

**MESOCELLULAR FOAM INCORPORATED WITH COPPER AND IRON
AS CATALYST FOR AZO DYES DEGRADATION**

By

NUR AZIMAH BINTI JAMALLUDDIN

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

October 2016

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and above all, I praise Allah the Almighty for providing me this opportunity and granting me the capability to proceed successfully. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor for the continuous support, patience, motivation and guidance throughout my study. I could not have imagined having a better supervisor for my Ph.D. study.

Professor Dr. Ahmad Zuhairi Abdullah

My sincere thanks also goes to the technical staff of School of Chemical Engineering who has helped me.

Mr. Muhamad Ismail Abd Talib

Mr. Osmarizal Osman

Mrs. Latifah Abd. Latiff

Mrs. Ain Natasha Shaari

Mr. Shamsul Hidayat Syaharan

Mr. Rasydan Omar

Mr. Faiza Ismail

I thank my lab mates and fellow friends for all the fruitful discussion and fun we have had in the last four years.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family and Mohd Fikri for supporting me spiritually throughout writing this thesis and my life in general.

Nur Azimah Jamalluddin

June 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
ABSTRAK	xxii
ABSTRACT	xxiv
CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Problems of Dye Compounds	1
1.2 Techniques for Dye Compounds Removal	2
1.3 Mesoporous Silica Based Material as a Potential Catalyst Support	5
1.4 Problem Statement	6
1.5 Research Objectives	8
1.6 Scope of the Study	9
CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Heterogeneous Fenton-like Reaction	13
2.1.1 Basic Principle and Mechanism	13
2.1.2 Current Status of Support Material Used in Fenton-Based System	18
2.2 Mesocellular Foam Silica Based Material	20
2.2.1 Synthesis and Formation Mechanism	20
2.2.2 MCF as Catalyst Support in Water and Wastewater Treatment	25
2.2.3 Factors Influencing the Formation and Properties of MCF Support	29

2.3	Modification of MCF Support with Fe as Active Metal	36
2.3.1	Metal Loading Techniques	36
2.3.1(a)	Post Synthesis Method	37
2.3.1(b)	Direct Synthesis Method	39
2.3.2	Problems in the Incorporation of Fe into MCF	41
2.3.2(a)	Poor Metal Attachment	42
2.3.2(b)	High Metal Aggregation	44
2.3.2(c)	Reduction in the Surface Properties	45
2.4	Modification of FeMCF Catalyst with Cu as Second Active Metal	48
2.5	Critical Reaction Conditions in Heterogeneous Fenton-Based System	53
2.5.1	Initial Dye Concentration	53
2.5.2	H ₂ O ₂ Concentration	57
2.5.3	Initial pH of the Solution	60
2.5.4	Catalyst Dosage	64
2.5.5	Solution Temperature	67
2.6	Kinetic and Modelling Studies in Fenton-Based System	70
2.7	Reusability and Stability of Heterogeneous Fenton-Like Catalysts	72
2.8	Knowledge Gap	75
 CHAPTER THREE : MATERIALS AND METHODS		
3.1	Overall Experimental Flow Chart	78
3.2	Materials and Equipment	80
3.2.1	Chemicals and Reagents	80
3.2.2	Equipment	81
3.3	Synthesis of Mesocellular Foam Support	82
3.4	Synthesis of Fe Incorporated MCF by Post Synthesis Method	84
3.5	Synthesis of Fe Incorporated MCF by Direct Synthesis Method	84
3.5.1	Effect of Different Approaches of Introducing Fe into MCF	85
3.5.2	Effect of Fe Concentration	86
3.5.3	Effect of Ammonium Fluoride Dosage	87
3.5.4	Effect of Calcination Temperature	88
3.6	Synthesis of Different Combinations of Cu and Fe into MCF	88
3.6.1	Synthesis of Cu into MCF	88
3.6.2	Synthesis of Fe into CuMCF Catalyst	89

