

**ZINC OXIDE, ORGANOCLAY AND SILICA IN
CROSSLINKED POLYETHYLENE COMPOSITE
FOR CABLE INSULATION**

SITI NUR HAJAR BINTI ABDUL SALIM

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2018

**ZINC OXIDE, ORGANOCCLAY AND SILICA IN CROSSLINKED
POLYETHYLENE COMPOSITE FOR CABLE INSULATION**

by

SITI NUR HAJAR BINTI ABDUL SALIM

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science**

September 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of ALLAH S.W.T. the beneficent, the Merciful, who has given me patient, strength and the ability to complete this research work successful. All perfect praises belong to ALLAH S.W.T. alone, lord of the world. May his blessing be upon Prophet Muhammad S.A.W and members of his family and companions.

The preparation of this important research would not have been possible without the support, hard work and endless efforts of a large number of individuals. First of all, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor; Prof. Ir. Dr. Mariatti Jaafar for her continues guidance, constructive critics, valuable suggestion and discussion, patience and advice throughout this study.

Words are not enough to express my gratitude to my beloved best friend, Norhidayah binti Abdul Aziz, her love, patience, sacrifice and continuous support will be remain grateful. My warm appreciation also goes to my parents Abdul Salim bin Sheikh Mohamad who was always encourage me to pursue my PhD and Wan Habibah binti Abu Bakar who are patiently waited for me to finish this study, my family and other members for all endless prayers and thought that motivate me to finish this research. Not forgetting to my special acknowledgement to all my colleagues namely Lim Kai Sheng, Nurul Hidayah and Muhammad Safwan for encouragement, company, and fruitful interaction over my study period.

Not to forget a lot of thanks goes to the Universiti Sains Malaysia under Tenaga Nasional Berhad (TNB) Grant Scheme (U 733) and the Malaysia Ministry of Higher Education under MyBrain15 (MyMaster) for financial support and scholarship for my study. Sincere thanks to the School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering (SMMRE) and School of Electrical and Electronic

Engineering (SEEE), Universiti Sains Malaysia (Engineering Campus) for providing me the facilities to carry out my research work. I am also thankful to all staff in SMMRE and SEEE for their cooperation and help to complete this research work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
ABSTRAK	xvii
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Objectives	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Historical development of polymeric materials for cable insulation	6
2.1.1 Crosslinked polyethylene polymer in cable insulation	11
2.1.2 Comparison between polyethylene and crosslinked polyethylene	12
2.2 Crosslinked Agents for XLPE	16
2.2.1 Peroxide cross-linked agent	17
2.2.2 Silane cross-linked agent	19
2.2.3 Radiation-induced crosslinking	21

2.3	Nanofillers and hybrid nanofillers in XLPE polymer	22
2.3.1	Single nanofillers	24
2.3.2	Hybrid nanofillers	28
2.4	Surface modification of nanofillers	30
2.5	Factor controlling the properties of polymer nanocomposite for cable application	32
2.6	Properties of nanocomposite for cable insulation	35
2.6.1	Dielectric spectroscopy (permittivity)	35
2.6.2	Dielectric Breakdown strength	37
2.6.3	Surface hydrophobicity	39
2.6.4	Water absorption	40
2.6.5	Flame retardant	43
2.6.6	Tensile properties	44

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Overview	45
3.2	Materials	47
3.3	Methodology	47
3.3.1	Preparation of XLPE nanocomposites	47
3.3.2	Characterization of nanofiller and nanocomposite	48
3.3.2 (a)	Surface morphology using SEM	48
3.3.2 (b)	Surface morphology using TEM	48
3.3.2 (c)	Fourier transfer infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis	49
3.3.2 (d)	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis	49
3.3.2 (e)	Permittivity or dielectric constant	50

3.3.2 (f) Dielectric breakdown strength	50
3.3.2 (g) Surface contact angle	52
3.3.2 (h) Water absorption	52
3.3.2 (i) Rate of burning	53
3.3.2 (j) Tensile properties	54
3.3.2 (k) Voids content	54
3.3.2 (l) Degree of crosslinking	56

