Geometrical and mechanical data of shear strengthened RC beams		
Appendix C : Geometrical and mechanical data of torsional strengthened RC beams		
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1	Experimental study in FRP shear strengthening 61
Table 2.2	Models in calculating FRP contribution to shear 62
Table 2.3	Experimental study in FRP torsional strengthening 63
Table 2.4	Models of ultimate torque of strengthened beams 64
Table 2.5	Experimental study in strengthened beams under combined action 65
Table 3.1	Detail of quantities of concrete mix design 68
Table 3.2	Mechanical properties of carbon fiber 69
Table 3.3	Properties of epoxy 69
Table 3.4	Shear-strengthening configuration with CFRP 76
Table 3.5	CFRP strengthening configuration under torsion 82
Table 3.6	Ratios of torque-to-shear and torque-to-bending moment 88
Table 3.7	Beam configurations with CFRP in three groups under different ratios 90
Table 4.1	Results of compressive and tensile strength of concrete 93
Table 4.2	Mechanical properties of steel reinforcement 94
Table 4.3	Testing results of shear strengthened members 95
Table 4.4	Results of cracking, ultimate torque, and twist angle of box beams 105
Table 4.5	Cracking and ultimate shear and torque of the three groups 113
Table 5.1	Influencing parameters on shear strengthening RC members in the design guidelines and latest models 136
Table 5.2	Summary of parameters of the tested specimens in the existing literature 138

Table 5.3	Ratios of experimental versus predicted values database using different guidelines and models	141
Table 5.4	Ratios of experimental versus predicted values of strengthened RC box beams using different codes and models	143
Table 5.5	Comparison of experimental and predicting values by <i>fib</i> Bulletin 14 (2001) in FRP shear contribution of strengthened box beams	145
Table 5.6	Summary of parameters of the tested specimens in the existing literature	147
Table 5.7	Ratios of experimental versus calculated results with different model combinations	150
Table 5.8	Ratios of experimental versus calculated results in strengthened box beams	151
Table 5.9	Comparison of experimental versus computational values	160
Table 5.10	Comparison of CFRP effective strain between experimental and calculated values by modified equations	161

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1 Flexural strengthening of beam with FRP	4
Figure 1.2 Shear strengthening of beam with FRP	4
Figure 2.1 Shear failure with FRP rupture (Bae and Belarbi,2012)	14
Figure 2.2 Shear failure due to FRP debonding (Bae and Belarbi,2012)	14
Figure 2.3 Three different configurations of shear FRP strengthening(fib Bulletin 14, 2001;ACI Committee, 2008)	16
Figure 2.4 Degree of FRP strengthening and fiber orientation (fib Bulletin 4, 2008;ACI Committee, 2008)	17
Figure 2.5 Internal forces in strengthened beams with FRP (Chaallal et al., 1998)	21
Figure 2.6 Effective width of FRP sheets (Khalifa, 1998)	26
Figure 2.7 Illustration of the dimensional parameters applied in shear-strengthening equations (ACI Committee, 2008)	27
Figure 2.8 Normalized FRP strain versus (fib Bulletin 14, 2001)	30
Figure 2.9 Shear flow and equivalent hollow tube	47
Figure 2.10 Normalized shear strength curves for reinforced concrete(Rahal, 2000)	48
Figure 2.11 Internal force diagram for the tested specimen under combined torsion and bending (Jariwala et al., 2013)	55
Figure 2.12 Internal force diagram for specimen under combined shear and torsion (Deifalla and Ghobarah, 2010b)	56
Figure 2.13 Loading and internal forces(Talaeitaba and Mostofinejad, 2015)	59
Figure 2.14 Internal force diagram for the tested specimen under combined torsion, shear, and bending(Li, 2005)	60
Figure 3.1 Cross-section of testing RC box beam	71