3.6.3	Synthesis of Cu into FeMCF Catalyst	90
3.7	Characterization of Supports and Catalysts	90
3.7.1	Surface Morphology Analysis	91
3.7.2	Mesostructure Analysis	91
3.7.3	Nitrogen Adsorption-Desorption and Surface Analysis	92
3.7.4	Structural Analysis	92
3.7.5	Functional Groups Analysis	93
3.7.6	Identification of Metal Species	93
3.7.7	Elemental Analysis	94
3.7.8	Determination of Zero Point Charge of The Catalyst	94
3.8	Experimental Set Up For Catalytic Degradation of Azo Dyes	95
3.9	Catalytic Degradation of Azo Dyes under Various Operating Conditions	95
3.9.1	Effect of Initial Dye Concentration	96
3.9.2	Effect of H ₂ O ₂ Concentration	96
3.9.3	Effect of Initial pH of Dye Solution	96
3.9.4	Effect of Catalyst Dosage	97
3.9.5	Effect of Solution Temperature	97
3.10	Analysis of Liquid Sample	97
3.10.1	Measurement of the Concentration of the Treated Solution	98
3.10.2	Measurement of Chemical Oxygen Demand	99
3.10.3	Measurement of Total Organic Carbon	99
3.10.4	Measurement of Metal Leaching	100
3.10.5	Measurement of the Presence of •OH radicals	100
3.11	Kinetic and Modelling Studies in Fenton-Based System	101
3.12	Reusability and Stability Studies	106
 CHAPTER FOUR : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
4.1	Effects of TMB Dosage and HCl Concentration on the Characteristics and Catalytic Performance of Fe incorporated MCF Catalysts Synthesized via Wet Impregnation Method	108
4.1.1	Characteristics of the Supports and Catalysts	108
4.1.1(a)	Surface Morphology Analysis	108
4.1.1(b)	Mesostructure Analysis	111

4.1.1(c)	N ₂ Adsorption-Desorption Isotherm	115
4.1.2	Catalytic Performance of the Catalysts Synthesized at Different TMB and HCl Concentrations	120
4.2	Effects of Different Approaches of Incorporating Fe into MCF Synthesized Via Direct Hydrothermal Method on the Characteristics and Catalytic Performance of the Catalysts.	127
4.2.1	Characteristics of the Catalysts	127
4.2.1(a)	Structural Analysis	127
4.2.1(b)	Surface Morphology Analysis	131
4.2.1(c)	Mesostructure Analysis	133
4.2.1(d)	N ₂ Adsorption-Desorption Isotherm	136
4.2.1(e)	Functional Group Analysis	138
4.2.1(f)	Identification of Fe Species	141
4.2.2	Catalytic Performance of the Catalysts Synthesized at Different Approaches of Fe Incorporation	144
4.3	Effects of Fe Concentration on the Characteristics and Catalytic Performance of Fe Incorporated MCF Catalysts	150
4.3.1	Characteristics of the Catalysts	151
4.3.1(a)	Surface Morphology Analysis	151
4.3.1(b)	Mesostructure Analysis	152
4.3.1(c)	N ₂ Adsorption-Desorption Isotherm	154
4.3.1(d)	Functional Groups Analysis	159
4.3.1(e)	Identification of Fe Species	161
4.3.2	Catalytic Performance of the Catalysts Synthesized at Various Fe Concentrations	162
4.4	Effects of Ammonium Fluoride Dosage and Calcination Temperature on the Characteristics and Catalytic Performance of Fe Incorporated MCF Catalysts	173
4.4.1	Characteristics of the Catalysts	173
4.4.1(a)	Surface Morphology Analysis	173
4.4.1(b)	Mesostructure Analysis	175
4.4.1(c)	N ₂ Adsorption-Desorption Isotherm	177
4.4.1(d)	Functional Groups Analysis	181
4.4.1(e)	Identification of Fe Species	183

4.4.2	Catalytic Performance of the Catalysts	185
4.4.2(a)	Effect of Ammonium Fluoride Dosage	185
4.4.2(b)	Effect of Calcination Temperature	190
4.5	Effects of Different Combinations of Fe and Cu Incorporated into MCF on the Characteristics and Catalytic Performance of the Catalysts	194
4.5.1	Characteristics of the Catalysts	195
4.5.1(a)	Structural Analysis	195
4.5.1(b)	Surface Morphology Analysis	197
4.5.1(c)	Mesostructure Analysis	198
4.5.1(d)	N ₂ Adsorption-Desorption Isotherm	201
4.5.1(e)	Identification of Metal Species	204
4.5.2	Catalytic Performance of the Catalysts Synthesized with Different Cu and Fe Combinations	206
4.6	Catalytic Performance of FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF Catalyst under Various Reaction Conditions	209
4.6.1	Effect of H ₂ O ₂ Concentration	210
4.6.2	Effect of Initial pH	213
4.6.3	Effect of Catalyst Dosage	218
4.6.4	Effect of Temperature	221
4.7	Proposed Reaction Mechanism of FeMCF/H ₂ O ₂ and Cu-FeMCF/H ₂ O ₂ Systems	224
4.8	Kinetic and Modelling Studies for FeMCF/H ₂ O ₂ and Cu-FeMCF/H ₂ O ₂ Systems	229
4.9	Reusability and Stability Studies of Cu-FeMCF Catalyst	235
4.9.1	Reusability Performance of Cu-FeMCF Catalyst	235
4.9.2	Stability Performance of Cu-FeMCF Catalyst	237
4.10	Comparison of FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF Catalyst with Reported Findings	241
 CHAPTER FIVE : CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
5.1	Conclusions	244
5.2	Recommendations	247
 REFERENCES		 249

