

**PROJECT MANAGEMENT SUCCESS FOR POST-
DISASTER RECONSTRUCTION PROJECTS
FROM THE INTERNATIONAL NON-
GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS'
PERSPECTIVES**

DZULKARNAEN ISMAIL

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2016

**PROJECT MANAGEMENT SUCCESS FOR POST-DISASTER
RECONSTRUCTION PROJECTS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL NON-
GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS' PERSPECTIVES**

by

DZULKARNAEN ISMAIL

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

December 2016

*“Almighty Allah, please give your blessing to them...
My parents, my sisters, my supervisors,
my beloved wife and my lovely children... this is for us.”*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Grateful thoughts and zillion thanks go to Almighty Allah (S.W.T) for giving me guidance and strength to complete the dissertation. To this point in my life, I would consider my thesis as one of my most challenging tasks but at the same time, the most rewarding.

Firstly, I would like to thank Universiti Teknologi MARA for giving me the opportunity to further my study. Special thanks to the Department of Higher Education under the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE), Malaysia for financially supporting my research.

My unreserved gratitude goes to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Taksiah Abd Majid, and co-supervisor, Dr. Ruhizal Roosli, whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final level enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject. I would also wish to express my appreciation to my advisors, Hj Norazam Ab Samah, Vice President 1 of Mercy Malaysia, and Associate Professor Dr. Mohamad Noorman Masrek, from the Faculty of Information Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) for their continuous and unconditional guidance at each step of the study. This dissertation would not have been a real fulfilment without the backing and cooperation of these people.

My appreciation also goes to my parents (Hj Ismail Hashim and Hj Fatimah Ithnin), my sisters (Marsyita, Fadzleena, and Norhashimah), my brother-in-law (Azrol Hadi Abdullah and Hisham Salleh), my lovely wife (Fasihah Ab Samad), and my children

(A'isyah Syaurah, Abdurrahman Al-Ansari and Abdullah Al-Mubashshir) for their support, understanding and patience. They have made great sacrifices during this process. I would also like to extend my appreciation to the following individuals for whom this research would not have been possible without their dedicated help:

No	Name	Organisation
1	Ar Azman Zainon Abidin	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Perak
2	Tajul Edrus Nordin	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Perak
3	Sr Syed Abd Haris Syed Mustafa	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Perak
4	Ahmad Rozaini Ali Hasan	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Perak
5	Ir Razali Idris	Mercy Malaysia
6	Ar Mohamad Ayof bin Bajuri	Mercy Malaysia
7	Ir Mohamed Hanafi Ramli	Mercy Malaysia
8	Sr Yusof Hassim	Mercy Malaysia
9	Zullaili Zainal Abidin	Mercy Malaysia
10	Araceli Conui Viquiera	Mercy Malaysia, Philippines
11	Abdul Rauf	Mercy Malaysia, Pakistan
12	Azrim Mirza	Mercy Malaysia, Indonesia
13	Tulio Mateo	Catholic Relief Services, Philippines
14	AP Ponkumar Ilango	Dr. MGR Educational and Research Institute, Chennai, India
15	Telesforo B. Laplana	Plan International, Inc, Philippines
16	Jerome Fernandez	Education International, Indonesia
17	Reynalda Oporto	Reyna-Solid Regional Commodity Sources Inc, Philippines
18	Jonith Blancaver	IOM-Micronesia, Indonesia
19	Dr. Oscar V. Fudalan Jr.	ACF International, Indonesia

Finally yet importantly, I also thank all others who have, in one way or other, gave me invaluable help, assistance, and advice.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv	
LIST OF TABLES	x	
LIST OF FIGURES	xv	
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xvii	
ABSTRAK	xviii	
ABSTRACT	xx	
CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION		
1.0	Research Background	1
1.1	Problem Statements	2
1.2	Research Objectives	5
1.3	Research Questions	6
1.4	Research Hypothesis	7
1.5	Research Significance	8
1.6	Research Design	10
	1.6.1 Phase 1: Literature research	11
	1.6.2 Phase 2: Data Collection and Survey	11
	1.6.3 Phase 3: Analysis	12
1.7	Thesis Structure	13
1.8	Recent Research Achievement in the Area of PDR	16
1.9	Definition of Terms	21