Figure 3.2	Details of formwork	72
Figure 3.3	Main procedure of strengthening FRP	73
Figure 3.4	Flowchart of the research methodology	74
Figure 3.5	Reinforcement details of shear strengthening box beam	76
Figure 3.6	Details of box beam for the shear strengthening	78
Figure 3.7	Details of the shear-strengthening test setup and equipment location	80
Figure 3.8	Reinforcement details of strengthening box beam under pure torsion	81
Figure 3.9	Configurations of the strengthened box beams	82
Figure 3.10	Details of the test setup under pure torsion and equipment location	84
Figure 3.11	Details of the test setup under shear and torsion with equipment location	86
Figure3.12	Schematic of the force and moment on the beams under combined action	88
Figure3.13	Reinforcement details of strengthened box beam under combined shear and torsion	89
Figure3.14	Configurations of the strengthened box beams	91
Figure 4.1	Applied load versus mid-span deflection of testing members	96
Figure 4.2	Crack pattern at ultimate failure of SBC (control beam)	97
Figure 4.3	Crack pattern at ultimate failure of SBS1 (with strips side-bonded)	98
Figure 4.4	Ultimate failure of SBS2 (with continuous sheet side-bonded)	99
Figure 4.5	Crack pattern at ultimate failure of SBS3 (with CFRP U-shape strips)	100
Figure 4.6	Ultimate failure of SBS4 (with CFRP U-wrap continuous sheet)	101
Figure 4.7	Applied load versus strains in the CFRP	102

Figure 4.8	Strain development of CFRP along depth of beam at ultimate load	103
Figure 4.9	Curve of torque versus twist angle	106
Figure 4.10	Strain development of CFRP along depth of beam	107
Figure 4.11	Failure patterns of the torsional strengthening specimens	108
Figure 4.12	Cracking distribution of the torsional strengthening specimens	109
Figure 4.13	Angle of diagonal crack for all beams	110
Figure 4.14	Increase percentage in the ultimate torque versus torque-to-shear ratio	112
Figure 4.15	Twist angle versus torque-to-shear ratio	113
Figure 4.16	Shear and torsion interaction in a box cross-section (Krishna, 2003)	115
Figure 4.17	Cracking patterns at ultimate failure in 1CBC	117
Figure 4.18	Cracking patterns at ultimate failure in 1CBS	117
Figure 4.19	Cracking patterns at ultimate failure in 2CBSL1	118
Figure 4.20	Cracking patterns at ultimate failure in 2CBSL2	118
Figure 4.21	Cracking patterns at ultimate failure in 3CBS	118
Figure 4.22	Cracking patterns at ultimate failure in 3CBSL1	119
Figure 4.23	Relationship between cracking angle and torque-to-shear ratio	120
Figure 4.24	Failure of debonding in 2CBS with U-shape strip	121
Figure 4.25	Failure of debonding in 3CBS with U-shape strip	121
Figure 4.26	Failure of rupture in 1CBSL1 with U-shape and longitudinal strips	122
Figure 4.27	Failure of rupture in 2CBSL1 with U-shape and longitudinal strips	122
Figure 4.28	Curves of torque to twist angle in G1($T/VB=1.579$)	124
Figure 4.29	Curves of torque to twist angle in G2($T/VB=2.556$)	124
Figure 4.30	Curves of torque to twist angle in G3($T/VB=0.958$)	125

Figure 4.31	Comparison of torque to twist angle in three groups	125
Figure 4.32	Curves of bending moment versus deflection in G1	126
Figure 4.33	Curves of bending moment versus deflection in G2	127
Figure 4.34	Curves of bending moment versus deflection in G3	127
Figure 4.35	Comparison of bending moment versus deflection in three groups	128
Figure 4.36	Curves of strain in CFRP fiber versus torque of strengthened beams in G1	129
Figure 4.37	Curves of strain in CFRP fiber versus torque of strengthened beams in G2	129
Figure 4.38	Curves of strain in CFRP fiber versus torque of strengthened beams in G3	130
Figure 5.1	Calculation values versus experimental values on FRP shear contribution using different guidelines and models	140
Figure 5.2	Comparison of effective strain predicted by <i>fib</i> Bulletin 14 (2001) and experimental values	144
Figure 5.3	Comparison of calculated versus experimental ultimate torque predicted by Comb 6	153
Figure 5.4	Variable-angle truss model of the box beam under shear, torsion, and bending moment	154
Figure 5.5	Free body diagram of force equilibrium	156
Figure 5.6	Calculation torque by derived equations compared with experimental values	162

