

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF POLYMER-BASED  
GEL FOR WATER SHUT-OFF TREATMENT IN  
OILFIELD APPLICATION**

**NURSYUHADA BINTI MOHAMAD SOBRI**

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**2016**

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF POLYMER-BASED GEL FOR WATER  
SHUT-OFF TREATMENT IN OILFIELD APPLICATION**

**by**

**NURSYUHaida BINTI MOHAMAD SOBRI**

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements**

**for the degree of**

**Master of Science**

**March 2016**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful

All praise and thanks to Allah SWT, the One who has taught me, inspired me and guided me throughout my life. I would like to thank Allah SWT for giving me health, strength and patience to the completion of this thesis. I would like to express my gratitude to my beloved parents and my family for your du'a and continuous love and encouragement all these years.

I would like to thank and express my sincere appreciation to my dedicated supervisor, Dr. Suzylawati Ismail for sharing her experience in guiding and assisting me throughout my study and my lab work. I am grateful to have a supervisor who always provided advices, constructive criticisms, and continuous encouragements throughout my research.

I would like to thank the administrative staff of School of Chemical Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia, especially our respected Dean, Professor Azlina Harun @ Kamaruddin and all the technicians for giving me full support during my research.

Last but not least, I would like to take this opportunity to thank all my friends who had been with me through thick and thin. Thanks for all your support, love and contribution during the accomplishment of this thesis.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE</b>	
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Produced Water	1
1.1 Water Shut-off Treatment	2
1.2 Polymer Injections	3
1.3 Problem Statement	4
1.4 Research Objectives	7
1.5 Scope of Study	7
1.6 Organization of the Thesis	8
<b>CHAPTER TWO</b>	
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Overview of Produced Water	10
2.2 Global Onshore and Offshore Water Production	11
2.3 Characteristics of Produced Water	12
2.4 Environmental Impacts Caused by Produced Water	12
2.5 Water Shut-off Treatment	14
2.5.1 Mechanical Solution	14
2.5.2 Chemical Solution	15
2.6 Polymer gel water shut-off treatments	16
2.6.1 Polymer types	17
2.6.1.1 Xanthan Gum, (XG)	20

2.6.2	Polymer Additives	21
2.6.2.1	Guar gum(GG)	22
2.6.2.2	Hydroxypropyl Methylcellulose, (HPMC)	24
2.6.2.3	Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)	26
2.7	Polymer Water Shut-off Treatment Produced by Others	27
2.8	Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	28

## **CHAPTER THREE**

<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>31</b>	
3.1	Materials and Chemicals	31
3.2	Overall Experiment Flowchart	31
3.3	Experimental procedure of polymer systems	33
3.3.1	The gelation time of XG polymer and Cr(III) at different temperature ranges	35
3.3.2	The gelation time of the polymer solutions in the presence of polymer additives	36
3.3.2.1	Guar Gum, (GG)	36
3.3.2.2	HPMC	37
3.3.2.3	PVP	38
3.4	The stability of polymer gel solutions through the effect of different fluids concentration	39
3.5	Characterization Studies	40
3.5.1	Viscometer	40
3.5.2	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	40
3.6	Optimization Studies	41

## **CHAPTER FOUR**

<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>42</b>	
4.1	The gelation time of XG and Cr(III) at different temperature ranges	42
4.1.1	Guar gum, (GG)	54
4.1.2	HPMC	73
4.1.3	PVP	93
4.2	Stability of the selected polymer gels through the effect of different fluid concentrations	114

4.3	Characterization of the polymer gel for its suitability as water shut-off solution	119
4.3.1	Viscosity Test	120
4.3.2	FTIR test	122
4.4	Optimization studies	124

## **CHAPTER FIVE**

### **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 131**

5.1	Conclusions	131
-----	-------------	-----

5.2	Recommendations	133
-----	-----------------	-----

### **REFERENCES 135**

#### **APPENDIX A**

#### **APPENDIX B**

#### **LIST OF PUBLICATIONS**

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Pages
Figure 2.1	Global onshore and offshore water production	11
Figure 2.2	The concept of polymer gel water shut-off treatment	17
Figure 2.3	Primary structure of XG	21
Figure 2.4	Molecular structure of GG	23
Figure 2.5	Molecular structure of HPMC	25
Figure 2.6	Polymerization of vinylpyrrolidone	26
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the research studies	32
Figure 4.1	Proposed mechanism of XG and Cr(III) to form XC gel solutions	43
Figure 4.2	Three dimensional response surface plot for flowing gel phase at 70°C	43
Figure 4.3	Three dimensional response surface plot for flowing gel phase at 90°C	44
Figure 4.4	Three dimensional response surface plot for flowing gel phase at 110°C	48
Figure 4.5	Three dimensional response surface plot for solid gel phase at 70°C	51
Figure 4.6	Three dimensional response surface plot for solid gel phase at 90°C	52