APPENDICES

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1: Overview on the effects of various of synthesis conditions on the final properties of MCF support material	30
Table 2.2: Overview of the application of Cu-Fe based catalysts in water and wastewater treatment.	50
Table 2.3: Overview of the effects of initial dye concentration on the removal of dye compounds in Fenton-based process	54
Table 2.4: Overview of the effects of H ₂ O ₂ amount on the catalytic degradation of organic dyes in Fenton-based process	58
Table 2.5: Overview of the effect of initial pH on the removal of dye compounds in Fenton-based process	61
Table 2.6: Overview of the effect of catalyst dosage on the removal of dye compounds in Fenton-based process	65
Table 2.7: Overview of the effects of reaction temperature on the removal of dye compounds in Fenton-based process	68
Table 2.8: Overview of the reusability and stability of heterogeneous Fenton-based catalysts	73
Table 3.1: List of chemicals and reagents	80
Table 3.2: Basic properties of model pollutants used in this study	81
Table 3.3: List of equipment used	82
Table 3.4: Reaction order and rate laws for a reaction involving single reactant	105
Table 4.1: Textural properties of the supports and catalysts	119
Table 4.2: Textural properties of FeMCF catalysts developed by different approaches of Fe incorporation.	138

Table 4.3:	Textural properties of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various Fe concentrations.	156
Table 4.4:	Textural properties of FeMCF developed using various amounts of NH ₄ F	179
Table 4.5:	Textural properties of the catalysts developed with different combinations of Fe and Cu into MCF	203
Table 4.6:	Composition of active elements on FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF surfaces	225
Table 4.7:	Langmuir-Hinshelwood parameters of the Fenton-like degradation of RB5 by FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF catalysts.	234
Table 4.8:	Comparison of catalytic activity of various heterogeneous catalysts reported in the degradation of dye	242

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1: Schematic diagram illustrating the generation active radicals in heterogeneous Fenton-like oxidation process (Zhao <i>et al.</i> , 2010).	15
Figure 2.2: Catalytic reaction steps involved in a heterogeneous catalyst (Fogler, 2006)	16
Figure 2.3: The structure of MCF silica-based material (Qian <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Gao <i>et al.</i> , 2011; Piumetti <i>et al.</i> , 2011a)	21
Figure 2.4: Transformation from hexagonal to mesocellular foam structure (Lettow <i>et al.</i> , 2000)	22
Figure 2.5: Schematic diagram of the proposed assembly process and the formation of the mesoporous structure of the MCF material (Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	23
Figure 2.6: Synthesis of MCF with the addition of swelling agent (Zhou <i>et al.</i> , 2014).	24
Figure 2.7: TEM images of (a) MSU-F-C support (b) Fe ₃ O ₄ /MSU-F-C catalyst (Chun <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	26
Figure 2.8: TEM images of mesoporous material developed at various TMB/P123 ratio (a) 0.05 (b) 0.1 (c) 0.2 (d) 0.5 (Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	32
Figure 2.9: Proposed mechanisms that account for different structures of the silica foams (Lettow <i>et al.</i> , 2000).	35
Figure 3.1: Flowchart for the experimental works.	79
Figure 3.2: Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up used for the synthesis of mesoporous MCF silica support	83
Figure 3.3: Schematic diagram of experimental rig for the dye degradation process	95
Figure 4.1: Surface morphology of supports synthesized using different TMB dosages and HCl concentrations (a)	

	MCFA, (b) MCFB and (c) MCFC (Magnification = 3000 x) (CT = 500 °C)	109
Figure 4.2:	Changes in the surface morphology of support with the addition of different concentrations of HCl (a) 0.5 M, (b) 1.5 M, (c) 2.0 M, (d) 3.0 (Magnification = 10 Kx) (CT = 500 °C)	110
Figure 4.3:	TEM images of supports and catalysts (a) MCFA, (b) MCFB, (c) MCFC, (d) Fe-MCFA, (e) Fe-MCFB and (f) Fe-MCFC ([Fe] = 5.4 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)	112
Figure 4.4:	N ₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms for the supports and catalysts (a) MCFA and Fe-MCFA, (b) MCFB and Fe-MCFB, (c) MCFC and Fe-MCFC ([Fe] = 5.4 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)	116
Figure 4.5:	Catalytic removal of ARB with different initial concentrations (a) 25 mg/L, (b) 50 mg/L, (c) 100 mg/L ([H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 10.2 mM; pH = 3.1 [catalyst] = 0.03 g/L; RT = 30 °C; t = 60 min)	121
Figure 4.6:	Reusability of FeMCF catalysts synthesized at various TMB dosages and HCl concentrations for the removal of ARB ([ARB] ₀ = 100 mg/L; [H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 10.2 mM; pH = 3.1; [catalyst] = 0.03 g/L; RT = 30 °C; t = 60 min)	126
Figure 4.7:	XRD spectra of MCF and FeMCF catalysts synthesized using different approaches of incorporating Fe into MCF ([Fe] = 5.4 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)	128
Figure 4.8:	SEM images of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using different approaches of incorporating Fe into MCF (a) FeMCFA1 (b) FeMCFA2 (c) FeMCFA3 (d) FeMCFA4 (Magnification = 2500 x) ([Fe] = 5.4 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)	132
Figure 4.9:	TEM images of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using different approaches of incorporating Fe into MCF (a) FeMCFA1 (b) FeMCFA2 (c) FeMCFA3 (d) FeMCFA4 ([Fe] = 5.4 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)	135
Figure 4.10:	Isotherm curves of the catalysts synthesized using different approaches of incorporating Fe into MCF ([Fe] = 5.4 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)	137

