

**THE PREVALENCE AND RISK FACTORS OF
COGNITIVE DYSFUNCTION IN PATIENTS
WITH DIABETES MELLITUS IN IRAQ**

by

HAFSA S. NAJIM

Thesis submitted in the fulfillment of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I offer my sincerest gratitude to God. All my thanks would be given to His Almighty for guiding, showing me the right path.

I am grateful to my main supervisor Professor Syed Azhar Syed Sulaiman, Dean, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia who has supported me throughout my thesis with his support, patience, and knowledge. Many thanks for his listening, understandings, giving hope during hard times. This thesis would not have been possible unless the guidance of Professor Yuen Kah Hay as a co-supervisor whose knowledge, guidance, support, and patience helped me to make this work possible. My gratitude goes to him for his valuable advices. Indeed, one simply could not wish for better or friendlier supervisors.

I would like to thank to my field supervisors, Dr. Ireen Looi, the consultant Neurologist in Seberang Jaya Hospital, and Dr. Abbas Mahdi Rahmah, the Consultant Diabetologist and the director of the Iraqi National Diabetes Center as field supervisors for their scientific support. Their guidance in the field work was extremely helpful.

It is an honor for mention Dr. Yusif Husaain and Dr. Haidar F. Al-Rubay'e the Consultant Endocrinologists in the Iraqi National Diabetes Center. Many thanks for their efforts and scientific support during patients' recruitment and data collection period for their help in participants' clinical assessment.

I owe my deepest gratitude to Dr. Murtadha Hussein Jumaah, and Dr. Haidar al-Jabery, the consultant radiologists for their scientific support during Magnetic Resonance Imaging and diagnosis.

I am indebted to my friends and colleagues for their scientific, spiritual support, even with a smile. Thank you Dr Wong Jia Woei, thank you Dr. Suheir Ammar.

I owe my heartfelt thankfulness to the staff of the Institute of Postgraduate Studies (IPS), and School of Pharmaceutical Sciences for the sincere efforts. Thanks for the beautiful USM healthy campus for unforgotten moments. Thank you beautiful Malaysia and friendly Malaysian people for your good hospitality and magnificent memories.

I would like to show my gratitude to my dearest family, In memory of the martyr hero, my beloved father Mr. Suhail Najim Al-anbari; to my mother, the one whose feet Paradise rests on, Mrs. Ma'eda Abood; to my dearest sister Dr. Hind Suhail Al-Anbari; my beloved brothers, Dr. Mohammed Hassen Suhail Al-Anbari; and Dr. Najim Suhail Al-Anbari. You kept my spirits up when I found it difficult to endure the challenges. Thanks for your love, passion, and tremendous concern that lifted me up when this thesis seemed undoable. I'm proud of being part of this family.

Last but not least, many thanks go to my beloved husband Dr. Murtadha Hussein Jumaah for his love and support during the whole journey.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | page |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS..... | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENT..... | iv |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | x |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | xii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATION..... | xiv |
| ABSTRAK..... | xvii |
| ABSTRACT..... | xix |

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

| | |
|--|---|
| 1.1 Overview..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Pathophysiology of Diabetes Mellitus..... | 3 |
| 1.3 Treatment..... | 4 |
| 1.3.1 Diet..... | 4 |
| 1.3.2 Pharmacologic therapy..... | 4 |
| 1.3.2(a) α -Glucosidase inhibitors..... | 5 |
| 1.3.2(b) Non-sulfonylurea insulin secretagogues..... | 5 |
| 1.3.2(c) Sulfonylureas..... | 5 |
| 1.3.2(d) Thiazolidenidiones (TZDs)..... | 6 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 1.3.2(e) Metformin..... | 6 |
| 1.3.2(f) Insulin..... | 7 |
| 1.4 Complications of diabetes..... | 8 |
| 1.5 Prevention..... | 9 |
| 1.6 Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), glycemia control and compliance..... | 13 |
| 1.7 Cognitive function..... | 13 |
| 1.7.1 Cognition and diabetes type 1: Possible underlying mechanism of cognitive dysfunction..... | 14 |
| 1.7.1(a) Cerebral dysfunction in diabetes type 1..... | 15 |
| 1.7.1(b) Cerebralneuroradiological changes..... | 15 |
| 1.7.1(c) Case-control cognitive performance..... | 16 |
| 1.7.1(d) Repeated episodes of severe hypoglycemia..... | 16 |
| 1.7.1(e) Diabetes duration and the presence of other complications..... | 17 |
| 1.7.1(f) Depression and anxiety morbidity in diabetes..... | 17 |
| 1.7.1(g) Hyperglycemia..... | 18 |
| 1.7.1(h) Cerebrovascular changes..... | 19 |
| 1.7.1(i) The role of severe prolonged hypoglycemic episodes..... | 19 |
| 1.7.1(j) The insulin role in the brain..... | 19 |
| 1.7.2 Cognition and diabetes type 2..... | 21 |
| 1.7.2(a) Demographic factors..... | 21 |
| 1.7.2(b) Glycemic control and its related problems..... | 21 |
| 1.7.2(c) Cerebral radiological changes..... | 22 |
| 1.7.2(d) Neuropsychological changes..... | 22 |
| 1.7.2(e) Type 2 diabetes treatment..... | 23 |

| | | |
|------|--------------------------------|----|
| 1.8 | Problem Statement..... | 24 |
| 1.9 | Aims and objectives..... | 25 |
| 1.10 | Outcomes..... | 25 |
| | 1.10.1 Primary outcomes..... | 25 |
| | 1.10.2 Secondary outcomes..... | 26 |
| 1.11 | Thesis outlines..... | 26 |

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.1 | Introduction..... | 28 |
| 2.2 | Diabetes type 1 association with cognitive function..... | 28 |
| 2.3 | Diabetes type 2 association with cognitive dysfunction..... | 39 |
| 2.4 | Diabetes type 1 and type 2 association with cognitive dysfunction..... | 48 |
| 2.5 | MRI and brain structural changes among patients with diabetes..... | 54 |
| 2.6 | Summary..... | 59 |

CHAPTER THREE: METHOD

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 3.1 | Study design..... | 61 |
| 3.2 | Study Population..... | 61 |
| | 3.2.1 Patients with diabetes..... | 61 |
| | 3.2.1(a) Inclusioncriteria of patients with diabetes..... | 61 |
| | 3.2.1(b) Exclusioncriteria of patients with diabetes..... | 62 |
| | 3.2.2 Control Subjects..... | 62 |
| | 3.2.2(a) Inclusion criteria for control subjects..... | 63 |
| | 3.2.2(b) Exclusion criteria for control subjects..... | 63 |

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| 3.2.3 | Sample size calculation..... | 64 |
| 3.2.4 | Demographic and biomedical data, and assessment of patients with diabetes and controls..... | 65 |
| 3.3 | Treatment assignment..... | 68 |
| 3.4 | Neuropsychological assessment..... | 68 |
| 3.4.1 | The Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE)..... | 69 |
| 3.4.1(a) | Administration and scoring of MMSE..... | 70 |
| 3.4.2 | Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)..... | 73 |
| 3.4.2(a) | Administration and scoring of MoCA..... | 74 |
| 3.4.3 | Assessment of psychological well-being..... | 81 |
| 3.4.3(a) | Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale..... | 81 |
| 3.5 | MRI sub-study..... | 82 |
| 3.5.1 | Imaging protocol and readings..... | 85 |
| 3.6 | Potential confounding factors..... | 87 |
| 3.7 | Statistical analysis..... | 87 |
| 3.8 | Study flow chart..... | 87 |

