

**SYNTHESIS OF NATURAL
RUBBER/PALYGORSKITE COMPOSITE VIA
COMBINE LATEX COMPOUNDING AND MELT
MIXING**

NOR AINA BINTI MOHD NOR

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2017

**SYNTHESIS OF NATURAL RUBBER/PALYGORSKITE COMPOSITE VIA
COMBINE LATEX COMPOUNDING AND MELT MIXING**

by

NOR AINA BINTI MOHD NOR

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirement for the degree
of Master of Science**

July 2017

DECLARATION

I declare that the content presented in this dissertation entitled “**SYNTHESIS OF NATURAL RUBBER/PALYGORSKITE COMPOSITE VIA COMBINE LATEX COMPOUNDING AND MELT MIXING**“ is own my work which was done at Universiti Sains Malaysia unless onformed otherwise. This dissertation has not been previously submitted for any other degree.

Saya isytiharkan bahawa kandungan yang dibentangkan didalam disertasi ini bertajuk “**SINTESIS KOMPOSIT GETAH SEMULAJADI/PALYGORSKITE MELALUI GABUNGAN PENGKOMPANAN LATEKS DAN PENCAIRAN PENCAMPURAN**” adalah hasil kerja saya dan dijalankan di Universiti Sains Malaysia kecuali dimaklumkan sebaliknya. Disertasi ini juga tidak pernah disertakan untuk ijazah yang lain sebelumnya.

Signature :

Candidate's Name : **NOR AINA MOHD NOR**

Date :

Witness by,

Signature:

Supervisor's Name : **DR NADRAS OTHMAN**

Date :

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim,

Alhamdulillah and thank you to God for giving me the blessing and strength to complete this research and also, thank you for giving me a chance to continue my study in MSc of Polymer Engineering in Universiti Sains Malaysia. I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to people who have directly or indirectly contributes towards the success of my research project.

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Nadras Othman and my co-supervisor Professor Hanafi Ismail, who giving me this opportunity to explore in this research. Special thank you to my supervisor for giving me the ideas, encouragement, guided and a lot of advices in completing this research. I had gained a lot of knowledge and experience which it is very useful for my future. I am very thankful to have supervisor like her.

Furthermore, I would also like to thank the technician, lab assistants and staff in School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering (SMMRE) for their help when I carry on my project in the lab. Their assistance made it possible for me to carry out the experiment with minimum problem. They are also teach me a lot of new things in conducting machine and safety in laboratory. I feel very comfortable while conducting this research with helping from them.

Besides, I am grateful to my mother, Khatijah Bt Abd Rahman and my family members for their divine guidance, support and patience throughout my period of

written report. My gratitude also extends to Mohamad Amzatul Aqmal bin Mohd Jupri which is my adorable husband who always give support and pass a lot of encourage in my subject area. Not forgotten, thanks to my friends in the MSc and degree in SMMRE for their view support and comments. Their view is very useful indeed and may god bless everyone.

My special thanks to the Universiti Sains Malaysia for awarding the RUI Grant Research (Grant No: 814186) which had supported me throughout the completion to this research.

Lastly, I would like to thank all individual that had directly or indirectly involved in completing this MSc research. Thank you.

Nor Aina,

July 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
ABSTRAK	xix
ABSTRACT	xxi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	5
1.3 Objective of the study	7
1.4 Scope of the study	7
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Polymer-Clay Nanocomposites	12
2.2 Preparation of High Polymer-Clay Nanocomposites	
2.2.1 The Problem with Clay	16
2.2.2 Intercalated & Exfoliated	18
2.2.3 Important Factors in Dispersing of Clay	20
2.2.4 Strategies in Dealing with Polymer-Clay Nanocomposites	
2.2.4 (a) Solution Method (sol-gel method)	24
2.2.4 (b) Melt Mixing Method	26

2.2.4 (c)	Latex Compounding Method	29
2.3	Natural Rubber/Clay Nanocomposites	31
2.4	Natural Rubber	34
2.5	Palygorskite	36
2.6	Surface Treatment	38
2.7	Rubber Compounding	41
2.7.1	Activator	43
2.7.2	Accelerator	44
2.7.3	Antioxidant	45
2.7.4	Filler	46
2.7.5	Vulcanising Agent	48