Figure 4.7	Three dimensional response surface plot for solid gel phase at 110°C	52
Figure 4.8	Proposed mechanism reaction between XG, Cr(III) and GG to form XCG gel solutions	55
Figure 4.9(a)	The response surface plot of XCG for flowing gel phase at 70°C with different concentration of GG = 0.10 wt%	61
Figure 4.9(b)	The response surface plot of XCG for flowing gel phase at 70°C with different concentration of GG = 0.15 wt%	61
Figure 4.9(c)	The response surface plot of XCG for flowing gel phase at 70°C with different concentration of GG = 0.20 wt%	62
Figure 4.10(a)	The response surface plot of XCG for flowing gel phase at 90°C with different concentration of GG = 0.10 wt%	63
Figure 4.10(b)	The response surface plot of XCG for flowing gel phase at 90°C with different concentration of GG = 0.15 wt%	63
Figure 4.10(c)	The response surface plot of XCG for flowing gel phase at 90°C with different concentration of GG = 0.20 wt%	64
Figure 4.11(a)	The response surface plot of XCG for flowing gel phase at 110°C with different concentration of GG = 0.10 wt%	65
Figure 4.11(b)	The response surface plot of XCG for flowing gel phase at 110°C with different concentration of GG = 0.15 wt%	66
Figure 4.11(c)	The response surface plot of XCG for flowing gel phase at 110°C with different concentration of GG = 0.20 wt%	66
Figure 4.12(a)	Response surface plots of XCG for solid gel phase at 70°C with different concentration of GG = 0.10 wt%	67
Figure 4.12(b)	Response surface plots of XCG for solid gel phase at 70°C with different concentration of GG = 0.15 wt%	67



Figure 4.12(c)	Response surface plots of XCG for solid gel phase at 70°C with different concentration of GG = 0.20 wt%	68
Figure 4.13(a)	Response surface plots of XCG for solid gel phase at 90°C with different concentration of GG = 0.10 wt%	69
Figure 4.13(b)	Response surface plots of XCG for solid gel phase at 90°C with different concentration of GG = 0.15 wt%	69
Figure 4.13(c)	Response surface plots of XCG for solid gel phase at 90°C with different concentration of GG = 0.20 wt%	70
Figure 4.14(a)	Response surface plots of XCG for solid gel phase at 110°C with different concentration of GG = 0.10 wt%	71
Figure 4.14(b)	Response surface plots of XCG for solid gel phase at 110°C with different concentration of GG = 0.15 wt%	72
Figure 4.14(c)	Response surface plots of XCG for solid gel phase at 110°C with different concentration of GG = 0.20 wt%	72
Figure 4.15	Proposed mechanism reaction between XG, HPMC and Cr(III) to form XCH gel solutions	74
Figure 4.16(a)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 70°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.15 wt% for flowing gel phase	81
Figure 4.16(b)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 70°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.20 wt% for flowing gel phase	81

Figure 4.16(c)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 70°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.25 wt% for flowing gel phase	82
Figure 4.17(a)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 90°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.15 wt% for flowing gel phase	83
Figure 4.17(b)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 90°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.20 wt% for flowing gel phase	84
Figure 4.17(c)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 90°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.25 wt% for flowing gel phase	84
Figure 4.18(a)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 110°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.15 wt% for flowing gel phase	85
Figure 4.18(b)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 110°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.20 wt% for flowing gel phase	85
Figure 4.18(c)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 110°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.25 wt% for flowing gel phase	86

Figure 4.19(a)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 70°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.15 wt% for solid gel phase	88
Figure 4.19(b)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 70°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.20 wt% for solid gel phase	88
Figure 4.19(c)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 70°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.25 wt% for solid gel phase	89
Figure 4.20(a)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 90°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.15 wt% for solid gel phase	90
Figure 4.20(b)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 90°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.20 wt% for solid gel phase	90
Figure 4.20(c)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 90°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.25 wt% for solid gel phase	91
Figure 4.21(a)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 110°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.15 wt% for solid gel phase	92
Figure 4.21(b)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 110°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.20 wt% for solid gel phase	92
Figure 4.21(c)	Three dimensional response surface methodology of XCH at a constant temperature of 110°C at different concentration of HPMC = 0.25 wt% for solid gel phase	93