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 4.1 | Introduction..... | 89 |
| 4.2 | Participants characteristics..... | 89 |
| 4.3 | The association of MMSE and MoCA scores and patients characteristics..... | 93 |
| 4.4 | The impact of diabetes mellitus on cognitive function..... | 99 |
| 4.5 | Cognitive dysfunction among type 1 and type 2 diabetes..... | 101 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 4.6 | Level of HbA1c with the incidence of cognitive dysfunction among patients with type 1 & 2 diabetes..... | 104 |
| 4.7 | The cognitive function among patients with diabetes mellitus who are on different anti-diabetic regimens..... | 107 |
| 4.8 | Comparisons among diabetic participants..... | 110 |
| 4.9 | Linear regression analysis..... | 112 |

CHAPTER FIVE: MRI SUB-ANALYSIS

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 5.1 | Introduction..... | 116 |
| 5.2 | Normal distribution of samples..... | 116 |
| 5.3 | MRI brain changes and cognitive dysfunction..... | 117 |
| 5.4 | Entorhinal-cortex changes association with cognitive dysfunction..... | 117 |
| 5.5 | Hyperintensities location and distribution in the brain lobes..... | 118 |
| 5.6 | Brain hyperintensities and HbA1C level..... | 119 |
| 5.7 | Brain hyperintensities and presence of diabetic complications..... | 119 |

CHAPTER SIX: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 6.1 | Introduction..... | 137 |
| 6.2 | Demographic characteristics and cognitive assessment tools..... | 138 |
| 6.3 | Cognitive dysfunction and diabetes types: type 1 and type..... | 140 |
| 6.4 | Impact of diabetes on cognitive dysfunction: diabetic complications..... | 144 |
| 6.5 | Impact of diabetes mellitus on cognitive dysfunction: metabolic control and diabetic duration..... | 147 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 6.6 | Cognitive dysfunction and anti-diabetic regimens..... | 148 |
| 6.7 | MRI sub-study..... | 153 |
| 6.8 | Conclusion..... | 158 |
| 6.9 | Study contribution..... | 159 |
| 6.10 | Study limitations..... | 160 |
| 6.11 | Strength of the study..... | 161 |
| 6.12 | Future Study..... | |

BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDICES

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|---|
| APPENDIX A | Participant information and consent form |
| APPENDIX B | Case record form |
| APPENDIX C | Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) test |
| APPENDIX D | Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) test |
| APPENDIX E | Zung Depression Scale |
| APPENDIX F | A Certificate from the Iraqi National Diabetes Center |
| APPENDIX G | The raw data of the study |
| APPENDIX H | Good Clinical Practice Certificate |
| APPENDIX I | List of publication |

LIST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| 4.1 Participants' characteristics regarding demographic, biomedical, and clinical data | 92 |
| 4.2 MMSE score association with different patients characteristics (type of diabetes, presence of diabetic complications, demographic, and biomedical data) | 97 |
| 4.3 MoCA score association with different patients characteristics (type of diabetes, presence of diabetic complications, demographic, and biomedical data) | 98 |
| 4.4 Correlation of diabetes severity with cognitive domains by groups (diabetic group and control group) | 101 |
| 4.5 Comparing type 1 and type 2, MMSE and MoCA scores, and other characteristics | 103 |
| 4.6 The cognitive dysfunction and HbA1c relationship among type 1 diabetics (demographic, clinical, and biomedical data) | 105 |
| 4.7 The cognitive dysfunction and HbA1c relationship among type 2 diabetics (demographic, clinical, and biomedical data) | 105 |
| 4.8 The correlation of diabetes severity with cognitive domains by groups (type 1 and type 2 diabetes) | 107 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.9 | Comparison between the performance of patients with diabetes on different anti-diabetic regimes in terms of MMSE and MoCA | 107 |
| 4.10 | Multiple linear regression model Summary (MoCA as dependent variable where Diabetes Duration, Education duration, Age, and HbA1c as independent variables) | 113 |
| 4.11 | Multiple regression coefficients (MoCA as dependent variable where Diabetes Duration, Education duration, Age, and HbA1c as independent variables) | 113 |
| 4.15 | Multiple regression residuals Statistics (MoCA as dependent variable where Diabetes Duration, Education duration, Age, and HbA1c as independent variables) | 113 |
| 5.1 | Brain changes characteristics of patients with diabetes and control subjects | 120 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 3.1 | One of the participants (with diabetes type 2) undergoing brain MRI screening at Al-Kadhimiya Teaching Hospital, Baghdad/Iraq: from the screening room. | 86 |
| 3.2 | Brain MRI screening for patient with diabetes type 2) at Al-Kadhimiya Teaching Hospital, Baghdad/Iraq: from the monitoring room. | 86 |
| 3.3 | General study flow chart | 88 |
| 4.1 | Mean score of MMSE and MoCA of patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes (<i>P value</i> = 0.063, 0.688 respectively) | 103 |
| 4.2 | Mean score of MMSE and MoCA of study patients with HbA1C values (<i>P value</i> = 0.005, 0.001 respectively) | 104 |
| 4.3 | MMSE and MoCA score of patients with different anti-diabetic regimen | 110 |
| 4.4 | Multiple linear regression normality: Normal probability plot of Regression Standardized Residual. MoCA is the dependent variable | 114 |
| 4.5 | Scatterplot of multiple linear regression, MoCA score as dependant variable, age, education duration, HbA1C, and diabetic duration were independent variables. | 115 |
| 5.1 | MRI sample normality testing: normally distributed sample | 118 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| 5.2 | brain lobes and the brain Stem | 121 |
| 5.3 | MRI coronal magnified view shows Entorhinal Cortex. | 122 |
| 5.4 | Magnified section shows the Entorhinal Cortex | 122 |
| 5.5 (a) | Case-control 1 MRI, A: case axial T2 view | 123 |
| 5.5 (b) | Case-control 1 MRI, A: case coronal FLAIR view | 124 |
| 5.6(a) | Case-control 2 MRI, A: case axial T2 view | 125 |
| 5.6 (b) | Case-control 2 MRI, A: case coronal FLAIR view | 126 |
| 5.7 (a) | Case-control 3 MRI, A: case axial T2 view | 127 |
| 5.7 (b) | Case-control 3 MRI, A: case coronal FLAIR view | 128 |
| 5.8 (a) | Case-control 4 MRI, A: case axial T2 view 1 | 129 |
| 5.8 (b) | Case-control 4 MRI, A: case axial T2 view 2 | 130 |
| 5.8 (c) | Case-control 4 MRI, A: case coronal FLAIR view | 131 |
| 5.9 (a) | Case-control 5 MRI, A: case axial T2 view | 132 |
| 5.9 (b) | Case-control 5 MRI, A: case coronal FLAIR view | 133 |
| 5.10 (a) | Case-control 6 MRI, A: case axial T2 view 1 | 134 |
| 5.10 (b) | Case-control 6 MRI, A: case axial T2 view 2 | 135 |
| 5.10(c) | Case-control 6 MRI, A: case coronal FLAIR view | 136 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

| | |
|----------------|--|
| A β 42 | Amyloid Beta peptide 42 |
| ACCORD-MIND | Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes-Memory in Diabetes |
| ACEI | Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors |
| AD | Alzheimer's disease |
| ADA | American Diabetes Association |
| ADL | Activities of Daily Living |
| AGES-Reykjavik | Gene/Environment Susceptibility–Reykjavik |
| ANOVA | Analysis Of Variance |
| ARBs | Angiotensin II-Receptor Blockers |
| ATP | Adenosine triphosphate |
| BMI | Body Mass Index |
| CASCADE | The Cardiovascular Determinants of Dementia |
| CD | Cognitive Dysfunction |
| CDT | Clock Drawing Test |
| CIB | Clock-in-a-Box test |
| CIND | Cognitive Impairment No Dementia |
| CSF | Cerebrospinal Fluid |
| CMS | Center of Medicare and Medicaid Services |
| CNS | Central Nervous System |
| CT | Computed Tomography |
| CVA | Cerebrovascular accident |
| CVD | Cardiovascular diseases |
| DBP | Diastolic Blood Pressure |
| DCCT | The Diabetic Control and Complications Trial Research Group |
| DECODE | Collaborative Analysis of Diagnostic Criteria in Europe |
| DM | Diabetes Mellitus |
| DSST | Digit Symbol Substitution Test |