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Materials	50
3.2	Equipment	52
3.3	Surface Treatment of PAL	53
3.4	Preparation of Clay Dispersion	54
3.5	Preparation of Masterbatch	57
3.6	Rubber Compounding	58
3.7	Testing	65
3.7.1	Cure Characteristics	65
3.7.2	Mechanical Testing	
3.7.2 (a)	Tensile Testing	66
3.7.2 (b)	Tear Testing	67
3.7.2 (c)	Hardness	67
3.7.3	Dynamic Mechanical Analysis	68

3.7.4	Characterization	
3.7.4 (a)	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	68
3.7.4 (b)	X-Ray Diffraction	68
3.7.5	Morphology Testing	
3.7.5 (a)	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope	68
3.7.5 (b)	High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope	69
3.7.6	Physical Testing	
3.7.6 (a)	Swelling	69
3.7.6 (b)	Rubber-filler Interaction	70

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Effects of Mixing Time on the Properties of Palygorskite/Natural Rubber Nanocomposites via Melt Mixing and Latex Compounding Methods	
4.1.1	Characterization	
4.1.1 (a)	X-Ray Diffractions	73
4.1.2	Cure Characteristics	76
4.1.3	Physical testing	
4.1.3 (a)	Swelling	78
4.1.3 (b)	Rubber-Filler Interaction	80
4.1.4	Mechanical testing	
4.1.4 (a)	Tensile Testing	80
4.1.4 (b)	Tear Testing	85
4.1.4 (c)	Hardness	87
4.1.5	Dynamic Mechanical Analysis	88
4.1.6	Morphology Testing	
4.1.6 (a)	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope	93

4.1.6 (b)	High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope	96
4.2	Effect of Sonication Time on the Properties of Palygorskite/Natural Rubber Nanocomposites via Melt Mixing and Latex Compounding Methods	
4.2.1	Characterization	
4.2.1 (a)	X-Ray Diffractions	97
4.2.2	Cure Characteristics	99
4.2.3	Physical testing	
4.2.3 (a)	Swelling	101
4.2.3 (b)	Rubber-Filler Interaction	104
4.2.4	Mechanical Testing	
4.2.4 (a)	Tensile Testing	106
4.2.4 (b)	Tear Testing	111
4.2.4 (c)	Hardness	112
4.2.5	Dynamic Mechanical Analysis	113
4.2.6	Morphology Testing	
4.2.6 (a)	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope	116
4.3	Effect of Filler Loading on the Properties of Palygorskite/Natural Rubber Nanocomposites via Melt Mixing and Latex Compounding Methods	
4.3.1	Characterization	
4.3.1 (a)	X-Ray Diffraction	120
4.3.2	Cure Characteristics	123
4.3.3	Physical testing	
4.3.3 (a)	Swelling	125
4.3.3 (b)	Rubber-Filler Interaction	127

4.3.4	Mechanical Testing	
4.3.4 (a)	Tensile Testing	128
4.3.4 (b)	Tear Testing	131
4.3.4 (c)	Hardness	132
4.3.5	Dynamic Mechanical Analysis	133
4.3.6	Morphology Testing	
4.3.5 (b)	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope	138
4.4	Synthesis of Palygorskite/Natural Rubber Nanocomposites Using Silylation Method	
4.4.1	Characterization	
4.4.1 (a)	X-Ray Diffraction	140
4.4.1 (b)	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	141
4.4.2	Cure Characteristics	143
4.4.3	Physical Testing	
4.4.3 (a)	Swelling	146
4.4.3 (b)	Rubber-Filler Interaction	147
4.4.4	Mechanical Testing	
4.4.4 (a)	Tensile Testing	148
4.4.4 (b)	Tear Testing	154
4.4.4 (c)	Hardness	155
4.4.5	Dynamic Mechanical Analysis	156
4.4.6	Morphology Testing	
4.4.6 (a)	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope	160