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Overview	57
4.2	Effect of different types of nanofiller and filler loadings	57
4.2.1	Morphology of XLPE nanocomposites	58
4.2.2	Chemical bonding of XLPE nanocomposites	59
4.2.3	Permittivity	61
4.2.4	Dielectric Breakdown Strength	62
4.2.5	Surface Contact Angle	65
4.2.6	Water Absorption	67
4.2.7	Rate of Burning (Horizontal Burning)	68
4.2.8	Tensile Properties	70
4.3	Effects of nanofillers surface treatment	75
4.3.1	Morphology of XLPE treated nanocomposites	75
4.3.2	Chemical bonding of XLPE treated nanocomposites	79
4.3.3	Permittivity	81
4.3.4	Dielectric Breakdown Strength	83
4.3.5	Surface Contact Angle	85

4.3.6	Water Absorption	87
4.3.7	Rate of Burning (Horizontal Burning)	88
4.3.8	Tensile Properties	90
4.4	Effects of hybrid nanofillers	95
4.4.1	Morphology of hybrid XLPE nanocomposites	96
4.4.2	Chemical bonding of hybrid XLPE nanocomposites	99
4.4.3	Permittivity	101
4.4.4	Dielectric Breakdown Strength	102
4.4.5	Surface Contact Angle	104
4.4.6	Water Absorption	105
4.4.7	Rate of Burning (Horizontal Burning)	107
4.4.8	Tensile Properties	108
 CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		
5.1	Conclusions	113
5.2	Future Research	115
 REFERENCES		116

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1 Voltage classification according to IEC 60038	7
Table 2.2 Advantages and disadvantages of porcelain and polymeric insulation	8
Table 2.3 Previous research studies on various polymer based materials for electrical insulation	9
Table 2.4 Advantages and disadvantages of XLPE insulation in cable system	12
Table 2.5 The comparison of PE and XLPE plastic performance	16
Table 2.6 Comparison between crosslinking processes	21
Table 2.7 Breakdown mechanisms and typical behaviour, where T is the temperature	37
Table 3.1 The safety datasheet of nanoparticles	47
Table 4.1 Breakdown strength of XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	64
Table 4.2 Breakdown strength of untreated and treated ZnO and SiO ₂ /XLPE	84
Table 4.3 Breakdown strength of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	103

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 The schematic of the typical cable	10
Figure 2.2 Introduced links between polymer chains turn polyethylene into XLPE	13
Figure 2.3 A schematic representation of the structure of a spherulite	14
Figure 2.4 a) Molecular structure of dicumyl peroxide, b) molecular structure of 1,3-1,4bis(tert-butylperoxyisopropyl) benzene	18
Figure 2.5 Silane grafted polyethylene crosslinking reaction	20
Figure 2.6 Constituent of polymer nanocomposite	22
Figure 2.7 Illustration of different states of dispersion of organoclays in polymers with corresponding WAXS and TEM results	27
Figure 2.8 The TEM images of nanoclay and ZnO in the wood polymer	28
Figure 2.9 The scheme for epoxy nanocomposites filled with hybrid filler system (Yang and Gu, 2010)	29
Figure 2.10 The surface modification using chemical treatments	32
Figure 2.11 The schematic of the water shell surrounding a nanoparticle	41
Figure 2.12 The charge carrier movement through overlapping water shells	42
Figure 3.1 General framework of the research parts	46
Figure 3.2 Specimen between electrodes	50
Figure 3.3 (a) and (b): Set up of dielectric breakdown for XLPE nanocomposites	51
Figure 3.4 The contact angle of XLPE nanocomposites using sessile drop technique	52

Figure 3.5	The schematic diagram of horizontal burning rate for XLPE nanocomposites	54
Figure 4.1	Morphology of XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 4 wt. % at 1000x magnification	59
Figure 4.2	FTIR spectrum of Nanofillers, XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites	60
Figure 4.3	Dielectric constant measured at 50 Hz of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	61
Figure 4.4	Breakdown strength of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	63
Figure 4.5	Contact angle of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	65
Figure 4.6	Surface energy of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	66
Figure 4.7	Water Absorption of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	68
Figure 4.8	Burning rate of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	69
Figure 4.9	Tensile strength of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	70
Figure 4.10	Void content of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	71
Figure 4.11	Elongation at break of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	72

