# SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERIZATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF SUPPORTED CARBON MOLECULAR SIEVE MEMBRANE FROM POLYETHERIMIDE

## NOOR KHALILAH BINTI ABDUL RASHID

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA** 

2011

# SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERIZATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF SUPPORTED CARBON MOLECULAR SIEVE MEMBRANE FROM POLYETHERIMIDE

by

## NOOR KHALILAH BINTI ABDUL RASHID

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

**Master of Science** 

**JULY 2011** 

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, thank Allah S.W.T. for the blessing and strengthen in order to finish my master project. I would like to acknowledge Dr. Mohd Azmier Ahmad for being a truly amazing advisor. His understanding, cooperation and outstanding ability to guide the research of his students make him the fabulous advisor. I consider myself very blessed for the time I was able to work with him. To my co-supervisor, Prof Bassim H. Hameed, thanks for his personal guidance.

I would also like to acknowledge a lifetime unfailing support I have received from my family. My parents have been amazing supporters throughout all of my education, and I honestly cannot imagine having better parents. To a special person, ZaQ Nordin, thanks for all the endless supports all over the hard time and making my life more interesting and cheerful.

I would like to thank MOSTI for the Science Fund grant (03-01-05-SF0418). Not forgetting to all my friends, Zira, Eka, Kak Zuchra, Pesa, Rasyidah, Rahmah, Nurul and others, thank you so much for your motivation, sincere help, concern, moral support and kindness. Thanks for the friendship and memories.

## Noor Khalilah Abdul Rashid

July 2011

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACH	KNOWI	LEDGEMENTS	ii
TAE	BLE OF	CONTENTS	iv
LIS	Г ОГ Т.	ABLES	vii
LIS	<b>F OF F</b> I	IGURES	viii
LIS	Г ОF PI	LATES	Х
LIS	Г OF SY	YMBOLS	xi
LIS	Γ OF A	BBREVIATION	xii
ABS	TRAK		xiv
ABS	TRAC	Г	XV
CHA	APTER	ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Meml	brane technology	1
1.2	Meml	brane gas separation	2
1.3	Carbo	on molecular sieve (CMS) membrane	4
1.4	Polye	therimide (PEI)	5
1.5	Proble	5	
1.6	Objec	7	
1.7	Orgar	nization of the thesis	7
CHA	APTER	TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Meml	brane classification	9
2.2	CMS	membrane	10
2.3	CMS	membrane configuration	12
2.4	Synth	Synthesis of CMS membrane	
	2.4.1	Precursor	15
	2.4.2	Pretreatment conditions	18
		2.4.2.1 Physical pretreatment	18
		2.4.2.2 Chemical pretreatment	19
		2.4.2.3 Oxidation pretreatment	20
	2.4.3	Pyrolysis	22
		2.4.3.1 Pyrolysis temperature	24

		2.4.3.2 Pyrolysis time	25
		2.4.3.3 Polymer concentration	26
2.5	Gas s	eparation mechanism	26
	2.5.1	Solution diffusion	27
	2.5.2	Molecular sieve	29
	2.5.3	Capillary condensation	30
	2.5.4	Adsorption/surface diffusion	31
	2.5.5	Knudsen diffusion	32
2.6	Gas p	ermeance and permselectivity	33
2.7	Appli	cation of CMS membrane	35
2.8	Optin	nization study	36
	2.8.1	Experimental strategy	36
	2.8.2	Design of experiment	38
	2.8.3	Response surface methodology	38
	2.8.4	Central composite design	40
	2.8.5	Analysis of the data	42
CHA	APTER	THREE: MATERIALS AND METHOD	45
3.1	Mater	rials	45
3.2	CMS	membrane preparation	45
	3.2.1	Preparation of membrane support	45
	3.2.2	Casting solution and support coating	46
	3.2.3	Pyrolysis	46
3.3	Gas p	ermeation study	49
	3.3.1	Permeation cell	49
	3.3.2	Gas permeation test	51
3.4	Exper	rimental design for CMS membrane preparation	53
3.5	Optin	nization using RSM	54
3.6	CMS	membrane characterization	55
	3.6.1	Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM)	55
	3.6.2	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)	56
	3.6.3	Surface area and pore size distribution	56
	3.6.4	X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD)	57

	3.6.5	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	57
3.7	Exper	imental activities	58
CHA	APTER	FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	59
4.1	Exper	imental design	59
	4.1.1	Development of regression model equation	59
	4.1.2	Effect of single process variables	65
		4.1.2.1 Pyrolysis temperature	65
		4.1.2.2 Pyrolysis time	66
		4.1.2.3 Polymer concentration	68
	4.1.3	Interaction between process variables	70
		4.1.3.1 Pyrolysis temperature and pyrolysis time	70
		4.1.3.2 Pyrolysis time and polymer concentration	73
	4.1.4	Optimization analysis of operating parameters	74
4.2	Chara	cterization of optimized CMS membrane from polyetherimide	77
	4.2.1	Field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) and energy dispersive X-ray microanalysis (EDX)	77
	4.2.2	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	79
	4.2.3	X-ray diffraction (XRD)	79
	4.2.4	Fourier transform infrared (FTIR)	81
	4.2.5	Surface area	81
4.3	Gas p	ermeation study	82
CHA	APTER	FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	86
5.1	Concl	usions	86
5.2	Recor	nmendation	87
DEE			0.0
KEF	EKENV		00
APP	ENDIC	TES	95
App	endix A	Single permeances for all gases	95
App	endix B	Calculation for permselectivity	96
LIST	Г OF PI	UBLICATION	97

# LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.1	Gas membrane application areas	3
Table 2.1	Preoxidation conditions of selected polymeric precursors	22
	for CMS membrane	
Table 2.2	Units used for permeance and permeability	34
Table 2.3	Central composite designs	41
Table 2.4	R <sup>2</sup> value in the RSM	44
Table 3.1	Independent variables and their coded levels for the CCD	53
Table 3.2	Experimental design matrix	55
Table 4.1	Experimental design matrix for preparation of CMS membrane	60
Table 4.2	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for $H_2/N_2$ permselectivity ( $Y_1$ )	62
Table 4.3	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for $CO_2/CH_4$ permselectivity ( $Y_2$ )	63
Table 4.4	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for $O_2/N_2$ permselectivity ( $Y_3$ )	63
Table 4.5	Interaction between process variables	70
Table 4.6	Model validation and optimum condition for CMS membrane prepared	76
Table 4.7	Surface area and pore characteristics of the optimized CMS membrane	82
Table 4.9	Activation energies of gas permeation for CMS membrane	84

# LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Type of membrane	2
Figure 2.1	Schematic representation of the basic membrane	9
	separation principal	
Figure 2.2	Amorphous and turbostratic structure of CMS	11
Figure 2.3	Configuration of CMS membrane	12
Figure 2.4	Schematic view of a hollow fiber membrane module	14
Figure 2.5	Schematic view of a tubular membrane module	14
Figure 2.6	Basic molecular structure of polyimides	16
Figure 2.7	Gas separation mechanism	27
Figure 2.8	Schematic presentation of mechanism for permeation of gases through membranes: (a) solution diffusion, (b) molecular sieve, (c) capillary condensation, (d) adsorption/surface diffusion, (e) Knudsen diffusion Three type of central composite design	27
Figure 2.1	Vertical tubular formace	41
Figure 3.1	Schematic diagram of the experimental setup	40
Figure 3.3	CMS membrane permeation cell: (a) side view and	40 50
Figure 3.4	(b) cross sectional Schematic diagram of membrane permeation test rig	52
Figure 3.5	Schematic flow diagrams of experimental activities	58
Figure 4.1	Predicted versus actual $H_2/N_2$ permselectivity of CMS	64
Figure 4.2	membrane Predicted versus actual CO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> permselectivity of CMS	64
Figure 4.3	Predicted versus actual O <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> permselectivity of CMS membrane	65
Figure 4.4	Individual effect of pyrolysis temperature: (a) $H_2/N_2$ permselectivity; (b) $CO_2/CH_4$ permselectivity and (c) $O_2/N_2$ permselectivity (Constant condition: $x_2 = 2h$ , $x_3 = 8$ wt%)	66
Figure 4.5	Individual effect of pyrolysis time (a) $H_2/N_2$ permselectivity; (b) $CO_2/CH_4$ permselectivity and (c) $O_2/N_2$ permselectivity (Constant condition: $x_1 = 700^{\circ}C$ , $x_3 = 8 \text{ wt}\%$ )	68
Figure 4.6	Individual effect of pyrolysis time (a) $H_2/N_2$ permselectivity; (b) $CO_2/CH_4$ permselectivity and (c) $O_2/N_2$ permselectivity (Constant condition: $x_1 = 700^{\circ}C$ , $x_2 = 2h$ )	69

Figure 4.7	Effect of pyrolysis temperature and pyrolysis time to $H_2/N_2$ permselectivity (a) Response surface plot and (b) Contour plot	72		
Figure 4.8	Effect of pyrolysis temperature and pyrolysis time to $CO_2/CH_4$ permselectivity (a) Response surface plot and	72		
Figure 4.9	(b) Contour plot Effect of pyrolysis temperature and pyrolysis time to $O_2/N_2$ permselectivity (a) Response surface plot and (b) Contour plot	73		
Figure 4.10	Effect of pyrolysis time and polymer concentration to $O_2/N_2$ permselectivity (a) Response surface plot and (b) Contour plot	74		
Figure 4.11	FESEM images (a) top view of carbon support (magnification 140x); (b) top view of CMS membrane (magnification 1750x); (c) cross section of CMS membrane (magnification 1750x) EDX analysis of CMS membrane	78		
Figure 4.12	TEM images of CMS membrane (magnification 1251 r)	70		
Figure 4.13	TEM images of CMS memorane (magnification 125k x)	/9		
Figure 4.14	XRD pattern of CMS membrane			
Figure 4.15	FTIR spectra of CMS membrane	81		
Figure 4.16	Permeation temperature dependence on the gas permeances of CMS membrane	83		
Figure 4.17	Permeation pressure dependence on the gas permeances 84 of CMS membrane			
Figure A.1	Single gas permeances for all gases	95		

# LIST OF PLATES

		Page
Plate 3.1	Experimental setup for CMS membrane preparation	47
Plate 3.2	CMS membrane permeation cell	50
Plate 3.3	CMS membrane permeation test rig	53