CHAPTER TWO - LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0	Introduction	22
2.1	What is a Disaster?	23
	2.1.1 The Impacts of Disaster	25
2.2	Post-Disaster Reconstruction (PDR)	29
	2.2.1 Project Classification	31
	2.2.2 Project Stakeholder	35
	2.2.3 Total Disaster Risk Management (TDRM)	44
2.3	Why Project Management?	46
	2.3.1 Definition of Project	47
	2.3.2 Definition of Project Management	47
	2.3.3 Project Success	48
	2.3.4 Criteria for Project Success Measurement	49
2.4	Project Management Success	51
	2.4.1 Project Management Success in PDR Projects	54
	2.4.2 Critical Success Factors (CSFs)	70
	2.4.3 Critical Success Factors (CSFs) in PDR Projects	71

CHAPTER THREE - THE DEVELOPMENT OF THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

3.0	Introduction	86
3.1	Project Management Success Process	87
	3.1.1 Methodology for Post-Disaster Reconstruction	88
	3.1.2 Key Consideration in Post-Disaster Reconstruction	89
	3.1.3 Key Aspects of Sustainable Reconstruction	90

3.1.4	Life Cycle Phase of International Development Projects	91
3.2	Hypothesis Development	95
3.2.1	Hypothesis 1	97
3.2.2	Hypothesis 2	98
3.2.3	Hypothesis 3	99
3.2.4	Hypothesis 4	101
3.2.5	Hypothesis 5	103
3.3	Summary	106

CHAPTER FOUR - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.0	Introduction	108
4.1	Introduction to Research	108
4.2	Research Paradigm	110
4.3	Research Approach	114
4.3.1	Quantitative	114
4.3.2	Qualitative	116
4.3.3	Mixed Method	117
4.4	Research Strategy	119
4.5	Questionnaire Design and Structure	122
4.5.1	Survey method	123
4.5.2	On-line Survey	123
4.5.3	Format	124
4.5.4	Content	125
4.6	Measurement of Variables	127
4.6.1	Adequate Initiating Process	127

4.6.2	Proper Planning Process	128
4.6.3	Smooth Executing Process	128
4.6.4	Appropriate Monitoring and Controlling Process	129
4.6.5	Satisfactory Closing Process	129
4.6.6	Overall Project Management Performance	130
4.7	Pre-test	130
4.8	Piloting the Questionnaires	132
4.9	Sampling Approach	134
4.10	Survey limitations and ethics	135
4.11	Methods of Analysis	136
4.12	Summary	139

CHAPTER FIVE - DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

5.0	Introduction	140
5.1	Response rate	141
5.2	Demographic Profiles	143
5.2.1	General information about the respondent	143
5.2.2	General information about the respondent's organisation	147
5.3	Assessment of Normality	152
5.3.1	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test	152
5.3.2	Normality Probability Plot	153
5.3.3	Skewness and Kurtosis	154
5.3.4	Common Method Bias (CMB)	155
5.4	Issues and Challenges in PDR Project	156
5.4.1	Identification of the issues and challenges	157

5.4.2	Issues and challenge by project location	159
5.4.3	Issues and challenge by project participants	163
5.4.4	The Influence of Project Locations and Projects Participants on the Issues and Challenges in Post-Disaster Reconstruction	166
5.5	Critical Success factors (CSFs) in PDR Projects	169
5.5.1	Identification of the critical success factors (CSFs)	169
5.5.2	The Critical Success Factors (CSFs) by Project Location	174
5.5.3	The Critical Success Factors (CSFs) by Project Participants	183
5.5.4	The Influence of Project Location and Projects Participants on the critical success factors (CSFs) in Post-Disaster Reconstruction	192
5.6	Data Analysis: Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)	195
5.6.1	PLS-SEM model building approach	196
5.6.2	Model analysis and Validation	200
5.6.3	Evaluation of the measurement model	200
5.6.4	Evaluation of the structural model	206

CHAPTER SIX - DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

6.0	Introduction	212
6.1	Discussion of the Findings	213
6.1.1	Discussion on Issues and Challenges in PDR	214
6.1.2	Discussion on Issues and Challenges by Project Location and Project Participants	217
6.1.3	Discussion on Critical Success Factors (CSFs) in PDR Projects	220
6.1.4	Discussion on the Effect of Project Location and Project Participants on the Critical Success Factors	221