Figure 4.12	Young's Modulus of XLPE and XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	73
Figure 4.13	Degree of crosslinking of XLPE nanocomposites at different loading	74
Figure 4.14	Fracture surface morphology of XLPE untreated and treated nanocomposites at 1 wt. % at 1000x magnification	76
Figure 4.15	XRD spectra of OMMT nanofiller and OMMT/XLPE nanocomposite	78
Figure 4.16	TEM morphology for 3 and 4 wt. % OMMT/XLPE nanocomposite	79
Figure 4.17	FTIR spectrum of XLPE untreated and treated nanocomposites	80
Figure 4.18	Dielectric constant of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	82
Figure 4.19	Breakdown strength of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	84
Figure 4.20	Surface contact angle of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	85
Figure 4.21	Surface energy of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	86
Figure 4.22	Water absorption of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. % in 768 hours	87
Figure 4.23	Rate of burning of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	89

Figure 4.24	Tensile strength of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	90
Figure 4.25	Void content of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	92
Figure 4.26	Elongation at break of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	93
Figure 4.27	Young's Modulus of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	94
Figure 4.28	Degree of crosslinking of untreated and treated XLPE nanocomposites at 1 and 2 wt. %	95
Figure 4.29	Comparison morphology of single and hybrid XLPE nanocomposites between ZnO and OMMT at 1000x magnification	97
Figure 4.30	XRD spectra of hybrid ZnO-OMMT/XLPE nanocomposite	98
Figure 4.31	Comparison of single and hybrid XLPE nanocomposites between ZnO and SiO ₂	99
Figure 4.32	FTIR spectrum of hybrid XLPE nanocomposites	100
Figure 4.33	Dielectric constant of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	101
Figure 4.34	Breakdown strength of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	103
Figure 4.35	Contact angle of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	104
Figure 4.36	Surface energy of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	105

Figure 4.37	Water absorption of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	106
Figure 4.38	Rate of burning of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	107
Figure 4.39	Tensile strength of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	108
Figure 4.40	Voids content of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	109
Figure 4.41	Elongation at break of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	110
Figure 4.42	Young's modulus of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	111
Figure 4.43	Degree of crosslinking of hybrid nanofillers and its filler ratio in XLPE nanocomposites	112

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ABC	Aerial bundle cable
AC	Alternate current
CCD	Charge coupled camera device
CNT	Carbon nanotube
DBS	Dielectric breakdown strength
DC	Direct current
FE-SEM	Field emission-scanning electron microscope
FTIR	Fourier transfer infrared spectroscopy
HDPE	High density polyethylene
HPFF	High-pressure fluid-filled
HV	High voltage
IEC	International electro technical commission
KH550-SiO ₂	Silicon dioxide treated with 3-4 wt. % aminopropyltriethoxysilane
KH550-ZnO	Zinc oxide treated with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane
LDPE	Low density polyethylene
MV	Medium voltage
MWNT	Multi-wall nanotube
NR	Natural rubber
O	Oxygen
OMMT	Montmorillonite treated with 0.5-5 wt. % Aminopropyltriethoxysilane, 15-35 wt. % octadecylamine
PE	Polyethylene
PEI	Polyetherimide
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride

SBR	Styrene butadiene rubber
Si	Silicon
SiO ₂	Silicon dioxide
SR	Silicone rubber
STRI	Swedish Transmission Research Institute
XLPE	Crosslinked polyethylene
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction

LIST OF SYMBOLS

°C	Degree celcius
rpm	Revolutions per minute
psi	Pounds per square
wt. %	Weight percent
kV	Kilovolt
kV/mm	Kilo volt per milimiter
T _m	Melting temperature
%	Percent
γ	Gamma
°	Degree
λ	Wavelength
θ	Theta
β	Weibull shape parameter
α	Weibull scale parameter
vol %	Volume percent
MPa	Megapascal