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

		2
A	Surface area	m²
$b_0$	Constant coefficient	
b <sub>i</sub>	Coefficient for the linear effect	
b <sub>ii</sub>	Coefficient for the quadratic effect	mole s <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> Pa <sup>-1</sup>
b <sub>ij</sub>	Coefficient for the interaction effect	
E <sub>p</sub>	Activation energy	kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
Ν	Total number of experiment	
n	Number of factors	
n <sub>c</sub>	Center run	
Р	Pressure	Pa
Q	Gas flow rate	mol s <sup>-1</sup>
R <sup>2</sup>	Coefficient of determination	
r	Pore radius	
S	Solubility coefficient	
x <sub>i</sub> , x <sub>j</sub>	Factors (independent variables)	
Y	Response	
Greek letters		
Å	Angstrom unit	
α	Alpha (axial distance from center point which makes the design rotatable)	
β	Mean free path	
3	Error	
γ	Permselectivity	
γ <sub>D</sub>	Diffusion selectivity	
γs	Solubility selectivity	

# LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BET	Brunauer-Emmet-Teller
BPDA	Biphenyltetracarboxylic dianhydride
CCD	Central composite design
CCF	Central composite face centered
CCI	Central composite Inscribed
CCR	Central composite rotatable
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
CMS	Carbon molecular sieve
cm	centimeter
СО	Carbon monoxide
$CO_2$	Carbon dioxide
DMAC	Dimethylacetamide
DMF	Dimethylformamide
DoE	Design of experiment
EDX	Energy dispersive X-ray microanalysis
FESEM	Field emission scanning electron microscopy
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
g	Gram
GPU	Gas permeation unit
$H_2$	Hydrogen
$H_2O$	Water
$H_2S$	Hydrogen sulfide
Не	Helium
HCN	Hydrogen cyanide
HC1	Acid hydrochloric
Κ	Kelvin
KBr	Potassium bromide
m	meter
MF	Microfiltration
mm	Millimeter

MPS	Metodologi permukaan sambutan
$N_2$	Nitrogen
NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia
NH <sub>4</sub> Cl	Ammnonium chloride
nm	nanometer
NMP	N-methylpyrrolidone
O <sub>2</sub>	Oxygen
ODA	oxydianiline
Pa	Pascal
PAN	Polyacrylonitrile
PEG	Polyethylene glycol
PEI	Polyetherimide
PFA	Polyfurfuryl alcohol
PSA	Pressure swing adsorption
psi	Pressure unit
PVDC	Polyvinylidene chloride
rpm	Rotation per minute
RSM	Response surface methodology
S	second
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
UF	Ultrafiltration
XRD	X-ray diffraction analysis
ZMS	Zeolite molecular sieve
°C	Degree celcius
3D	Three dimensional

# SINTESIS, PENCIRIAN DAN PENGOPTIMUMAN MEMBRAN SARINGAN MOLEKULAR KARBON DARIPADA POLIETERIMID ABSTRAK

Dalam kajian ini, membran saringan molekular karbon (SMK) telah berjaya disintesis daripada polieterimid. Cakera karbon berliang (diameter 20 mm, ketebalan 2.5 mm) sebagai penyokong telah disediakan terlebih dahulu daripada percampuran serbuk hitam karbon dan resin fenolik. Penyokong tersebut dilapisi dengan larutan polieterimid dan seterusnya melalui proses pirolisis pada suhu dan masa yang berbeza bagi menghasilkan lapisan saringan molekular. Metodologi permukaan sambutan (MPS) telah digunakan untuk menilai sumbangan parameter penyediaan ke atas respon peresapan pemilihan H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> dan O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>. Keadaan penyediaan optimum untuk membran SMK telah diperolehi pada 722°C, 3 jam dan 7.92 wt% masing-masing untuk suhu pirolisis, masa pirolisis dan kepekatan polimer yang memberi keputusan 6.70, 4.32 dan 2.04 masing-masing untuk peresapan pemilihan H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> dan O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>. Pencirian membran SMK mendedahkan kehadiran lapisan karbon mikroliang berserta pelbagai kumpulan berfungsi pada permukaan membran. Luas permukaan BET, isipadu mikroliang dan purata diameter liang membran SMK adalah masing-masing 342.01 m<sup>2</sup>/g, 0.19 cm<sup>3</sup>/g dan 0.36 nm. Peresapan gas didapati meningkat dengan meningkatnya suhu peresapan dan tekanan masukan.

# SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERIZATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF SUPPORTED CARBON MOLECULAR SIEVE MEMBRANE FROM POLYETHERIMIDE

## ABSTRACT

In this work, carbon molecular sieve (CMS) membranes were successfully synthesis from polyetherimide. Porous carbon disks (diameter 20mm, thickness 2.5mm) as support were prepared first by agglomeration of fine powdered carbon black with phenolic resin. The polyetherimide solution was coated onto the support and then pyrolyzed at various temperatures and times to form molecular sieve layer. Response surface methodology (RSM) was used to evaluate the contribution of the preparation parameters onto responses which were permselectivity of H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>,  $CO_2/CH_4$  and  $O_2/N_2$ . The optimum preparation conditions for the CMS membrane were obtained at 722°C, 3 hours and 7.92 wt% respectively for pyrolysis temperature, pyrolysis time and polymer concentration which gave result of 6.70, 4.32 and 2.04 for the H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> permselectivity, respectively. The characterization of CMS membrane reveals the existing of microporous carbon film with various functional groups exists on the surface. The BET surface area, micropore volume and average pore diameter of CMS membrane were  $342.01 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , 0.19 cm<sup>3</sup>/g and 0.36 nm, respectively. The gas permeation was found to increase with increase in permeation temperature and feed pressure.