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPES

5.1 Conclusions 163

5.2 Recommendations 166

REFERENCES 167

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Comparison between raw natural rubber and vulcanised natural rubber	36
Table 2.2	The classification of accelerator	45
Table 3.1	List of all materials, chemicals and their functions	51
Table 3.2	List of equipment used	52
Table 3.3	Formulation for Surface Treatment	54
Table 3.4	Formulation of Palygorskite Dispersion of Variation Time Mixing and Different Sonication Time	55
Table 3.5	Formulation of Palygorskite Dispersion of Different Filler Loading	55
Table 3.6	Formulation of Palygorskite Dispersion of Silylation Method	55
Table 3.7	Co-coagulation formulation for different filler loading	57
Table 3.8	Formulation of Rubber Compounding of Time Mixing variation and Different Sonication Time	59
Table 3.9	Formulation of rubber compounding with different filler loading	60
Table 3.10	Formulation of Rubber Compounding of Silylation Method	60
Table 3.11	The mixing sequence of rubber compounding of control sample, NR	61
Table 3.12	The mixing sequence of rubber compounding of conventional system, Insitu 6	62
Table 3.13	The mixing sequence of rubber compounding of masterbatch sample	64
Table 3.14	Characterization of cure characteristics	66
Table. 4.1	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Cure Characteristic of NR/Palygorskite Nanocomposites	77
Table 4.2	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Tensile Modulus of NR/PAL Nanocomposite	85
Table 4.3	The Effect of Sonication Time on Cure characteristics of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	101

Table 4.4	The Effect of Sonication Time on the Glass Transition Temperature (T _g) of NR/PAL nanocomposite	116
Table 4.5	The Effect of Different Filler Loading on Cure Characteristics of PAL/NR Nanocomposites	123
Table 4.6	The Effect of Filler Loading on Tensile Properties of PAL/NR Nanocomposites	130
Table 4.7	The Effect of Cure Characteristics on NR/PAL Nanocomposites Using Silylation Method	144
Table 4.8	The Tensile Modulus of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	154

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	The Principle of Micro and Nano Fillers Approaching Polymer	14
Figure 2.2	Intercalated and exfoliated structure of polymer clay nanocomposites	19
Figure 2.3	Forces involve in exfoliation process	21
Figure 2.4	The mechanism of clay dispersion by ultrasonication	23
Figure 2.5	The illustration process of solution method	25
Figure 2.6	The structure of cis and trans of NR; a) cis-1,4-polyisoprene and b) trans-1,4-polyisoprene	35
Figure 2.7	The structure of Palygorskite	38
Figure 2.8	The classification of clay	38
Figure 3.1	Clay Dispersion	56
Figure 3.2	Q Sonica Sonicator	56
Figure 3.3	Latex Compounding	57
Figure 3.4	Two-roll Mill Machine	58
Figure 3.5	Shape and dimensions of tensile test specimen (ASTM D412)	67
Figure 3.6	Shape of tear test specimen (ASTM D624)	67
Figure 3.7	Overall Flowchart of Research Work for Processing And Characterizations	72
Figure 4.1	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on X-Ray Diffraction of NR/Palygorskite Nanocomposite; a) NR, b) PAL, c) Insitu 6, d) PAL30, e) PAL60, f) PAL120	74
Figure 4.2	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Crosslink Density of NR/PAL Nanocomposite	79
Figure 4.3	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Rubber Filler Interaction of NR/PAL Nanocomposite	81
Figure 4.4	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Stress-strain curve of NR/PAL Nanocomposite	82

