

ON THE THEORY OF DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATIONS AND CONTINUED FRACTIONS EXPANSION

***Hailiza Kamarul Haili & *Norhayati Rosli**

+School of Mathematics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Minden, Pulau Pinang.

**Department of Mathematics, Faculty Science & Technology, College University of Science & Technology, Malaysia (KUSTEM), 21030 Kuala Terengganu, Trg.*

*+hailiza@cs.usm.my, *yati81@kustem.edu.my*

Abstract

Approximation of irrational numbers by the rationals has been studied for decades and one of the methods to approximate the irrationals is by using the diophantine approximation and the convergents of its continued fractions expansion. Many results pertaining to this area of research has been developed and documented. In 1963, Niven [Niv] in his monograph had shown a sketch proof of several results related to this problem. Now, in this paper we attempt to write a more comprehensive and well structured proofs. Given a real number θ , how closely can it be approximated by rational numbers?. To make this more precise, for any given positive ε is there a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ within ε of θ , so that the inequality $|\theta - a/b| < \varepsilon$ is satisfied?. The answer is yes because the rational numbers are dense on real line. In fact, we proved that given any irrational number θ , there are infinitely many rational numbers $\frac{a}{b}$, where a and $b > 0$ are integers, such that $|\theta - a/b| < 1/b^2$. Although the exponent cannot be improved, this result can be strengthened by a constant factor. Specifically $\frac{1}{b^2}$ can be replaced by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}b^2}$ and no larger constant than $\sqrt{5}$ can be used. In addition to this, an attempt also has been made to improve this constant, though it is not in generalized form.

Keywords: Diophantine approximation, continued fractions, irrational number, and rational number.

1. Introduction

To 15 decimal places, π is given by 3.141592653589793... For simple calculations, it is widely known that $22/7 = 3.142857...$ is a good approximation of π , valid to 2 decimal places. It is also true that $355/113 = 3.14159292...$ is accurate to 6 decimal places. For a relatively small denominator 113, we obtain accuracy up to a large number of decimal places. This kind of consideration is an example of the problem of Diophantine approximation: How close can irrational numbers be approximated by rational numbers. For instance, given any irrational numbers θ , how close can it be approximated by rational numbers p/q ? Mathematically we can conclude this statement as follows, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, is there any rational number p/q approximates the irrational number θ , so that the inequality $|\theta - p/q| < \varepsilon$ is satisfied and the distance between these two numbers is less than ε ? This paper explores this question. We first introduce the most useful theorem in Diophantine approximation which is the Hurwitz Theorem. We give a detailed proof of the Hurwitz Theorem, which has filled the gaps to minimize complexity. We then give a precise approximation, which considers the extension results.

2. The Approximation of Irrationals by The Rationals

In 1918, Hurwitz proved a useful result in approximating irrational numbers by rational numbers. Before we go further, some basic results are needed.

2.1 Farey Sequence.

Given any positive number n , the Farey sequence F_n is a sequence ordered in size, of all rational fractions $\frac{a}{b}$ in lowest terms with $0 < b \leq n$. For instance

$$F_8 = \dots, -\frac{1}{7}, -\frac{1}{8}, 0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{8}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$$

The following theorem mentions two properties of Farey sequence which is required in our discussion.

Theorem 2.1: If $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{d}$ are two consecutive terms in F_n , then presuming $\frac{a}{b}$ to be a small and $bc - ad = 1$. If θ is any given irrational number and r is any positive integer,

then for all n sufficiently large, the two fractions $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{d}$ adjacent to θ in F_n have denominators larger than r , that is $b > r$ and $d > r$.

Lemma 2.1: There is no positive integers x and y which satisfy simultaneously the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{xy} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{y^2} \right) \text{ and } \frac{1}{x(x+y)} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{(x+y)^2} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.1 refer to [Niv].

2.2 The Theorem of Hurwitz

Theorem 2.2 (Hurwitz): Given any irrational number θ there exist infinitely many rational numbers $\frac{p}{q}$ in lowest terms such that

$$\left| \theta - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}q^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

The value $\sqrt{5}$ is the best constant. This inequality become false if $\sqrt{5}$ is replaced by any larger constant.