Figure 4.22	Proposed mechanism reaction between XG, PVP and Cr(III) to form XCP gel solutions	94
Figure 4.23(a)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 70°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.15 wt%	101
Figure 4.23(b)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 70°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.20 wt%	101
Figure 4.23(c)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 70°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.25 wt%	102
Figure 4.24(a)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 90°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.15 wt%	104
Figure 4.24(b)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 90°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.20 wt%	104
Figure 4.24(c)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 90°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.25 wt%	105
Figure 4.25(a)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 110°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.15 wt%	106
Figure 4.25(b)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 110°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.20 wt%	106
Figure 4.25(c)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 110°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.25 wt%	107
Figure 4.26(a)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for solid gel phase at 70°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.15 wt%	108
Figure 4.26(b)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for solid gel phase at 70°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.20 wt%	108
Figure 4.26(c)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for solid gel phase at 70°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.25 wt%	109

Figure 4.27(a)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for solid gel phase at 90°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.15 wt%	110
Figure 4.27(b)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for solid gel phase at 90°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.20 wt%	110
Figure 4.27(c)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for flowing gel phase at 90°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.25 wt%	111
Figure 4.28(a)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for solid gel phase at 110°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.15 wt%	112
Figure 4.28(b)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for solid gel phase at 110°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.20 wt%	113
Figure 4.28(c)	Three dimensional plots of XCP for solid gel phase at 110°C when the concentration of PVP = 0.25 wt%	113
Figure 4.29	The graph of viscosity versus temperature of XC, XCG, XCH and XCP at a speed of 100rpm with the increasing temperature from 30°C to 90°C	121
Figure 4.30	FTIR spectroscopy analysis of XC, XCG, XCH and XCP polymer gel solutions	124
Figure 4.31(a)	The three-dimensional response plot for optimization of XC with desirability of 1.000 at 90°C of flowing gel phase	126
Figure 4.31(b)	The three-dimensional response surface plot for optimization of XC with desirability of 1.000 at 90°C of solid gel phase	126
Figure 4.32(a)	The three-dimensional response plot for optimization of XCG with desirability of 0.939 at 90°C of flowing gel phase	127

Figure 4.32(b)	The three-dimensional response plot for optimization of XCG with desirability of 0.939 at 90°C of solid gel phase	127
Figure 4.33(a)	The three-dimensional response plot for optimization of XCH with desirability of 1.000 at 90°C of flowing gel phase	128
Figure 4.33(b)	The three-dimensional response plot for optimization of XCH with desirability of 1.000 at 90°C of solid gel phase	128
Figure 4.34(a)	The three-dimensional response plot for optimization of XCP with desirability of 1.000 at 90°C of flowing gel phase	129
Figure 4.34(b)	The three-dimensional response plot for optimization of XCP with desirability of 1.000 at 90°C of solid gel phase	129

## LIST OF PLATES

		Pages
Plate 3.1	Image of the solution in flowing gel phase	34
Plate 3.2	Image of the solution in solid gel phase	35
Plate 4.1	Image of the polymer gel and the brine solution to be tested at 90°C	115
Plate 4.2	Image of the XCG polymer gel with synthetic seawater after 38 days	117
Plate 4.3	Image of the XCH polymer gel shrunk when meet with 2.0 wt% of KCl after 2 days	118
Plate 4.4	Image of the XCP polymer gel with 2.0 wt% of KCl after 80 days	119

## LIST OF TABLES

		Pages
Table 2.1	A comparison between different polymers	19
Table 3.1	Gel coding	33
Table 3.2	Coded values of variables of the experimental design for XC polymer gel solution	36
Table 3.3	Coded values for XCG polymer gel solutions at different temperature ranges	37
Table 3.4	The coded values for XCH polymer gel solution with different concentration and temperature ranges	38
Table 3.5	Coded values for XCP polymer gel solution with different concentration and temperature ranges	39
Table 3.6	Chemicals for synthetic seawater	39
Table 4.1	The responses of the XC polymer gel solutions at different temperature ranges and concentration	45
Table 4.2	ANOVA for flowing gel phase XC solutions	47
Table 4.3	ANOVA for solid gel phase XC solutions	48
Table 4.4	Values for F-value, p-value, $R^2$ and “Adeq Precision” of XC polymer gel solution for flowing gel and solid gel responses	48
Table 4.5	The gelation time of XCG polymer gel solutions from 70°C to 110°C	56