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A_{0h}	area enclosed inside the hoops
A_c	gross area of the concrete cross section
A_{cor}	gross area enclosed by the centerline of the shear flow
A_{fs}	area of FRP strip
A_t	area of transverse reinforcement
A_{sl}	area of longitudinal reinforcement
A_{lb}	area of bottom longitudinal reinforcement
A_{lt}	area of top longitudinal reinforcement
a_0	depth of equivalent stress block
a_v	distance between loading point and support of beam
b	width of beam
b_w	minimum width of cross section over the effective depth
b_{cor}	width of shear flow
d	effective depth of beam
d_f	depth of FRP sheets measured from the level of longitudinal reinforcement
E_f	Young's modulus of the FRP in GPa
E_{yt}	Young's modulus of the stirrup in MPa
f_{yt}	yield strength of transverse reinforcement
f_{yL}	yield strength of longitudinal reinforcement
f_{lb}	yield strength of bottom longitudinal reinforcement

f_{lt}	yield strength of top longitudinal reinforcement
f'_c	compressive strength of concrete
f'_{cd}	design compressive strength of concrete
f_{cm}	mean compressive strength of concrete
f_{cu}	Cube compressive strength of concrete
f_t	tensile strength of concrete
f_{fu}	ultimate tensile strength of the FRP
F_s	tensile force of stirrup
F_{fl}	tensile force of longitudinal FRP fiber
F_{fs}	tensile force of transverse FRP fiber
h_{cf}	side bonding height of FRP
h_0	effective depth of beam
h	depth of beam
h_{cor}	depth of the shear flow
k	reduction factor for the FRP effective strain
L_a	length of moment arm
L_e	effective bond length
L_{max}	maximum available bond length
p_c	perimeter of the outer dimensions of the concrete cross section
s_t	spacing of transverse reinforcement
s_f	spacing of the FRP strips
T_u	torsional capacity of RC beams with FRP under combined shear and torsion

T_{u0}	torsional capacity of RC members strengthened with FRP under pure torsion
T_r	torsional moment contributed by RC beams
T_c	contribution of concrete to the torque
T_s	contribution of transverse and longitudinal reinforcement to torque
T_f	torsional moment contributed by FRP
t_f	thickness of FRP strip
t	thickness of web of box beam
u_{cor}	perimeter of the centerline of the shear flow
V_n	shear strength of the concrete, transverse reinforcement, and FRP sheets
V_c	shear strength contribution of concrete
V_s	shear strength contribution of transverse reinforcement
V_f	shear strength contribution of FRP sheets
V_u	shear capacity of RC beams with FRP under combined shear and torsion
V_{u0}	shear capacity of RC members strengthened with FRP under pure shear
W_t	plastic-resistant moment of the cross section of torsional members
w_f	width of FRP strip
w_{fe}	effective width of FRP strip
Z	lever arm length (generally may be set to $d_f / 1.15$)
ρ_L	ratio of total longitudinal reinforcement
ρ_t	ratio of total transverse reinforcement
ρ_f	FRP reinforcement ratio
ω_L	non-dimensional longitudinal reinforcement index

ω_t	non-dimensional web reinforcement index
ξ	strength ratio of longitudinal reinforcement to transverse reinforcement
α	angle of inclination of the FRP fiber
β_c	concrete cracking coefficient
β_w	FRP width to spacing ratio coefficient
β_L	coefficient to compensate for insufficient FRP bond length
β_f	angle of orientation of the fiber direction to the longitudinal axis of the beam
θ	angle of the diagonal crack with respect to the longitudinal axis of the beam
φ	coefficient of FRP configuration
ε_{fe}	effective strain in the fibers

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

RC	reinforcement concrete
FRP	fiber-reinforced polymer
CFRP	carbon-fiber-reinforced polymer
GFRP	glass-fiber-reinforced polymer
AFRP	aramid-fiber-reinforced polymer
ACI	American Concrete Institute
CSA	Canadian Standard Association
<i>fib</i>	International Federation for Structure Concrete
ISIS	Intelligent Sensing for Innovative Structures
CECS	China Association for Engineering Construction Standardization
JSCE	Japan Society of Civil Engineers
LVDT	linear variable differential transducer
FE	finite element