Figure 4.11:	FT-IR spectra for FeMCF catalysts synthesized using different approaches of incorporating Fe into MCF ([Fe] = 5.4 wt. %; calcination temperature= 500 °C)	139
Figure 4.12:	Absorption spectra of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using different approaches of incorporating Fe into MCF ([Fe] = 5.4 wt. %; CT= 500 °C)	141
Figure 4.13:	(a) Catalytic removal of ARB over FeMCF catalysts (b) COD removal of ARB over FeMCF catalysts and Fe leaching ([ARB] ₀ = 100 mg/L; [H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 10.2 mM; pH= 3.1; [catalyst]= 0.03 g/L; RT= 30 °C; t = 60 min)	145
Figure 4.14:	Fluorescence intensity of 2-hydroxyterephthalic acid by the FeMCF catalysts synthesized at various approaches of Fe incorporation ([TA] = 2 mM; [H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 10.2 mM; pH = 5.5 = [catalyst] = 0.03 g/L; RT= 30 °C; t = 60 min)	147
Figure 4.15:	Reusability of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using different approaches of incorporating Fe into MCF for the removal of ARB ([ARB] ₀ = 100 mg/L; [H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 10.2 mM; pH= 3.1 [catalyst]= 0.03 g/L; RT= 30 °C; t = 60 min)	148
Figure 4.16:	SEM images of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various Fe concentrations (a) 4 wt. % (b) 8 wt. % (c) 10 wt. % (d) 12 wt. % (Magnification = 5000 x) (CT = 500 °C)	152
Figure 4.17:	TEM images of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various Fe concentrations (a) 4 wt. % (b) 8 wt. % (c) 10 wt. % (d) 12 wt. % (CT = 500 °C)	153
Figure 4.18:	Isotherm curves of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various Fe concentrations (CT= 500 °C)	155
Figure 4.19:	FT-IR spectra of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various Fe concentrations (CT = 500 °C)	160
Figure 4.20:	UV-vis DRS spectra for FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various Fe concentrations (CT = 500 °C)	161
Figure 4.21:	(a) Catalytic degradation of ARB by FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various Fe concentrations (b) reduction in the UV-vis spectrum of ARB over FeMCF	

	<p>([ARB]₀= 100 mg/L; [H₂O₂]₀= 10.2 mM; pH= 3.1; [catalyst]= 0.03 g/L; RT= 30 °C; t = 60 min)</p>	163
Figure 4.22:	<p>Fluorescence intensity of 2-hydroxyterephthalic acid by the FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various Fe concentrations ([TA] = 2 mM; [H₂O₂]₀= 10.2 mM; pH = 5.5; [catalyst] = 0.03 g/L; RT= 30 °C; t = 60 min)</p>	165
Figure 4.23:	<p>Correlation between the percentage of ARB degradation, COD removal and mesopore surface area of the catalysts ([ARB] = 100 mg/L; [H₂O₂]₀= 10.2 mM; pH= 3.1; [catalyst] = 0.03 g/L; RT = 30 °C t = 45 min).</p>	168
Figure 4.24:	<p>Reusability of FeMCF catalysts synthesized at various Fe loadings for the removal of ARB ([ARB]₀= 100 mg/L; [H₂O₂]₀= 10.2 mM; pH= 3.1; [catalyst]= 0.03 g/L; RT= 30 °C; t = 60 min)</p>	170
Figure 4.25:	<p>FT-IR spectra of fresh ARB and ARB after being treated at different runs ([ARB] = 100 mg/L; 10.2 mM; pH= 3.1; [H₂O₂]₀= [catalyst] = 0.03 g/L; RT = 30 °C; time= 60 min)</p>	171
Figure 4.26:	<p>FT-IR spectra of FeMCF10 catalyst after reused for different runs</p>	172
Figure 4.27:	<p>SEM images of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using different NH₄F dosages (a) 0.023 g (b) 0.092 g (c) 0.184 g (Magnification : 5000 x) (d) 0.023 g (e) 0.092 g (f) 0.184 g (Magnification: 50 Kx) ([Fe] = 10 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)</p>	174
Figure 4.28:	<p>TEM images of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using different NH₄F dosages (a) 0.023 g (b) 0.092 g (c) 0.184 g ([Fe] = 10 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)</p>	176
Figure 4.29:	<p>Isotherm curves of FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various NH₄F dosages ([Fe] = 10 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)</p>	178
Figure 4.30:	<p>FT-IR spectra of the catalysts developed using various dosages of NH₄F (a) overall FT-IR spectrum (b) FT-IR spectrum range from 400-2100 cm⁻¹ ([Fe] = 10 wt. %; CT = 500 °C)</p>	182