6.1.5	Discussion of Hypothesis	227
6.2	Contribution to Knowledge	232
6.2.1	Theoretical Contribution	232
6.2.2	Methodological Contributions	233
6.2.3	Practical Contributions	234
6.3	Limitations of the Study	235
6.4	Recommendation	236
6.5	Conclusion	237

REFERENCES 239

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - Questionnaire

APPENDIX B - Test of Normality

APPENDIX C - Common Method Bias Test

APPENDIX D - Pilot test Results

APPENDIX E - One sample t-test result

APPENDIX F- One-way ANOVA

APPENDIX G - One sample t-test result

APPENDIX H - One-Way ANOVA

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

	Page	
Table 1.1	Numbers of Publication Related to this Study	4
Table 1.2	Significance of the Study	9
Table 1.3	Synthesis Matrix	19
Table 1.4	The Definition of Terms	21
Table 2.1	Disaster Subgroup Definition and Classification.	25
Table 2.2	Estimated Damage caused by Natural Disaster in Southeast Asia from 2000 – 2013. Edited from CRED (2013)	27
Table 2.3	Post-Disaster Reconstruction Project Classification	32
Table 2.4	The Spread of Responsibilities between PDR Project Participants	36
Table 2.5	Timeline of a Disaster Response (United Nations, 2008a)	37
Table 2.6	Parties Involved and their Roles based on Publication	38
Table 2.7	Project Management Success Dimension and Criteria	55
Table 2.8	Project Management Process in PDR Projects	61
Table 2.9	Disaster Reconstruction Challenges in Publication	63
Table 2.10	List of Issues and Challenges in PDR Projects	64
Table 2.11	The List of CSFs for PDR Projects in Publication	72
Table 2.12	CSFs for PDR Projects from Previous Studies	74
Table 2.13	Project Management Success Framework	85
Table 3.1	Life Cycle Phase of International Development Projects	92
Table 3.2	Matrix of Project Management Success Framework in PDR projects by Other Studies	93
Table 3.3	The Number of Measuring Items for Each Construct	97

Table 4.1	Fundamental Beliefs of Research Paradigms based on Wahyuni (2012)	113
Table 4.2	Comparisons between three Research Approaches	118
Table 4.3	Classification of Methodologies in previous Post-Disaster Studies	119
Table 4.4	Instrument Development and Validation Process	121
Table 4.5	Questionnaire Format	126
Table 4.6	Pre-Test Result	131
Table 4.7	Results of Reliability Test based on Pilot Survey Conducted	133
Table 5.1	Survey Return Rate	141
Table 5.2	F Tests - Linear Multiple Regressions: Fixed Model,	142
Table 5.3	Designation of the Respondents	144
Table 5.4	Experiences of the Respondents	144
Table 5.5	Project Involved	145
Table 5.6	Type of Organisations	147
Table 5.7	TDRM Cycle	147
Table 5.8	Type of Disaster	149
Table 5.9	Project Location	150
Table 5.10	Types of Project	151
Table 5.11	Test of Normality	153
Table 5.12	Descriptive Analysis of Skewness and Kurtosis	154
Table 5.13	Common Method Bias (Harman's Single Factor)	155
Table 5.14	One-Sample T-Test Result	157
Table 5.15	Ranking Hierarchy of Issues and Challenges in PDR Projects	158
Table 5.16	Issues and Challenges in PDR Projects by Project Location	159

Table 5.17	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Issues and Challenges in PDR-Based on Project Location	161
Table 5.18	Tukey HSD Result on Issues and Challenges by Location	162
Table 5.19	Issues and Challenges in PDR Projects by Project Participants	164
Table 5.20	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Issues and Challenges in PDR based On Project Participants	165
Table 5.21	Two-Way ANOVA Results by Poject Location and Organisation	167
Table 5.22	Two-Way ANOVA on Descriptive Statistics	168
Table 5.23	Descriptive Analysis of Overall Mean Score Ranking	170
Table 5.24	Ranking of Critical Success Factors by Project Management Process	172
Table 5.25	CSFs in Post-Disaster Reconstruction Projects by Project Location	175
Table 5.26	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between success factors at Initiating Process and PDR Project Location	177
Table 5.27	Turkey Result for Differences on CSFs and PDR Projects Location	178
Table 5.28	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Success Factors at Planning Process and PDR Project Location	179
Table 5.29	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Success Factors at Executing Process and PDR Project Location	180
Table 5.30	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Success Factors at Monitoring and Controlling Process and PDR Project Location	181
Table 5.31	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Success Factors at Closing Process and PDR Project Location	182