| | |
|--------|---|
| DWMHs | Deep White Matter Hyperintensities |
| DWMLs | Deep White Matter Lesions |
| EDIC | Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications |
| EC | Entorhinal Cortex |
| ESRD | End Stage Renal Disease |
| FDA | Food and Drug Administration |
| FLAIR | Fluid Attenuation Inversion Recovery |
| FOV | Field Of View |
| FPG | Fasting Plasma Glucose |
| GDS | Geriatric Depression Scale |
| HAAS | Honolulu-Asia Aging Study |
| HbA1C | Glycosylated Hemoglobin |
| HDL | High Density Lipoprotein |
| HDS | Hiv Dementia Scale |
| HEPESE | Hispanic Established Population for the Epidemiological Study of the Elderly |
| IADL | Instrumental Activities of Daily Living |
| IDE | Insulin-Degrading Enzyme |
| IHD | Ischemic Heart Disease |
| LDL | Low Density Lipoprotein |
| MCI | Mild Cognitive Impairment |
| MI | Myocardial Infarction |
| MMSE | Mini Mental State Examination |
| MoCA | Montreal Cognitive Assessment |
| MRI | Magnetic Resonance Imaging |
| MTA | Medial Temporal Lobe Atrophy |
| NCF | Normal Cognitive Function |
| NDDG | National Diabetes Data Group |
| NFTs | Neurofibrillary Tangles |
| NICE | National Institute Of Clinical Excellence |
| NPH | Neutral Protamine Hagedorn or Isophane |
| NPL | Neutral Protamin Lispro |
| PET | Positron emission tomography |

| | |
|----------|---|
| PMD | Persatuan Diabetes Malaysia |
| PVH | Periventricular Hyperintensities |
| PWMLs | Periventricular White Mater Lesions |
| RAS | Renin-Angiotensin System |
| RAVLT | Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test |
| RBC | Red Blood Cell |
| RT | Repetition Time |
| SAE | Subcortical Arteriosclerotic Encephalopathy |
| SBP | Systolic Blood Pressure |
| SD | Standard Deviation |
| SPSS | Statistical Package for the Social Sciences |
| SPWMLs | Small Punctuate White-Matter Lesions |
| Syst-EUR | Systolic Hypertension in Europe |
| TE | Time to Echo |
| TGs | Triglycerides |
| TICS | Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status |
| TZD | Thiazolidenidiones |
| UDES | Utrecht Diabetic Encephalopathy Study |
| UKDPS | United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study |
| VBM | Voxel-Based Morphometry |
| VLDL | Very Low Density Lipoprotein |
| WASI | Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence |
| WHO | World Health Organization |
| WMH | White Matter Hyperintesities |

PREVALENS DAN FAKTOR RISIKO DISFUNKSI KOGNITIF DALAM KALANGAN PESAKIT DIABETES MELITUS DI IRAQ

ABSTRAK

Diabetes melitus merupakan suatu penyakit metabolik kronik yang terkenal berdasarkan komplikasinya yang banyak. Ia merupakan penyakit yang boleh diurus sendiri atau swaurus (self-managed disease), yang memerlukan kognisi intak untuk mengekalkan kualiti hidup yang baik. Disfungsi kognitif adalah perubahan neurodegeneratif yang boleh dikaitkan dengan diabetes melitus. Ia dianggap sebagai tahap pertama penyakit dementia dan Alzheimer, yang bersama-sama dengan diabetes merupakan masalah kesihatan prevalens global yang semakin. Kajian ini mengkaji perkaitan yang tidak jelas antara diabetes melitus dan disfungsi kognitif. Kajian ini berurusan dengan prevalens disfungsi kognitif dalam kalangan diabetes. Ia juga turut membandingkan insidens atau keberlakuan gangguan kognitif (cognitive impairment) dalam diabetes jenis 1 dan 2. Disamping itu, turut dikaji pengaruh diabetes sebagai suatu penyakit kronik, komplikasinya, serta rawatan terhadap prestasi kognitif. Suatu metodologi kawalan rentas - kes digunakan dalam usaha mengekalkan objektif kajian. Dua jenis peralatan digunakan untuk menilai disfungsi kognitif, iaitu Pemeriksaan Status Miniminda (Mini-Mental Status Examination, MMSE), dan Penilaian Kognitif Montreal (Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA). Selepas mengira saiz sampel, seramai 380 orang pesakit diabetes, dan 100 orang subjek kawalan yang memenuhi kriteria yang ditetapkan terlibat dalam kajian ini. Sebagai suatu subkajian, perkaitan antara status penanda pengimejan resonans magnet (magnetic resonance imaging, MRI) otak dan prestasi

kognitif dinilai bagi sebilangan peserta yang tertentu. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa berdasarkan penggunaan MMSE, prevalens disfungsi kognitif adalah 16.3% bagi pesakit diabetes, dan 7% bagi subjek kawalan. Berdasarkan penggunaan MoCA, prevalens disfungsi kognitif adalah 59.2% bagi pesakit diabetes, dan 15% bagi subjek kawalan. Dari segi jenis diabetes, tiada perbezaan signifikan ditemui antara prestasi kognitif jenis 1 dan 2. Bagi pesakit diabetes, disfungsi kognitif adalah berkaitan dengan glisemia yang tidak terkawal, yang diwakili oleh tahap HbA1C yang tinggi. Ia juga dikaitkan dengan obesiti (kegemukan) dan kurang senaman serta penggunaan suplemen. Dalam kedua-dua kes (MMSE dan MoCA), prestasi kognitif yang buruk dikaitkan dengan pesakit yang diberi sulfonilurea bersama-sama dengan insulin, prestasi yang baik adalah dalam kalangan pesakit yang menggunakan amaryl®, monoterapi insulin atau terapi daripada gabungan metformin-insulin. Akhir sekali, terdapat perkaitan yang signifikan di antara disfungsi kognitif dan isyarat hiperintensiti yang tidak normal dalam otak. Sebagai kesimpulan, disfungsi kognitif mungkin merupakan antara komplikasi diabetes melitus. Justeru, ia sepatutnya diberi pertimbangan sewajarnya sebagai suatu keadaan yang memerlukan penilaian klinikal serta pelan terapeutik.

THE PREVALENCE AND RISK FACTORS OF COGNITIVE DYSFUNCTION AMONG PATIENTS WITH DIABETES MELLITUS IN IRAQ

ABSTRACT

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic metabolic disease that is distinguished by many complications. It is mainly a self-managed disease that needs intact cognition to maintain better quality of life. Cognitive dysfunction is a neurodegenerative changes that might be associated with diabetes mellitus. It is considered as the first stage of dementia and Alzheimer disease which is together with diabetes are global growing prevalence health concerns. This study investigates the unclear relationship between diabetes mellitus and cognitive dysfunction. It deals with occurrence of cognitive dysfunction among diabetes. It also compares the occurrence of cognitive impairment in type 1 and type 2 diabetes. In addition, it investigates the influence of diabetes as a chronic disease, its complication and treatment on cognitive performance. A comparative cross-sectional methodology was adopted to achieve the study objectives. Two tools were used to evaluate cognitive dysfunction, the Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE), and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). After calculating sample size, 380 patients with diabetes, and 100 control subjects who met inclusion and exclusion criteria were included in the study. As a sub-study, the association between brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) marker status and cognitive performance was assessed for certain number of participants (n=10 per arm). The major findings of this study are that according to MMSE, the prevalence of cognitive dysfunction was 16.3% of patients with diabetes and 7% of controls. By using MoCA, cognitive dysfunction prevalence was 59.2% of patients with diabetes,

