

**A CLASS OF MEASURABLE DYNAMICAL  
SYSTEMS FOR CHAOTIC CRYPTOGRAPHY**

**AFSHIN AKHSHANI**

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**2008**

**A CLASS OF MEASURABLE DYNAMICAL  
SYSTEMS FOR CHAOTIC CRYPTOGRAPHY**

by

**AFSHIN AKHSHANI**

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of  
Master of Science**

**April 2008**

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I have to thank God for somehow turning an innumerable amount of seemingly hard into an easy and golden decisions. I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to my dear supervisors, Dr. Zainuriah Hassan and Dr. Haslan Abu Hassan for the support they have given to me from the beginning of my research in the School of Physics. A special thanks to Dr. Sohrab Behnia for providing me with valuable insight and comments towards the end of my work. I would like to thank Professor Mohammad Ali Jafarizadeh for his enormously creative directions which inspired me along my research. Finally, I would like to extend a heartfelt thanks to my supportive parents, brother and sister. But most importantly, my deepest love. Without their constant and unconditional support and love, none of my accomplishments would be possible. To them, I dedicate this thesis.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements .....	ii
Table of Contents .....	iii
List of Tables .....	vii
List of Figures .....	viii
List of Abbreviations .....	x
List of Symbols .....	xi
Abstrak .....	xiii
Abstract .....	xv
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Research Objectives .....	2
1.3 Organization of Thesis.....	3
CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Dynamical Systems .....	4
2.1.1 Nonlinear dynamics .....	4
2.1.2 Linear system .....	4
2.1.3 Nonlinear system .....	5
2.2 Chaos .....	6
2.3 Invariant Measure .....	7
2.4 Frobenius-Perron Operator .....	10
2.5 Ergodic Theory.....	11
2.6 Lyapunov Exponent.....	12
2.7 Kolmogorov-Sinai Entropy.....	14
2.8 Fractal Dimension .....	15

2.9	Bifurcation .....	15
2.10	Attractors .....	15
2.11	Fixed Point .....	17
2.12	Chaotic Maps .....	18
CHAPTER 3 – ONE-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF CHAOTIC MAPS		
3.1	One-parameter families of chaotic maps .....	19
3.2	Numerical Simulations .....	20
CHAPTER 4 – HIERARCHY OF 2D PIECEWISE NONLINEAR CHAOTIC MAPS		
4.1	Two Dimensional Piecewise Nonlinear Chaotic Maps.....	24
4.1.1	Invariant measure for 2D piecewise nonlinear chaotic maps .....	25
4.1.2	K-S entropy for 2D piecewise nonlinear chaotic maps .....	29
4.1.3	Numerical simulations.....	30
CHAPTER 5 – INTRODUCTION TO CHAOTIC CRYPTOGRAPHY		
5.1	Cryptographic Techniques.....	33
5.2	Ciphers .....	33
5.3	Encryption.....	34
5.4	Symmetric and Asymmetric-key Algorithms.....	34
5.4.1	Block ciphers and stream ciphers .....	35
5.4.2	Pseudo-random number generators .....	36
5.4.3	Hash functions .....	37
5.5	Connection Between Chaos and Cryptography Properties .....	38
5.6	Cryptanalysis.....	38
CHAPTER 6 – HASH FUNCTION BASED ON 2D PIECEWISE NONLINEAR CHAOTIC MAPS		
6.1	Proposed Algorithm .....	41

6.2	Performance Analysis .....	42
6.2.1	Hash results of messages .....	42
6.2.2	Statistical analysis of diffusion and confusion .....	44
6.2.3	Analysis of collision resistance and birthday attacks resistance.....	45
6.2.4	Meet-in-the-middle attack.....	49
6.2.5	Flexibility .....	49
6.2.6	Security of key .....	49
6.2.7	Analysis of speed .....	50
6.2.8	Uniform distribution on hash space.....	50
6.3	Performance Comparison.....	52
6.3.1	Statistical analysis .....	52
6.3.2	Collision resistance .....	52
6.3.3	Speed analysis .....	53
6.3.4	Further discussion .....	53
6.4	Summary .....	54

CHAPTER 7 – A NOVEL SCHEME FOR IMAGE ENCRYPTION BASED ON 2D  
PIECEWISE NONLINEAR CHAOTIC MAPS

7.1	Proposed Algorithm .....	58
7.2	Experimental Results .....	59
7.3	Security Analysis .....	60
7.3.1	Key space analysis.....	62
7.3.2	Key sensitivity .....	62
7.3.3	Sensitivity to the plain image .....	63
7.3.4	Statistical analysis .....	63
7.3.5	Information entropy .....	70
7.3.6	Differential attack.....	72
7.3.7	Analysis of speed .....	73

7.4	Summary .....	74
CHAPTER 8 – CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK		
	References .....	77
	APPENDICES .....	82
	APPENDIX A – INVARIANT MEASURE FOR ONE-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF CHAOTIC MAPS .....	83

# LIST OF TABLES

		<b>Page</b>
Table 2.1	Lyapunov exponents of chaotic maps	<b>13</b>
Table 5.1	Connections between chaos and cryptography	<b>38</b>
Table 6.1	Static number of changed bit $B_i$	<b>45</b>
Table 6.2	Maximum, minimum and mean values of the absolute difference ( $d$ ) of two hash values, 128-bits	<b>47</b>
Table 6.3	Maximum, minimum and mean values of the absolute difference ( $d$ ) of two hash values, 160-bits	<b>47</b>
Table 6.4	A comparison of maximum, minimum and mean values of the absolute difference ( $d$ ) of two hash values	<b>53</b>
Table 6.5	Required multiplicative operation for each byte of message	<b>54</b>
Table 7.1	Correlation coefficient of two adjacent pixels in two images	<b>70</b>



# LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Logistic map transformation.	10
Figure 2.2	Lyapunov exponent of Logistic map	13
Figure 2.3	Bifurcation diagram of Logistic map	16
Figure 2.4	Attractor	17
Figure 3.1	Bifurcation diagram of $\Phi_4^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$	20
Figure 3.2	Bifurcation diagram of $\Phi_4^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$	21
Figure 3.3	Bifurcation diagram of $\Phi_3^{(1)}(x, \alpha) = \Phi_3^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$	21
Figure 3.4	Lyapunov exponent of $\Phi_4^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$	22
Figure 3.5	Lyapunov exponent of $\Phi_4^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$	22
Figure 3.6	Lyapunov exponent of $\Phi_3^{(1)}(x, \alpha) = \Phi_3^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$	23
Figure 4.1	Bifurcation diagram of $\Phi_{2,2,2}^{(2)}(\alpha, x, y, b_1, b_2)$	30
Figure 4.2	Lyapunov exponent of $\Phi_{2,2,2}^{(2)}(\alpha, x, y, b_1, b_2)$ with respect to $\alpha$	31
Figure 5.1	Cryptographic techniques	35
Figure 6.1	Block diagram of the hash function	43
Figure 6.2	Distribution of W, the number of 8-bit subblocks with same value at same location in hash value ( $N = 10000$ ), decimal scale of $W_N(\omega)$	48
Figure 6.3	Distribution of W, the number of 8-bit subblocks with same value at same location in hash value ( $N = 10000$ ), logarithmic scale of $W_N(\omega)$	48
Figure 6.4	Distribution of hash value in hash space. The mean, maximum and minimum of toggled bit number are 5004, 5172 and 4876 respectively for $N = 10000$ .	51
Figure 7.1	Block diagram	59
Figure 7.2	Plain image	60

Figure 7.3	Cipher image	61
Figure 7.4	Encryption with wrong keys ( $x_0$ )	63
Figure 7.5	Encryption with wrong keys ( $y_0$ )	64
Figure 7.6	Encryption with wrong keys ( $\alpha$ )	65
Figure 7.7	Encryption with wrong keys ( $b_1$ )	66
Figure 7.8	Encryption with wrong keys ( $b_2$ )	67
Figure 7.9	Difference in plain image	67
Figure 7.10	Difference in cipher image	68
Figure 7.11	Histogram of plain image	69
Figure 7.12	Histogram of cipher image	69
Figure 7.13	Correlation analysis of plain image	71
Figure 7.14	Correlation analysis of cipher image	71

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- F-P operator** Frobenius-Perron operator
- IEEE** Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
- IPS** Institut Pengajian Siswazah
- K-S entropy** Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy
- MD5** Message-Digest algorithm 5
- NIST** National Institute of Standards and Technology
- NPCR** Number of Pixels Change Rate
- PRNG** Pseudo Random Number Generator
- SHA-1** Secure Hash Algorithm-1
- SRB** Sinai, Ruelle, Bowen-measure
- UACI** Unified Average Changing Intensity
- USM** Universiti Sains Malaysia

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\lim$  limit

$L$  Lebesgue measure

$\chi_B$  Random variable

$H(s)$  Information entropy

$\lambda$  Lyapunov exponent

$\alpha$  Control parameter of chaotic maps

$b_1$  Control parameter of chaotic maps

$b_2$  Control parameter of chaotic maps

$\omega$  Number of equal ASCII values in a digest

$\mu$  Invariant measure

$D$  Fractal dimension

$F$  Degrees of freedom

$x^*$  Fixed point

$\Phi$  Nonlinear chaotic map

$T_N(x)$  Chebyshev polynomial type one

$U_N(x)$  Chebyshev polynomial type two

$B_{min}$  Minimum changed bit number

$B_{max}$  Maximum changed bit number

$\bar{B}$  Mean changed bit number

$P$  Mean changed probability

$\Delta B$  Standard variance of the changed bit number

$\Delta P$  Standard variance

$d$  The absolute difference of two hash values

$cov(x,y)$  The estimation of covariance between  $x$  and  $y$

# **SATU KELAS SISTEM DINAMIK BOLEHUKUR BAGI KRIPTOGRAFI KAOTIK**

## **ABSTRAK**

Teori kaos merupakan teori yang merangkumi semua aspek sains. Kini, dalam dunia hari ini, ia turut merangkumi semua aspek matematik, fizik, biologi, kewangan, komputer dan juga muzik. Sebagai suatu daripada aplikasi teori ini, keselamatan komunikasi mula dikaji seawal 1990-an. Daya tarikan utama teori ini digunakan sebagai asas untuk membangunkan kriptosistem adalah disebabkan sifat intrinsiknya, antaranya: kepekaannya terhadap keadaan awal dan parameter kawalan, perlakuan seakan-akan rawak, ergodisiti dan sifat campurannya, yang mempunyai hubungan erat dengan keperluan kriptografi. Sifat teori ini yang paling penting adalah ergodisiti dan campuran, yang boleh dihubungkan dengan dua sifat kriptografi asas, iaitu kekeliruan (“confusion”) dan pembauran (“diffusion”). Bagi membuktikan ergodisiti dan kekuatan campuran, cukup dengan hanya menunjukkan bahawa sistem memperoleh ukuran takvarian dan entropi Kolmogorov-Sinai (K-S) daripada sudut pandangan sistem dinamik.