Proof:

Two parts will be proved here which are:

- i) There exist infinitely many rational numbers $\frac{p}{q}$ in lowest terms such that the

$$\text{inequality } \left| \theta - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}q^2} \text{ is satisfied.}$$

- ii) This inequality become false if $\sqrt{5}$ is replaced by any larger constant.

We start our proof with the first part. Let say $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{d}$ are two adjacent fractions in Farey sequence, F_n and θ is between of these two fractions with $b > 0$ and $d > 0$. So,

$$\frac{a}{b} < \theta < \frac{c}{d}.$$

Consider two cases which are either $\theta > \frac{(a+c)}{(b+d)}$ or $\theta < \frac{(a+c)}{(b+d)}$. In case one, prove that not all of the following inequalities

$$\theta - \frac{a}{b} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}b^2}, \quad \theta - \frac{a+c}{b+d} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}(b+d)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c}{d} - \theta \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}d^2}$$

are satisfied. Add the first and the third inequality, then add the second and third inequality, we will get (2.1) with $x=b$ and $y=d$ (from Lemma 2.1). In case two, prove that not all of the three inequalities

$$\theta - \frac{a}{b} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}b^2}, \quad \frac{a+c}{b+d} - \theta \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}(b+d)^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c}{d} - \theta \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}d^2}$$

hold. If we add the first and third, then add the second and third inequality we get (2.1) with $x=b$

and $y=d$. Hence, the inequality (2.2) holds if we replace $\frac{p}{q}$ by at least one of $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}$

and $\frac{(a+c)}{(b+d)}$. Then we will prove there are infinitely many solutions $\frac{p}{q}$ which satisfy the

inequality (2.2). We argue by contradiction. Suppose there are finitely many solutions to inequality (2.2), and let r denote the maximum denominator among these solutions. For

sufficiently large n , Theorem 2.1 guarantees the consecutive fractions $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{d}$ adjacent

to θ in F_n have denominators greater than r . The solution of $\frac{p}{q}$ to (2.2) is one of the

three forms $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}$ or $\frac{(a+c)}{(b+d)}$. By definition of Farey sequences $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{d}$ is in the lowest

terms. Also $\frac{(a+c)}{(b+d)}$ is in the lowest terms because $c(b+d) - d(a+c) = bc - ad = 1$.

What will happen if $\sqrt{5}$ is replaced by any larger constant? This is impossible, because if $\sqrt{5}$ is replaced by any larger constant the result become false. There exist finitely many rational numbers $\frac{p}{q}$ in lowest terms that satisfy the inequality (2.2). This can be seen in

the following argument. Now, define $\theta_0 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\theta_1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$, such that $(x - \theta_0)(x - \theta_1) = x^2 - x - 1$. Hence, for any integers p and q , with $q > 0$, we find that

$$\left| \frac{p}{q} - \theta_0 \right| \left| \frac{p}{q} - \theta_1 \right| = \left| \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^2 - \frac{p}{q} - 1 \right| \neq 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\theta_1 = \theta_0 - \sqrt{5} \Rightarrow \left| \frac{p}{q} - \theta_0 \right| \left| \frac{p}{q} - \theta_0 + \sqrt{5} \right| = \frac{|p^2 - pq - q^2|}{q^2} \geq \frac{1}{q^2}.$$

Using applications of the triangle inequality gives

$$\frac{1}{q^2} \leq \left| \frac{p}{q} - \theta_0 \right| \cdot \left\{ \left| \frac{p}{q} - \theta_0 \right| + \sqrt{5} \right\} \tag{2.3}$$

For some $\beta > 0$, there exist infinitely many solutions $\frac{p_j}{q_j}$ where $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ such that

$$\left| \frac{p_j}{q_j} - \theta_0 \right| < \frac{1}{\beta q_j^2}. \text{ As } j \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow q_j \rightarrow \infty, \text{ from inequality (2.3), we found that}$$

$$\frac{1}{q_j^2} < \frac{1}{\beta q_j^2} \left(\frac{1}{\beta q_j^2} + \sqrt{5} \right) \Rightarrow \beta < \frac{1}{\beta q_j^2} + \sqrt{5}.$$