Figure 4.31:	UV-vis absorption spectra of the catalysts synthesized using various dosages of NH_4F ($[\text{Fe}] = 10 \text{ wt. \%}$; $\text{CT} = 500 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$)	184
Figure 4.32:	Catalytic reduction of ARB performed by FeMCF0.023, FeMCF0.092 and FeMCF0.184 catalysts ($[\text{ARB}]_0 = 100 \text{ mg/L}$; $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_0 = 10.2 \text{ mM}$; $\text{pH} = 3.1$; $[\text{catalyst}] = 0.03 \text{ g/L}$; $\text{RT} = 30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; $t = 60 \text{ min}$)	186
Figure 4.33:	Fluorescence intensity of 2-hydroxyterephthalic acid by the FeMCF catalysts synthesized using various NH_4F dosages ($[\text{TA}] = 2 \text{ mM}$; $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_0 = 10.2 \text{ mM}$; $\text{pH} = 5.5$; $[\text{catalyst}] = 0.03 \text{ g/L}$; $\text{RT} = 30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; $t = 60 \text{ min}$)	188
Figure 4.34:	Percentage decolorization, COD removal, TOC removal and amount of Fe leaching during the catalytic degradation of ARB for different system ($[\text{ARB}]_0 = 100 \text{ mg/L}$; $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_0 = 10.2 \text{ mM}$; $\text{pH} = 3.1$; $[\text{catalyst}] = 0.03 \text{ g/L}$; $\text{RT} = 30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; $t = 35 \text{ min}$)	189
Figure 4.35:	Effect of calcination temperature on the decolorization and COD removal of ARB ($[\text{ARB}]_0 = 100 \text{ mg/L}$; $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_0 = 10.2 \text{ mM}$; $\text{pH} = 3.1$; $[\text{catalyst}] = 0.03 \text{ g/L}$; $\text{RT} = 30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; $t = 30 \text{ min}$)	191
Figure 4.36:	Fluorescence intensity of FeMCF catalysts synthesized at different calcination temperatures ($[\text{TA}] = 2 \text{ mM}$; $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_0 = 10.2 \text{ mM}$; $\text{pH} = 5.5$; $[\text{catalyst}] = 0.03 \text{ g/L}$; $\text{RT} = 30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; $t = 60 \text{ min}$)	192
Figure 4.37:	Reusability of FeMCF catalysts synthesized at various calcination temperatures for the removal of ARB ($[\text{ARB}]_0 = 100 \text{ mg/L}$; $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_0 = 10.2 \text{ mM}$; $\text{pH} = 3.1$; $[\text{catalyst}] = 0.03 \text{ g/L}$; $\text{RT} = 30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; $t = 60 \text{ min}$)	194
Figure 4.38:	XRD spectra of the catalysts synthesized with different combinations of Cu and Fe into MCF ($[\text{Fe}] = 10 \text{ wt. \%}$; $[\text{Cu}] = 3 \text{ wt. \%}$; $[\text{NH}_4\text{F}] = 0.023 \text{ g}$; $\text{CT} = 450 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$)	196
Figure 4.39:	SEM images of the catalyst synthesized at different combinations of Fe and Cu into MCF (a) CuMCF (b) FeMCF (c) Fe-CuMCF (d) Cu-FeMCF. (Magnification = 15 Kx) ($[\text{Fe}] = 10 \text{ wt. \%}$; $[\text{Cu}] = 3 \text{ wt. \%}$; $[\text{NH}_4\text{F}] = 0.023 \text{ g}$; $\text{CT} = 450 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$)	198