Dalam tesis ini, satu hierarki baru peta kaotik taklinear dua-dimensi kepingan dengan suatu ukuran takvarian diperkenalkan. Entropi K-S bagi peta koatik ini juga dikira secara analitik dengan menggunakan ukuran takvarian mereka. Selanjutnya, beberapa simulasi berangka untuk menunjukkan perlakuan kaotik daripada peta kaotik ini dibentangkan. Sehubungan itu, peta kaotik kepingan yang mempunyai sifat dinamik yang sempurna dan boleh dihasilkan pada perkakasan dan perisian, juga digunakan secara meluas dalam kriptografi kaotik digital.

Oleh kerana itu, keupayaan mereka untuk dieksploitasikan sebagai suatu kriptosistem diselidiki. Dalam tesis ini, dua skema kriptosistem berasaskan kaos baru untuk fungsi cincangan dan enkripsi imej, berdasarkan peta kaotik kepingan taklinear dua-dimensi dibentangkan. Skema yang dicadang dijelaskan dengan terperinci, bersama-sama dengan analisis keselamatan dan pelaksanaannya.

# **A CLASS OF MEASURABLE DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS FOR CHAOTIC CRYPTOGRAPHY**

## **ABSTRACT**

Chaos theory is a blanketing theory that covers all aspects of science, hence, it shows up everywhere in the world today: mathematics, physics, biology, finance, computer and even music. As an application of chaos theory, secure communications have been studied since the early 1990s. The attractiveness of using chaos as the basis for developing cryptosystem is mainly due to the intrinsic nature of chaos such as the sensitivity to the initial condition and control parameter, random-like behaviors, ergodicity and mixing property, which have tight relationships with the requirements of cryptography. The most important features of chaos are ergodicity and mixing, which can be connected with two basic cryptographic properties; confusion and diffusion. To prove ergodicity and strength of the mixing, it's enough to show that the system possess an invariant measure and Kolmogorov-Sinai (K-S) entropy from dynamical systems point of view.

In this thesis, a new hierarchy of two-dimensional piecewise nonlinear chaotic maps with an invariant measure is introduced. Also the K-S entropy of these chaotic maps is calculated analytically by using their invariant measure. Furthermore, some numerical simulations for demonstrating chaotic behavior of these kind of chaotic maps are presented.

As regards to piecewise chaotic maps having perfect dynamical properties which can be realized simply in both hardware and software, they are widely used in digital chaotic cryptography. Therefore, their potential for exploitation as a cryptosystem is investigated. In this thesis, two new chaos based cryptosystem schemes for hash function and image encryption, based on



two-dimensional nonlinear piecewise chaotic maps are also presented. The proposed schemes are described in detail, along with its security analysis and implementation.

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research Background

There are several methods to analyse the chaotic behaviors of a nonlinear system in which invariant measure or Sinai, Ruelle, Bowen (SRB)-measure is known to be the most regular method. Invariant measure studies the evolution of the system over time. Usually the analytic calculation of invariant measure of dynamical systems is a nontrivial task. The invariant measure has been calculated only for a few chaotic maps by now. Logistic map is one of the few maps that invariant measure has analytically been calculated for it. In order to describe the dynamical systems behavior more precisely, Jafarizadeh et al. presented a new hierarchy of chaotic maps with an invariant measure in the interval of  $[0,1]$  based on hyper-geometric functions in 2001 [1]. The hyper-geometric functions from degree  $N$  can be presented as Chebyshev polynomial  $T_n(x)$  and  $U_n(x)$ . Also K-S entropy can be calculated analytically for a measurable system, therefore K-S entropy of this hierarchy is calculated analytically, and these maps are proved to be ergodic. In 2001 Jafarizadeh et al. [2] presented a hierarchy of coupled chaotic system with an invariant measure. Following this research in 2002 they achieved a hierarchy of composition chaotic maps [3]. In 2003 and 2004, they also presented the hierarchy of random and hierarchy of non-ergodic piecewise nonlinear chaotic maps respectively [4, 5].

The application of chaotic maps has been an interesting research field during recent years and Stephen Wolfram [6] has published the first paper on the application of chaotic maps in cryptographic functions. In 2006, a hierarchy of one-dimensional piecewise nonlinear chaotic maps with an invariant measure was presented by Behnia et al. [7]. They also presented triple chaotic

maps in 2007 [8]. Moreover, they applied the new presented chaotic maps in cryptography, and reached to new cryptographic algorithms with high security.

In this thesis, a new hierarchy of two-dimensional piecewise nonlinear chaotic maps with an invariant measure is presented and their potential is investigated in cryptographic applications.

## **1.2 Research Objectives**

This thesis is concerned with issues relating to the introduction of a new hierarchy of nonlinear chaotic maps with applications in cryptography, motivated by the demand to overcome the drawbacks of chaotic cryptosystems such as: weakness in security, slow speed performance, small key space and etc. This research is focused on the study of chaotic maps that are more suitable for cryptographic applications and have tried to introduce the most appropriate chaotic system that can be applied in cryptography. The drawbacks of most of the recently introduced chaotic cryptographic systems which are related to weakness of both algorithm and chaotic maps in their structure, motivated us to contribute to filling these gaps. The new chaotic maps presented in this thesis seem to be a good solution for the drawbacks of the chaos based cryptosystems.