and 15% of controls. In terms of diabetes types, no significant difference was found between the cognitive performance of type 1 diabetes and that of type 2 diabetes. In patients with diabetes, cognitive dysfunction was associated with uncontrolled glycemia represented by high levels of HbA1c. It is also associated with obesity and lack of exercise and supplements use. In both, MMSE and MoCA cases, the worse cognitive performance was associated with patients on sulfonylurea in combination with insulin, and the best performance was among patients who used glimepiride (amaryl[®]), insulin monotherapy or metformin-insulin combination therapy. Finally, there was a significant association between cognitive dysfunction and abnormal signal hyperintensities in the brain. In conclusion; cognitive dysfunction might be among diabetes mellitus complications list. It should be given consideration as a condition that needs to be part of the clinical assessment and the therapeutic plan of diabetes mellitus.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Diabetes mellitus is a widespread metabolic abnormalities and is characterized by hyperglycemia (high blood glucose levels) resulting from discrepancy in insulin secretion (type 1 diabetes), resistance to insulin associated with an inadequate secretion of insulin, or both (type 2 diabetes) ("Report of the expert committee on the diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus," 2003).

During the last decade, studies have demonstrated that diabetes mellitus might be classified to different kinds with various etiologies, although pathological progression might be comparable after the disease onset (Koda- Kimble, Young, Kradjan, & Guglielmo, 2005). Type 1 diabetes is caused by the obliteration of beta-cells in pancreas. This leads to complete insulin deficiency which is known as insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM). Most commonly, type 1 diabetes involves subjects near puberty (Koda- Kimble *et al.*, 2005). Type 1 diabetes is treated by injection of insulin to replace absent endogenous form of insulin, diet and exercise (Koda- Kimble *et al.*, 2005).

The other type is type 2 diabetes, a non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM). This type occurs when the pancreas retains part of pancreatic beta-cell role, but the inconsistent release of insulin is inadequate to preserve glucose homeostasis. The onset of this type of diabetes is in the adulthood (Howlett, Porte, Allavoine, Kuhn, & Nicholson, 2003). Factors that affect type 2 diabetes development are obesity, hereditary risk factors, environmental aspect, physical activity, overweight birth and gestational diabetes (ADA, 2010). Non-insulin dependent diabetes is managed by diet,

exercise and oral anti-diabetic agents. Insulin is used to treat diabetes type 2 when the oral treatments fail to maintain glycemic control (Stenman, Melander, Groop, & Groop, 1993). Oral diabetes treatment that are used in type 2 diabetes include: Sulfonylurea; biguanides; α -glucosidase inhibitors; thiazolidenidiones and non-sulfonylurea insulin secretagogues (Stenman *et al.*, 1993). Type 1 diabetes consists 5-10% of diabetes population, while type 2 accounts for 90-95%. The diabetes prevalence among adults was found to be 2.8% in 2000 and is estimated to be increased to 4.4% by the year 2030 worldwide (Wild, Roglic, Green, Sicree, & King, 2004).

Both types of diabetes have prognosis of numerous micro- and macro-vascular complications, such as retinopathy, nephropathy, peripheral neuropathy, dyslipidemia and cardiovascular events. The clinical signs and symptoms in addition to the diagnostic methods of aforementioned complications are established thoroughly (ADA, 2005). The development of this chronic disease complications is reliant on the diabetes duration and the level of metabolic control (ADA, 2002).

Type 2 is commonly undiagnosed for many years because the symptoms at the beginning are not severe enough to provoke evident diabetes symptoms. About half of diabetes population may be undiagnosed (ADA, 2005). Yet, such cases are at high incidence of showing diabetes complications and other related disorder. Moreover, type 2 is a slow onset disorder starting from normal glucose homeostasis, borderline hyperglycemia to diabetes (ADA, 2006). Borderline diabetes often develops to full-blown diabetes with increased complications risks (ADA, 2006).

Cognitive function is the term used to explain individual's state of memory, attention span and consciousness (including alertness and orientation). Cognitive

functioning had been the subject of many studies in both types of diabetes (Kodl & Seaquist, 2008; Munshi *et al.*, 2006). Several cross-sectional and case-control researches since 1980s revealed positive associations between diabetes and cognitive impairment (Gregg & Brown, 2003).

1.2 Pathophysiology of Diabetes Mellitus:

Insulin is considered as a main anabolic hormone that has a vital effect to maintain growth and the development of tissues. Endogenously, insulin is released by the pancreatic β -cell to maintain homeostasis. This biological event takes place as a response to increased level of circulating glucose and amino acids after food ingestion (Moller & Jorgensen, 2009). Insulin regulates circulating glucose level at many parts of the body. It reduces hepatic production of glucose by gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis. It also increases the rate of glucose uptake particularly into skeletal muscles and fatty tissues (Shulman, 2000). Insulin increases lipogenesis in liver and adipocytes, and decreases the release of fatty acid from adipose tissue (Sesti, 2006). During fasting, hyperglycemia is caused by abundant basal hepatic glucose production as a result of liver resistance to insulin action. Hyperglycemia resulting from food ingestion is caused by the dysfunction of β -cell in the pancreas (insufficient insulin production), hepatic glucose over production and lack of glucose uptake by peripheral tissues (Giorgino, Laviola, & Leonardini, 2005).

Chronic hyperglycemia affects the secretion kinetics from the β -cell by time. Consequently, tissue sensitivity to insulin will be affected (glucotoxicity) (Dailey, 2004). Thus, both impaired insulin action and dysfunctional insulin secretion explain type 2 diabetes pathogenesis (Giorgino *et al.*, 2005). In Pima Indians (Bogardus, 1993) and Mexican Americans (Gulli, Ferrannini, Stern, Haffner, &

DeFronzo, 1992), insulin resistance is the primary exclusive cause. On the other hand, β -cell deficiency in white populations was the most marked cause during early stage diabetes mellitus development (Vaag, Henriksen, Madsbad, Holm, & Beck-Nielsen, 1995).

1.3 Treatment

The most important point in treating hyperglycemia in patients with diabetes is to prevent or delay the development of complications of this disease that exist as a threat to the quality of life. Three major components to treat type 2 diabetes include: diet, pharmacologic therapy (oral hypoglycemic agents, and insulin) and exercise. Type 1 diabetes is managed by insulin, diet and increasing physical activity.

1.3.1 Diet

The cornerstone of diabetes management is diet and exercise. These two diabetes managing ways should be adopted as a first step of diabetes type 2 therapeutic plan (ADA, 2010). However, benefits from these interventions are inadequate for nearly all patients with type 2 diabetes (Consoli *et al.*, 2004).

1.3.2 Pharmacologic therapy

Treatment of diabetes type 1 is insulin plus diet and exercise. Only sulfonylureas as well as insulin exist to treat diabetes type 2 until mid-1990s. Later, metformin, α -glucosidase inhibitors, thiazolidinediones and non-sulfonylureas were introduced to the markets after being approved by the FDA (Food and Drug Administration). Many compounds of various mechanism of action are under research (Koda-Kimble *et al.*, 2005). Usually, diabetes type 2 patients are prescribed other agents to manage their diabetes-associated complications such as hypertension, cardiovascular events, dyslipidemia, and other chronic illnesses that may be caused by aging. From this

point, it could be said that diabetes type 2 treatment should be the simplest, most effective, and the safest regimen that treat diabetes and its complications properly (ADA, 2008).

1.3.2(a) α -Glucosidase inhibitors

The only member belongs to this group is acarbose 25, 50 and 100mg and miglitol. They do not lead to increased body weight (Hong, Xun, & Wutong, 2007). The adverse effects that might be caused by this group are diarrhea and bloating. Starting with lowest doses and increase it gradually on need is helpful to avoid diarrhea (ADA, 2006). The mechanism of action of this group is to inhibit carbohydrates digestion that leads to decrease the absorption of glucose (Hong *et al.*, 2007).