Table 5.32	CSFs in Post-Disaster Reconstruction Projects by Project Participants	184
Table 5.33	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Success Factors at Initiating Process and PDR Project Participants	186
Table 5.34	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Success Factors at Planning Process and PDR Project Participants	187
Table 5.35	Turkey Result for Differences on CSFs and PDR Projects Participants	188
Table 5.36	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Success Factors at Executing Process and PDR Project Participants	189
Table 5.37	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Success Factors at Monitoring and Controlling Process and PDR Project Participants	190
Table 5.38	Turkey Result for Differences on CSFs and PDR Projects Participants	191
Table 5.39	One-Way ANOVA for Significant Difference between Success Factors at Closing Process and PDR Project Participants	192
Table 5.40	Two-Way ANOVA Results for Project Location and Project Organisation	193
Table 5.41	Two-Way ANOVA on Descriptive Statistics	194
Table 5.42	Constructs and Initial Measurement Variables	199
Table 5.43	Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)	201
Table 5.44	Results of Measurement Model	203
Table 5.45	Discriminant Validity of Constructs	204
Table 5.46	Path Coefficient between Independent and Dependent Variables	207

Table 5.47	Effect Size	208
Table 5.48	Prediction Relevance Test	209
Table 5.49	Mapping of Data Analysis and Findings on Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)	211

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Thesis Structure	13
Figure 2.1	Annual Reported Economic Damages and Time Trend from Disasters: 1980-2013 (EM-DAT, 2014)	26
Figure 2.2	Three-Dimensional Structure of Reconstruction (Sun & Xu, 2011)	31
Figure 2.3	The TDRM Cycle (MERCY Malaysia, 2012)	45
Figure 2.4	Project Success Components (Baccarini, 2004)	49
Figure 2.5	The Square Route (Atkinson, 1999)	52
Figure 2.6	Logical Framework Method (LFM) (Baccarini, 2004)	52
Figure 2.7	Project Life-Cycle-Based Framework by Khang & Moe (2008).	53
Figure 2.8	Iron Triangle of Project Management	57
Figure 3.1	Five Project Management Process Group (PMI, 2005)	89
Figure 3.2	Key Considerations In Post-Disaster Reconstructions (Silva, 2010)	90
Figure 3.3	Key Recommendations for Sustainable Reconstruction	91
Figure 3.4	Theoretical Framework	94
Figure 3.5	Adequate Initiating Phase vs. Project Management Success	98
Figure 3.6	Proper planning Process vs. Project Management Success	99
Figure 3.7	Smooth Executing Process vs. Project Management Success	101
Figure 3.8	Appropriate Monitoring and Closing Process vs. Project Management Success	102
Figure 3.9	Satisfactorily Closing Process vs. Project Management Success	104
Figure 4.1	The Nested Research Methodology (Kagioglou et al., 2000)	110
Figure 4.2	Quantitative Research Process (Edited based on Bryman (2008))	115
Figure 4.3	Qualitative Research Process (Edited based on Bryman (2008))	116

Figure 4.4	Research Strategy	120
Figure 5.1	Projects Involved	146
Figure 5.2	TDRM Cycle	148
Figure 5.3	Type of Disaster	149
Figure 5.4	Normal Probability Plot	153
Figure 5.5	The Research Model of Project Management Success in PDR Projects using Smart PLS-SEM	197
Figure 5.6	The Structural Model with the Results of the Path Analysis using Smart PLS-SEM	205
Figure 5.7	The Final Model of Project Management Success in PDR Projects using Smart PLS-SEM	210