**RASUK KEKOTAK KONKRIT BERTETULANG DIPERKUKUHKAN
DENGAN POLIMER BERTETULANG FIBER-KARBON TERTAKLUK
KEPADA TINDAKAN GABUNGAN RICIH-KILAS**

ABSTRAK

Rasuk kekotak, dengan kekuatan kilasan tinggi, ringan dan rintangan struktur yang besar, amat popular digunakan dalam struktur jambatan. Walau bagaimanapun, rasuk kotak mungkin gagal dengan tiba-tiba disebabkan oleh jumlah dan beban trafik meningkat dan kapasiti berkurang akibat dan pada kemerosotan. Teknik pengukuhan polimer bertetulang gentian (FRP) telah dikaji dan digunakan dalam struktur untuk meningkatkan keupayaan lenturan dan ricih rasuk. Namun, sangat sedikit perhatian ditumpukan kepada rasuk kotak konkrit bertetulang (RC) dari segi ricih, kilasan, mahupun gabungan ricih-kilasan. Oleh itu dalam kajian ini, tiga set ujikaji ke atas rasuk kotak RC diperkukuhkan dengan polimer karbon-bertetulang gentian (CFRP) dalam ricih, kilasan tulen, dan gabungan ricih-kilasan telah dijalankan. Berdasarkan eksperimen, matlamat utama adalah untuk: (a) meramal sumbangan ricih CFRP; (b) menilai keberkesanan pengukuhan dan meramalkan sumbangan CFRP kepada kilasan; (c) menyiasat kelakuan rasuk kotak dengan pengukuhan tertakluk kepada gabungan ricih-kilasan dan membangun persamaan matematik untuk meramal kekuatan. Model *fib* Bulletin 14 dengan koefisien pengurangan yang dicadangkan dalam keberkesanan urat fiber dapat meramalkan sumbangan CFRP dalam ricihan pada rasuk kekotak RC

diperkukuhkan. Di samping itu, didapati bahawa konfigurasi CFRP U-jacketing dengan jalur membujur mempunyai prestasi yang lebih baik dalam pengukuhan kilasan RC kotak rasuk. Satu model penggabungan telah didedahkan sebagai model yang boleh dipercayai dan konservatif dalam menghitung kekuatan kilasan rasuk RC dipasang. Seterusnya, keberkesanan pengukuhkan CFRP dalam gabungan ricih-kilasan RC rasuk kotak adalah berkadar songsang dengan nisbah kilasan-ricih. Persamaan yang diperolehi dengan model ubahsuai ketegangan berkesan dalam serat dapat memberi keputusan wajar ke atas kekuatan kilasan kepada rasuk kotak RC dengan pengukuhan atau tanpa pengukuhan tertakluk kepada tindakan gabungan.

**REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX BEAM STRENGTHENED BY
CARBON-FIBER-REINFORCED POLYMER SUBJECTED TO COMBINED
SHEAR AND TORSION**

ABSTRACT

Box beams, with high torsional stiffness, light weight, and great structural resistance, are popularly used in the bridge structures. However, these box beams may fail suddenly due to increased traffic volumes and loads and diminished capacity from deterioration. Fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) strengthening technique has been studied and used in structures to improve the flexural and shear capacity of beams. However, very few strengthening studies have paid much attention to reinforced concrete (RC) box beams subjected to shear, torsion, and combined shear and torsion. Therefore, in this research, three sets of experiments on RC box beams strengthened with the carbon-fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP) in shear, pure torsion, and combined shear and torsion were conducted. Based on experiments, the main aims are to: (a) predict the shear contribution of CFRP; (b) evaluate the strengthening effectiveness and predict the CFRP contribution to torsion; (c) investigate the behavior of strengthened box beams subjected combined shear and torsion and develop mathematical equations to predict strength. The *fib* Bulletin 14 model with the reduction coefficient proposed in effective strain of fiber could reliably predict the shear CFRP contribution to shear in strengthened RC box beam. In addition, it was found that the configuration of CFRP U-jacketing with longitudinal strips had a better performance in the torsional

strengthening of RC box beam. One combined model had been revealed to be reliable and conservative in calculating the torsional strength of retrofitted RC beams. Further on, strengthening effectiveness of CFRP in combined shear and torsion to RC box beams was inversely proportional to torque-to-shear ratio. The derived equations with modified model of effective strain in fiber could give the desirable results of torsional strength to the strengthened or unstrengthened RC box beams subjected combined action.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

