

**DEVELOPMENT OF PREFABRICATED BUILDING IMPLEMENTATION
FRAMEWORK FOR ADDRESSING HOUSING NEEDS IN LIBYA**

by

KHALED M. AMTERED EL-ABIDI

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

February 2018

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ

In the name of Allah, we start

DEDICATION

To the soul of my father, who had dreamt to witness these moments ...

*To my kind-hearted mother, for her unlimited love, inspirations, supports, protections,
sacrifices, and prayers ...*

To my wife, and my daughter, for their sacrifices in this academic pursuit...

Khaled M. Amtered El-Abidi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I thank Allah SWT for leading me to complete my Ph.D. study. Many people, government departments and institutions in Libya and Malaysia are important contributors to this work. It is difficult to name all those who have provided help, support, encouragement, information and advice. The researcher warmly thanks all those individuals, institutions, firms, and many others who have made available to this research their documents, notes, time, knowledge and expertise. Without their assistance, this research would not have been possible.

I would like to take this opportunity to recognize all of the people who provided encouragement, advice, emotional support, and understanding during frequent times of heavy work load, sporadic social contact, and an over exaggerated focus on quality and process improvement over the past 4 years.

A special thanks to Dr. Farid Ezanee Mohamed Ghazali, his criticism and continuous encouragement are indispensable to the successful completion of the thesis. I would also like to thank, Prof. Dr. George Ofori, from National University of Singapore, for his inspirational guidance and comments, which were crucial factors in the compilation of this work.

My special thanks and acknowledgement to Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for the USM Fellowship Scheme. I express my deep gratitude to all the academic, staff, and my colleagues in the School of Civil Engineering, for their help and moral support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | iii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xv |
| ABSTRAK | xvii |
| ABSTRACT | xviii |
| | |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Research Context | 3 |
| 1.2.1 Housing Supply Context | 3 |
| 1.2.2 The Need to Address the Use of Prefabricated Building in Housing Industry | 4 |
| 1.2.3 Research Gap | 6 |
| 1.2.4 The Need for Malaysia's Experience in Prefabricated Building Implementation as Case Study | 7 |
| 1.3 Research Questions | 9 |
| 1.4 Aim and Objectives | 11 |
| 1.5 Research Hypothesis | 12 |
| 1.6 Research Scope | 12 |
| | |
| CHAPTER TWO: PREFABRICATED BUILDING DEVELOPMENT | |
| 2.1 Introduction | 14 |
| 2.2 Prefabricated Building Categories, Definitions and Concepts | 14 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.2.1 | Prefabricated Building and Related Terms | 15 |
| 2.2.2 | Classifications of Prefabricated Building | 16 |
| 2.3 | Prefabricated Building as Innovation | 25 |
| 2.4 | Motivations in Using Prefabricated Building in Various Countries | 27 |
| 2.5 | Benefits of using Prefabricated Building | 31 |
| 2.6 | Impediments/Barriers of Prefabricated Building in Developing Countries | 32 |
| 2.7 | Malaysia's Experience in Prefabricated Building | 34 |
| 2.7.1 | Characteristics of the Malaysian Construction Industry | 35 |
| 2.7.2 | The Malaysian Construction Industry: Modernization Effort through Prefabricated Building | 39 |
| 2.7.3 | The Malaysian Construction Industry: Barriers to the Implementation of Prefabricated Building | 44 |

CHAPTER THREE: LIBYA:THE CONTEXT OF THE STUDY

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 48 |
| 3.2 | Demography, Geography, and Urbanization | 48 |
| 3.3 | Political Environment and Economic Characteristics | 52 |
| 3.4 | Effect of Political Confusion and Economic Conditions on Housing Development in Libya | 54 |
| 3.4.1 | Stage I: Prior to Oil Exportation (1951–1962) | 55 |
| 3.4.2 | Stage II: Oil Exportation and Abundant Revenue (1963–1982) | 57 |
| 3.4.3 | Stage III: Successive Shocks to the Economy and Abandonment of Support for Housing Sector (1983–2003) | 63 |
| 3.4.4 | Stage IV: Economic Reform Program and Political Turmoil (2004 –2016) | 68 |
| 3.5 | Evolution of the Contemporary Construction Industry | 73 |
| 3.5.1 | The Construction Industry post-independence (1951-1981) | 73 |
| 3.5.2 | The Second Phase between 1982 and 2003 | 75 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 3.5.3 | The Third Phase post-2004 | 76 |
| 3.6 | Characteristics of The Libyan construction industry | 77 |
| 3.6.1 | Definition of the Industry | 77 |
| 3.6.2 | Construction Performance | 78 |
| 3.6.3 | Construction Companies | 79 |
| 3.6.4 | Construction and Building Materials Industry | 81 |
| 3.6.5 | Supply Systems in the Construction Industry | 83 |
| 3.6.6 | Qualification, Training, and Research in Construction | 83 |
| 3.6.7 | A Brief Description of the Ownership Sectors of Development Projects in Libya | 84 |
| 3.6.8 | Financial and Banking Systems | 85 |
| 3.7 | The Evolution and Development of Prefabricated Building | 88 |
| 3.7.1 | Early Use of Prefabricated Building | 88 |
| 3.7.2 | Prefabricated Building Post-1970 | 88 |
| 3.7.3 | Prefabricated Building after the Adoption of Socialist System in 1977 | 90 |

CHAPTER FOUR: SELECTED SUCCESS FACTORS IN PREFABRICATED BUILDING IMPLEMENTATION

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 94 |
| 4.2 | Critical success factors | 94 |
| 4.3 | Procedures for Identifying Critical Success Factors | 95 |
| 4.4 | Selecting weighing method of Critical Success Factors | 96 |
| 4.5 | Critical Success Factors to Prefabricated Building Implementation | 97 |
| 4.5.1 | Strategy | 98 |
| 4.5.1 (a) | Government Leadership | 98 |
| 4.5.1 (b) | National Policy | 99 |
| 4.5.1 (c) | Corporate Leadership | 101 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.5.1 (d) Business Strategy | 102 |
| 4.5.2 Sources of Funding | 104 |
| 4.5.2 (a) Government Incentives | 104 |
| 4.5.2 (b) Private Investment | 106 |
| 4.5.3 Process | 108 |
| 4.5.3 (a) Design Management and Integration | 108 |
| 4.5.3 (b) Project Management | 110 |
| 4.5.3 (c) Supply Chain Management and Logistics | 112 |
| 4.5.4 People | 115 |
| 4.5.4 (a) Skills | 115 |
| 4.5.4 (b) Training and Education | 116 |
| 4.5.4 (c) Corporate Human Resources Management | 118 |
| 4.5.5 Enabler | 119 |
| 4.5.5 (a) Easy Access Guarantee | 119 |
| 4.5.5 (b) Technology Enhancement | 122 |
| 4.5.5 (c) Research and Development | 124 |
| 4.6 Selected List of Critical Success Factors | 125 |

CHAPTER FIVE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

| | |
|---|-----|
| 5.1 Introduction | 127 |
| 5.2 Philosophy Assumptions | 127 |
| 5.2.1 The Adopted Research Philosophy | 128 |
| 5.3 Cross-Cultural Data Collection: Libya and Malaysia | 130 |
| 5.3.1 Relationship and Social Framework | 130 |
| 5.3.2 Time | 131 |
| 5.3.3 Power | 132 |
| 5.4 Locations of the Study and Means of Data Collection | 135 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 5.4.1 | Stage I: Libya | 136 |
| 5.4.1 (a) | Secondary Resources and Administrative Records | 137 |
| 5.4.1 (b) | Other Supportive Research Activities | 138 |
| 5.4.1 (c) | Semi-Structured face-to-face and Telephone Interviews | 139 |
| 5.4.1 (d) | Interview Sampling | 141 |
| 5.4.2 | Stage II: Malaysia | 142 |
| 5.4.2 (a) | Development of Selected Success Factors in Prefabricated Building Implementation | 143 |
| 5.4.2 (b) | Interview Sampling | 147 |
| 5.4.3 | Stage III: Development of Final Framework in Libya | 148 |
| 4.5.3 (a) | Focus Group | 148 |
| 5.4.3 (b) | Other Supportive Research Activities | 149 |
| 5.4.3 (c) | Focus Group Sampling | 150 |
| 5.4.3 (d) | Focus Group: Structure of Discussion | 153 |
| 5.5 | Data Analysis | 154 |
| 5.5.1 | Stage I: Analysis | 155 |
| 5.5.2 | Stage II: Analysis | 156 |
| 5.5.3 | Stage III: Analysis | 158 |
| 5.6 | Validity and Reliability | 159 |