Figure 4.40:	TEM images of the catalyst synthesized at different combination of Fe and Cu into MCF (a) CuMCF (b) FeMCF (c) Fe-CuMCF (d) Cu-FeMCF ([Fe] = 10 wt. %; [Cu] = 3 wt. %; [NH ₄ F] = 0.023 g; CT = 450 °C)	199
Figure 4.41:	N ₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of the catalysts synthesized at different combinations of Fe and Cu into MCF ([Fe] = 10 wt. %; [Cu] = 3 wt. %; [NH ₄ F] = 0.023 g; CT = 450 °C)	202
Figure 4.42:	UV-Vis DRS of FeMCF catalysts developed using different combinations of Fe and Cu into MCF catalyst ([Fe] = 10 wt. %; [Cu] = 3 wt. %; [NH ₄ F] = 0.023 g; CT = 450 °C)	205
Figure 4.43:	Comparison of different types of catalysts on the degradation of ARB ([ARB] ₀ = 100 mg/L; [H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 10.2 mM; pH = 3.1; [catalyst] = 0.03 g/L; RT = 30 °C; t = 60 min)	207
Figure 4.44:	Effect of H ₂ O ₂ concentration on the decolorization of RB5 (a) FeMCF (b) Cu-FeMCF (c) COD removal for FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF ([RB5] ₀ = 100 mg/L; pH = 3.1; [catalyst] = 0.03 g/L; RT = 30 °C; t = 30 min)	211
Figure 4.45:	Correlation between 15 mM of H ₂ O ₂ dosage and the Fe ³⁺ leaching ([RB5] ₀ = 100 mg/L; [H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 15.2 mM; pH = 3.1; [catalyst] ₀ = 0.03 g/L; reaction time = 180 min)	212
Figure 4.46:	Effect of pH on the decolorization of RB5 (a) FeMCF (b) Cu-FeMCF (c) COD removal and Fe ions leaching of FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF ([RB5] ₀ = 100 mg/L; [H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 9 mM; [catalyst] = 0.03 g/L; RT = 30 °C; t = 30 min)	215
Figure 4.47:	Effect of catalyst dosage on the decolorization of RB5 by (a) FeMCF (b) Cu-FeMCF (c) COD removal FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF ([RB5] ₀ = 100 mg/L; [H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 9 mM; pH = 4.0; RT = 30 °C; t = 30 min)	219
Figure 4.48:	Effect of solution temperature on the catalytic performances of (a) FeMCF and (b) Cu-FeMCF ([RB5] ₀ = 100 mg/L; [H ₂ O ₂] ₀ = 9 mM; pH = 4.0; [catalyst] = 0.05 g/L; t = 30 min)	222

Figure 4.49:	Amount of metal leaching for FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF catalyst ($[RB5]_0 = 100 \text{ mg/L}$; $[H_2O_2]_0 = 9 \text{ mM}$; $pH = 4.0$; $[catalyst] = 0.05 \text{ g/L}$; $t = 30 \text{ min}$)	223
Figure 4.50:	Wide range of XPS spectrum for FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF catalysts	224
Figure 4.51:	XPS spectra (a) Fe 2p in FeMCF (b) Fe 2p in Cu-FeMCF (c) Cu 2p in Cu-FeMCF catalysts	226
Figure 4.52:	Mechanism of the Fenton-like degradation of RB5 by Cu-FeMCF catalyst	229
Figure 4.53:	Apparent (a) zero (b) first and (c) second order kinetic plots for Fenton-like degradation of RB5 using various Fe incorporated MCF catalysts ($[RB5]_0 = 100 \text{ mg/L}$; $[H_2O_2]_0 = 9 \text{ mM}$; $[catalyst] = 0.05 \text{ g/L}$; $pH = 4.0$; $RT = 30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; $t = 30 \text{ min}$)	230
Figure 4.54:	Kinetic of Fenton-like degradation efficiency of RB5 (a) FeMCF (b) Cu-FeMCF catalyst (c) Arrhenius plot of rate constant versus reciprocal of reaction temperature for Fenton-like -degradation of RB5 of using FeMCF and Cu-FeMCF catalysts.	232
Figure 4.55:	Reciprocal plot of initial rate of Fenton-like degradation versus initial dye concentration of RB5.	233
Figure 4.56:	Reusability of Cu-FeMCF catalyst (a) decolorization of RB5 (b) COD removal for 7 runs of repetition ($[RB5]_0 = 100 \text{ mg/L}$; $[catalyst] = 0.05 \text{ g/L}$; $RT = 35 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; $t = 30 \text{ min}$)	236
Figure 4.57:	Leaching of Fe and Cu into the treated solution ($[RB5]_0 = 100 \text{ mg/L}$; $[catalyst] = 0.05 \text{ g/L}$; $RT = 35 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; $t = 30 \text{ min}$)	237
Figure 4.58:	XRD spectra for spent Cu-FeMCF catalyst at different cycles.	239
Figure 4.59:	TEM images of Cu-FeMCF catalyst at different cycle times (a) 1 st cycle (b) 5 th cycle (c) 7 th (after the reaction under $pH \text{ medium} = 4$)	239