Table 4.6	ANOVA for flowing gel phase XCG polymer gel solutions	58
Table 4.7	ANOVA for solid gel phase XCG polymer gel solutions	59
Table 4.8	Values for F-value, p-value, R-squared and “Adeq Precision” of XCG polymer gel solutions for flowing gel and solid gel phase responses	59
Table 4.9	The gelation time of XCH polymer gel solutions at different temperature ranges	76
Table 4.10	ANOVA for flowing gel phase XCH polymer gel solutions	77
Table 4.11	ANOVA for solid gel phase XCH polymer gel solutions	78
Table 4.12	Values for F-value, p-value, R-squared and “Adeq Precision” of XCH polymer gel solution for flowing gel and solid gel responses	79
Table 4.13	The gelation time of XCP polymer gel solutions from 70°C to 110°C	95
Table 4.14	ANOVA for flowing gel phase XCP polymer gel solutions	97
Table 4.15	ANOVA for solid gel phase XCP polymer gel solutions	98
Table 4.16	Values for F-value, p-value, R <sup>2</sup> and “Adeq Precision” of XCP polymer gel solutions for flowing gel and solid gel phase responses	99
Table 4.17	Concentrations of XG, Cr(III), GG, HPMC and PVP at 90°C	115

Table 4.18	The desirability of the XC, XCG, XCH and XCP solutions at 90°C	125
Table 4.19	Comparison of the experimental results and prediction responses	130

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AP	Alkylphenols
BBD	Box-Behnken Design
Cr(III)	Chromium (III) Acetate
DOE	Design of Experiment
EOR	Enhanced Oil Recovery
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared
GG	Guar gum
HAP	Hydrophobically Associating Polymer
HEMC	Hydroxyethyl Methylcellulose
HPAM	Hydrolyzed Polyacrylamide
HPMC	Hydroxypropyl Methylcellulose
NORM	Natural Occurring Radioactive Material
PAA	Polyacrylic acid
PAH	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PAN	Polyacrylonitrile
PEI	Polyethyleneimine
PHPA	Partially Hydrolyzed Polyacrylamide
PVA	Polyvinyl Alcohol
PVP	Polyvinyl Pyrrolidone

RSM	Response Surface Methodology
TDS	Total Dissolved Solid
XC	Xanthan gum and Cr(III) polymer gel
XCG	Xanthan gum, Cr(III) and Guar gum polymer gel
XCH	Xanthan gum, Cr(III) and HPMC polymer gel
XCP	Xanthan gum, Cr(III) and PVP polymer gel
XG	Xanthan gum

# **ANALISIS STATISTIK GEL BERASASKAN POLIMER UNTUK RAWATAN MENGHALANG ALIRAN AIR DI DALAM APLIKASI MEDAN MINYAK**

## **ABSTRAK**

Air yang dihasilkan di telaga minyak akan mengurangkan pengeluaran minyak dan gas dan ia merupakan sisa terbesar di dalam industri minyak dan gas. Untuk mengurangkan pengeluaran air yang tidak diinginkan, rawatan kimia menggunakan polimer adalah fokus dalam kajian ini. Biopolimer gam xanthan dan bahan sambungan silang, kromium (III) asetat digunakan dalam kerja-kerja penyelidikan ini. Penambahan bahan tambah polimer seperti gam guar (GG), Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) dan polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) juga digunakan dalam kajian ini. Salah satu teknik statistik yang digunakan adalah kaedah permukaan respons (RSM), penggunaan Rekabentuk Eksperimen (DOE). Masa sasaran untuk pengegelan fasa gel mengalir adalah antara 180 minit hingga 240 minit dan masa yang pengegelan fasa gel menjadi pepejal adalah antara 1000 minit hingga 1440 minit. Larutan xanthan-kromium (XC) menjadi gel mengalir pada 180 minit dan gel pepejal pada 1265 minit. Untuk larutan XCG dan XCH, gel mengalir berlaku pada masa 240 minit dan larutan XCP pada 235 minit. Masa gel pepejal bagi larutan XCG, XCH, dan XCP masing-masing berlaku pada 1015 minit, 1440 minit dan 1410 minit. XCP mempunyai rintangan yang lebih baik terhadap larutan air garam dimana perubahan dapat dilihat selepas 80 hari. Sementara itu, larutan XC berubah apabila bertemu dengan air laut sintetik selepas 75 hari. Kajian percirian terhadap larutan menunjukkan kelikatan berkurangan apabila suhu bertambah, manakala kelikatan semingkat apabila masa berlalu.