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

PDR	Post-Disaster Reconstruction
NGO	Non-governmental Organisation
INGO	International Non-governmental Organisation
IDP	Internally Displaced Person
CBOs	Community Based Organisations
CPHRP	Community-Based Post-Disaster Housing Reconstruction Projects
CSFs	Critical Success Factors
UNCHS	United Nations Human Settlements Programme
PMI	Project Management Institute
TDRM	Total Disaster Risk Management (TDRM)
PMBOK	Project Management Body of Knowledge
DV	Dependent Variable
IV	Independent Variable
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling
CB-SEM	Covariance-based Structural Equation Modeling
PLS-SEM	Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modeling v. 3.2.4
CMB	Common Method Bias
CA	Cronbach's Alpha
AVE	Average Variance Extracted
CR	Composite Reliability

**KEJAYAAN PENGURUSAN PROJEK UNTUK PROJEK PEMBANGUNAN
SEMULA PASCA BENCANA DARI PERSPEKTIF BADAN BUKAN
KERAJAAN ANTARABANGSA (INGOs)**

ABSTRAK

Inisiatif projek pembangunan semula pasca bencana sering menghadapi kerumitan dan ketidaktentuan, sekali gus memerlukan strategi tertentu untuk menjamin kejayaan sesuatu projek. Walaupun terdapat sokongan dan bantuan daripada pelbagai agensi bagi pembangunan semula pasca bencana, jumlah projek-projek pembinaan semula yang siap masih rendah. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat peningkatan dari segi kesedaran di kalangan pihak berkepentingan mengenai teknik pengurusan yang boleh mempengaruhi kejayaan projek pembangunan semula. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi proses pembinaan semula (juga dikenali sebagai Kitaran Hayat Projek) yang menyumbang kepada kejayaan pengurusan projek dengan tujuan untuk merungkai skop kerja badan bukan kerajaan antarabangsa yang terlibat secara langsung dengan projek pembangunan semula pasca bencana. Namun, sangat sedikit kajian yang telah dijalankan dalam pembinaan semula pasca bencana, yang bertumpu kepada pengurusan projek. Kajian ini menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif untuk membangunkan rangka kerja teori faktor-faktor yang berpengaruh dalam kitaran hayat pengurusan projek melalui kajian literatur dan teknik kaji selidik. Hasil kajian ini telah digunakan untuk mewujudkan faktor-faktor kejayaan dalam proses pengurusan projek untuk pembangunan model persamaan berstruktur menggunakan permodelan (structural equation modelling) (Smart PLS versi 3.2.4). Dalam menangani matlamat-matlamat penyelidikan, kajian ini mengenal pasti isu-isu dan cabaran yang paling signifikan dalam pembinaan semula pasca bencana (PDR) projek iaitu: penyertaan masyarakat,

penilaian pasca banjir, pembiayaan projek, dan kualiti kerja. Sebagai tambahan, terdapat sepuluh faktor kejayaan kritikal yang dikenal pasti dalam kajian ini iaitu; identiti dan pemilikan, ketelusan dan akauntabiliti, kejelasan menentukan matlamat dan objektif, pengawasan semasa pembinaan, kepuasan penerima dengan produk dan perkhidmatan, perancangan projek yang betul, perundingan yang berkesan dengan pihak berkepentingan, pemahaman tentang keperluan masyarakat, budaya, dan persekitaran, menjalankan penilaian terhadap kerosakan, dan penyertaan masyarakat yang ketara. Selain itu, kajian ini meneroka kesan faktor-faktor yang dikaitkan dengan pendekatan kitaran hayat projek dan hubungannya dengan kejayaan pengurusan projek dalam pembangunan semula pasca banjir dengan menilai pekali standard antara faktor dalam model PLS-SEM. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa proses permulaan yang baik, proses perancangan yang betul, proses penutupan yang memuaskan, dan proses perlaksanaan yang tepat di dalam kitaran hayat projek mempunyai hubungan positif yang signifikan dengan kejayaan pengurusan projek dalam projek pembangunan semula pasca bencana. Walau bagaimanapun, proses pemantauan dan kawalan yang sesuai didapati tidak menyokong keputusan hipotesis dengan statistik yang tidak ketara, sekali gus mencadangkan peranan pengantara bagi fasa ini untuk penyelidikan masa depan. Sehubungan dengan itu, sumbangan kajian ini ialah dengan memperkenalkan rangka kerja konsep kitar hayat projek daripada perspektif pembangunan pasca bencana dengan mengambilkira faktor-faktor kejayaan pengurusan projek. Oleh itu, penemuan ini membentangkan pemahaman yang jelas mengenai prestasi badan bukan kerajaan antarabangsa dalam pengurusan projek dan berpotensi meningkatkan pengetahuan sedia ada pada kejayaan projek-projek pembinaan semula pasca bencana pada masa akan datang.