1.3.2(b) Non-sulfonylurea insulin secretagogues

Repaglinide, and nateglinide, are members of insulin secretion-stimulating group. It acts by helping the pancreas produce insulin (Culy & Jarvis, 2001). Repaglinide was approved by FDA of United States of America in 1997. The other member was approved in 2000 (Culy & Jarvis, 2001). The intake recommendation of usage of this group is to take the dose prior meals immediately and to skip the dose whenever the meals is skipped (ADA, 2006).

1.3.2(c) Sulfonylureas

Several members of sulfonylureas have been discovered. Members of the first generation are: chlorpropamide, Acetohexamide, tolbutamide, and tolazamide (ADA, 2006). The second generation includes glipizide and glyburide. The third generation is represented by Glimipride which was approved in 1997. One of the major adverse effects of sulfonylureas is hypoglycemia when insulin production overshoots. This adverse effect is found to be less associated with this group compared to insulin

(Patlak, 2002). All members have common mechanism of action by stimulating the production of insulin by Potassium ATP channel inhibition. Although, each member have different pharmacokinetics and side effects (Zimmerman, 1997).

1.3.2(d) Thiazolidenidiones (TZDs)

Rosiglitazone and pioglitazone received the FDA of the United States approval was in 1999, troglitazone which was approved in 1997 which has been withdrawn from markets in 2000 due to its effect of hepatotoxicity effect (Mudaliar & Henry, 2001). This group acts by increasing the utilization of glucose in adipose tissues and skeletal muscles. In addition, it decreases the hepatic production of glucose. This group also increases the uptake of fatty acid and reduces lipolysis in the adipose tissue. Eventually, these events lead to reduction of postprandial and fasting plasma glucose, and insulin (Olefsky, 2000). Patients with liver dysfunction and major cardiac diseases have contraindications to this group (O'Moore-Sullivan & Prins, 2002). Most patients on TZDs will require combination therapy with other anti-diabetic treatment to achieve the desired long term glycemic control (Turner, Cull, Frighi, & Holman, 1999).

1.3.2(e) Metformin

Phenformin, the first discovered member of biguanide, was available in 1977. Its association with lactic acidosis was the major reason for it to be withdrawn from the markets (Koda-Kimble *et al.*, 2005). The only licensed member of biguanide until now is metformin (Koda-Kimble *et al.*, 2005). Fortunately, metformin is not associated with hypoglycemia as an adverse effect as with sulfonylureas. In addition, it is prescribed to overweight patients (with body mass index $> 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) as it does not promote weight gain and it does stimulate the secretion of insulin from pancreas

(Kimmel & Inzucchi, 2005). It reduces the hepatic glucose production which will lead to decrease fasting plasma glucose level (Hundal *et al.*, 2000). Metformin also increases the muscle tissue sensitivity to insulin that helps to decrease blood glucose concentration. Metformin is contraindicated in conditions such as renal dysfunction, liver impairment, pregnancy, stress conditions and other acute illnesses ("Type 2 Diabetes: National Clinical Guideline for Management in Primary and Secondary Care (Update)," 2008)

1.3.2(f) Insulin

Exogenous insulin is mandatory for patient with diabetes type 1 survival due to the almost complete destruction of pancreatic β -cells. It also has a major part in treating subjects with diabetes type 2 when oral anti-diabetic fails to achieve the therapeutic goal (Mayfield & White, 2004). Acute illnesses, surgical operations, pregnancy and breast feeding, glucose toxicity and other metabolic disorders are conditions (such as diabetic ketoacidosis, lactic acidosis and hyperosmolar non-ketotic coma) that indicate insulin use. Another insulin indication is the presence of contraindications to oral anti-diabetic among diabetes type 2 patients (Mayfield & White, 2004; Ministry of Health, 2004). One study found that 27% of diabetes type 2 are using insulin (Koro, Bowlin, Bourgeois, & Fedder, 2004).

Exogenous insulin is found with different pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, as well as physical and chemical properties (Koda-Kimble *et al.*, 2005). Parenterally administered insulin forms are, rapid-acting insulin analogs solution, short-acting (regular), intermediate-acting and long-acting (Ultra lente, and insulin glargin) for subcutaneous injection (Bolli & Owens, 2000). Other types of insulin is the pre-mixed insulin which is a precise mixture of intermediate-acting and short-acting insulin in one vial or insulin pen (Koro *et al.*, 2004). Glycemic control improvement

was observed when insulin used in combination with oral anti-diabetic agents among patients who failed to achieve glycemic control even by using the upper limit combination of oral anti-diabetic drugs (Pugh *et al.*, 1992). It can be used as combination with metformin (Ponssen, Elte, Lehert, Schouten, & Bets, 2000), sulfonylureas (Wright, Burden, Paisey, Cull, & Holman, 2002), thiazolidenidiones (TZDs) (Coniff, Shapiro, Seaton, Hoogwerf, & Hunt, 1995; Derosa *et al.*, 2004), and α -glucosidase inhibitors (Coniff *et al.*, 1995).

1.4 Complications of diabetes

Diabetes is a predisposing factor for many co-morbid complications, and mortality in patient with diabetes (Cusick *et al.*, 2005). It has been found that diabetes is listed as the sixth cause of mortality in the United State (> 71,000 deaths per year) (Center of Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Public Affairs Office, 2004). The Diabetes Epidemiology: Collaborative Analysis of Diagnostic Criteria in Europe (DECODE) study group (1999) found that diabetes double the mortality risk over 10 years of follow-up compared with non-diabetic controls (DECODE, 1999; Stancoven & McGuire, 2007).

Diabetic complications are of two types. Acute complications which include hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, while the other type is the chronic complications that are subdivided into two types, macrovascular and microvascular complications. Microvascular complications include retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy, while macrovascular complications are cardiovascular events, cerebrovascular diseases, and peripheral vascular diseases (Ministry of Health, 2004). Diabetic microvascular complication morbidity was found to be the primary predisposing factor of end-stage

renal impairment, non-traumatic diabetic foot amputation, and cataract among adults with diabetes (Sheetz & King, 2002).

In Malaysia, a vast survey on diabetes population showed that 58% of patients with diabetes were with neuropathy, 57% with retinopathy, and 52% had microalbuminuria. It was found that 43-52% of diabetic patients were obese and overweight. The majority of them were Malay and Indian females. Moreover, 63-76% had hyperlipidemia (Ministry of Health, 2004). About half of patients with diabetes type 2 are undiagnosed due to silent signs and symptoms (ADA, 2002). As a conclusion, it can be said that Malaysian people are at risk of diabetes complications due to the delayed diagnosis, uncontrolled glycemia and obesity.

1.5 Prevention

Minimizing the probability of long-term complications of diabetes is categorized as primary, secondary, and tertiary interventions. The primary type means preventing the complications before the onset of diabetes, whereas secondary intervention comes after the occurrence of diabetes but before the developing diabetic complications. For instance, anti-diabetic treatment is prescribed to reach glycemic control that leads to delay the likelihood of microvascular complications, consequently, decreases the rate of deterioration (UKPDS, 1998a). After the occurrence of complications, tertiary intervention might play a role but before the advanced end-stage consequence (Home, 1996). Using of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) was found to decrease the end stage renal disease (ESRD) risk. Similarly, it has been found that laser photocoagulation decreases the risk of severe loss of vision, while preventive foot care decreases the chance of lower limbs amputation in patients with diabetes.