### **CHAPTER ONE**

### **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1** Membrane technology

Membrane technology is applied widely in water treatment, dairy industry, biomedical industry and gas purification. In water treatment, membranes make possible the water supply for millions of people in the world and care for the survival of the innumerable people suffering from kidney disease (Geiszler and Koros, 1996). Membranes were used in seawater desalination in the production of fresh water. The membrane bioreactor technology for waste water treatment has been developed based on the combination of the activated sludge process with biomass separation by membrane filtration. Furthermore, membrane technology has been used to refine proteins and transform a waste by-product from cheese production into a valuable product in dairy industry.

Artificial membranes are used in biomedical industry to treat blood for a broad variety of therapeutic purposes and maintain the patient's homeostasis. In gas purification, membranes were most widely in industry for hydrogen/nitrogen separation in ammonia plants, hydrogen/hydrocarbon separation in petrochemical application, separating nitrogen from air, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and water removal from natural gas, and organic vapor removal from air or nitrogen streams.

The market of membrane for gas separation application has grown for the past 20 years. The United State market for this membrane was \$2 billion in 2008. The market is expected to reach \$2.9 billion in 2013. Meanwhile, market for membrane used in liquid separation was \$400 million in 2008 and forecast to reach \$450 million in 2013 (Hanft, 2010).

1

## **1.2** Membrane gas separation

The acceptance of membrane technology in the gas separation industry since the early 1980s has boosted and stimulated both commercial and academic interest to further develop membranes that provide better separation performance with high thermal and chemical stability. Conventional gas separation systems such as cryogenic, catalytic and pressure swing adsorption are among the most demanding units in chemical process plants (David and Ismail, 2003).

Membrane gas separation presently provides raw components for a wide range of industrial chemical processes such as oxygen ( $O_2$ ) and nitrogen ( $N_2$ ) gas, which are momentarily the third and fifth largest bulk chemicals produced worldwide (Centeno and Fuertes, 2001). Other important separations are the recovery of hydrogen in the production of syngas, and dehydration-deacidification of natural gas. The type of gas separation membrane is shown in Figure 1.1. Membrane can be classified into polymeric membrane and inorganic membrane. Under polymeric membrane category can be divided into glassy membrane and rubbery membrane, while under inorganic membrane are carbon membrane and zeolite membrane.



Figure 1.1: Type of membrane

Gas separation membranes are now widely used in variety of application areas as shown in Table 1.1. This is because of its advantages of low operation cost, low energy consumption, ease of operation, cost effectiveness even at low gas volumes and good weight and space efficiency.

Common gas	Application	
separation		
O <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub>	Oxygen enrichment, inert gas generation	
H <sub>2</sub> /Hydrocarbons	Refinery hydrogen recovery	
$H_2/N_2$	Ammonia purge gas	
H <sub>2</sub> /CO	Syngas ratio adjustment	
CO <sub>2</sub> /Hydrocarbons	Acid gas treatment, landfill gas upgrading	
H <sub>2</sub> O/Hydrocarbons	Natural gas dehydration	
H <sub>2</sub> S/Hydrocarbons	Sour gas treating	
He/Hydrocarbons	Helium separation	
He/N <sub>2</sub>	Helium recovery	
Hydrocarbons/Air	Hydrocarbons recovery, pollution control	
H <sub>2</sub> O/Air	Air dehumification	

Table 1.1: Gas membrane application areas (Abedini and Nezhadmoghadam, 2010)

Inorganic membrane concepts have been developed for gas separation such as carbon molecular sieve (CMS) membranes and zeolite molecular sieve (ZMS) membranes (Barsema, 2004). Inorganic membrane can basically be divided into microporous (pore size < 2nm), mesoporous (pore size 2 - 50 nm) and macroporous (pore size > 50 nm) types. Macroporous usually used as the support for microporous type of membrane in gas separation. CMS and ZMS membranes which are belong to microporous type of membrane consist of rigid pore structure with angstrom-level dimensions that allow the discrimination of gas molecules based on their molecular dimensions. CMS membranes are produced from the pyrolysis of polymers meanwhile ZMS membranes are synthesized from aluminosilicate materials.

## 1.3 Carbon molecular sieve (CMS) membrane

CMS membrane is one type of porous inorganic membrane. CMS membrane was produced by pyrolysis of polymeric materials such as polyimide-based, phenolic resin and polyfurfuryl alcohol. CMS membrane are characterized by a very narrow microporosity of 0.3 - 0.6 nm which allows discrimination between gas molecules of different size. Polymers like polyimides are excellent precursors to CMS membrane with different configurations such as hollow fibers, supported disc, capillary tubes and unsupported carbon flat membranes (Fuertes *et al.*, 1999).

CMS membrane has the potential to overcome those problems facing from conventional polymeric membranes. CMS membrane was effective for separating gas mixtures with almost similar molecular sizes such as O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>. It has good mechanical strength and not suffers from compaction and swelling problems. Furthermore, the permeation properties of CMS membrane are not time dependent. This means that the operating life of CMS membranes is much greater than that of organic membranes. CMS membrane can being able to operate in environments that are generally prohibitive to polymeric materials, and they have superior stability in the presence of organic vapour or solvent and non-oxidizing acid or base environments. They are ideal for corrosive applications and are not overly affected by aggressive feeds. Moreover, CMS membrane has higher thermal stability than polymeric membranes. They are appropriate for application in high temperatures separation processes, with temperatures in the range of 500°C to 900°C. In contrast, organic polymer membranes cannot resist very high temperatures and they begin to decompose or react with certain components (Ismail and David, 2003).

## **1.4 Polyetherimide (PEI)**

PEI is an amorphous, amber-to-transparent high performance thermosetting polymer, combines high temperature resistance, rigidity, impact strength and creep resistance. The molecular formula of PEI is  $C_{37}$  H<sub>24</sub> O<sub>6</sub> N<sub>2</sub> and the molecular weight is 592 g/mol. The glass transition temperature of PEI is 216°C. Its amorphous density at 25°C is 1.27 g/cc. Figure 1.2 represents the molecular structure of PEI.



Figure 1.2: Molecular structure of PEI

### **1.5 Problem statement**

Recent efforts to achieve economical and efficient membranes have resulted in a selection of new materials and an improvement in membrane preparation techniques in order to produce thinner and defect-free membranes. Polymeric membranes are used in practical industrial applications as they have reproducible processibility and reasonable gas selectivity. However, current polymeric membrane materials have reached a limit in their productivity-selectivity trade-off relationship and difficult to achieve significant improvement. Furthermore, polymeric membranes cannot operate in corrosive and high temperature environments. To overcome this limitation, the interest is derived toward inorganic membranes due to their superior permeance-selectivity combination and suitable performance for high temperature or corrosive environment. Most of the inorganic membranes have better separation properties than polymeric membranes. Inorganic membranes, especially CMS membranes are research intensive due to their potential in surpassing the limit of polymeric membranes. CMS membranes have been recognized as promising and attractive gas separation materials due to their high flux and selectivity. Moreover, due to their rigidity, CMS membranes can retain their stability in aggressive (vapor or solvents, and nonoxidizing acids or bases) and adverse (high temperature and pressure operation) environments that too harsh for polymeric membranes. Numerous advantages and wide application of CMS membranes technology will definitely mean that they will become an important technology in this millennium.

Thus in this work, CMS membranes were prepared from polyetherimide for gas permeation study. The great potential and numerous advantages of CMS membranes will definitely lead to their wide application in the gas separation industry over the coming years. It is believed that CMS membranes gas separation technologies will break through the limitations and the weakness of polymeric membranes. Intensive research was carried out in order to produce CMS membranes suitable for gas application.

#### 1.6 **Objectives**

The present research has the following objectives:

- 1) To develop CMS membrane from polyetherimide.
- To determine the optimum CMS membrane preparation conditions including the pyrolysis temperature, pyrolysis time and polymer concentration using response surface methodology.
- 3) To characterize the optimum CMS membrane sample.
- To study the performance of CMS membrane for single gas (H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>) permeance and permselectivities.

## 1.7 Organization of the thesis

The thesis consists of five chapters, where each chapter represents an important build for general construction of the thesis. Chapter one presents an overview on the current status on the membrane industry. The needs to produce CMS membrane in the gas separation industry also included. Problem statement, objectives and the organization of the thesis are also highlighted. Chapter two provides background information and the theoretical basis relevant to this work, and a review of previous research done on CMS membrane. Chapter three presents list of materials used in the present research work. The experimental procedures, design of experimental for the CMS membrane, characterization of CMS membrane and gas permeation studies are explained. It followed by the schematic flow diagram showing the overall activities carried out in this research. Chapter four covered the results from the design of experimental used to prepare the CMS membrane, characterization of the optimized CMS membrane and gas permeation studies.

objectives which were obtained throughout the study covering the recommendations for the future research. These recommendations offered the importance related to the present research.

#### **CHAPTER TWO**

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Membrane classification

Membrane is barrier that allow for selective and specific permeation under conditions appropriate to their function (Figure 2.1). Porosity is an important characteristic of such membranes. Based on flux density and selectivity, membranes can be classified broadly into three classes which were porous, dense and asymmetric (Pandey and Chauhan, 2001).



Figure 2.1: Schematic representation of the basic membrane separation principal

A porous membrane is a rigid and highly voided structure with randomly distributed inter-connected pores. The gases separation by porous membranes is mainly a function of the permeate character and membrane properties like the molecular size of the membrane and pore size distribution. In general, only those gases molecules that differ considerably in size can be separated effectively by these membranes. Porous membranes for gas separation can exhibit very high levels of flux but low in separation or selectivity. Non-porous or dense membranes provide high selectivity or separation of gases from their mixtures but the rates of transport of the gases are usually low. In dense structure there is no intentional 'void space' with dimensions much larger than the atomic building blocks. An important property on dense membrane is that even permeants of similar sizes may be separated if their solubility in the membrane differs significantly. Dense membranes generally have an ideal selectivity in which only one species is transported.

Asymmetric membranes consist of two structurally distinct layers, one of which is a thin, dense selective skin or barrier layer and the other a thick, porous matrix (substructure) layer its main function is to provide a physical support for the thin skin.

## 2.2 CMS membrane

CMS membrane is porous type membrane, which permits effective size and shape separation between gas molecules (Singh and Koros, 1996). In addition, separation properties of CMS membrane can be finely adjusted to specific separation task by tailoring the microstructures through thermal and chemical treatments. The ability to tailor the structure and properties of CMS membranes holds much promise in application of these materials to gas separation problems (Pei *et al.*, 2004). Unlike the crystalline nature of the zeolite structure, CMS membranes are amorphous pore structure as shown in Figure 2.2. The turbostratic carbon structure is formed from the irregular packing of carbon sheets and pores are formed from packing imperfections in the material. The high porosity of these materials provides relatively high permeances while the presence of the molecular sieving regions maintains the high selectivity (Perry, 2007).