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

a.u.	Arbitrary unit
AAS	Atomic absorption spectrometer
AOPs	Advanced oxidation processes
ARB	Acid red B
BET	Brunauer-Emmet-Teller
BJH	Barret-Joyner-Halenda
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
COD	Chemical oxygen demand
CT	Calcination temperature
Cu	Copper
CuO	Copper oxide
Cu-FeMCF	Copper incorporated FeMCF
CuMCF	Copper incorporated MCF
E _a	Activation energy
EDX	Energy dispersive X-ray
EO ₂₀ PO ₇₀ EO ₂₀	Polyethylene oxide-poly propylene oxide-polyethylene oxide
Fe ²⁺	Ferrous ions
Fe ³⁺	Ferric ions
≡Fe ²⁺	Immobilized ferrous ions
≡Fe ³⁺	Immobilized ferric ions
Fe ₂ O ₃	Iron oxide (hematite)
Fe ₃ O ₄	Iron oxide (magnetite)
Fe-CuMCF	Fe incorporated CuMCF
FeMCF	Fe incorporated MCF by direct synthesis method
Fe-MCF	Fe incorporated MCF by wet impregnation method
FeMCF0.023	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized with 0.023 g of NH ₄ F
FeMCF0.092	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized with 0.092 g of NH ₄ F
FeMCF0.184	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized with 0.184 g of NH ₄ F
FeMCF350	Fe incorporated MCF calcined at 350 °C
FeMCF450	Fe incorporated MCF calcined at 550 °C

FeMCF550	Fe incorporated MCF calcined at 550 °C
FeMCF600	Fe incorporated MCF calcined at 600 °C
FeMCF4	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized with 4 wt. % of Fe
FeMCF8	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized with 8 wt. % of Fe
FeMCF10	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized with 10 wt. % of Fe
FeMCF12	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized with 12 wt. % of Fe
FeMCFA1	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized by Approach 1
FeMCFA2	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized by Approach 2
FeMCFA3	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized by Approach 3
FeMCFA4	Fe incorporated MCF synthesized by Approach 4
Fe-MCFA	Fe incorporated MCF support synthesized using Set A
Fe-MCFB	Fe incorporated MCF support synthesized using Set B
Fe-MCFC	Fe incorporated MCF support synthesized using Set C
FT-IR	Fourier transformed infrared
IUPAC	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry
L-H	Langmuir Hinshelwood
MCF	Mesocellular foam
MCFA	MCF support synthesized using Set A
MCFB	MCF support synthesized using Set B
MCFC	MCF support synthesized using Set C
MCM-41	Mobil Composition of Matter no. 41
MS	Mesoporous silica
MSU-F-C	Mesocarbon
P123	Triblock copolymer Pluronic P123
PEO	Polyethylene
pH _{zpc}	pH zero point of charge
PL	Photoluminescence
PPO	Polypropylene
RB5	Reactive black 5
SBA-15	Santa Barbara Amorphous 15
SEM	Surface morphology microscopy
Si	Silica
SiO ₂	Silica dioxide

TA	Terephthalic acid
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TiO ₂	Titanium dioxide
TMB	1,3,5-trimethyl benzene
TOC	Total organic carbon
UV	Ultraviolet
UV-vis DRS	UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy
V	Vanadium
W	Tungsten
WO ₃	Tungsten trioxide
WO _x	Oxides of tungsten
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
XRD	X-ray diffraction
ZSM-5	Zeolite Socony Mobil-5

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbol	Description	Unit
$\frac{dC}{dt}$	Differential of concentration of organic dye with respect to time (t)	mg/L.min
°C	Degree Celsius	-
µm	Micrometer	-
•OH	Hydroxyl radicals	-
•OOH	Hydroperoxyl radicals	-
A	Arrhenius factor (pre-exponential factor)	-
cm	Centimeter	-
C _o	Initial concentration of organic dye	mg/L
C	Concentration of organic dye at time, t	mg/L
E _a	Activation energy	kJ/mol
K	Kelvin	-
<i>k</i>	Apparent reaction rate constant used in the Langmuir-Hinshelwood model	min ⁻¹
K	Equilibrium constant	L/mg
<i>k_{app}</i>	Apparent reaction rate constant in the different reaction order models	(min ⁻¹ or L/mg.min for zero, first and second order, respectively)
<i>n</i>	Number	-
P	Pressure	atm
P/P _o	Relative pressure	-
R ²	Coefficient of determination	-
T	Temperature	°C
t	Time	min
θ	Diffraction angle	Degree (°)