CHAPTER SIX: DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS IN STAGE I & II

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 6.1 | Introduction | 161 |
| 6.2 | Stage I: Libya | 161 |
| 6.2.1 | Background and history | 162 |
| 6.2.1 (a) | The Government's Role | 163 |
| 6.2.1 (b) | Technology Transfer and Acquisition | 164 |
| 6.2.1 (c) | Corporate Leadership and Organizational Structure | 166 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 6.2.1 (d) | Prospective Practitioners Awareness | 168 |
| 6.2.1 (e) | Affordability | 169 |
| 6.2.2 | Drivers for Using Prefabricated Building in Housing Sector | 169 |
| 6.2.2 (a) | Prefabricated Building Exploitation to Improve the Housing Industry | 169 |
| 6.2.2 (b) | Users' Attitudes and Traditions | 170 |
| 6.2.2 (c) | Sustainability | 171 |
| 6.2.2 (d) | Government Support | 172 |
| 6.2.3 | Providing Tentative Guidelines for Prefabricated Building Implementation | 173 |
| 6.2.3 (a) | Draw a conceptual framework | 173 |
| 6.2.4 | Summary of Results | 174 |
| 6.3 | Stage II: Malaysia | 175 |
| 6.3.1 | Results of the first self-administered survey questionnaire | 176 |
| 6.3.2 | Results of the second self-administered survey questionnaire | 178 |
| 6.3.3 | Discussion | 185 |

CHAPTER SEVEN: FURTHER FRAMEWORK DEVELOPMENT IN LIBYA: STAGE III

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 7.1 | Introduction | 186 |
| 7.2 | Focus Group: Primary Outcomes | 186 |
| 7.3 | Constraints and Enabling Factors | 188 |
| 7.3.1 | Political Issues | 188 |
| 7.3.2 | Economic Issues | 189 |
| 7.3.3 | Organizational and Financial Issues | 190 |
| 7.3.4 | Operating Environment of the Construction Industry | 192 |
| 7.3.5 | Overarching Issues | 194 |
| 7.4 | Framework Development | 195 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 7.4.1 | Classification of Factors Using the Importance Grid | 195 |
| 7.4.2 | Critical Success Factors Validation | 200 |
| 7.4.3 | A Phased Approach to Final Framework Development | 201 |
| 7.4.3 (a) | Government Strategy | 204 |
| 7.4.3 (b) | People | 207 |
| 7.4.3 (c) | Corporate Strategy | 208 |
| 7.4.3 (d) | Corporate Human Resources | 209 |
| 7.4.3 (e) | Process and Technology Transfer | 210 |
| 7.5 | Presentation of the Final Framework of prefabricated building implementation | 212 |

CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORK

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|-----|
| 8.1 | Research Summary | 216 |
| 8.2 | Limitations of the Study | 219 |
| 8.3 | Contributions of the Study | 220 |
| 8.4 | Recommendation for Future Work | 221 |

| | |
|-------------------|------------|
| REFERENCES | 225 |
|-------------------|------------|

APPENDICES

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| APPENDIX A: | A SAMPLE OF THE VISUAL SURVEY AND OBSERVATIONS WORKS |
| APPENDIX B: | SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW |
| APPENDIX C: | LIST OF INTERVIEWEES |
| APPENDIX D: | THE QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY II |
| APPENDIX E: | TOPIC AGENDA AND DISCUSSION GUIDE FOR THE FOCUS GROUP |
| APPENDIX F: | POINTS CAPTURED FROM BOTH FOCUS GROUPS |

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | Page |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| Table 2.1 | Building system classification according to the relative weight of component | 17 |
| Table 2.2 | Prefabricated building classification according to the Malaysian context | 25 |
| Table 2.3 | Registered contractors according to grades as at March 2014 based on paid up capital and tendering capacity | 37 |
| Table 2.4 | Challenges of Prefabricated Building Implementation in Malaysia | 45 |
| Table 3.1 | Population growth and decline in Libya | 51 |
| Table 3.2 | Number of houses by type, 1954 census | 56 |
| Table 3.3 | Percentage of dwellings supplied with different facilities in Libya, 1963 | 57 |
| Table 3.4 | Proposed and actual allocations in Libya's First Five-Year Plan (1963-1968) | 59 |
| Table 3.5 | Comparison of the Five-Year Plan allocations and the First Development Budget | 61 |
| Table 3.6 | Executive agencies and number of executed units | 67 |
| Table 3.7 | Percentage of dwellings supplied with different facilities in Libya, 1984 and 1995 | 68 |
| Table 4.1 | Components for the government leadership critical success factor | 99 |
| Table 4.2 | Components for the national policy critical success factor | 101 |
| Table 4.3 | Components for the corporate leadership critical success factor | 102 |
| Table 4.4 | Components for the business strategy critical success factor | 104 |
| Table 4.5 | Components for the government incentives critical success factor | 106 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 4.6 | Components for the private investment critical success factor | 108 |
| Table 4.7 | Components for the design management and integration critical success factor | 109 |
| Table 4.8 | Components for the project management critical success factor | 112 |
| Table 4.9 | Components for the supply chain management and logistics critical success factor | 114 |
| Table 4.10 | Components for the skills critical success factor | 116 |
| Table 4.11 | Components for the training and education critical success factor | 118 |
| Table 4.12 | Components for the corporate human resources management critical success factor | 119 |
| Table 4.13 | Components for the easy access guarantee critical success factor | 122 |
| Table 4.14 | Components for the technology enhancement critical success factor. | 123 |
| Table 4.15 | Components for the research and development critical success factor. | 124 |
| Table 4.16 | The Critical Success Factors for Prefabricated Building Implementation | 125 |
| Table 5.1 | Details of the respondents and organizations | 142 |
| Table 5.2 | Details of case study respondents in Malaysia | 148 |
| Table 5.3 | Details of focus groups respondents in Libya | 152 |
| Table 5.4 | Validity and reliability procedures for case study | 160 |
| Table 6.1 | Thematic framework of data analysis | 161 |
| Table 6.2 | Summary of data collection | 175 |
| Table 6.3 | Critical Success Factor Impact Survey Results | 177 |
| Table 6.4 | AHP weights and dimension rankings | 183 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE | Page |
|---------------|--|-------------|
| Figure 2.1 | Classifications of Prefabricated Building | 23 |
| Figure 2.2 | Malaysia's map | 34 |
| Figure 2.3 | Construction Contract/ Expenditure in 2009 | 37 |
| Figure 2.4 | IBS Development in Malaysia from 1999 to 2015 | 41 |
| Figure 3.1 | Distribution of the population density by province. | 49 |
| Figure 3.2 | Map of Libya | 50 |
| Figure 3.3 | Surplus and Shortage in Dwelling Units during the Period 1973–1995 | 65 |
| Figure 3.4 | The contribution of the construction industry to GDP from 1975 to 2012. | 79 |
| Figure 3.5 | A breakdown of construction materials in housing stock in 1950 ^s | 81 |
| Figure 3.6 | Production and consumption of cement in million tons per year | 82 |
| Figure 5.1 | Comparison of Cultural Dimensions between Malaysia and Libya | 133 |
| Figure 5.2 | Research design | 136 |
| Figure 5.3 | Libya's map with sampling locations | 137 |
| Figure 5.4 | Malaysia's map with sampling locations. | 143 |
| Figure 6.1 | Screen shot of Nvivo 10 showing the codes on emergent themes | 162 |
| Figure 6.2 | A sample of questionnaire survey | 176 |
| Figure 6.3 | A percentage calculated for each question to determine the power of the answer | 177 |
| Figure 6.4 | The hierarchy model of the critical success factors | 180 |
| Figure 6.5 | Example of pairwise comparison and consistency check with priority-level of all the five key elements: Government official experts | 181 |
| Figure 6.6 | Example of pairwise comparison and consistency check with priority-level of all the five key elements: Academic experts | 182 |