In order to achieve this goal, the following investigations are conducted: Study the coherent body of knowledge between properties of chaos and cryptography. Introduce and study the new chaotic maps from dynamical system view point. Study the characteristics of the presented chaotic maps such as ergodicity, invariant measure, K-S entropy, Lyapunov exponent and bifurcation diagram, which have their counterparts in cryptography. Designing and analyzing new cryptographic schemes based on the proposed chaotic maps such as hash functions and image encryption algorithm. Conducting a comparison between presented research and other chaos based cryptographic researches.

### **1.3 Organization of Thesis**

The remainder of this thesis is structured as follows:

Chapter 2 reviews some basic terminologies and background in dynamical systems. Chapter 3 presents one-parameter families of chaotic maps and applies numerical simulation on the presented chaotic maps. A new hierarchy of two-dimensional piecewise nonlinear chaotic maps is introduced in chapter 4 and calculation of invariant measure, K-S entropy as well as some numerical simulations is presented in the rest of the chapter. Chapter 5 provides background material on cryptography and the connections between chaos and cryptography. A new hash function based on two-dimensional piecewise nonlinear chaotic map is designed and its security is analyzed using several simulations in chapter 6. Chapter 7 presents a novel scheme for image encryption based on two-dimensional piecewise chaotic maps and provides the security analysis simulations for this scheme. Conclusions are presented in chapter 8, which give a brief overview of the research and the achieved results, also suggestion of future researches that can be implemented based on the outcomes of this thesis.

## CHAPTER 2

# LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Dynamical Systems

A framework for classification of dynamical systems could simply be based on two axes. One axis tells us the number of variables needed to characterize the state of the system. Equivalently, this number is the dimension of the state space. The other axis tells us whether the system is linear or nonlinear.

#### 2.1.1 Nonlinear dynamics

Nonlinear dynamics is concerned with the study of systems whose time evolution equations are not linear. In general, almost all real systems are strictly nonlinear, which is one key reason why this branch of mathematics is important. The randomness in chaotic behavior is in reality not random at all because its nature is dictated by the set of equation describing the system. The nonlinearity is the critical requirement for system to present chaos. Albeit all chaotic systems are nonlinear, this does not guarantee that all nonlinear systems are chaotic.

#### 2.1.2 Linear system

Consider the exponential growth of a population of organisms. This system is described by the first-order differential equation

$$\dot{x} = ax \tag{2.1}$$

where  $x$  is the population at time  $\tau$  and  $a > 0$  is the growth rate. This system has dimension one, because one piece of information - the current value of the population  $x$  - is sufficient to predict the population at any later time. The system is also classified as linear because the differential equation of Equation (2.1) is linear in  $x$ .

### 2.1.3 Nonlinear system

The swinging of a pendulum, governed by Equation (2.2) is an example of simple nonlinear system:

$$\ddot{x} + \frac{g}{l} \sin x = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where  $x$  is the angle of the pendulum from vertical,  $g$  is the acceleration due to gravity, and  $l$  is the length of the pendulum. The equivalent system is:

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 \quad (2.3)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -\frac{g}{l} \sin x_1, \quad (2.4)$$

In contrast to the previous example, the state of this system is given by two variables: its current angle  $x$  and angular velocity  $\dot{x}$ . In this case, the initial values of both  $x$  and  $\dot{x}$  are needed to determine the solution uniquely. For example, if only  $x$  is known, the way which the pendulum is swinging would be unknown. Because two variables are needed to specify the state, the pendulum has dimension two and the system is nonlinear. Nonlinearity makes the pendulum equation very difficult to solve analytically. The usual way around this is to fudge, by invoking the small angle approximation  $\sin x \approx x$  for  $x \ll 1$ . This converts the problem to a linear one, which can then be solved easily. But by restricting to small  $x$ , some of the physics is thrown out, like motions where the pendulum whirls over the top. It turns out that the pendulum equation can be solved analytically, in terms of elliptic functions. But an easier

way is geometrical methods using state space reconstruction of the system [9].

## 2.2 Chaos

In the mid-late 1600's Newton analytically solved the two-body problem - the problem of calculating the motion of the sun and earth given the inverse-square law of gravitational attraction. Of course the logical extension of this work was to analyze the three-body problem - the problem of calculating the motion of the sun, earth, and moon. Unfortunately, the three-body problem turned out to be impossible to solve analytically. The work on the three-body problem usually involved taking a Kepler orbit from the two-body problem, perturbing the system with a relatively small third mass, and then looking at the deviation of the motion of the two larger masses from the Kepler orbit. This would be done iteratively to obtain a perturbation expansion whose convergence was unknown. In the late 1800's, Poincaré showed [10] that these perturbation series could be expected to diverge and that very different (and complicated) orbits were possible for systems with similar initial conditions. With Poincaré's work came the birth of the study of classical chaos. For the most part, chaos was relegated to the background of physics and mathematics research in the early half of the 20th century. It wasn't until the 1950's and 1960's, with the work of Kolmogorov [11], Arnol'd [12], and Moser [13] (KAM) that Poincaré's theory was more deeply developed. It was many years still, until computers became more prevalent in physics research, before the prevalence of chaos in real physical systems (other than celestial mechanical systems) was fully appreciated. Before the advent of computers, the equations governing chaotic systems were just too difficult to experiment with. By now it has been found that chaos plays an important role in many fields of physics, including fluid dynamics and turbulence, plasmas, semiconductors, circuits, mechanical oscillators, and acoustics. Chaos has also found wide application in the study of mathematical biology, economics, and chemistry. Rather than cite direct sources for these applications referred to

some of the better textbooks and their examples [9, 14, 15]. Despite its prevalence, there is still no universally accepted definition of the term chaos. The best working definition of chaos is perhaps that proposed by Strogatz [9]:

*Chaos is aperiodic long-term behavior in a deterministic system that exhibits sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*

Deterministic: means that the system has no random or noisy inputs or parameters. The irregular behavior arises from the system's nonlinearity, rather than from noisy driving forces. Sensitive dependence on initial conditions: means that nearby trajectories separate exponentially fast, i.e., the system has a positive Lyapunov exponent. Aperiodic long-term behavior: means that there are trajectories which do not settle down to fixed points, periodic orbits, or quasiperiodic orbits as time goes to infinite. For practical reasons, it should be shown that such trajectories are not too rare. For instance, we could insist that there be an open set of initial conditions leading to aperiodic trajectories, or perhaps that such trajectories should occur with nonzero probability, given a random initial condition.

## **2.3 Invariant Measure**

Invariant measure describes the statistical properties of the dynamical system which has a close relation to the properties of the system such as ergodicity, mixing, and entropy. An important method of characterizing an attractor makes use of a probability distribution function. This notion becomes particularly important as the number of state space dimension increases. For a large number of state space dimensions, there are more and more geometric possibilities for attractors. For higher-dimensional state space, more abstract and less geometric method of characterizing the attractor is needed. Various kinds of probability distributions are useful in this case. In general terms, we ask what is the probability that a given trajectory point of the dynamical system falls within some particular region of state space [16]. Let  $X=[0,1]$  and  $\tau$ :



$X \rightarrow X$  (not necessarily one-to-one). For  $A \subset X$ ,  $\tau^{-1}(A) = \{x \in X : \tau(x) \in A\}$ . Considered as the average amount of time the orbit  $\tau^n(x)_n^\infty$  spends in a set  $B \subset X$ . The number of time  $\tau^n(x)_n^\infty$  is in  $B$  for  $n$  between 0 and  $N$  is

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \chi_B(\tau^n(x)). \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\chi_B$  is a random variable. The average time spent in  $B$  may be defined to be

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \chi_B(\tau^n(x)), \quad (2.6)$$

when limit exists. A measure  $\mu$  is an absolutely continuous measure if there is a function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $f \in L^1(X)$ , where  $L$  is Lebesgue measure such that

$$\mu(B) = \int_B f(x) dx, \quad (2.7)$$

for every Lebesgue measurable set  $B \subset X$ . The density in Equation (2.7) the corresponding measure  $\mu$  is called invariant (under  $\tau$ ) if  $\mu(\tau^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A)$  for every measurable set  $A$ . The Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem [15, 17] says that if there exists an invariant density and the density is unique, then the limit in Equation (2.6) exists for almost all  $x$  and furthermore

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} g(\tau^n(x)) = \int_0^1 g(x) f(x) dx, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $g$  is integrable. In other words, except for  $x$  in a set  $B$ ,  $\mu(B) = 0$ , the time average  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} g(\tau^n(x))$  is equal to the space average  $\int_0^1 g(x) f(x) dx$ . Therefore, if one can find the absolutely continuous invariant measure  $\mu$  for  $\tau$ , then the problem of finding the limit in Equation (2.6) is transformed into computing  $\int_B g d\mu$ . To find the absolutely continuous invariant measure  $\mu$  for  $\tau$ , let  $g = \chi_B$ , so

$$\mu(B) = \int_{[0,1]} \chi_B f(x) dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \chi_B(\tau^n(x)), \quad (2.9)$$

for almost every  $x$  in  $[0,1]$ . Hence, one might choose almost any  $x \in [0,1]$  and calculate the average time for iterations  $\tau^n(x)$  to recur in  $B$ . As an example the invariant measure of the Logistic map is studied. In this case the Logistic map is defined as:

$$S(x) = 4x(1-x) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (2.10)$$

Starting with an initial density  $f_0$  that when it is transformed by  $S(x)$  can be obtained the density  $f_1$  which is the density after the first iteration

$$\int_a^x f_1(u) du = \int_{S^{-1}([a,x])} f_0(u) du \quad (2.11)$$

Then the equation above is differentiated with respect to  $x$  to obtain the probability density function for this iteration

$$f_1(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \int_{S^{-1}([a,x])} f_0(u) du \quad (2.12)$$

In Figure 2.1 the interval of  $S^{-1}([a,x])$  that needs to be found to obtain the Frobenius-Perron operator is:

$$S^{-1}([a,x]) = [0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-x}] \cup [\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-x}, 1] \quad (2.13)$$

Applying Equation (2.13) for  $f_0(x) = 1$  yields

$$Pf(x) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{1-x}} f\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-x}\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-x}\right) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-x}} \quad (2.14)$$

If this process is continued for subsequent iterations a measure for the Logistic map would be found.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \quad (2.15)$$




Figure 2.1: Logistic map transformation.