**PROJECT MANAGEMENT SUCCESS FOR POST-DISASTER
RECONSTRUCTION (PDR) PROJECTS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL
NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATION (INGO)'S PERSPECTIVES**

ABSTRACT

The post-disaster reconstruction project (PDR) initiatives commonly deal with uncertainties and complexity, thus require particular strategies to foster successful results. Despite the support and assistance from various agencies on the development after the disaster, the amount of reconstruction projects completed has remained low. Nevertheless, there is an increasing awareness among the PDR's stakeholders on the management techniques that influence project success in the PDR projects. This study aims to investigate the influential factors in the reconstruction project process (also known as Project Life Cycle) that contribute to the project management success with an aim to explore the job scope of the International NGOs dealing with PDR projects. However, very few studies were carried out in post-disaster reconstruction, which focus on project management. This research adopted quantitative approach to develop the theoretical framework of influential factors within the project management life cycle conducted from the literature review and questionnaires survey techniques. The results from the survey were used to establish the success factors within the project management process for the development of structural equation model using structural equation modeling (Smart PLS version 3.2.4). In addressing the research aims, this study identifies the most significant issues and challenges in the post-disaster reconstruction (PDR) projects namely: community participation, assessment, funding, and quality of work. Furthermore, the top ten critical success factors determined in this study are; identity and ownership, transparency and accountability, clearly defined goals and objectives,

supervision during construction, beneficiary satisfaction with the product and services, proper project planning, effective consultation with stakeholders, understanding of community needs, culture, and conditions, conduct a clear assessment of damages, and significant level of community participation. Additionally, the research explores the impacts of factors linked to the project lifecycle approach with project management success in PDR project delivery by examining the standardized coefficients among the factors in the PLS-SEM model. The findings indicate that adequate initiating process, proper planning process, satisfactory closing process, and smooth executing process of the project lifecycle have a significant positive relationship with the project management success in PDR projects. However, the appropriate monitoring and controlling process found were not supporting the hypothesis with a statistically insignificant result, thus indicating the mediating roles of this phase for future research. Prior to this, the contribution of this research is the establishment of a conceptual framework from the perspectives of PDR project life cycle that include the initiating, planning, execution and closing process considering the critical success factors of PDR projects. Consequently, these findings present a clear understanding of the INGOs performance of project management and could potentially enhance existing knowledge on the success of post-disaster reconstruction projects.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Research Background

In recent years, natural disasters frequently happened around the globe and caused not only for the major loss of lives but also create a huge property loss. For example, the Indian Ocean Tsunami (2004), the earthquake in Pakistan and Haiti (2010) and recent typhoon in the Philippines (2013) and Nepal (2014) estimated more than billions of reported losses. The reported average losses rose from around \$US50 billion in the early 80's to almost \$US200 billion a year in the past decade, totalling \$US3.8 trillion from 1980 to 2012 (World Bank, 2013). During the conflict in Kosovo, more than half of the province's housing destroyed, while in Sierre Leone conflicts, the devastation caused of an estimated 300,000 houses, leaving over a million of people evacuated (Barakat, 2003).

The Post-Disaster Reconstruction (hereafter referred to as the *PDR*) initiative is part of a cycle of four identifiable post-disaster periods in Total Disaster Risk Management (TDRM): emergency phase, reconstruction phase, prevention phase and preparedness phase. The PDR projects initiatives frequently deal with uncertainties (Hayles, 2010; Sun & Xu, 2011) and complexity (Boano & Garc ía, 2011; Ye & Okada, 2002), believed as the most challenging tasks among the four cycle. Despite the aid assistance from the agencies, government and international non-governmental organization (hereafter refer to as the *INGOs*) on the development after a disaster, the amount of reconstruction projects completed has remained low (Ika et al. 2012; Lyons, 2009; Meding et al. 2014).