Figure 2.2: Amorphous and turbostratic structure of CMS (Perry, 2007)

CMS membrane has the greatest potential because of the ease of its formation. Different studies on CMS membrane have shown that these materials successfully compete with polymeric membranes and other porous inorganic membranes, such as silica- and zeolite-based membranes, in gas separation processes (Ismail and David, 2001).

The main drawback of CMS membrane is their complicated in preparation and precursor used are expensive (Koros and Mahajan, 2000). As consequences, an immediate challenge faced by membrane scientists is to develop "simple and novel" approaches to control membrane microporous morphology and to fabricate CMS membranes with superior separation performance. They need to find a more economical material than those being used such as polyimides (Suda and Haraya, 1997; Fuertes and Centeno, 1998b), cellulose acetate (Soffer *et al.*, 1987), polyvinylidene chloride (PVDC) (Centeno and Fuertes, 2000) and polyfurfuryl alcohol (PFA) (Shiflett and Foley, 2000).

Aromatic polyimides based CMS membranes have been extensively used as gas separation membranes owing their structurally durable and good gas separation properties. It retains a number of valuable physio-mechanical and chemical properties by versatile combinations of dianhydrides and diamines. In addition they have excellent thermal stability at high temperature up to 1200°C. For these reasons, aromatic polyimides have been investigated as CMS membrane precursor (Ho *et al.*, 2004).

## 2.3 CMS membrane configuration

CMS membranes can be divided into two categories which are unsupported and supported. Unsupported membranes have two different configurations which are flat (film) and hollow fiber/capillary while supported membranes consisted of two configurations which are flat and tube. Figure 2.3 shows the configurations of CMS membranes:



Figure 2.3: Configuration of CMS membrane

Supported membranes are formed by casting a thin layer of precursor material on a surface and then pyrolyzing. The support surface is typically a porous inorganic flat sheet or tubular structure. Unsupported membranes are formed in similar fashion to polymer membranes with the additional step of pyrolysis after the membrane has been formed (Perry, 2007).

A flat sheet membrane is looked like a sheet of paper. The main advantages of the flat sheet membrane modules are ease of cleaning, the possibility of replacing defective membrane elements and the ability to handle viscous feeds and suspension.

The hollow fiber configuration (Figure 2.4) is the most common configuration for microfiltration (MF) and ultrafiltration (UF). The hollow fibers are 0.5 - 1.5 mm (less than 5 mm) in diameter and several thousands of hollow fibers are packed into a module. The most important merit of hollow fiber membranes is that extensive pretreatment is not needed as the membrane can be backwashed (backflushed) automatically by changing the direction of flow of the permeate stream. In hollow fiber filtration process, no phase change is involved and consequently, need no latent heat. This makes the hollow fiber membranes have the potential to replace some unit operations which consume heat, such as distillation or evaporation column. Since the basic principal of hollow fiber is filtration, it does not create any waste from its operation except the unwanted component in the feed stream. This can help to decrease the cost of operation to handle the waste. Moreover, hollow fiber has large membrane surface per module volume. Hence, the size of hollow fiber is smaller than other type of membrane but can give higher performance. Furthermore, hollow fiber is a flexible membrane and low operation cost compare to other types of unit operation.



Figure 2.4: Schematic view of a hollow fiber membrane module (Li et al., 2008)

The tubular configuration (Figure 2.5) is an extension of the hollow fiber, but with larger diameter (up to 25 mm) tubes. The advantage of tubular membrane is that easy to clean mechanically. However, tubular membranes are more costly than hollow fiber membrane module. The tubular configuration is widely used in the food and beverage industries.



Figure 2.5: Schematic view of a tubular membrane module (Li et al., 2008)

## 2.4 Synthesis of CMS membrane

A growing interest can be seen in the synthesis of CMS membrane mainly because of their chemical and thermal stability. A distinctive feature is that the controlled pyrolysis of a precursor can yield a series of CMS membrane that possess micropores of desired dimension. Nevertheless, the factors such as pyrolysis temperature, pyrolysis time and polymer concentration determining the microstructure and gas permeation properties of CMS membrane.

### 2.4.1 Precursor

The choice of the precursor is the first important factor since pyrolysis of different precursors may bring about different kinds of CMS membrane (Suda and Haraya, 1997). Suitable precursor materials for CMS membrane production will not cause any pore-holes or cracks to appear after the pyrolysis step. CMS membrane can be produced through the pyrolysis process of suitable carbon-containing materials such as thermosetting polymer, polyimides and derivatives (Barbosa-Coutinho *et al.*, 2003).

A thermosetting polymer can often withstand high temperature and neither liquefies nor softens during any stage of pyrolysis. A variety of thermosetting polymers have been used to produce CMS membrane. Koresh and Soffer (1983) produced first hollow-fiber CMS membrane by pyrolyzing cellulose hollow fibers. Other polymers that have been used to produce CMS membranes including polyacrylonitrile (PAN) (David and Ismail, 2003), phenolic resin (Fuertes and Menendez, 2002), polyfurfuryl alcohol (PFA) (Shiflett and Foley, 2001), and poly(vinylidene)-based polymers (Centeno and Fuertes, 2000).

Polyimides and their derivatives are among the most stable classes of polymers and good precursors for glassy carbon membrane (Geiszler and Koros, 1996). Jones and Koros (1994) reported that the good carbon membranes, in terms of both separation and mechanical properties, were produced from the pyrolysis of aromatic polyimides. Moreover, Hatori *et al.* (2001) found that polyimide blended with polyethylene glycol (PEG) was also a good precursor for porous carbon membranes. Polyimides are rigid, high melting point, high glass transition temperature and thermally stable (Vu *et al.*, 2003). Normally, polyimide was synthesized with different type of dianhydrides and diamines monomers (Figure 2.6) in order to tailor the CMS membrane properties.



 $R_1$ : Dianhydride  $R_2$ : Diamine

Figure 2.6: Basic molecular structure of polyimides (Saufi and Ismail, 2004)

A typical synthesis of polyimide might involve separately suspending powder 3,3',4,4'-biphenyltetracarboxylic dianhydride (BPDA) and 4,4'-oxydianiline (ODA) in a solvent such as N,N'-dimethylacetamide (DMAC) and adding each suspension together drop wise under an inert environment to produce a homogenous polyamic acid solution (Shiflett and Foley, 2001). Then, the polyamic acid was subjected to an imidization process, which transforms it into polyimide polymer.

One of common commercial polyimides used for preparing CMS membranes is Kapton (DuPont trademark) polyimide. Hatori *et al.* (1992) had successfully prepared CMS film with homogenous fine pores and without cracks by carbonizing Kapton film at 800°C. Kapton polyimide was also successfully carbonized in the form of a capillary membrane which can retain high gas permselectivity even at high temperature (Petersen *et al.*, 1997). The membrane exhibited a high permselectivity for  $H_2/N_2$  of 170 at 250°C. Study on Kapton polyimide-based carbon membrane showed that the permselectivities for  $O_2/N_2$ ,  $CO_2/CH_4$  and  $CO_2/N_2$  are 4, 16 and 9, respectively at 25°C (Fuertes *et al.*, 1999).

Another economical polyimide used to form carbon membrane is polyetherimide (PEI), which is manufactured by General Electric under trade name of Ultem<sup>®</sup> 1000. This material has been used to prepare carbon membranes due to its superior strength and chemical resistance (Sedigh *et al.*, 2000). An early work regarding the use of PEI as a precursor was disclosed by Fuertes and Centeno (1998a). They had prepared supported PEI carbon film by casting in only one step, which gave the permselectivity values of 7.4, 121, 25 and 15 for  $O_2/N_2$ , He/N<sub>2</sub>,  $CO_2/CH_4$  and  $CO_2/N_2$ , respectively, at 25°C.

Sedigh *et al.* (1999) prepared CMS membranes by dip-coated a PEI film inside a ceramic tube and then carbonized it at 600°C under flowing argon for 4 hours. They had investigated the transport characteristics of their PEI-based CMS membrane using single gases (CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>), binary mixtures (CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>), and also ternary mixtures of CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>. CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> separation factors as high as 145 for the equimolar binary and 155 for the ternary mixture. Barbosa-Countinho *et al.* (2003) had prepared PEI carbon hollow fiber membrane for use as a catalyst supports in a membrane reactor. They had investigated pyrolysis process parameters as well as stabilization parameters to find an optimum condition for preparing PEI-based carbon membranes.

#### 2.4.2 Pretreatment conditions

The CMS membrane precursor is often subjected to pretreatment before undergo the pyrolysis process. This step can ensure the stability of the precursor and the preservation of its structure during pyrolysis. Pretreatment can be divided into *physical* and *chemical* methods. Physical pretreatments consist of stretching or drawing hollow fiber membranes prior to pyrolysis. In contrast, chemical pretreatments involve some chemical reagents, which are applied to the polymeric precursor. Sometimes the precursor is subjected to more than one pretreatment method to achieve the desired properties in a CMS membrane. The most important and popular pretreatment method employed by previous researchers have been the oxidation treatment. It can be stated that, in membrane fabrication process, a heat assisted oxidation treatment in oxygen, air or an oxidative atmosphere is involved. Preoxidation can form crosslinks in the polymer structure and therefore increase the thermal stability of the precursor.

#### **2.4.2.1 Physical pretreatment**

Stretching or drawing is categorized as a physical pretreatment and usually involves the hollow fiber precursor only. This technique is adapted from the fabrication of carbon fiber and is sometimes referred to as a post-spinning treatment. An ideal post-spinning modification scheme would allow the removal of surface defects, attenuation of variations in filament diameter, and an enhanced retention of molecular orientation prior to heat treatment in order to obtain fibers with a good balance of stiffness and strength.

Draw ratios are preferably as high as possible within the range in which the structure of fiber is not ruptured. Typical upper limits of the total draw ratio around

18

80% of the draw ratio at which fiber break occurs. Drawing can also take place during the spinning process to no more than a few times the original length of the fibers. This offers an advantage in that the pore systems obtained after the spinning process can be preserved to a larger extent in the carbon membrane and thus ensure greater dimensional stability.

Stretching PAN precursor fibers in the preoxidation stabilization stage results in an increase in the Young's modulus of the final carbon fiber. Since the dipoledipole interactions among the nitrile groups obstruct the molecular chains from becoming fully oriented during stretching, a reduction of these interactions can make the drawing process more effective. In particular, the introduction of solvent molecules or heat has been cited as an effective means of decreasing these interactions in PAN molecules.