Factors such as routine screening, demographic factors, genetic factor, BMI, physical activity, history of gestational diabetes are identifiers of people at high risk of diabetes. Laboratory tests such as insulin sensitivity test and glucose tolerance tests are vital for early diagnosis of diabetes. They are known to influence the risk of progression to diabetes mellitus to its complications through early diagnosis ("Classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and other categories of glucose intolerance. National Diabetes Data Group," 1979).

A divergent link between diabetes and moderate exercise was revealed by epidemiologic studies (Eriksson & Lindgarde, 1990; Manson *et al.*, 1991). Trials to decrease or prevent obesity such as, low fatty food intake, complex carbohydrates intake and continuous exercise was associated with reduced insulin resistance and incidence of diabetes (Pan *et al.*, 1997).

It was found that 10% of impaired glucose tolerance might develop to diabetes per year, and certain ethnic groups are probably had high risk of diabetes mellitus than others. Moreover, this threat might be seen among females with positive history of gestational diabetes (Edelstein *et al.*, 1997).

Serious complications such as cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are the leading cause of death among patients with diabetes (UKPDS, 1998b). It has been reported that decreasing the risk of 12% of any complications is correlated with reduction of 10 mmHg in mean systolic blood pressure. In details, 15% reduction was for diabetes-related death, 13% of microvascular complications, and 11% of myocardial infarction (MI) among patients with diabetes (UKPDS, 1998a). One study has shown that the good control for blood pressure is positively associated with the improvement of CVD outcomes in patients with diabetes, especially stroke (Chobanian *et al.*, 2003; UKPDS,

1998b). Moreover, it decreases the rate of CVD by 33-50%(UKPDS, 1998b). This might also delay or prevent diabetic nephropathy (ADA, 2005).

Microvascular complications such as nephropathy was found in about 20-30% of patients with type 2 diabetes (Dobesh, 2006). Untreated neuropathy eventually leads to ESRD(Sowers, 2003). A clinical trial found that 2% of diabetes type 2 patients developed microalbuminuria annually. Moreover, 2.8% of them progressed from microalbuminuria to macroalbuminuria, and 2.3% progressed from macroalbuminuria to high serum creatinine level ($\geq 175\mu\text{mol/l}$) or hemodialysis yearly (*U S Renal Data System, USRDS 2012 Annual Data Report: Atlas of Chronic Kidney Disease and End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States, 2012*). Furthermore, diabetes type 2 nephropathy that cannot be corrected by hemodialysis or kidney transplant increases the risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality(Gerstein *et al.*, 2001).Clinical trials revealed that angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) and angiotensin II-receptor blockers (ARBs) that suppress renin-angiotensin system RAS are useful in preventing diabetic nephropathy in addition to their ability to lower blood pressure (Lewis *et al.*, 2001; "Should all patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria receive angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors? A meta-analysis of individual patient data," 2001).

Diabetic retinopathy is a vascular complication with high specificity of both type 1 and 2 diabetes. Retinopathy prevalence is associated with long exposure to diabetes (ADA, 2008). It is the most common leading cause of cataracts, glaucoma, and blindness among elderly patients with diabetes. Large prospective randomized studies approved that intensive diabetes management to achieve controlled glycemia was shown to prevent and/or delay the onset of diabetic retinopathy(ADA, 2008).

One of the most common diabetes complications is diabetic neuropathy. It can be defined as peripheral nerve impairment signs and symptoms where other causes of peripheral nerve impairment are excluded. This complication accounts for hospitalization more often compared with other complications of diabetes as it is the most common leading condition of non-traumatic amputation (Bansal, Kalita, & Misra, 2006). Silent myocardial infarction might be caused by diabetic autonomic neuropathy. In addition, diabetes neuropathy was found to shorten the survival rate, causing death in 25%–50% patients with diabetes who had autonomic diabetic neuropathy for 5–10 years. It has been demonstrated that the incidence of neuropathy increased from 7.5% on admission to 50% at 25 years follow up (cited in (Bansal *et al.*, 2006).

Some studies, (Fontbonne, Berr, Ducimetiere, & Alperovitch, 2001; Gregg *et al.*, 2000; Wilson *et al.*, 2005; Wilson *et al.*, 2002) have tested the relation of diabetes and changes in cognitive function using different cognitive ways of assessment. However, many facts are still unknown about diabetes and change in different cognitive domains. Numbers of studies were conducted to clarify this relationship. This clarification might also be useful to study the association of diabetes mellitus with Alzheimer's disease (AD) as cognitive dysfunction is the predisposing factor for dementia or AD (Arvanitakis, Wilson, Bienias, Evans, & Bennett, 2004).

1.6 Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), glycemia control and compliance

Glycosylated hemoglobin is an accurate test to evaluate glycemic control and compliance over a 3-month period of time. This test is based on measuring the percentage of red blood cell (RBC) that has been irreversibly glycosylated at the β -chain N-terminal. This test is considered as an assessment for glycemic control for the last 2-3 months as RBC life span is around 120 days (Parchman, Pugh, Romero, & Bowers, 2007). The normal value is between 4-6% of the total hemoglobin (Goldstein *et al.*, 2004). The target for diabetes is $< 6.5\%$ (Ministry of Health, 2004). During conditions such as anemia, acute or chronic blood loss and uremia HbA1c value is affected since these conditions are associated with RBC life span changes. Consequently, these changes lead to flawed assessment for glycemic control (Ceriello *et al.*, 1991).

In fact HbA1c test needs special preparations to be conducted such as fasting. This test should not be considered as a replacement for FPG concentration that is important for detecting the acute change in blood glucose concentration (ADA, 2010).

1.7 Cognitive function

It refers to mental processing that comprised attention, memory, solving problems, producing and understanding language, and making decisions. The term “cognitive dysfunction” is very nonspecific (Ott *et al.*, 1999). It typically refers to mild cognitive impairment (MCI), delirium, and dementia. MCI refers to deficiency in memory, language, executive function, or other cognitive domains and is often considered as the early stage of dementia and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (between normal forgetfulness and dementia) (Morris Jc & *et al.*, 2001; Nasreddine *et al.*, 2005). One of the causes that lead to the underestimation of its prevalence is that the dysfunction is often mild. In fact, cognition is a very multifaceted issue and, formerly, it was denied

to exist (Kodl & Seaquist, 2008). Cognitive dysfunction now is studied independently as a medical condition or syndrome instead of being under fatigue and depression. Cognitive assessment methods were also improved significantly with proper studies on cognitive impairment (Kodl & Seaquist, 2008). While neither MCI nor dementia is an immediate threat of morbidity or mortality, dementia is a proven independent predictor of functional decline, and institutionalization (Cukierman, Gerstein, & Williamson, 2005). Both types of diabetes have been linked with the impaired performance on different cognitive domains (Kodl & Seaquist, 2008; Munshi *et al.*, 2006). The specific pathophysiological changes of cognitive dysfunction in diabetes are not entirely clear yet. Probably, cognitive changes are affected by hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, vascular disease, and insulin resistance (Kodl & Seaquist, 2008). Many methodologies to clarify the impact of diabetes on the brain have been developed and conducted. Yet, the most fitting methods to detect, manage, and prevent cognitive impairment among patients with diabetes have not been defined yet (Kodl & Seaquist, 2008).

1.7.1 Cognition and diabetes type 1: Possible underlying mechanism of cognitive dysfunction

Multiple factors appear to be affecting the pathological changes that might lead to cerebral dysfunction among patients with diabetes type 1. Those factors' contribution might be different from one patient to another depending on certain factors like comorbidity conditions, age, gender, and glycemic control of each patient.