# **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF POLYMER-BASED GEL FOR WATER SHUT-OFF TREATMENT IN OILFIELD APPLICATION**

## **ABSTRACT**

Produced water decreases the production of oil and gas and it is currently the largest waste stream in oil and gas industry. In order to reduce the amount of produced water, a chemical treatment using polymers is the focus in this research. Biopolymer xanthan gum (XG) and cross-linker, chromium (III) acetate (Cr(III)) are used in this research work. Polymer additives such as guar gum (GG), Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) and polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) are also used in this research work. One of the statistical techniques used is response surface methodology (RSM), the application of Design of Experiment (DOE). The targeted gelation time of flowing gel phase is between 180 minutes to 240 minutes and the gelation time of solid gel phase is within 1000 minutes to 1440 minutes. Xanthan-chromium (XC) solution form flowing gel at 180 minutes and solid gel at 1265 minutes. For XCG and XCH solutions, the flowing gel phase is formed at 240 minutes and XCP solution form at 235 minutes. Solid gel phase for XCG, XCH and XCP solutions is formed at 1015 minutes, 1440 minutes and 1410 minutes respectively. XCP have better resistance towards brine solutions as the changes can be seen after 80 days. Meanwhile, XC solution changes when meet with synthetic seawater after 75 days. Characterization studies of the solutions shows that the viscosity decreases as the temperature increases, meanwhile the viscosity increases as the time passes by.

## CHAPTER ONE

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Produced Water

Produced water is an oilfield wastewater that generated in large volumes either from onshore or offshore oil and gas production (Emam, *et al.*, 2014). Larger amounts of water are produced from oil producer because of water injection upon maturity of the wells. More than 40% of the produced water is discharged to the environment and globally, approximately 250 million barrels of water are produced daily from both oil and gas fields (Igunnu and Chen, 2012). An estimated 667 million metric tons of produced water were discharged throughout the world in 2003 including 21.1 million tons offshore waters in North America (Neff, *et al.*, 2011). The produced water generated roughly in the range of 15 to 20 billion barrels each year and it produces a volume of 1.7 to 2.3 billion gallons water per day in the United State (Clark and Veil, 2009).

Produced water is a mixture of different organic and inorganic materials (Ahmadun, *et al.*, 2009). The composition is complex and can be comprised of several thousand compounds that varies in concentration between wells and over the lifetime of a production well (Bakke, *et al.*, 2013). Major compounds of produced water include dissolved and dispersed oil compounds, dissolved formation minerals, chemical compounds, production solids which include formation solids and dissolved gases (Ahmadun, *et al.*, 2009). Other than that, large amounts of organic materials, particles, inorganic salts and low molecular weight organic acids like acetic acid and also a high level of sulfur and sulfide can be found in produced water

(Bakke, *et al.*, 2013). Normally, produced water derived from gas wells contain several times greater concentration of metals than that derived from oil wells (Emam, *et al.*, 2014).

The production of produced water needs to be reduced or control because its limits the productive life of the oil and gas wells. Other than that, produced water reduces the production of oil and causes several problems such as corrosion of tubular, hydrostatic loading and also fines migration (Badaiwi, *et al.*, 2009). Large amount of produced water results in more complex water-oil separation, rapid corrosion of production well equipment and rapid decline in hydrocarbon recovery. Untreated discharged of produced water may be harmful to the surrounding environment because it contains elevated levels of dissolved ions (salts), hydrocarbons and trace elements (Emam, *et al.*, 2014).

### **1.1 Water Shut-off Treatment**

In order to reduce the production of water, certain treatment needs to be conducted. The process which blocks the water to reach and enter the production well is called water shut-off treatment. Water shut-off treatment consists of mechanical and chemical methods that practically used in the oilfield application. Mechanical methods include drilling horizontal, multi-lateral wells, placing a liner to block water production and downhole separation equipment (Simjoo, *et al.*, 2007). Mechanical methods are usually expensive.

Candidate selection, identification of the source water, proper choice of the chemical system and placement of chemicals into the target zone are several factors that determine the success of a chemical treatment in the field (Simjoo, *et al.*, 2007).