#### 2.4.2.2 Chemical pretreatment

Pretreatment of a membrane with certain chemicals can enhance uniformity of the pore system formed during pyrolysis. Among the chemicals commonly used for chemical pretreatment are hydrazine, dimethylformamide (DMF), hydrochloric acid and ammonium chloride. During the manufacture of porous carbon membrane by Schindler and Maier (1990), an acrylic precursor was subjected to a pretreatment with an aqueous solution of hydrazine. They found that this pretreatment improved the dimensional stability of the membrane during the subsequent processing steps and, in particular, that the tar formation and clogging of the pores could be prevented during these steps. Very good results were obtained for acrylic using a pretreatment with 80% hydrazine hydrate for 30 minutes at a solution temperature of 90°C. During the chemical pretreatment, the membrane is fully immersed in the appropriate solution. After that, the membrane is washed and dried before it is fed to the first heat treatment station. In certain cases, it has also been proven that it may be advantageous to evacuate the pores of the membrane by applying a low air pressure, and subsequently fill with nitrogen gas at normal pressure prior to pretreatment with an aqueous solution. By this way, one can obtain membranes with higher carbon content than made from precursor which pores were still filled with air during the pretreatment.

Another type of chemical pretreatment involves using of mineral acids and acidic salts such as phosphoric acid and diammonium hydrogen phosphates as catalyst before pyrolysis. However, the preparation of carbon hollow fiber membranes with pyrolysis catalysts causes certain problems. In carbon hollow fibers, pyrolysis must take place uniformly both inside and outside of the fiber, and pitting must be avoided because the selectivity of the membrane depends strongly on the uniformity of the pores produced during pyrolysis. Pitting occurs immediately if the catalyst is not uniformly distributed throughout the fiber, due to locally catalyzed oxidation on the surface.

## 2.4.2.3 Oxidation pretreatment

Certain membrane precursors underwent softening during the pyrolysis step, and the resulting CMS membrane had a low membrane performance (Kusuki *et al.*, 1997). Therefore, the oxidation step is intended to prevent the melting or fusion of the membranes and to avoid excessive volatilization of elemental carbon in the subsequent pyrolysis step, thus maximizing the final carbon yield from the precursor. The oxidation treatment is considered very important and can has a substantial influence on the resulting performance of a CMS membrane. Oxidation pretreatment can be applied at very different ranges of thermal soak times, depending largely on the precursor uses. In all cases, the aim is the same that is to contribute to the stabilization of the asymmetric structure of the precursor and provide sufficient dimensional stability to withstand the high temperatures of the pyrolysis steps.

David and Ismail (2003) have shown that the thermal stability of polyacrylonitrile (PAN) hollow fiber membranes is improved when the precursors were heated to 250°C in air or oxygen for 30 minutes. The results suggested that stabilization in an inert atmosphere can cyclize PAN, while stabilization in an oxidative environment both cyclizes and oxidizes the structure.

Okamoto *et al.* (1999) formed CMS membrane from asymmetric polyimide hollow fibers formed from BPDA and aromatic diamines. They pretreated the fibers by heating to 400°C in atmospheric air for 30 minutes. The pretreatment was necessary to maintain the asymmetric structure of the precursor. Otherwise, the precursor softened and the CMS membrane has a low separation performance.

Centeno and Fuertes (2000) analyzed the effect of oxidative pretreatment on separation performance of supported poly(vinylidene chloride-*co*-vinyl chloride) based CMS membrane. The films were oxidized in air at 150 or 200°C for up to 2.5 days before pyrolysis. Membranes oxidized at 200°C for 6 hours showed a decreased permeance but increase in selectivity, while those treated at 150°C for 2.5 days had increased permeance and decreased selectivity. Therefore, the results showed that oxidative pretreatment conditions must be optimized for a given precursor but may improve the CMS membrane properties. Table 2.1 lists selected oxidation pretreatment applied to different precursors by various researchers.

Precursor	Configuration	Temperature and time	References
Acrylonitrile	Hollow fiber	200 – 300°C, 3 hours, air 180 – 350°C, 1 – 20 minutes, air	Yoneyama and Nishihara (1992) Schindler and Maier (1990)
Polyacrylonitrile (PAN)	Hollow fiber	$250^{\circ}$ C, 30 minutes, air/O <sub>2</sub>	David and Ismail
(1111)	Hollow fiber	$265^{\circ}$ C, 30 minutes, air/N <sub>2</sub>	Linkov <i>et al.</i> (1994)
Coal tar pitch	Plate	200 - 260°C, 120 minutes, O <sub>2</sub>	Liang <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Phenolic resin	Supported film	150 – 300°C, 2 hours, air	Centeno and Fuertes (2001)
Polyfurfuryl alcohol (PFA)	Supported film	90°C, 3 hours, air	Chen and Yang (1994)
Polyimide	Hollow fiber	400°C, 30 minutes, air	Okomoto <i>et al.</i> (1999)
	Hollow fiber	300°C, 1 hour, air	Barsema et al. (2002)

Table 2.1: Preoxidation conditions of selected precursors for CMS membrane

### 2.4.3 Pyrolysis

Pyrolysis (sometimes referred as carbonization) is a process which a suitable carbon precursor is heated in a controlled atmosphere (vacuum or inert) to the pyrolysis temperature at a specific heating rate for a sufficiently thermal soak time. The pyrolysis process is conventionally used for the production of porous carbon fibers, and causes the product to have a certain microporosity of molecular dimensions that is responsible for the molecular sieve properties.

This process removes most of the heteroatoms originally present in the macromolecules whilst leaving behind a stiff and cross-linked carbon matrix with an amorphous porous structure created by evolution of gaseous products and the rearrangements of the molecular structure of the starting precursor during the