ZINK OKSIDA, ORGANOCLAY DAN SILIKA DALAM KOMPOSIT POLIETILENA BERSAMBUNG SILANG UNTUK INSULASI KABEL

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini menyiasat prestasi pengisi nano terhadap sifat morfologi, elektrik, mekanikal dan fizikal matriks polietilena bersambung silang (XLPE). Dalam kajian ini, zink oksida (ZnO) dan silikon dioksida (SiO₂) digunakan sebagai pengisi nano tidak terawat, manakala pengisi nano terawat adalah ZnO terawat 3-aminopropiltriethoksilen (KH550-ZnO), SiO₂ terawat 3-4-aminopropiltriethoksilen (KH550-SiO₂) dan organoclay (OMMT). Pengisi nano dicampur dengan XLPE menggunakan pengadun dalaman, dan kemudian ditekan acuan pada 160 °C. Peratusan berat yang berlainan (1, 2, 3 dan 4 % bt.) dikompaunkan dalam pengisi nano tidak terawat, dan peratusan berat untuk pengisi nano terawat adalah 1 dan 2 % bt. Nisbah yang berbeza (75/25, 50/50 dan 25/75) dalam jumlah 2 % bt. pemuatan pengisi telah dikompaun dalam nanokomposit hibrid. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa penambahan pengisi nano tidak terawat meningkatkan kekuatan pecah, kadar pembakaran dan sifat tegangan. Prestasi terbaik nanokomposit didapati pada 1 % bt. SiO₂/ XLPE berdasarkan ciri-ciri yang paling menonjol. Pengubahsuaian permukaan pengisi nano meningkatkan hubungan antara pengisi dan matriks melalui ikatan kimia. Pengisi nano diubahsuai permukaannya juga telah meningkatkan kekuatan pecahan, kadar pembakaran dan sifat tegangan. Dalam kajian ini, 1 % bt. OMMT / XLPE menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik berdasarkan ciri-ciri yang paling menonjol jika dibandingkan dengan pengisi nano terawat yang lain. Dalam

nanokomposit hibrid, nisbah pengisi terbaik adalah 25/75 ZnO / OMMT. Sebagai perbandingan dengan XLPE tanpa pengisi, peningkatan yang ketara telah dilihat dalam pemalar dielektrik (4 %), kekuatan pecahan (9 %), kadar pembakaran (13 %), kekuatan tegangan (57 %), pemanjangan putus (54 %) dan modulus Young (36 %). Oleh itu, ia sesuai digunakan untuk penambat kabel.

ZINC OXIDE, ORGANOCCLAY AND SILICA IN CROSSLINKED POLYETHYLENE COMPOSITE FOR CABLE INSULATION

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the performance of nanofillers on morphology, electrical, mechanical and physical properties of crosslinked polyethylene (XLPE) matrix. In this study, zinc oxide (ZnO) and silicone dioxide (SiO₂) were used as untreated nanofiller, while treated nanofiller were 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane treated ZnO (KH550-ZnO), 3-4-aminopropyltriethoxysilane treated SiO₂ (KH550-SiO₂) and organoclay (OMMT). The nanofillers were mixed with XLPE using internal mixer, and then, press-moulded at 160 °C. Different weight percentages (1, 2, 3 and 4 wt. %) were compounded in untreated nanofillers, and the weight percentages for treated were 1 and 2 wt. %. Different ratios (75/25, 50/50 and 25/75) in a total of 2 wt. % filler loading were compounded in hybrid nanocomposites. The results showed that the addition of untreated nanofillers improved breakdown strength, burning rate and tensile properties. The best performance of nanocomposite was found at 1 wt. % SiO₂/XLPE based on the most prominence properties. The addition of surface modified nanofiller enhanced the interface interaction between the filler and the matrix via chemical bonding. The surface modified nanofiller also improved the breakdown strength, burning rate and tensile properties. In this study, 1 wt. % OMMT/XLPE showed better performance based on the most prominence properties compared to other treated nanofiller. In hybrid nanocomposites, the best filler ratio was 25/75 ZnO/OMMT. In comparison with unfilled XLPE, significant improvement is observed in dielectric constant (4 %), breakdown strength (9 %), burning rate (13

%), tensile strength (57 %), elongation at break (54 %) and Young's modulus (36 %).