1.7.1(a) Cerebral dysfunction in diabetes type 1

Type 1 diabetes patients are prescribed insulin exogenously. Unluckily, by all means and dosage forms, exogenous insulin is unable to achieve the optimum insulin level completely as in normally functioning pancreas. Consequently, those patients have the possibility to show blood glucose levels fluctuations during the day, from hyperglycemia to hypoglycemia and vice versa. These fluctuations are dependent on the amount and food quality, timing, dose of insulin administered, and the exercise. These fluctuations of glucose level may affect cognitive performance since normal brain function depends on adequate content of glucose level in blood circulation, (ADA, 2002). Nowadays, there are significant evidences that acute disturbance in blood glucose level affects the functioning of the central nervous system (CNS). This may present itself as structural and neurophysiological changes (Weinger & Jacobson, 1998), however, the clinical signs and symptoms are still heterogeneous. This study will take a look into the prevalence of cognitive impairment and the possible risk factors that have been concerned in cognitive function changing in diabetes that may trigger cognitive dysfunction.

1.7.1(b) Cerebral neuroradiological changes

Studies concerning brain neuroradiological changes were few. These studies conducted in patients with type 1 diabetes involved a case-control methodology (Lunetta *et al.*, 1994), whereas others compared patients to standard values (Araki *et al.*, 1994). In a case-control study design, central and peripheral changes have been noticed (Lunetta *et al.*, 1994). Since the majority of MRI reports of patients type 1 diabetic were within normal spectrum, some researchers did not read this as a specific characteristic of diabetes itself (Chabriat *et al.*, 1994). The MRI brain in patients with diabetes has been suggested to resemble that of ageing process,

however it was shown to appear in younger patients than in controls (Araki *et al.*, 1994). In general, focal lesions were found in the subcortical white-matter (Ferguson *et al.*, 2003). Hyper-intensity periventricular white-matter lesions, in particular, small punctuate lesions, were present in one third of the scanned patients. These changes were found to be associated with positive retinopathy history (Ferguson *et al.*, 2003).

1.7.1(c) Case-control cognitive performance

Wide spectrum cognitive tests revealed that type 1 diabetes patients have shown moderate cognitive impairment compared to controls. By using diversity of neuropsychological tests, many studies showed that patients with type 1 diabetes performed compared to controls. Almost all these studies showed negative impact on attention, psychomotor speed, general intellectual functioning and delayed memory (Stewart, Prince, & Mann, 2003). A detailed analysis showed that elderly patients with diabetes type 1 performed to some extent poorer on the majority of cognitive domains. These poor performances did not come with noticeable radiological changes on MRI brain. Yet, it was important to report the level of performance of these elderly with diabetes type 1 compared with control individuals which was parallel to the results in younger adults with type 1 diabetes (Brands, Biessels, de Haan, Kappelle, & Kessels, 2005). Severe cognitive dysfunction have been reported in case studies (Gold *et al.*, 1994).

1.7.1(d) Repeated episodes of severe hypoglycemia

A number of cross-sectional researches reported a link between frequently occurred severe hypoglycemia episodes and MCI (Gold *et al.*, 1994; Sachon *et al.*, 1992). However, other studies did not confirm this fact (DCCT, 1996; Kramer *et al.*, 1998; Reichard, Pihl, Rosenqvist, & Sule, 1996). The Diabetes Control and Complications

Trial (DCCT, 1996) was a longitudinal study with 6.5 years average of follow-up that studied the effect of intensive diabetes mellitus treatment on microvascular complications among large sample size patients with type 1 diabetes. It was found that the onset as well as extent diabetic complications such as neuropathy and retinopathy are delayed by intensive diabetes therapy in comparison with conventional treatment. The risk of episodes of severe hypoglycemia is increased by threefold using intensive anti-diabetic treatment; however, it was not associated with neuropsychological deficit (Reichard, Britz, & Rosenqvist, 1991). Results suggested that the harmful impact of recurring severe hypoglycemia episodes on cognitive performance is limited.

1.7.1(e) Diabetes duration and the presence of other complications

In most cases, diabetes duration and the extent of metabolic control determine the development of diabetic complications (retinopathy, neuropathy and nephropathy). The association of these complications and cognitive performance was reported by several studies (Ferguson *et al.*, 2003; Ryan *et al.*, 2006). The aforementioned association explains that the brain is liable to the same changes that cause these other diabetic complications. In fact, thorough data on the relation between diabetes duration metabolic control and cognitive function are deficient. The suggestion of the susceptibility of elderly patients to the diabetes effect on the brain by time makes the missing data on elderly crucial issue.

1.7.1(f) Depression and anxiety morbidity in diabetes

Depression and anxiety disorders was shown to have negative impact on cognitive function especially among diabetes patients that might be attributed to the functionally defective neurotransmitters in the brain (Anderson, Freedland, Clouse,

&Lustman, 2001). A 42-study meta-analysis showed that diabetes doubles the odd ratio of cognitive dysfunction. Moreover, the difference between type 1 and 2 odd ratios was not recognized (Anderson *et al.*, 2001).

1.7.1(g)Hyperglycemia

Like in peripheral tissues, hyperglycemia leads to increase the glucose level in the brain. The extra glucose will convert to fructose and sorbitol (Bhardwaj, Sandhu, Sharma, & Kaur, 1999). Animal studies revealed that the high concentration of sorbitol and fructose in the Central Nervous System (CNS) has been associated to phosphoinositide and diacylglycerol metabolism changes (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 1999). In addition to Ca²⁺ homeostasis changes (Biessels, ter Laak, Hamers, & Gispen, 2002), this will influence the protein kinases activity in the CNS. Animal models demonstrated that protein kinases A and C activities were revealed to be elevated (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 1999). Moreover, other animal studies showed that the formation of advanced glycation end products is caused by elevated glucose levels (Brownlee, 1992). These end products was found in the CNS of diabetic rodents (Ryle, Leow, & Donaghy, 1997). Also, glucose toxicity was found to result from the discrepancy between reactive oxygen free radicals production and scavengers (Van Dam & Bravenboer, 1997). Animal studies on diabetic rats demonstrated high concentrations of lipid peroxidation by-products in addition to vertebral oxidative damage (Kumar & Menon, 1993; Mooradian, 1995). Moreover, it was approved that the activities of superoxide dismutase and catalase enzymes that were involved in the antioxidant protection pathway of the brain, were decreased (Mooradian, 1995).

1.7.1(h) Cerebrovascular changes

Structural and functional changes in brain tissue that result from diabetes increase the risk of stroke (Beckman, Creager, & Libby, 2002), and atherosclerotic diseases (Mankovsky, Metzger, Molitch, & Biller, 1996). Both conditions might affect cognitive functions. Functional changes in the vasculature of the brain that have been linked with diabetes type 1 include decreased blood flow in brain, in particular regions in the brain (Keymeulen *et al.*, 1995). Cerebral atrophy is another issue that is generally modest among patients with type 1 which might affect cognitive functions. This issue needs further investigations (Sabri *et al.*, 2000).

1.7.1(i) The role of severe prolonged hypoglycemic episodes

Brain damage may be provoked by prolonged hypoglycemia. This can be explained by the uncontrolled release of glutamate and aspartate (excitatory amino-acids), activate calcium influx which will lead to proteolytic enzymes activation. This process will cause neurons damage (Perros & Frier, 1997). In addition, experimental design found that the duration of hypoglycemia episodes also affects brain damage severity (Chabriat *et al.*, 1994). During the glucose shortage period in the brain, alternatives such as amino-acids and ketones will act as fuel resource. These alternatives will lead to brain damage (Chabriat *et al.*, 1994).

1.7.1(j) The insulin role in the brain

The hippocampus is a major brain structure that play an important role in memory function, especially the long-term consolidation of information (forming, organizing and storing). A considerable number of insulin receptors are present in hippocampus (Park, 2001). It has been found that insulin can modulate memory function by several mechanisms. Insulin is found to be helpful glucose utilization in certain areas in

brain, such as the hippocampus. In addition, it has been suggested that glucose play an important role to promote memory tasks (Park, 2001). Suggestion was made also about the indirect role of insulin to promote the neurotransmitters activity such as acetylcholine by stimulating the uptake of glucose by neurons (Park, 2001). These neurotransmitters were found to have major role in memory consolidating (Park, 2001).