## 2.4 Frobenius-Perron Operator

The Frobenius-Perron (F-P) operator is a powerful tool to study invariant measures [16]. Let us suppose we have a random variable  $X$  on  $[0,1]$  with

$$Prob\{X \in A\} = \int_A f dL$$

where  $L$  is Lebesgue measure. We would like to know the probability that  $X$  is in  $A$  after being transformed by  $\tau$ . Thus we write,

$$Prob\{\tau(X) \in A\} = Prob\{X \in \tau^{-1}(A)\} = \int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} f dm.$$

Furthermore, we would like to know if there exists a function  $\phi$  such that

$$Prob\{\tau(X) \in A\} = \int_A \phi dL$$

such a function  $\phi$  will obviously depend on  $f$  and  $\tau$ . We refer to it as the F-P Operator acting on  $f$ . Let  $\tau: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be measurable transformation such that  $m(\tau^{-1}(A))=0$  if  $m(A)=0$  for  $A$  a measurable subset of  $[0,1]$ , and define a measure  $\mu$  where

$$\mu(A) = \int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} f dL$$

where  $f \in L_1[0, 1]$  and  $A$  is an arbitrary measurable set. It can be seen that  $L(A) = 0 \rightarrow m(\tau^{-1}(A)) = 0 \rightarrow \mu(A) = 0$ , that is  $\mu \ll m$ . Then by Radon-Nikodym Theorem [16] there exists  $\phi \in L_1[0, 1]$  such that for all measurable sets  $A$ .

$$\mu(A) = \int_A \phi dL$$

and  $\phi$  is unique. The F-P operator for  $\tau$  is defined by setting  $P_\tau f = \phi$  [16]. Thus, for all measurable sets  $A \subset [0, 1]$

$$\int_A P_\tau f dm = \int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} f dm$$

from which it follows that

$$\int_0^X P_\tau f dm = \int_{\tau^{-1}([0,1])} f dm$$

and so

$$P_\tau f(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \int_{\tau^{-1}([0,1])} f dm.$$

## 2.5 Ergodic Theory

Ergodic theory of chaotic systems deals with the statistical properties of trajectories of dynamical systems [15, 17]. Many simple dynamical systems are found to be chaotic, which implies that long-term predictions are almost impossible from initial observation with limited accuracy. However, many chaotic systems are ergodic and ergodic theory can be invoked to make

predictions about the average behavior. As was the case with equilibrium statistical mechanics, this technical difficulty can be overcome by making use of the ergodic hypothesis, which states that the time average of any sensible function of the phase space variables will be equal to the ensemble average of this function, with the understanding that the ensemble average must be taken with respect to the proper stationary, invariant equilibrium measure,  $\mu$ . In other words, it can be assumed that the systems considered in this thesis will always satisfy the following condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t dt G(\Gamma(\vec{\tau})) = \int d\Gamma \rho(\vec{\Gamma}) G(\vec{\Gamma}) = \int \mu(d\vec{\Gamma}) G(\vec{\Gamma}), \quad (2.16)$$

where  $G$  is a given function of the phase space variables, corresponding to a physical observable. This definition also is equivalent to the statement that the trajectories in phase space will spend equal amounts of time in regions of equal volume. Of course, the mathematical proof that most physical systems are actually ergodic is a formidable task which has seldom been achieved, even for systems in which experimental observation and computations using ensemble averages are in excellent agreement.

## 2.6 Lyapunov Exponent

Lyapunov exponent is another important quantity in nonlinear dynamics. It describes how fast two adjacent trajectories leave each other in phase space. A measure of the chaotic behavior of an iterated map is given by the Lyapunov exponent [17, 18]. Specifically, the Lyapunov Exponent  $\lambda(x_0)$  is a measure of the average rate of divergence:

$$\lambda(x_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{dx_{n+1}(x_i)}{dx_i} \right|. \quad (2.17)$$

In general, the number of Lyapunov exponents (including those which are zero, corresponding to directions in phase space such that trajectories which follow these directions always remain

at a constant separation from each other) will equal the dimensions of the phase space. The positive exponents correspond to directions in which points stretch out or separate, while the negative exponents correspond to directions in which points contract or approach each other. In the case where  $\lambda(x_0)$  is positive it can be said that the iterated map has a chaotic behavior. Experimental results for Lyapunov exponent for the chaotic maps [9, 15] are indicated in Table 2.1. Also, the plot of Lyapunov exponent for Logistic map is shown in Figure 2.2.

Table 2.1: Lyapunov exponents of chaotic maps

Chaotic Maps	$\lambda$
Logistic Map	0.69128
Tent Map	0.69315
Quadratic Map	0.66122
Bernoulli Map	0.70155




Figure 2.2: Lyapunov exponent of Logistic map

## 2.7 Kolmogorov-Sinai Entropy

There is another dynamical quantity which will be of great interest to us, called the K-S entropy, or  $h_{KS}$  for short [19]. This quantity measures the rate at which information can be gained about the initial configuration of the system [17]. To see how this works, we can imagine that we have a restricted resolution of our phase space, and it cannot be seen on scales finer than some small “cubical” region of side  $\varepsilon$ . At the beginning it is known that our system is represented by a phase space point contained somewhere inside this small volume element, but cannot say more than that due to the resolution problem. As this small volume element evolves in time, it can be seen that its sides will stretch exponentially in time, to lengths of order  $\varepsilon e^{\lambda_i t}$  where  $\lambda_i$  is just one of the positive Lyapunov exponents corresponding to a given direction. If the whole phase space is partitioned into little cubical regions of side  $\varepsilon$ , it can be seen in which of these small regions the system happens to repeat after evolving for a time  $t$ . Given that it can be known the dynamics obeyed by the system, they can be run backwards and thus infer where this region came from in the original volume, and thus learn more about the initial location of the system in phase space. It has been shown by Pesin that there is a simple relation between the positive Lyapunov exponents of a system and its K-S entropy, given by

$$h_{KS} = \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i, \quad (2.18)$$

and this relation, called Pesin’s Theorem, will prove to be quite useful in our study. In particular, it can be guarantee that a system is chaotic if it has a positive K-S entropy, and for this reason, the K-S entropy will play a key role in our subsequent investigations.

## 2.8 Fractal Dimension

The Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda$  emphasizes the time-dependent aspects of the chaotic sequence. The fractal dimension  $D$  is another method of quantifying chaos, focusing on the geometric aspects of chaos [20].  $D$  is a non-integer quantity that can be interpreted as the degree of irregularity of the signal and is related to the active degrees of freedom, which is denoted by  $F$ . Normally  $D < F$  and as a consequence,  $D$  helps us to determine how many variables are needed to model the dynamics of a chaotic system. However, the fractal dimension  $D$  is not uniquely defined [21]. Actually, there exist a number of dimensions that can be used (Similarity, Minkowski, Gyration, Hausdorff, Correlation and Variance) [20, 21].

## 2.9 Bifurcation

A non-linear dynamical system can behave differently depending on the system's parameter values. Different behaviors include periodic, quasiperiodic and chaotic regimes. A system transitions from one type of behavior to another depends on the value of a set of important system parameters. These regime transitions occur via a bifurcation process; the parameters responsible for these regime changes are called bifurcation parameters. The complete dynamic evolution of a system can be represented by a bifurcation diagram. The bifurcation diagram of a one-dimensional discrete-time chaotic map is obtained by setting one of the map parameters to a fixed value and varying a second parameter over a prescribed range. Figure 2.3 shows the bifurcation diagram of Logistic map.

## 2.10 Attractors

In general, there are a conservative and a dissipative systems in dynamical systems. However, in practice, most dynamics is energy dissipative systems which are characterized by contracting






Figure 2.3: Bifurcation diagram of Logistic map

state space volume. Since the state space flow of the system is contracting and bounded, there must exist a limit set in the state space. Attractors are defined by limit sets in which trajectories are asymptotically attracted to a region of state space as time goes to infinity. The limit set can be equilibrium points (point attractor), periodic orbits (limit cycle), quasiperiodic orbits (torus) or strange attractors. Now the phenomenon that has occupied the center stage in nonlinear dynamics in recent times can be examined. To begin with a rather formal definition [22], a chaotic attractor may be geometrically identified as a stable structure of long term trajectories in a bounded region of phase space which folds the bundle of trajectories back onto itself resulting in mixing and divergence of nearby states. From a physical point of view, this means that, a system that exhibits chaotic behavior can start off with two nearby initial states and end up in final states far away from each other after a certain period of time. In other words, the response of a chaotic system is highly sensitive to initial conditions. In 1963, Lorenz [23] published an analysis of a simplified model of convection in the atmosphere of the earth which involved a set of nonlinear differential equations in three variables. A numerical approximation of any solution to this set of equations has the following interesting properties.

The orbit is not closed. The orbit does not represent a transition stage to well known regular

behavior, for some open regions of parameter space. The orbits with different initial conditions possess qualitative similarity in the sense that they are bounded within a certain region of phase space. The system is deterministic. That is, if one were to start from identical initial conditions one would recover identical orbits. The orbit and the intricate geometrical structure it creates depend on the initial conditions in a very sensitive way. Thus, a slight perturbation of the initial conditions produces a very different picture.

A graphical representation of this phenomenon is given in Figure 2.4. Due to the bounded nature of the trajectories, the presence of an attracting region is quite evident in this case. But within the bounded region there exists an unpredictable, non-periodic pattern and this is termed chaotic behavior. An attractor of this type is called a chaotic attractor.




Figure 2.4: Attractor

## 2.11 Fixed Point

Points of intersection in the  $x - y$  plane of the two curves  $y = f(x)$  and  $y = x$  are evidently of great importance, which warrants some definitions [9]. In general any value  $x^*$  for which

$f(x^*) = x^*$  is called a fixed point of  $f$ . A fixed point  $x^*$  is stable if it belongs to an interval  $I = (a, b)$ , such that for any  $x_0$  in  $I$ .

- A stable fixed point will also be called an *attractor*, an unstable fixed point a *repeller*.
- A fixed point may also be stable in some weaker sense.

## 2.12 Chaotic Maps

The time behavior of a deterministic system is said to be chaotic when this behavior is aperiodic and apparently random [19]. Chaotic systems can be mathematically modeled by differential equations or in the case of discrete systems by difference equations. A solution of a difference equation is regarded as a sequence of iterations of some initial point under the mapping [24]. Three descriptors are needed to characterize a chaotic system: the time evolution equations, the values of the parameters describing the systems, and the initial conditions. In some instances, the dynamics of one-dimensional chaotic systems can be mathematically modeled by chaotic maps [21]. To have a better understanding of one-dimensional iterated maps,  $x$  can be defined as an independent variable and  $f(x)$  can be defined as the iterated map function. Usually an iterated map depends on certain parameters which may not be shown explicitly. The iteration begins with an initial value  $x_0$ , the trajectory of the map is generated by the application of the map function  $f(x)$  [21]. So in general, a chaotic map can be defined by a mathematical transformation defined as

$$x_{(n+1)} = f(x_{(n)}) \tag{2.19}$$

An implementation of this mathematical transformation is an iteration of the map.

## CHAPTER 3

# ONE-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF CHAOTIC MAPS

### 3.1 One-parameter families of chaotic maps

The one-parameter families of chaotic maps of the interval  $[0, 1]$  with an invariant measure are defined as the ratio of polynomials of degree  $N$  [1, 8, 25]:

$$\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha^2 F}{1 + (\alpha^2 - 1)F}, \quad (3.1)$$

$F$  is a substitute for Chebyshev polynomial of type one  $T_N(x)$  for  $\Phi_N^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$  and Chebyshev polynomial of type two  $U_N(x)$  for  $\Phi_N^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$ . As an example some of these maps are given below :

$$\Phi_2^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha^2(2x-1)^2}{4x(1-x) + \alpha^2(2x-1)^2}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\Phi_2^{(2)} = \frac{4\alpha^2x(1-x)}{1 + 4(\alpha^2 - 1)x(1-x)}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\Phi_3^{(1)} = \Phi_3^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha^2x(4x-3)^2}{\alpha^2x(4x-3)^2 + (1-x)(4x-1)^2}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Phi_4^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha^2(1-8x(1-x))^2}{\alpha^2(1-8x(1-x))^2 + 16x(1-x)(1-2x)^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\Phi_4^{(2)} = \frac{16\alpha^2x(1-x)(1-2x)^2}{(1-8x+8x^2)^2 + 16\alpha^2x(1-x)(1-2x)^2}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\Phi_5^{(1)} = \Phi_5^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha^2x(16x^2-20x+5)^2}{\alpha^2x(16x^2-20x+5)^2 + (1-x)(16x^2-(2x-1))}. \quad (3.7)$$

where the map  $\Phi_2^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$  reduces to Logistic map if  $\alpha = 1$ .

Invariant measure for one-parameter families of chaotic maps is calculated and presented

in Appendix A.

### 3.2 Numerical Simulations

These chaotic maps have interesting property, that is, for even values of N the,  $\Phi_4^{(1)}(\alpha, x)$  and  $\Phi_4^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$  maps have only a fixed point attractor  $x = 1$  and  $x = 0$  provided that their parameter belongs to interval  $(4, \infty)$   $(0, \frac{1}{4})$  while, at  $\alpha \geq 4$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{4}$  they bifurcate to chaotic regime without having any period doubling or period-n-tupling scenario and remain chaotic for all  $\alpha \in (0, 4)$  and  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$  respectively but  $\Phi_3^{(1)}(x, \alpha) = \Phi_3^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$ , maps have only fixed point attractor  $x = 0$  for  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, 3)$ , again it bifurcates to chaotic regime at  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{3}$ , and remains chaotic for  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ , finally it bifurcate at  $\alpha = 3$  to have  $x = 1$  as fixed point attractor for all  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \infty)$  [1, 8, 25]. The numerical simulations are applied on the proposed chaotic maps and the corresponding bifurcation diagram and Lyapunov exponent for the maps mentioned above are shown in Figures 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6.




Figure 3.1: Bifurcation diagram of  $\Phi_4^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$




Figure 3.2: Bifurcation diagram of  $\Phi_4^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$




Figure 3.3: Bifurcation diagram of  $\Phi_3^{(1)}(x, \alpha) = \Phi_3^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$




Figure 3.4: Lyapunov exponent of  $\Phi_4^{(1)}(x, \alpha)$




Figure 3.5: Lyapunov exponent of  $\Phi_4^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$




Figure 3.6: Lyapunov exponent of  $\Phi_3^{(1)}(x, \alpha) = \Phi_3^{(2)}(x, \alpha)$



## CHAPTER 4

# HIERARCHY OF 2D PIECEWISE NONLINEAR CHAOTIC MAPS

### 4.1 Two Dimensional Piecewise Nonlinear Chaotic Maps

The most popular discrete time map that has been used in cryptography is Logistic map. Logistic map is generalized to a hierarchy of one-parameter families of maps with ergodic behavior, in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . The hierarchy can be defined as (see [1], for the details):

$$\Phi_N^{(1,2)}(x, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha^2 T_N(\sqrt{x})}{1 + (\alpha^2 - 1) T_N(\sqrt{x})}, \quad (4.1)$$

The maps  $\Phi_N(\alpha, x)$ , are  $(N - 1)$ -nodal maps, that is, they have  $(N - 1)$  critical points in unit interval  $[0, 1]$  [18] and they have only single period one stable fixed points or they are ergodic [1].

As an example one of these maps are given below:

$$\Phi_2^{(2)} = \frac{4\alpha^2 x(1-x)}{1 + 4(\alpha^2 - 1)x(1-x)}, \quad (4.2)$$

Now using the pieces of these one-dimensional maps, a new hierarchy of ergodic two-dimensional piecewise nonlinear chaotic maps is constructed and which can be defined as:

$$\Phi_{N, N_1, N_2, \dots, N_N}(x, \alpha, y, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{n+1} = \Phi_N(x_n, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha^2 (T_N(\sqrt{x_n}))^2}{1 + (\alpha^2 - 1) (T_N(\sqrt{x_n}))^2} \\ y_{n+1} = \Phi_{N_1}(y_n, b_1) = \frac{b_1^2 (T_{N_1}(\sqrt{y_n}))^2}{1 + (b_1^2 - 1) (T_{N_1}(\sqrt{y_n}))^2} \end{array} \right. \quad x_n \in [0, \tilde{x}_1]$$