Therefore, it is suitable for cable insulation.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Electrical insulator is very important in the electric power systems for substations, distribution, and transmission lines. The first material that have been used as insulation was ceramics, glass, and then turn into porcelain. The polymeric insulators were introduced in 1940s and the progress in designing and manufacturing of the polymeric insulators nowadays has made them increasingly being used in the electric power utilities. The worldwide cable sheathing and insulation materials are polyethylene (PE) and Polyvinyl chloride (PVC). PE is commonly used as insulator, however, due to its structure PE cannot withstand high temperature, and made it insufficient in terms of the mechanical strength and hence restricted its application in many areas.

Therefore, the cross-linking in PE through the intramolecular covalent bond which form reticular structure and able to withstand high temperature. The advantages of crosslinked polyethylene (XLPE) cable are small dielectric loss, easy installation, light weight and simple terminal processing (Mo et al., 2013). Furthermore, it was reported that the XLPE cable has lower degree of maintenance, environmental friendly system and high mechanical resistance (Hammons, 2003). Interestingly, the addition of organic and inorganic nanofillers will enhance the XLPE performance.

Organic and inorganic nanoparticle-filled polymers are widely applied in XLPE insulated cables due to its resistance to degradation and improvement in thermo-mechanical properties without causing a reduction in dielectric strength.

Small amount of nanoparticles content which less than 10 wt. % has improved the properties of XLPE. These improvements of polymer properties was observed for nano-filled polymers could be due to several factors Roy et al. (2005): (1) the large surface area of nanoparticles which creates a large 'interaction zone' or region of altered polymer behaviour, (2) changes in the polymer morphology due to the particle's surfaces, (3) a reduction in the internal field caused by decrease in size of the particles, (4) changes in the space charge distribution and (5) a scattering mechanism.

The presence of nanocharges seems to have a strong impact on medium-long term degradation processes of polymers (Sami et al., 2009). The incorporation of nanofiller not only restricted to one type, it more than one filler can be incorporated which is known as hybrid nanofiller. The enhancement in properties of hybrid nanocomposite is depending on the dispersion of particles and aspect ratio (Nurul and Mariatti, 2013).

Good dispersion of nanofiller gives tremendous effect on mechanical and electrical properties of polymer. In the correlation of polymer nanocomposites properties with nanoparticles surface modified is becoming a point of great interests because it produces excellent integration and improved interface between nanoparticles and polymer matrices. Rong et al. (2006) reported that surface modification of nanofiller is influences the mechanical, tribology, electrical and fire retardant performance of polymer based nanocomposites.

1.2 Problem Statement

The cable system must prevent contact of the high-voltage conductor with other objects or persons, and must contain and control leakage of current. Cable joints and terminals must be designed to control the high-voltage stress to prevent breakdown of the insulation. In real application, the XLPE insulated cable are subjected to thermal, electrical, mechanical, oxygen, humidity, chemical, radiation or microorganism aging during storage and operating service which can cause physicochemical properties and microstructure changes and obviously affect the electrical and mechanical properties of the cable insulation.

The mechanical damage such as hitting a cable while digging a trench is fairly obvious and the overvoltage and under voltage cause abnormal stresses within the insulation which can lead to cracking for the insulation (Zhang et al., 2015). Moreover, XLPE is a very weak conductive material which cause accumulation of immobile charge carrier in the insulation and produce additional electrical source field. The charge transport also produce molecular chain distortion which creates energy traps and can increase the accumulation of other charges. Thus, it changes the polarity, local distribution and concentration of space charge which lead to breakdown (Muhr et al., 2004).

Interestingly, the incorporation of nanofillers can improve the mechanical properties of XLPE by their large interfacial zone. The function of ‘trap and scatter’ of nanofillers also may improve the electrical properties of XLPE. When the material is packed with nanofillers, the fillers act as scattering site. The electrons or charge carriers injected from high voltage electrode transfer the energy to the nanoparticles and reduced the mobility. The energy of charge carriers is distributed more uniformly