When $j \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow q_j \rightarrow \infty, \frac{1}{\beta q_j^2} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, the largest constant we can use is $\sqrt{5}$. If

$\sqrt{5}$ is replaced by any larger constant, we can see that j actually is finite. So that there

exist finite solutions $\frac{p_j}{q_j}$ and this contradict the hypothesis in the Theorem. Note that the

exponent two on the q^2 in inequality (2.3) is the best value. If any real number which is larger than 2 is replaced, then the result become false. That means there exist finitely many solutions to inequality (2.3). These complete the proof of the theorem of Hurwitz. ■

3. An Extension Result

Can the value $\sqrt{5}$ be replaced by any larger constant? The answer is yes. The value $\sqrt{5}$ can be replaced by any larger constant if we use certain constraint to the irrational numbers [Egg].

3.1 More Precise Approximation.

The constant $\sqrt{5}$ can be replaced by any larger constant if the irrational number $\theta = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$ is omitted from consideration. In [Nat] small changes in Cohn's proof was made a much stronger result was obtained. Consider $k \geq 1$ and let $F(k)$ be the set of all real numbers x such that $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and the continued fractions for x has no partial quotient greater than k and $F(0) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.1: Let $k \geq 1$ and x be a real irrational number and not equivalent to the element in $F(k-1)$. Then there exists infinitely many rational numbers $\frac{p}{q}$ such that

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2} q^2} \quad (3.1)$$

The constant $\frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2}}$ is the best possible. Given $k \geq 1$ and x be a real irrational number and not equivalent to the element in $F(k-1)$. We need to show that, there exists infinitely many rational numbers $\frac{p}{q}$ such that $\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2} q^2}$ and the constant $\frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2}}$ is the best possible.

Proof: Let $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ denote the n th convergent of the continued fractions $[a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ of x

and $\theta_n = |q_n^2 x - p_n q_n|$, $\phi_n = \min(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1})$. Hence

$$\frac{\theta_n}{q_n^2} + \frac{\theta_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}^2} = \left| \frac{q_n^2 x - p_n q_n}{q_n^2} \right| + \left| \frac{q_{n+1}^2 x - p_{n+1} q_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}^2} \right| = \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| + \left| x - \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} \right| = \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\theta_n}{q_n^2} + \frac{\theta_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}^2} = \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\theta_n q_{n+1}^2 + \theta_{n+1} q_n^2}{q_n^2 q_{n+1}^2} = \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\theta_n q_{n+1}^2 + \theta_{n+1} q_n^2}{q_n^2 q_{n+1}^2} = \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\theta_n q_{n+1}^2 + \theta_{n+1} q_n^2}{q_n^2} = \frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_n \left(\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} \right)^2 + \theta_{n+1} - \frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

The equation (3.2) is a quadratic equation. So, we can get the solutions of this equation by using the formula

$$\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} = \frac{-(-1) \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n \theta_{n+1}}}{2\theta_n},$$

and the solutions are

$$\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n \theta_{n+1}}}{2\theta_n}.$$

From our solution we can say that,

$$\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1}}}{2\theta_n}, \quad (3.3)$$

due to $\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1}}}{2\theta_n} < \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1}}}{2\theta_n}$ and $\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} = a_{n+1} + \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n}$.

Also $\frac{q_n}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n-1}}}{2\theta_{n-1}} \Rightarrow \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} = \frac{2\theta_{n-1}}{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n-1}}}$.

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\theta_{n-1}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n-1}}} &\leq \frac{2\theta_{n-1}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n-1}}}, \\ \Rightarrow a_{n+1} + \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} &\geq a_{n+1} + \frac{2\theta_{n-1}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n-1}}} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1}}}{2\theta_n} &\geq \frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} = a_{n+1} + \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} \geq a_{n+1} + \frac{2\theta_{n-1}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

In Theorem 3.1, given that $\phi_n = \min(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1})$, we have $2\phi_n a_{n+1} \leq 2\theta_n a_{n+1}$,

and it has already been shown above that $\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1}}}{2\theta_n} \geq \frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} = a_{n+1} + \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n}$,

hence $1 + (1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1})^{1/2} \geq 2\theta_n a_{n+1} + 2\theta_n \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n}$,

$$\Rightarrow 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1}} - 2\theta_n \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} \geq 2\theta_n a_{n+1}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1}} + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n-1}} \geq 2\theta_n a_{n+1}.$$