Under abnormal conditions such as diabetes type 1, endogenous insulin secretion by the β -cells is almost absent. In such condition, the use of exogenous insulin subcutaneously as a replacement is the treatment of choice. Consequently, the level of insulin in the blood is elevated (Nijs, Radder, Poorthuis, & Krans, 1990). Insulin needs to pass the blood brain barrier to reach and bind to its receptors in the brain to exert its effect. This process is affected by diabetes mechanism as a disease. Animal study showed that insulin transport through the blood brain barrier is increased during hyperglycemic, hypoinsulinemic diabetic type 1 rodent (Banks, Jaspán, & Kastin, 1997). In addition, it has been reported that insulin-receptors binding in the brain of these rodents does not differ from controls (Marks & Eastman, 1989). In addition, it was shown to be lower in high insulin level, high glucose level rodents brains (Figlewicz *et al.*, 1985).

In fact, types of diabetes might be different in insulin signaling. It is well understood that diabetes type 2 is highly associated with insulin resistance, whereas diabetes type 1 is associated with this insulin resistance to a lesser extent than type 1 (DeFronzo, Hendler, & Simonson, 1982). The literature gave an explanation to a part of the distinctive cognitive profiles of these two types. For instance, in diabetes type 1, long term storage of information and recall of information seems to be comparatively intact unlike diabetes type 2 patients. Long term storage of information and attainment of

information are mainly processed in the hippocampal region in the brain that has high number of insulin receptors that make it extra susceptible to any defect in insulin action (Squire & Alvarez, 1995).

1.7.2 Cognition and diabetes type 2

1.7.2(a) Demographic factors

Recently, it was obvious that diabetes type 2 affects the CNS in many pathways (Gispén & Biessels, 2000). The literature dealt with the cognitive functioning and diabetes type 2 relationship, in particular, with certain cognitive domains such as verbal memory or complex information processing (Awad, Gagnon, & Messier, 2004). These studies differ in terms of demographic criteria of participants, like age, gender distribution, diabetic parameters (diabetic complications, diabetes treatment, and diabetic duration) (Awad *et al.*, 2004; Stewart & Liolitsa, 1999). Different methodologies were adopted in those studies. In addition, different cognitive domains were the point of interest. Regardless of these differences, the most common result is that mild to moderate cognitive dysfunction (information processing speed, episodic memory and, to a less extent, mental flexibility) is associated with diabetes type 2 (Awad *et al.*, 2004; Stewart & Liolitsa, 1999).

1.7.2(b) Glycemic control and its related problems

Studies tested relations between cognitive functioning and different disease variables demonstrated that cognitive impairment was associated with worse glycemic control (Strachan, Deary, Ewing, & Frier, 1997). Cognitive dysfunction is also thought to be enhanced by other risk factors (cardiovascular, cerebrovascular disease, and depression). Furthermore, age has not been used as a dependent variable in nearly most of studies. Mostly, the literature dealt with patients who were among older age

group(Ryan & Geckle, 2000). As patients with type 2 getting older, other conditions such as hypertension, macro- and microvascular complications, atherosclerotic changes will be developed(Manschot *et al.*, 2006; Ryan & Geckle, 2000). Those conditions may produce further cognitive dysfunction.

Some epidemiological studies revealed a relation between diabetes and dementia (Leibson *et al.*, 1997; Ott *et al.*, 1999). The mediators that accelerate cognitive impairment in patients with diabetes type 2 are not clear yet. Studies in this field concerned both, diabetic complications (for example, hypertension and depression) and glycemic control (Allen, Frier, & Strachan, 2004; Stewart & Liolitsa, 1999). Few studies considered hypertension as a vital risk factor for cognitive impairment(Alexopoulos *et al.*, 1997; Hassing *et al.*, 2004; Stewart & Liolitsa, 1999). On the other hand, other studies did not support these findings (Kanaya, Barrett-Connor, Gildengorin, & Yaffe, 2004; Luchsinger *et al.*, 2005).

1.7.2(c) Cerebral radiological changes

Abnormal MRI cerebral was highly considered in only few studies in patients with diabetes type 2. Case-control studies addressed that subcortical and cortical atrophy and symptomatic and silent brain infarcts were in found patients with diabetes type 2 compared to controls (Araki *et al.*, 1994; Manschot *et al.*, 2006; Vermeer *et al.*, 2003). It was shown that abnormal MRI changes were associated with cognitive dysfunction, mostly, atrophy, lesions, and infarcts in the white-matter.

1.7.2(d) Neuropsychological changes

Type 2 is also associated with depressive symptoms (Anderson *et al.*, 2001; Lockwood, Alexopoulos, & van Gorp, 2002) that might be also associated with cognitive dysfunction (Lockwood, Alexopoulos, & van Gorp, 2002; (Elderkin-

Thompson *et al.*, 2003). Also, depressive symptoms were addressed to be related to white-matter abnormalities (Jorm *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, it is associated with the extent of diabetic complications which has been addressed as vascular depression (Alexopoulos *et al.*, 1997). Among elderly subjects, the co-occurrence of the three conditions (depressive symptoms, cognitive dysfunction, and vascular abnormalities) was addressed as vascular dementia or pseudo-dementia. In another word, areversible cognitive dysfunction is associated with geriatric vascular depression (Baldwin, Gallagley, Gourlay, Jackson, & Burns, 2006).

1.7.2(e) Type 2 diabetes treatment

A study revealed that Rosiglitazone might improve cognition in patient with Alzheimer disease (Brodbeck *et al.*, 2008), and metformin monotherapy might increase the formation of beta-amyloid protein, a predisposing factor of cognitive dysfunction and Alzheimer disease. It has been found that metformin combination therapy with TZDs, or with insulin is considered as a cognitive function protector (Chen *et al.*, 2009).

In conclusion, the need for further studies to reveal the predisposing factor(s) for cognitive impairment among patients with diabetes is mandatory. It is important to go further and investigate the diversity between diabetes type 1 and type 2 regarding their association with cognition changes. It was shown that the two types of diabetes are characterized by distinctive models of cognitive dysfunction. Further illumination is needed to see whether these distinctive models are due to the role of insulin in the brain in each type, or due to the fact that studies on type 2 diabetes and oral anti-diabetic drugs were mostly performed with elderly patients in comparison with those studies on diabetes type 1.

1.8 Problem Statement

Diabetes mellitus have been linked with shortages in certain number of mental processing domains of cognitive performance with unclear mechanism. This disease thought to be one of the predisposing factors of cognitive impairment. At the same time, diabetes is a self-management metabolic disease that needs intact cognition. The importance of this appears in dealing with diabetes treatment and its high complexity. For example patient with diabetes need intact cognition to deal with conditions such as monitoring of blood glucose level, diet regimen, and compliance to medications and their complex timetable. Considering the importance of intact cognition in these conditions, patients who show cognitive problems have significant possibility to face difficulties to manage their conditions. For example, patients might forget about their medication timing or dosing. They may also have difficulty in treating acute conditions associated with diabetes treatment such as hypoglycemia. In addition, those patients considered as incapable to report or even realize both conditions, the cognitive problems and/or the complexity of managing diabetes on their own. For that reason, medical care givers might be unaware of cognitive impairment (Munshi *et al.*, 2006), and that calls for need for cognitive assessment.

This study tend to combine cognitive data, data on psychological well-being, and diabetes clinical information using a reasonably sufficient number of patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. In addition, the same data were collected from a number of control subjects. The controls were with certain criteria, age, and educational level-matched control participants. Small sample MRI screening data analysis was also adopted. This combination, in the researcher's opinion, adds new insights to the present literature. This study will raise the following questions: