IMPROVEMENT OF TIKRIT GYPSEOUS SOIL USING SOIL REPLACEMENT AND ADDITIVES

LAMYAA NAJAH SNODI

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA
2015

IMPROVEMENT OF TIKRIT GYPSEOUS SOIL USING SOIL REPLACEMENT AND ADDITIVES

BY

LAMYAA NAJAH SNODI

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2015

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All thanks to the Almighty God for his infinite mercy for the successful accomplishment of this research. It is my great pleasure to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Fauziah Binti Ahmad for her academic and moral support, encouragement and guidance throughout this research. I thank her a lot for her invaluable ideas and remarks which made this study very interesting. My thanks as well go to Prof. Dr. Mohd Azlin Mohd Said and Dr. Adnan Jayd for their support throughout the work. I really was honored to have the opportunity to work under the supervision of these erudite scholars.

I also express my sincere appreciation to the Dean of the School of Civil Engineering USM, Prof. Dr. Ahmad Farhan and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Shukri, for the invaluable support and help rendered throughout my studies. My sincere thanks go also to all the members of staff (both academic and non-academic) in the School of Civil Engineering, USM, for their cooperation and support throughout this research

My deepest gratitude goes equally to all my friends, both in Malaysia and Iraq for their unparalleled help, kindness and moral support towards during the course of this research. Thank you for always being there for me. I also express my deepest appreciation to the Universiti Sains Malaysia for providing me a warm environment to feel at home

Last but definitely not least, my deepest and most heartfelt gratitude goes to

my beloved mom and father, for her endless love and support. I thank very specially

my darling husband (Raed) and my loving children, Najwa, Ahmad and Aous, for all

those innumerable things I could not possibly have done without them. My entire

family is equally worthy of commendation for their support and encouragement. To

everyone else who is directly or indirectly involved in this research, your kind input

can and shall not be forgotten. My appreciation goes to all of you.

LAMYAA NAJAH SNODI

School of civil Engineering

University Sains Malaysia

2015

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			pages
ACF	KNOWLE	EDGEMENTS	ii
TAB	SLE OF C	ONTENTS	iv
LIST	Γ OF TAB	BLES	xii
LIST	r of fig	URES	xiv
LIST	ΓOFABB	BREVIATIONS	xxiii
LIST	Γ OF SYM	MBOLS	xxvi
LIST	Γ OF PUB	BLICATIONS	xxvii
ABS	TRAK		xxviii
ABS	TRACT		XXX
CHA	APTER 1	- INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	General		1
1.2	Gypseo	us soil	2
1.3	Problem	n Statement	5
1.4	Researc	h Objectives	7
1.5	Scope o	f Research	7
1.6	The Stru	ucture of the Thesis	8
CHA	APTER 2	- LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Introduc	ction	9
2.2	Gypsun	n	9
	2.2.1	Gypsum properties	9
	2.2.2	Origin and Formation of Gypsum	10
	2.2.3	Solubility and Dissolution of Gypsum	11

2.3	Gypseous soil						
	2.3.1	Formatio	n of Gy	pseous soils	12		
	2.3.2	Gypseous	14				
	2.3.3	Propertie	s and P	roblems of Gypseous soils	18		
2.4	Soil Mixi	ing			20		
2.5	Effect Of	Gypsum C	Content	On Properties Of Gypseous Soil	24		
	2.5.1	Atterberg	g Limit	S	24		
	2.5.2	Dry Den	sity (co	empaction test)	24		
	2.5.3	Permeab	ility		25		
	2.5.4	Compres	sibility		26		
	2.5.5	Collapsibility					
	2.5.6	Shear Sta	rength		27		
2.6	Leaching	in Gypseo	us soil		29		
	2.6.1	Leaching	Tests		29		
		2.6.1.1	Field	Tests	29		
		2.6.1.2	Labo	ratory Tests	30		
			1.	Rowe cell apparatus	30		
			2.	Oedometer-Permeability leaching	31		
			3.	Triaxial- Permeability leaching	31		
			4.	Percolation-Permeability	32		
			5.	Special Apparatus	32		
	2.6.2	Effect of	Leachii	ng on properties of Gypseous soils	32		
		2.6.2.1	Atte	erberg Limits	33		
		2.6.2.2	Pern	neability	33		
		2.6.2.3	Con	npressibility	34		

		2.6.2.4	Collapsibility	35
		2.6.2.5	Shear Strength	36
2.7	Soil Stab	oilization		37
2.8	Summar	y		44
СНА	PTER 3 -	METHOD	OOLGY	52
3.1	Introduc	tion		52
3.2	Materia	ls		54
	3.2.1	Soils		54
	3.2.2	Chemical	ladditives	54
	3.2.3	Water		57
	3.2.4	Preparing	g soil replacements	57
3.3	Methods	(Testing p	rograms)	58
	3.3.1	Physical	characteristics	58
		3.3.1.1	Specific Gravity	58
		3.3.1.2	Atterberg limits	59
		3.3.1.3	Grain Size Analysis	60
	3.3.2	Engineer	ing properties	61
		3.3.2.1	Standard proctor (compaction test)	61
		3.3.2.2	Permeability Test	62
		3.3.2.3	Compressibility Test	64
		3.3.2.4	Collapsibility Test	65
		3.3.2.5	Soil strength	66
	3.3.3	Chemical	Properties of Soil	69
		3.3.3.1	Gypsum content	69
		3.3.3.2	Organic Matter	70

		3.3.3.3	pH. Value		71	
		3.3.3.4	Total solub	ole salts (T.S.S. %)	71	
	3.3.4	X-Ray D	iffraction		72	
3.4		Leaching	g Apparatus P	reparation and Testing	73	
	3.4.1	The App	aratus Setup		73	
	3.4.2	Preparati	on of the sam	ple	76	
	3.4.3	Test prod	edure		77	
СНА	APTER 4	- RESULTS	S AND DISC	USSION	79	
4.1	Introdu	ction			79	
4.2	Charact	teristics of se	oils		79	
4.3	4.3 Gypseous soil mixing with other soils (soil replacement)					
	4.3.1	Silty Sand	soil (SM) and	d Gypseous soil (SP)	81	
		4.3.1.1	Index prop	erties	81	
		4.3.1.2	Compactio	n Test	81	
		4.3.1.3	Permeabili	ty Test	84	
		4.3.1.4	Compressi	bility Test	84	
			4.3.1.4.a	Pre – consolidation Pressure	85	
			4.3.1.4.b	Compression index	85	
			4.3.1.4.c	Coefficient of volume compressibility	86	
		4.3.1.5	Collapsibil	ity test	87	
		4.3.1.6	Direct shea	ar strength	88	
		4.3.1.7	Unconfine	d compression strength	89	
	4.3.2	Low plasti	city Sandy Si	lt soil (ML) and Gypseous soil (SP)	90	
		4.3.2.1	Index prop	erties	90	
		4.3.2.2	Compaction	n Test	90	

		4.3.2.3	Permeability Test	93
		4.3.2.4	Compressibility Test	93
			4.3.2.4.a Pre – consolidation Pressure	94
			4.3.2.4.b Compression index	94
			4.3.2.4.c Coefficient of volume compressibility	95
		4.3.2.5	Collapsibility test	96
		4.3.2.6	Direct shear Test	96
		4.3.2.7	Unconfined compression strength	97
4.4	Gypsed	ous soil mixii	ng with chemicals additives	98
	4.4.1	Styrene Bu	utadiene Rubber (SBR)	98
		4.4.1.1	Atterberg limits	98
		4.4.1.2	Compaction Test	100
		4.4.1.3	Permeability Test	100
		4.4.1.4	Compressibility Test	101
			4.4.1.4.a Pre – consolidation Pressure	101
			4.4.1.4.b Compression index	102
			4.4.1.4.c Coefficient of volume compressibility	103
		4.4.1.5	Collapsibility Test	103
		4.4.1.6	Direct shear Test	105
		4.4.1.7	Unconfined Compression Strength Test	107
	4.4.2	Geopolym	er	107
		4.4.2.1	Atterberg limits	108
		4.4.2.2	Compaction Test	109
		4.4.2.3	Permeability Test	110
		4.4.2.4	Compressibility Test	110
			4.4.2.4.a Pre – consolidation Pressure	110

			4.4.2.4.b Compression index	111
			4.4.2.4.c Coefficient of volume compressibility	112
		4.4.2.5	Collapsibility Test	113
		4.4.2.6	Direct shear Test	113
		4.4.2.7	Unconfined compression strength	114
	4.4.3	Lime		115
		4.4.3.1	Atterberg Limits	115
		4.4.3.2	Compaction Test	116
		4.4.3.3	Permeability Test	117
		4.4.3.4	Compressibility Test	117
			4.4.3.4.a Pre – consolidation Pressure	117
			4.4.3.4.b Compression index	118
			4.4.3.4.c Coefficient of volume compressibility	119
		4.4.3.5	Collapsibility Test	119
		4.4.3.6	Direct shear Test	121
		4.4.3.7	Unconfined compression strength	124
4.5	Soil lea	aching Appa	aratus	123
	4.5.1	Natural so	oils	123
	4.5.2	Gypseous	s soil mixing with other soils (soil replacement)	123
	4.5.3	Gypseous	s soil mixing with Chemical additives	
4.6	Soil ro	nlacement w	with Chemical additives	130
4.0	3011 10			133
	4.6.1	Soil repla	cement (SM + Gyps) with SBR	133
		4.6.1.1	Atterberg limits	133
		4.6.1.2	Compaction Test	135
		4.6.1.3	Permeability Test	136
		4.6.1.4	Compressibility Test	136

		4.6.1.4.a	Pre – consolidation Pressure	137
		4.6.1.4.b	Compression index	137
		4.6.1.4.c	Coefficient of volume compressibility	138
	4.6.1.5	Collapsibilit	ty Test	139
	4.6.1.6	Direct shear	Test	139
4.6.2	Soil replace	ement (SM +	Gyps) with Lime	141
	4.6.2.1	Atterberg lin	mits	141
	4.6.2.2	Compaction	Test	143
	4.6.2.3	Permeability	y Test	145
	4.6.2.4	Compressib	ility Test	145
		4.6.2.4.a	Pre – consolidation Pressure	146
		4.6.2.4.b	Compression index	146
		4.6.2.4.c	Coefficient of volume compressibility	147
	4.6.2.5	Collapsibilit	ty Test	148
	4.6.2.6	Direct shear	Test	148
4.6.3	Soil replace	ement (ML +	Gyps) with SBR	150
	4.6.3.1	Atterberg lin	mits	150
	4.6.3.2	Compaction	Test	152
	4.6.3.3	Permeability	y Test	153
	4.6.3.4	Compressib	ility Test	154
		4.6.3.4.a	Pre – consolidation Pressure	154
		4.6.3.4.b	Compression index	155
		4.6.3.4.c	Coefficient of volume compressibility	156
	4.6.3.5	Collapsibilit	ty Test	157
	1636	Direct chear	Test	158

	4.6.4	Soil replacement (ML+Gyps) with Lime					
		4.6.4.1	Atterberg l	imits	160		
		4.6.4.2	Compactio	n Test	162		
		4.6.4.3	Permeabili	ty Test	164		
		4.6.4.4	Compressi	bility Test	164		
			4.6.4.4.a	Pre – consolidation Pressure	165		
			4.6.4.4.b	Compression index	165		
			4.6.4.4.c	Coefficient of volume compressibility	166		
		4.6.4.5	Collapsibil	ity Test	167		
		4.6.4.6	Direct shea	nr Test	167		
4.7	X-Ray	Diffraction			169		
4.8	Summa	ary			172		
СНА	PTER 5	- CONCL	USIONS AN	D RECOMMENDATIONS	173		
5.1	Conclu	isions			173		
5.2	Summa	ary			178		
5.3	Recom	mendations			178		
REF	ERENC!	ES			179		
A PPI	ENDICE	2.5			193		

LIST OF TABLES

	Pages
Table 2.1: Chemical components of gypsum and anhydrite	14
Table2.2: Distribution of Gypseous soils according to area (Modified from	16
FAO 1990)	
Table 2.3: Classification of Gypseous soils, (according to Barazanji,1973)	19
Table 2.4: Classification of Gypseous Soil from NCCL (after Nashat, 1990)	19
Table 2.5: Recent proposed classification, applied for Gypseous soils	20
(Modified from Al-Dabbas et al., 2010)	
Table 2.6: Summery of treatments for Gypseous soil	44
Table 3.1: Chemical additives Characteristics	55
Table 3.2: Properties for types of Geopolymer	55
Table 3.3: Mix design for Gypseous soil with chemical additives	58
Table 3.4: Collapse potential severity of problem (After Jennings and	66
Knight, 1975)	
Table 3.5: Description of the samples used in the experiment	78
Table 4.1: Characteristics of soils	80
Table 4.2: Index properties for Soil replacement (SM+ Gyps)	82
Table 4.3. Index properties for Soil replacement (ML+ Gyps)	91

Table	4.4:	Effect	of S	BR	percentage	and	curing	time	on	shear	strength	10	6
		parar	neter	(c a	nd ϕ^{o})								

Table 4.5: Summary of minerals by XRD test for Gypseous soil with

additives

LIST OF FIGURES

	Pages
Figure 1.1: Typical Gypseous soil - Tikrit city, Iraq	4
Figure 1.2: Case of collapse in Gypseous soil	6
Figure 2.1: Distribution of Gypseous soils in the world(from FAO, 1990)	17
Figure 2.2: Distribution of Gypseous soils in Iraq,(from Al-Kaabi, 2007)	18
Figure 2.3: Details of Row Cell	31
Figure 3.1: The schematic diagram of the experiment	53
Figure 3.2: Flowchart of the methodology	56
Figure 3.3: Cone penetration test apparatus	60
Figure 3.4: Grain size distribution for SP soil, SM soil and ML soil	61
Figure 3.5: (A) Falling head apparatus and (B) Constant head apparatus	63
Figure 3.6: Consolidation device test (Oedometer)	64
Figure 3.7: Direct shear test device	67
Figure 3.8: Unconfined compression test device	68
Figure 3.9: Leaching Model Assembly	74
Figure 3.10: Samples inside the leaching Apparatus	75
Figure 3.11: Hammer used to compact the samples	77
Figure 4.1: Variation of MDD with OMC for different SM percentages	83

Figure 4.2: Relationship between MDD and OMC at different SM	83
percentages	
Figure 4.3: Effect of SM% on Permeability coefficient of Gypseous soil	84
Figure 4.4: Pre – consolidation Pressure affected by SM% for Gypseous	85
soil	
Figure 4.5: Effect of SM % on Compression index for Gypseous soil	86
Figure 4.6: Effect of SM % on coefficient of volume compressibility for	87
Gypseous Soil	
Figure 4.7: Collapse Potential (C.P. %) affected by SM % for Gypseous	88
soil	
Figure 4.8: Shear strength at different SM % for Gypseous soil	88
Figure 4.9: Variation of compressive strength with SM % for Gypseous	89
soil	
Figure 4.10: Variation of MDD with OMC for different ML percent	92
Figure 4.11: Relationship between MDD and OMC at different ML	92
percentage	
Figure 4.12: Effect of ML% on permeability coefficient	93
Figure 4.13: Pre – consolidation Pressure affected by <i>ML</i> % for Gypseous	94
soil	
Figure 4.14: Effect of ML % on Compression index for Gypseous soil	95
Figure 4.15: Effect of ML % on coefficient of volume compressibility for	95
Gypseous soi.	

Figure 4.16: Collapse Potential (C.P. %) affected by <i>ML</i> % for Gypseous soil	96
Figure 4.17: Shear strength at different <i>ML</i> % for Gypseous soil	97
Figure 4.18: Effect of ML% on Compressive strength for Gypseous soil	98
Figure 4.19: Effect of SBR% on Consistency limit for Gypseous soil	99
Figure 4.20: Consistency limit for Gypseous soil with different SBR	99
percentages	
Figure 4.21: Relationships between MDD and OMC at different SBR	100
percentages.	
Figure 4.22: SBR percentage effect on permeability coefficient for	101
Gypseous soil	
Figure 4.23: Pre - consolidation Pressure affected by SBR% for	102
Gypseous soil	
Figure 4.24: Effect of SBR % on Compression index for Gypseous soil	102
Figure 4.25: Effect of SBR% on the coefficient of volume compressibility	103
for Gypseous soil.	
Figure 4.26: Pressure with voids ratio for Gypseous soil mixing with	104
different SBR percentage	
Figure 4.27: Collapse potential (C.P. %) with different percent of SBR	104
for Gypseous soil.	
Figure 4.28: SBR % effect on shear strength at different curing Time.	105
Figure 4.29: Curing Time effect on shear strength at different SBR %.	106
Figure 4.30: Effect of SBR% on compressive strength for Gypseous soil.	107
Figure 4.31: Effect of Geopolymer on Consistency limit for Gypseous	108
soil.	

Figure 4.32: Consistency limit for Gypseous soil with Geopolymer.	108
Figure 4.33: Variation of MDD with OMC for Gypseous soil with	109
Geopolymer.	
Figure 4.34: Relationships between MDD and OMC with Geopolymer	109
Figure 4.35: Effect of Geopolymer on Permeability coefficient of	110
Gypseous soil	
Figure 4.36: Pre – consolidation Pressure affected by Geopolymer for	111
Gypseous Soil	
Figure 4.37: Effect of <i>Geopolymer</i> on Compression index for Gypseous	112
soil	
Figure 4.38: Effect of Geopolymer on coefficient of volume	112
compressibility for Gypseous soil	
Figure 4.39: Collapse Potential (C.P. %) affected by Geopolymer for	113
Gypseous soil	
Figure 4.40: Curing Time effect on shear strength for Geopolymer.	114
Figure 4.41: Effect of curing time on the compressive strength of	115
Gypseous soil at 2.5% for different Geopolymer types	
Figure 4.42: Variation of plastic behavior for Gypseous soil with lime (%)	116
Figure 4.43: Relationships between MDD and OMC at different Lime	116
percentages.	
Figure 4.44: Effect of Lime (%) on permeability coefficient for Gypseous	117
soil	
Figure 4.45: Pre – consolidation Pressure affected by Lime for Gypseous	118
soil	

Figure 4.46: Effect of Lime (%) on Compression index for Gypseous soil	118
Figure 4.47: Effect of Lime (%) on the coefficient of volume	119
compressibility for Gypseous soil	
Figure 4.48: Pressure with voids ratio for Gypseous soil mixing with	120
different Lime percentage	
Figure 4.49: Collapse potential (C.P. %) with different percentages of	120
lime	
Figure 4.50: Lime % effect on shear strength at different curing times	121
Figure 4.51: Curing Time effect on shear strength at different Lime	122
percentages	
Figure 4.52: Effect of Lime percentages on the compressive strength	122
Figure 4.53: Flow rate versus time for naturals soils	124
Figure 4.54: Piping in Gypseous soil after 10 days of leaching	125
Figure 4.55: Total soluble salts versus time for natural soils.	126
Figure 4.56: Settlement of Gypseous soil during leaching process	127
Figure 4.57: Flow rate versus time for soil replacement	128
Figure 4.58: Total soluble salts % versus time for soil replacement	129
Figure 4.59: Settlement of soil replacement and Gypseous soil during	130
leaching process	
Figure 4.60: Flow rate versus time for soils with chemical material	131
Figure 4.61: Total soluble salts % versus time for Gypseous soil mixing	131
with chemical additives	

Figure 4.62: Settlement of Gypseous soil with chemical additives during	132
Leaching process.	
Figure 4.63: Effects of different SBR percentages on Consistency limit	134
for soil replacement (SM + Gyps.): (a) 1.25%SBR, (b)	
2.5%SBR and (c) 5%SBR.	
Figure 4.64: SBR% effect on MDD for soil replacement (SM + Gyps)	135
Figure 4.65: SBR% effect on OMC for soil replacement (SM + Gyps)	135
Figure 4.66: Effect of SBR % on the permeability coefficient for soil	136
replacement.	
Figure 4.67: Pre – consolidation Pressure affected by SBR % for soil	137
Replacement (SM + Gyps)	
Figure 4.68: Effect of SBR% on Compression index for soil replacement	138
(SM + Gyps.)	
Figure 4.69: Effect of SBR % on coefficient of volume compressibility for	138
Soil replacement (SM + Gyps.)	
Figure 4.70: Collapse Potential % affected by SBR % for soil	139
replacement (SM + Gyps).	
Figure 4.71: Shear strength at different SBR % curing times (14 Day) for	140
soil replacement (SM+Gyps)	
Figure 4.72: Shear strength at 1.25% SBR with different curing times for	140
soil replacement (SM + Gyps)	
Figure 4.73: Effect of different lime percentages on Consistency limit for	141
soil replacement (SM + Gyps.): (a) 1.25%, (b) 2.5%, (c)	
5% and (d) 10%.	

Figure 4.74: Lime% effect on MDD for soil replacement (SM +Gyps)	144
Figure 4.75: Lime% effect on OMC for soil replacement (SM+ Gyps)	144
Figure 4.76: Effect of Lime % on permeability coefficient for soil	145
replacement	
Figure 4.77: Pre – consolidation Pressure affected Lime % for soil	146
replacement (SM + Gyps)	
Figure 4.78: Effect of Lime % on Compression index for soil replacement	147
(SM + Gyps)	
Figure 4.79: Effect of Lime % on coefficient of volume compressibility	147
for soil replacement (SM + Gyps)	
Figure 4.80: Collapse Potential% affected by Lime % for soil replacement	148
(SM + Gyps).	
Figure 4.81: Shear strength at different lime % and curing time (14 Day)	149
for soil replacement (SM +Gyps)	
Figure 4.82: Shear strength at 1.25% Lime with different curing times for	149
soil replacement (SM + Gyps)	
Figure 4.83: Effect of different SBR percentages on Atterberg limits for	151
soil replacement (ML+ Gyps.): (a) 1.25%, (b) 2.5% and (c)	
5%	
Figure 4.84: SBR% effect on MDD for soil replacement (ML+ Gyps)	153
Figure 4.85: SBR % effect on OMC for soil replacement (ML+ Gyps)	153
Figure 4.86: Effect of SBR % on permeability coefficient for soil	154
replacement	
Figure 4.87: Pre – consolidation Pressure affected by SBR% for soil replacement (ML+ Gyps)	155

Figure 4.88: Effect of SBR % on Compression index for soil replacement	156
(ML+Gyps)	
Figure 4.89: Effect of SBR % on coefficient of volume compressibility for	157
soil replacement (ML+ Gyps)	
Figure 4.90: Collapse Potential% affected by SBR % for soil replacement	158
(ML+ Gyps)	
Figure 4.91: Shear strength at different SBR % curing times (14 Days) for	159
soil Replacement (ML+Gyps)	
Figure 4.92: Shear strength at 1.25% SBR with different curing times for	159
soil Replacement (ML+ Gyps)	
Figure 4.93: Effects of different Lime percentages on Atterberg limits for	160
soil Replacement (ML+Gyps.): (a) 1.25%, (b) 2.5%, (c)	
5%and (d) 10%.	
Figure 4.94: Lime% effect on MDD for soil replacement (ML + Gyps)	163
Figure 4.95: SBR % effect on OMC for soil replacement (ML + Gyps)	163
Figure 4.96: Effect of SBR % on permeability coefficient for soil	164
replacement	
Figure 4.97: Pre – consolidation Pressure affected Lime % for soil	165
replacement (ML+ Gyps)	
Figure 4.98: Effect of Lime % on Compression index for soil replacement	166
(ML+ Gyps)	
Figure 4.99: Effect of Lime % on coefficient of volume compressibility	166
for Soil replacement (ML+ Gyps)	

Figure 4.100: Collapse Potential % affected by Lime % for soil	167
replacement (ML+ Gyps).	
Figure 4.101: Shear strength at different Lime % curing times (14 Days)	168
for soil replacement (ML+ Gyps)	
Figure 4.102: Shear strength at 5% lime with different curing times for	168
soil replacement (ML+ Gyps)	
Figure 4.103: X-Ray diffraction Analysis of Gypseous soil with other	169
soils	
Figure 4.104: X-Ray diffraction Analysis of Gypseous soil with chemical	170
additives	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Description

ASTM American Standard Testing Methods

BS British Standard

C Carbone Ion

Ca Calcium

CaO Calcium Oxide

CaSO₄.2H₂O Hydrated Calcium Sulphate (Gypsum)

CBR California Bearing Ratio

CH High Plasticity Clay Soil

CL Low Plasticity Clay Soil

C.P. Collapse Potential

CU Consolidated Undrained

EDTA Ethylene DiamineTetraacetic Acid

FAO Food and Agricultural Organization

GC Clayey Gravel Soil

G.C. Gypsum Content

Geo. Geopolymer

Gyps. Gypseous Soil