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 6.7 | Example of pairwise comparison and consistency check with priority-level of all the five key elements: Prefabricated building contractor and manufacturer experts | 182 |
| Figure 6.8 | Priority-level of critical success factors | 184 |
| Figure 7.1 | The importance grid | 196 |
| Figure 7.2 | First focus group results | 197 |
| Figure 7.3 | Second focus group results | 198 |
| Figure 7.4 | The importance-control grid—current and future factor scores | 202 |
| Figure 7.5 | Focus group results | 203 |
| Figure 7.6 | Linkages of items of the phased approach | 211 |
| Figure 7.7 | The Final Framework of prefabricated building implementation | 212 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|--|
| ACA | Acceleration Capital Allowance |
| BSRIA | Building Services Research and Information Association |
| BURA | British Urban Regeneration Association (United Kingdom) |
| CIA | Central Intelligence Agency |
| CIB | International Council for Research and Innovation in Building and Construction |
| CII | Construction Industry Institute (United States) |
| CIDB | Construction Industry Development Board |
| CIMP | Construction Industry Master Plan 2006-2015 |
| CIRC | Construction Industry Review Committee |
| CREAM | Construction Research Institute of Malaysia |
| COMET | Committee for Middle East Trade |
| CSFs | Critical Success Factors |
| ETF | European Training Foundation |
| £L | Libyan Pounds (Previous currency of Libya) |
| GAE | General Authority for Environmental |
| GAI | General Authority for Information |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product |
| GOH | General Organization for Housing |
| IBS | Industrialized Building System |
| IMF | International Monetary Fund |
| LYD | Libyan Dinar |
| MIDA | Malaysian Investment Development Authority |
| NAO | National Audit Office |
| NCID | National Corporation for Information and Documentation |
| R&D | Research and Development |

| | |
|-------|---|
| SMEs | Small and Medium-size companies |
| UNECE | United Nations Economic Commission for Europe |
| UNEP | United Nations Environment Programme |
| US\$ | United States Dollars |

**PEMBANGUNAN RANGKA KERJA UNTUK IMPLEMENTASI
PRA-FABRIKASI BANGUNAN BAGI MENANGANI KEPERLUAN
PERUMAHAN DI LIBYA**

ABSTRAK

Memenuhi keperluan perumahan amat dititikberatkan dikebanyakkan negara di dunia dengan lebih banyak tuntutan keperluan di negara membangun dan Libya tidak terkecuali. Kajian-kajian yang berkaitan telah membuktikan bangunan pra-fabrikasi dapat membantu meningkatkan usaha penyediaan perumahan dari segi kecepatan, kuantiti, dan kualiti serta pembinaan semula pasca-konflik. Kajian ini adalah tentang pra-fabrikasi bangunan di Libya, yang masih belum dikaji sebelum ini. Kaedah-kaedah campuran telah dibangunkan untuk tujuan ini, dengan penekanan diberikan kepada teknik penyelidikan kualitatif. Kaedah-kaedah yang digunakan terdiri daripada: data sekunder, temubual separa berstruktur, tinjauan kuantitatif, tinjauan AHP, dan perbincangan kumpulan fokus. Kaedah penyelidikan kualitatif menawarkan penerokaan pada peringkat pertama. Manakala kaedah penyelidikan kuantitatif menyumbang kepada proses pentafsiran yang lebih jelas di peringkat kedua, kaedah penyelidikan kualitatif menawarkan penerangan yang kukuh kepada peringkat sebelumnya. Dapatan awal kajian ini berdasarkan temubual separa struktur bersama pekerja yang terlibat mendapati pra-fabrikasi memberi faedah kepada keperluan perumahan walaupun kaedahnya masih belum dikenal pasti. Oleh itu, kajian ini memberi tumpuan untuk membangunkan satu kerangka konseptual berkenaan Faktor Kejayaan Kritikal (CSF) berdasarkan garis panduan untuk meningkatkan peluang kejayaan transformasi ke prafabrikasi bangunan, yang boleh berkembang untuk disesuaikan dengan keadaan perumahan di Libya. Bagi mewujudkan kefahaman tentang CSF untuk pelaksanaan bangunan pra-fabrikasi, kajian di negara yang mempunyai industri yang kukuh seperti Malaysia adalah perlu. Berdasarkan dapatan CSF, kajian ini mencadangkan rangka kerja yang dibangunkan sesuai dengan amalan industri pembinaan di Libya melalui kumpulan tumpuan. Sepuluh faktor kejayaan kritikal telah dikenal pasti di bawah tiga elemen utama: strategi, proses, dan pekerja, diikuti oleh tiga sumber dana yang penting dan tiga faktor pemangkin utama. Keputusan daripada kajian ini bersesuaian dengan kajian-kajian terdahulu mengenai kepentingan kestabilan politik sesebuah negara dan dasar negara tersebut terhadap pelaburan dan infrastruktur baru.

DEVELOPMENT OF PREFABRICATED BUILDING IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK FOR ADDRESSING HOUSING NEEDS IN LIBYA

ABSTRACT

Addressing housing needs is of great concern in many countries of the world with more predominance in developing countries and Libya is not an exception. Related literature has proven that prefabricated building can help improve housing delivery efforts in terms of speed, quantity, and quality as well as post-conflict reconstruction. This research is concerned with prefabricated building in Libya, which has not been investigated before. A mixed methods approach was developed for this purpose, with emphasis given to qualitative research techniques. Methods used comprised: secondary data, semi-structured interviews, quantitative survey, AHP survey, and focus group discussions. Qualitative research methods offered an exploration in the first stage. Whereas quantitative research methods contributed to a more rigorous interpretation process in the second stage, qualitative research methods offered a solid description of the former. The findings of the early stages of this study have shown that the participants of semi-structured interviews' have recognized prefabricated building benefits on addressing housing needs, eventhough they are not entirely sure about the method of implementation. In order to establish a comprehensive understanding on CSFs for prefabricated building implementation, exploring another country with an established industry, such as Malaysia, is necessary. From the identified CSFs, a conceptual framework that is able to comply with the construction industry needs in Libya is developed via focus group. Ten CSFs were identified under three main elements: strategy, process, and people, followed by three important sources of funding factors and three major enabling factors. Results from this study concur with previous studies regarding the importance of the country's political stability and its policies towards new investment and infrastructure.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

“The term prefabricated brings to mind a building system in which the essential pieces of structure are sent to the site on which the finished edifice will be constructed partially or completely assembled” (Bahamón, 2002). Although a crude form of prefabricated building began before the iron age, there had been no substantial prefabrication in housing construction until the time of two World Wars (Pan, 2006). Meanwhile, many former colonies of Europe in Africa and Asia became independent during postwar years; these countries must immediately acquire and apply technology to expedite their development (Akubue, 2002). In the early 1960s, prefabricated building was transferred to many independent countries, including Libya, by developed countries. However, many countries demonstrated limited success in using this technology due to tarnishing its reputation by previous design as well as construction mistakes. Consequently, conventional construction methods still prevailed in housing construction.

In the late twentieth century, prefabricated building processes were enhanced by advances in design, information technology, and machinery as well as the current emphasis within the industry to reduce waste materials, energy consumption, labor requirements, project duration, and costs. These situations have validated that using prefabricated building is now more practical than ever (CII, 2002 and Song et al., 2005).

During the late 1990s and 2000s, governments of many countries and regions, such as the United Kingdom (UK), South Africa, Singapore, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Province of Quebec in Canada, Australia, and Germany, rethought adopting

prefabricated building, they introduced policies to enhance mechanization in their industries through long-term visions or strategies (CIDB, 2003; CIRC, 2001; Construction 21 Steering Committee, 1999; DPW, 1998; Egan, 1998; Hampson and Brandon, 2004; Venables and Courtney, 2004; and Leabue and Vinâls, 2003). Significant differences in local emphasis were noted despite several similarities among these initiatives (Green et al., 2011). Since the intensity of influence of these aspects may differ from country to country, as may the nature of the construction industry, targeting any attempt to develop a policy or strategy to enhance prefabricated building adoption or integration is needed (Zakaria et al., 2017). Efficient processes and step-by-step advances can direct prefabricated building to achieve its optimal extent in countries that have not yet developed enhanced mechanization.

However, the Libyan government has the additional burden of dealing with domestic conditions, which place it in a serious situation and perhaps insurmountable disadvantage. The construction industry needs to learn from the experiences and good policy that support transformation and knowledge acquisition, which can be realized in improvements on a continuous basis. Thus, countries can learn from each other's experience (Ofori, 2006), by analyzing their experiences. The focus on the factors that are really critical to the success of prefabricated building implementation in another country is useful for the Libyan construction industry to formulate future strategies to transform from conventional practice into prefabricated building. It can be used as a complementary, alternative building method that can incrementally offer advantages to the overall housing industry.

1.2 Research Context

With a focus on the increasing demand for housing, this section presents the policy context of the previous housing supply. This context suggests a need to address the use of prefabricated building for housing construction. The current stakeholders' low familiarity with the concept of its processes indicates the need for Malaysia's experience in prefabricated building application as a case study.

1.2.1 Housing Supply Context

After its adoption of the socialist philosophy in 1977, the Libyan government assumed full responsibility and control over the provision and allocation of housing. According to The Secretariat of Utilities (1985) 750,000 dwelling units should have been developed during the planning period 1980 to 2000 in consideration of the housing requirements and the elimination of the present deficit. However, this number was not achieved due to a general decline in government spending as a result of successive shocks to the Libyan economy, which lasted until 2004 (see section 3.4.3). On the other hand, the growing housing demand is a product of change in the country's demographic structure from having 24.2% of its population in cities and towns in 1954 to over 88% in 2006.

In the early 2000s, to correct its previous policies, the Libyan government implemented a large-scale privatization program, it allocated US dollars (US\$) 123.4 billion for infrastructure projects, including the construction of 530,000 dwelling units throughout the country. Despite the strong demand for housing, most new estates remained unfinished because of significant delays in construction, which were aggravated by the onset of political turmoil in February 17, 2011 that escalated into a civil war. Many housing contracts were suspended or canceled as a result. On the other

hand, 70,000 people were internally displaced after the civil war, and they urgently required new permanent accommodation (UNHCR, 2013). In addition, the damaged areas due to political violence escalation by 2014 require rebuilding. Despite the upgrading, the total capacity of the Libyan housing market could not immediately meet the new short-term demands for buildings, and could not respond to the totality of new needs. It is estimated that 50,000 dwelling units should be constructed annually during the period (2014-2033).

1.2.2 The Need to Address the Use of Prefabricated Building in Housing Industry

Many developing countries prefer conventional construction methods over adopting innovative construction methods. It is very comfortable for them to use labor intensive and low technology methods of construction, believing that they are an efficient means of producing a house. However, "*the construction industry in most developing countries operates with low productivity and relatively high overall costs, despite low labor costs*" (Ofori, 1994a: p.44). This statement is also valid for the Libyan case, which is currently characterized by traditional procurement systems, paper-based and verbal communication systems, and cement- and intensive-labor process (Grifa, 2006).

Although Libya is facing acute shortage of water supply, the construction industry operations are currently cement based and designed around mixing methods on site in which water is an important issue in terms of quantity, quality, and management (Grifa, 2006). In addition, the output quality in the conventional construction methods is highly dependent on the professional skill of workers. However, the required quality cannot be achieved, because of poor quality control at the site (Badir et al., 2002). The poor image of the industry is caused by high incidence of accidents, absence of job

security, poor management, and low wages for high-risk jobs and lack of opportunity for career development (Abdul Razak et al., 2010). These methods require lengthy construction periods (Thomas and Sakarcan, 1994), which are even unable to cope with the huge demand for houses.

Libya is a large country in terms of area but small in population, thus human resource is the main obstacle for development. Consequently, expatriate workforce is indispensable in the Libyan domestic market. In the late 1980s and 1990s, the Libyan foreign policy encouraged the entry of irregular workers from African and Arab countries into the country and allowed their presence (Abuhadra and Ajaali, 2014). This step has dire consequences on the construction industry since foreign workers have little or no skills. Grifa (2006) argued that labor is one of the biggest issues and key challenges of the Libyan construction industry. The Housing Forum (2004) skills report claims that the housebuilding sector experiences a more acute skills supply problem than construction in general. In fact, recent labor shortage in the industry mainly in the troubled areas have resulted in insufficient workers being available to undertake conventional construction projects.

Pressured by the skills shortage, the declining number of new workforce entrants and the need for faster housing completion, the construction industry is seeking innovative technology based on prefabricated building to maximize productivity. Also the water usage in construction can be reduced via reducing wet trades through using prefabricated building. With the government incentives and encouragement, there is a high possibility that prefabricated building could flourish. Any increased activity of the private sector in the housing building for the purpose of sale or lease is likely to create significant new market opportunities for prefabricated building.