Figure 4.5	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Tensile Strength of NR/PAL Nanocomposite	83
Figure 4.6	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Elongation at Break of NR/PAL Nanocomposite	84
Figure 4.7	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Tear Strength of NR/PAL Nanocomposite	86
Figure 4.8	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Hardness of NR/PAL Nanocomposite	88
Figure 4.9 (a)	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Mechanical Loss Factor (Tan δ) of NR/Palygorskite Nanocomposite	89
Figure 4.9 (b)	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Loss Modulus of NR/Palygorskite Nanocomposite	91
Figure 4.9 (c)	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Storage Modulus of NR/Palygorskite Nanocomposite	92
Figure 4.10	Effect of Mixing Time Variation on Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) of NR/Palygorskite Composite: (a) NR; (b) In-situ 6; (c) PAL60; (d) PAL120 and (e) PAL30	94
Figure 4.11	The Effect of Mixing Time Variation on HRTEM of NR/Palygorskite Nanocomposite; a) Insitu 6, b) PAL60	97
Figure 4.12	The Effect of Sonication Time on X-Ray Diffractions of NR/PAL Nanocomposites of a) pure NR, b) pure PAL, c) Insitu 6, d) S15, e) S30 and f) S60	99
Figure 4.13	The Effect of Sonication Time on Crosslink Density of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	103
Figure 4.14	The Effect of Sonication Time on Rubber-Filler Interaction of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	105
Figure 4.15	The Effect of Sonication Time on Stress Strain of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	107
Figure 4.16	The Effect of Sonication Time on Tensile Strength of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	109
Figure 4.17	The Effect of Sonication Time on Elongation at Break of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	110
Figure 4.18	The Effect of Sonication Time on Tensile Modulus of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	111

Figure 4.19	The Effect of Sonication Time on Tear Strength of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	112
Figure 4.20	The Effect of Sonication Time on Hardness of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	113
Figure 4.21	The Effect of Sonication Time on Storage Modulus of NR/PAL Nanocomposites; (a). Circled region is shown in more detail in (b)	114
Figure 4.22	The Effect of Sonication Time on Loss Modulus of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	115
Figure 4.23	The Effect of Sonication Time on Tan δ of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	117
Figure 4.24	The Effect of Sonication Time on Field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) of NR/PAL composite under sonication ; (a) PAL(b) NR (c) Insitu 6 (d) S1 (e) S30 (f) S15	119
Figure 4.25	The Effect of Different Filler Loading on X-Ray Diffractions of NR/PAL Nanocomposites of a) NR, b) PAL, c) PAL2, d) PAL4, e) PAL6, f) PAL8 and g) PAL10	120
Figure 4.26	The Effect of Different Filler Loading on Crosslink Density of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	126
Figure 4.27	The Effect of Different Filler Loading on Rubber-Filler Interaction of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	127
Figure 4.28	The Effect of Filler Loading on Stress Strain of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	129
Figure 4.29	The Effect of Filler Loading on Tear Strength of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	132
Figure 4.30	The Effect of Different Filler Loading on Hardness of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	133
Figure 4.31	The Effect of Different Filler Loading on Storage Modulus of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	134
Figure 4.32	The Effect of Different Filler Loading on Loss Modulus of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	135
Figure 4.33	The Effect of Different Filler Loading on Tan δ of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	137
Figure 4.34	The Effect of Different Filler Loading on FESEM of NR/PAL Nanocomposites; (a) Natural Rubber, (b) PAL2, (c) PAL4,	139

(d) PAL6, (e) PAL8, and (f) PAL10

Figure 4.35.	The X-Ray Diffractions of NR/PAL Nanocomposites of a) PAL, b) NR, c) NR/PAL-4, d) NR/PAL-METHA and e) NR/PAL-TESPT	141
Figure 4.36	The FTIR spectra of NR/PAL nanocomposites; a) pure PAL, b) TESPT and c) METHA	142
Figure 4.37	The Crosslink Density of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	146
Figure 4.38	The Rubber-PAL Interaction for NR/PAL Nanocomposites	148
Figure 4.39	The Stress-Strain Curves of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	150
Figure 4.40	The illustration of interface interaction between rubber and clay, a) The molecular structure of TESPT	151
Figure 4.41	The Tensile Strength of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	152
Figure 4.42	The Elongation at Break of NR/PAL Nanocomposites	153
Figure 4.43	The Tear Strength of the NR/PAL Nanocomposites	155
Figure 4.44	The Hardness of NR/PAL Nanocomposite	156
Figure 4.45 (a)	Storage modulus (E') versus temperature for NR/PAL nanocomposites	157
Figure 4.45(b)	Loss modulus (E'') versus temperature for NR/PAL nanocomposites	158
Figure 4.45 (c)	Tan δ versus temperature for NR and NR/PAL nanocomposites	160
Figure 4.46	SEM micrographs of (a) PAL (b) NR (c) NR/PAL-4 (d) NR/PAL-TESPT (e) NR/PAL-METHA	162

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society for Testing Materials
BKF	2,2'-Methylenebis(6-Tert-Butyl-4-Methylphenol)
CRI	Cure Rate Index
CV	Conventional Vulcanization
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
HRTEM	High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope
METHA	3-(Trimethoxysilyl) propylmethacrylate-silane
MMT	Montmorillonite
NR	Natural Rubber
PAL	Palygorskite
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SMR	Standard Malaysia Rubber
TESPT	Bis [3-(triethoxysilyl) propyl] Tetrasulfide-silane
TMTD	Tetramethyl Thiuram Disulfide
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction
ZDEC	Zinc Diethyldithiocarbamate
ZnO	Zinc Oxide

LIST OF SYMBOL

°	Degree
°C	Degree Celcius
θ	Tetha
δ	Delta
t_{90}	Cure Time
t_{S2}	Scorch Time
M_H	Maximum Torque
M_L	Minimum Torque
T_g	Glass Transition Temperature
E'	Storage Modulus
E''	Loss Modulus
%	Percentage
Phr	Part per Hundred Rubber
Mpa	Mega Pascal
dNm	Deci Newton Meter
kN	Kilo Newton
RH	Polymer Chain

SINTESIS KOMPOSIT GETAH ASLI/PALYGORSKITE MELALUI GABUNGAN PENGKOMPANAN LATEKS DAN PENCAMPURAN LEBURAN

ABSTRAK

Penghasilan nanokomposit berasaskan palygorskite (PAL) dan getah asli (NR) telah disediakan melalui kombinasi kaedah pencairan leburan dan pengkompaunan lateks. Objektif kajian ini dibahagikan kepada empat bahagian iaitu perbezaan masa pencampuran PAL, perbezaan masa sonikasi PAL, pembezaan pengisian PAL dan kesan rawatan permukaan menggunakan kaedah sililasi terhadap PAL. Keputusan ujian yang diperolehi dari siri sebelumnya adalah berkaitan dengan siri seterusnya. Ujian ciri pematangan nanokomposit NR/PAL, sifat-sifat mekanikal dan fizikal, dan ujian morfologi nanokomposit NR/PAL telah dijalankan untuk menguji sampel. Dalam siri yang pertama, 60 minit masa pencampuran PAL (PAL60) menghasilkan keputusan yang lebih baik untuk ciri-ciri pematangan, sifat mekanikal dan fizikal, dan peningkatan suhu peralihan kaca, T_g dimana ia menunjukkan interaksi antara getah dan pengisi adalah lebih baik berbanding sampel yang lain. Dalam siri kedua, 30 minit masa sonikasi PAL (S30) memaparkan peningkatan untuk ciri-ciri pematangan, menghasilkan keputusan sifat mekanikal dan fizikal yang baik dengan menghasilkan penyebaran PAL secara baik di dalam matriks disamping sokongan oleh struktur diinterkalasi dalam data XRD. Peralihan T_g kepada suhu yang lebih tinggi menunjukkan sekatan terhadap pergerakan rantaian berlaku di dalam mobiliti matriks. Seterusnya, di dalam siri yang ketiga, jumlah 4 phr PAL (PAL4) menunjukkan keputusan yang baik dalam sifat-sifat mekanikal dan ujian morfologi. Keputusan ini juga disokong dari pola XRD dimana PAL menunjukkan struktur diinterkalasi dan ia

menunjukkan bahawa penyebaran PAL berlaku secara baik di dalam matriks. Akhirnya di dalam siri terakhir, PAL dirawat dengan dua jenis silana; 3- (Trimethoxysily) propyl methacrylate-silane (METHA) dan Bis [3-(tri ethoxy silyl) propyl] Tetrasulfide-silane (TESPT). Ciri-ciri PAL dengan dan tanpa rawatan silana telah ditentukan dengan menggunakan Spektroskopi Transformasi Inframerah Fourier (FTIR). Kehadiran kumpulan hidroksil dan karbonil dalam PAL selepas menjalani rawatan silana membantu dalam penghasilan penyebaran PAL yang baik dalam matriks. NR/PAL-TESPT menunjukkan sifat-sifat mekanikal yang lebih baik, penyebaran PAL yang baik dengan adanya ketebalan garisan lusuh dengan permukaan yang kasar dan peningkatan keluasan XRD berbanding NR/PAL-METHA.

SYNTHESIS OF NATURAL RUBBER/PALYGORSKITE COMPOSITE VIA COMBINE LATEX COMPOUNDING AND MELT MIXING

ABSTRACT

The fabrication of nanocomposite based on palygorskite (PAL) and natural rubber (NR) was prepared by a combination of melt mixing and latex compounding methods. The objectives of this study was divided into four which are the mixing time variation of PAL, the sonication time of PAL, the different filler loading of PAL and the effect of surface treatment using silylation method of PAL. The obtained results from previous series was related to the next series which the characterization of NR/PAL nanocomposites, the mechanical and physical properties, and the morphology testing of NR/PAL nanocomposites were conducted to test the samples. In the first series, 60 minutes of PAL mixing time (PAL60) produced a better cure characteristics, good mechanical and physical properties, and an increasing in glass transition temperature, T_g which it indicates the better rubber-filler interaction than other samples. For the second series, 30 minutes of PAL sonication time (S30) displayed an improving in cure characteristics, good mechanical and physical properties with producing good dispersion of PAL in the matrix by supporting with intercalated structure as shown by XRD data. The shifting of T_g towards higher temperature indicated a restriction in chain mobility. Next, in the third series of study, 4 phr of PAL loading (PAL4) demonstrated good results in mechanical properties and morphological testing. The good results of PAL4 was also supported from XRD patterns which is PAL 4 shows the intercalated structure and it represents a well dispersion of PAL in the matrix. Finally, in the last series, the PAL is treated with two type of silane; 3-(Trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate-silane (METHA) and Bis [3- (tri ethoxy silyl) propyl] Tetrasulfide-silane

(TESPT). The characterisation of PAL with and without silane treatment was determined using Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR) spectroscopy analysis. The present of hydroxyl and carbonyl groups in the PAL after underwent silane surface treatment assisting in producing well dispersion of PAL in the matrix. NR/PAL-TESPT showed better mechanical properties, good dispersion of PAL with thicker tear line and rougher surface and a broad peak of XRD patterns than NR/PAL-METHA.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background of study

Polymer possesses a great change of material characteristics such as flexibility, transparency, biocompatibility, and chemical stability compared to other materials. These properties enable them to be utilized in varied applications. Polymer modification and polymer blending have conquered a place in the polymer technology which the scientist realized that the synthesis of new polymers is always given outstanding output products. In recent years, researchers both in industry and academia have focused their interest on reinforcement of any inorganic or organic particles in order to improve the properties of polymeric composites. Polymer nanocomposites have caught the attention because they can achieve a higher degree of strength, high thermal stability (fire retardance applications) and excellent in barrier properties with very low nanofiller content (generally lower than 5%) (Cassagnau & Barres, 2010). In comparison with conventional composites, there are several advantages of filler reinforcements of polymer nanocomposites summarized as follows (Ma et al., 2010):

1. The smaller fraction of nanomaterials can be more effective reinforcements of the polymer nanocomposites.
2. The bigger surface area of the nanomaterials can increase the load transfer from the matrix to the reinforcing nano filler assuming good adhesion at the interface.
3. The crack propagation length at the interface of the nanocomposites becomes longer because of the size reduction of nanomaterials. This result improves the