BUSA MESO BERSEL MENGANDUNGI LOGAM KUPRUM DAN BESI SEBAGAI MANGKIN BAGI PENGURAIAN PENCELUP AZO

ABSTRAK

Penggunaan mangkin sokongan berasaskan besi (Fe) di samping hidrogen peroksida (H_2O_2) bagi proses penguraian bahan pewarna organik telah menarik perhatian disebabkan oleh kemampuan mangkin tersebut untuk mencapai kadar penguraian yang tinggi. Walau bagaimanapun, mangkin yang sedia mempunyai beberapa had yang menghalang untuk mencapai kadar penguraian yang terbaik. Untuk mengatasi kelemahan mangkin yang sedia ada, penggunaan busa meso bersel yang mengandungi logam Fe (FeMCF) sebagai mangkin telah disintesis bagi merawat bahan pewarna azo yang mempunyai struktur yang berbeza iaitu asid merah B dan reaktif hitam 5. Perubahan dalam dos 1,3,5- trimetilbenzena (TMB) (TMB/P123 = 0.125, 0.5 dan 0.75) dan kepekatan asid hidroklorik (HCl) (2.5, 0.5 dan 1.5 M) telah membawa kepada perbezaan pembentukan sifat akhir bahan sokongan yang ketara untuk secara langsung mempengaruhi kandungan spesies Fe di dalam bahan sokongan. Mangkin busa meso bersel yang mengandungi Fe yang disintesis pada nisbah TMB/P123 0.75 dan kepekatan asid hidroklorik 1.5 M telah berjaya menggabungkan kebanyakan Fe di dalam struktur liang yang mempunyai saiz tingkap (6 nm) and saiz sel (13 nm) yang cukup besar untuk menampung Fe. Mangkin FeMCF yang disintesis dengan menggabungkan Fe semasa langkah pertama sebelum dimasukkan silika, kandungan Fe dengan peratus berat sebanyak 10 (10 wt. %), dos ammonium florida sebanyak 0.023 g dan pengolahan suhu setinggi $450\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ menunjukkan luas permukaan yang tinggi ($814\text{ m}^2/\text{g}$), isipadu liang yang luas

(1.808 cm³/g), saiz tingkap (6 nm) dan sel (13 nm) yang besar, taburan Fe yang paling baik di samping kehadiran Fe yang berasingan di dalam struktur MCF dan saluran liang yang berkebolehan untuk mengatasi masalah yang berkaitan dengan pembentukan gumpalan Fe dan liang tersumbat. Secara tidak langsung, ini telah menyumbang kepada pembentukan radikal aktif bebas yang banyak dan dapat membantu dalam mendapatkan kadar peyahwarnaan (100 %) dan penguraian yang tertinggi (95 %) di samping dapat mengekalkan aktiviti dengan mempunyai kadar larut lesap yang rendah (< 0.1 mg/L). Penambahan logam kuprum terhadap mangkin FeMCF (Cu-FeMCF) menunjukkan kadar kecekapan yang tinggi (100 %) di dalam keadaan pH kurang berasid (pH 4). Mangkin Cu-FeMCF memerlukan tenaga pengaktifan yang lebih rendah (23.25 kJ/mol) berbanding mangkin FeMCF (62.57 kJ/mol). Ini adalah disebabkan oleh kesan sinergi antara Cu⁺, Fe²⁺ dan Fe³⁺ di atas permukaan mangkin Cu-FeMCF dimana mereka dapat mengambil bahagian di dalam proses berbalik Fe³⁺ kepada Fe²⁺ yang dapat menggalakkan pembentukan radikal aktif bebas yang cukup dan berterusan dan menyumbang kearah sistem serupa-Fenton yang lebih aktif dan kemampuan kebolegunaan semula sebanyak tujuh kali (peyahwarnaan > 95 %) didalam sistem serupa-Fenton.

MESOCELLULAR FOAM INCORPORATED WITH COPPER AND IRON AS CATALYST FOR AZO DYES DEGRADATION

ABSTRACT

The use of supported catalysts based on iron (Fe) in conjunction with hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) for catalytic degradation of organic dyes is attracting much attention due to their capability in achieving high rate of degradation. However, conventional Fenton-based heterogeneous catalysts still suffer from some limitations that could prevent them to achieve excellent performance in reusability study. To address the drawbacks of current types of heterogeneous Fenton-based catalyst, Fe incorporated mesocellular foam (FeMCF) catalyst have been developed to treat azo dyes with different structures namely acid red B (ARB) and reactive black 5 (RB5). Variations in the swelling agent (TMB) dosage (TMB/P123 = 0.125, 0.5 and 0.75) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) concentration (2.5, 0.5 and 1.5 M) led to significant differences in the final properties of the support materials to directly influence the distribution of Fe. Fe-MCFC catalyst developed at TMB/P123 ratio of 0.75 and 1.5 M HCl was capable of incorporating most of the Fe within the pore structure as the window (6 nm) and cell (13 nm) size were large enough to accommodate Fe particles. FeMCF catalyst developed by incorporating Fe during the first step before the subsequent addition of silica precursor, Fe concentration of 10 wt. %, ammonium fluoride dosage of 0.023 g and calcination temperature of 450 °C gave the largest surface area (814 m^2/g), pore volume (1.808), window/cell size (6/13 nm), highest Fe distribution with the presence of isolated Fe in the MCF framework and along with the pore channels that could overcome the formation of