Given $\phi_n = \min(\theta_{n-1}, \theta_n, \theta_{n+1})$, we have

$$\sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1}} + \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n-1}} \geq 2(1 - 4\phi_n^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\phi_n a_{n+1} \leq 2\theta_n a_{n+1} \leq (1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n+1})^{1/2} + (1 - 4\theta_n\theta_{n-1})^{1/2} \leq 2(1 - 4\phi_n^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \phi_n a_{n+1} &\leq (1 - 4\phi_n^2)^{1/2} \\ \Rightarrow (\phi_n a_{n+1})^2 &\leq (1 - 4\phi_n^2) \\ \Rightarrow (\phi_n a_{n+1})^2 + 4\phi_n^2 &\leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \phi_n^2 (a_{n+1}^2 + 4) &\leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \phi_n^2 &\leq \frac{1}{(a_{n+1}^2 + 4)}, \\ \Rightarrow \phi_n &\leq \frac{1}{(a_{n+1}^2 + 4)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

There are two possible conditions which are $\phi_n = \frac{1}{(a_{n+1}^2 + 4)^{1/2}}$ and

$\phi_n < \frac{1}{(a_{n+1}^2 + 4)^{1/2}}$. But, if $\phi_n = \frac{1}{(a_{n+1}^2 + 4)^{1/2}}$, implies $\theta_n = \theta_{n+1} = \phi_n$. From

(3.3) we have
$$\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} \leq \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\theta_n \theta_{n+1}}}{2\theta_n},$$
 (undefined). So, it is impracticable to

have $\theta_n = \theta_{n+1} = \phi_n$. Therefore for all values of n , $\phi_n < \frac{1}{(a_{n+1}^2 + 4)^{1/2}}$. If x is not

equivalent to the element in $F(k-1)$, thus for infinitely many n , $a_{n+1} \geq k$ and the

continued fractions for $x = \frac{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2} - k}{2}$ is $[0, k, k, k, \dots]$. The smallest value of

a_{n+1} is k , so that the distance between both two numbers is less than or equal to

$\frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2} q^2}$. Note that $\phi_n < \frac{1}{(a_{n+1}^2 + 4)^{1/2}} \Rightarrow \phi_n < \frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2}}$. Let say

$\phi_n = \theta_n$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n &= \left| q_n^2 x - p_n q_n \right| < \frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2}} \\ &= \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2} q_n^2}\end{aligned}$$

for $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ and this implies $\phi = \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2} q^2}$.

For infinitely many solutions $\frac{p}{q}$, the largest distance between rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ and

irrational number x is at most $\frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2} q^2}$. For $k=1$, this theorem gives Hurwitz's

Theorem. For $k=2$, the constant is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}$, $k=3$, the constant is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{13}q}$, for $k=4$, the

largest constant is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}$ and so on.

4. Conclusion

The largest and the best constant can be chosen depends on the form of the irrational number. From our discussion above, the form of the irrational numbers are

$$\theta = \frac{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2} - k}{2} = [0, k, k, k, \dots], \text{ for integer } k \geq 1 \text{ and the largest constant for such}$$

irrational numbers are of form $\frac{1}{(k^2 + 4)^{1/2}}$, where $k \geq 1$. But what happen to other

constants or other irrational numbers that is not in the mentioned form?. That is part of the future works. We expect the constant would change if we modify the form of the irrational numbers. That means it requires another form of irrational numbers to obtain different constants.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS), Universiti Sains Malaysia for the financial support.

References

- [Cohn] Cohn, J. Hurwitz' Theorem. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol 38, No. 2, 1972.
- [Egg] Eggen L.C. On Diophantine Approximations. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 99, No. 1, 1961.
- [Nat] Nathanson B. M. Approximation by Continued Fractions. Proceedings of the Mathematical Society, pp 323-324, Vol 45, No.3, 1974
- [Niv] Niven I. Diophantine Approximations. John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1963.