A box beam is formed when two web plates are joined by a common flange at both the top and the bottom, which is widely used in bridge structures (Sennah and Kennedy, 2001; Badie et al., 1999). The bridge type is related to providing maximum efficiency of use of material and construction technique, for the particular span, and applications. Compared with rectangular and T-shape cross-section beams, the primary advantage of box girders is the large torsional stiffness that makes the beams ideal for use in curved interchanges for which the bridge geometry can lead to large torques. The torsional stiffness of a box section is generally in the range of 100 to more than 1000 times larger than that of a comparable T-shaped section (Helwig et al., 2007). Besides, box beams produce an aesthetically pleasing closed superstructure soffit and maintain a high span-to-depth ratio (Badie et al., 1999). Besides, Reinforcement concrete (RC) or prestressed concrete box beam has good torsional stiffness, lightweight, and high structural resistance. However, box girders are rarely used in buildings, whose structures are usually made of rectangular and T-section beams with slabs. The above-mentioned characteristics of box cross section beams have made the concrete box beam bridges the most widespread bridge type today (Schlaich and Scheef, 1982), such as railway, highway, urban curved, and pedestrian bridges, with different length spans. As a structural member, the beam is usually subjected to bending, shear, and torsional moments, particularly all of these three combined. As regards the mechanism of

practical bridges, previous studies have focused on the resistance capacity of the structural elements in shear and bending moments for straight bridges. In particular conditions, the eccentric loading can generate torsional moment, which is sometimes disregarded by engineers. However, for curved bridges, apart from shear and bending moments, the torsional moment should be taken as well in view of its importance in design.

Many concrete box beam bridges worldwide are suffering from increased traffic volumes and loads, diminished capacity from chloride-induced deterioration and other stringent updates to design code regulations. In beams, flaws occur in the form of crack extensions, decreased rigidity, increased deflection, and significantly reduced loading capacity.

In extreme situations, bridges require higher strength to resist earthquake, design error, or construction inaccuracies. As such, building a new bridge to replace the old one is a possible option, but this approach may be uneconomical because of the manpower and material resources. A cost-effective alternative to building new structures is strengthening and rehabilitating existing structures. This approach has received extraordinary investigation attention and has been applied in practical structures.

In the past two decades, by immersing continuous fibers in resin matrix bonding fibers, fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) composites have gradually replaced steel plates for use in retrofitting reinforced concrete (RC) members. Methods for strengthening beams with FRP materials have been broadly accepted and employed worldwide.

According to the fiber composites applied in practice, typical FRP composites are categorized into three types, namely, carbon-fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP); glass-fiber-reinforced polymer (GFRP); and aramid-fiber-reinforced polymer (AFRP). FRP materials provide an ideal combination of mechanical and physical characteristics, such as high tensile strength, lightweight, high fatigue strength, non-corrosion, easy formability, and remarkable long-term durability. Furthermore, easy installation of FRP system benefits from the properties of formability and being lightweight. As an outstanding choice for external reinforcement, FRP materials can provide corrosion immunity and are commonly resistant to chemicals. Compared with the conventional retrofitting methods, the properties and versatility of FRP systems are more significantly cost-effective (Mertz, 2003; Moy,2013) and less time-consuming (Raghu et al., 2000).

The strengthening technique with FRP systems has been utilized in the retrofitting of the practical box beam bridges (Täljsten, 2005; Chiaw, 2006) to enhance the flexural and shear capacity of beams as shown in Figures 1.1 and 1.2. FRP strengthening of box beams has also been conducted experimentally to investigate the flexural mechanics of strengthened box members (Askar and Abd-Alkhalek, 2012). The present study concentrates on the behavior of RC box beams strengthened with CFRP under the action of shear, torsion, and combined shear and torsion to further evaluate and understand the research experimentally and theoretically.