ENTROPY-STABLE RESIDUAL DISTRIBUTION

METHODS FOR SYSTEM OF EULER

EQUATIONS

HOSSAIN CHIZARI

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2016

ENTROPY-STABLE RESIDUAL DISTRIBUTION METHODS

FOR SYSTEM OF EULER EQUATIONS

by

HOSSAIN CHIZARI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

September 2016

This thesis is dedicated to my grandfather who always believed in every

doubt and doubted in every believe ...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and most importantly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Dr. Farzad Ismail for the continuous support of my Ph.D. study and related research, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my Ph.D. study. Also, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Mohd Zulkifly Abdullah for his support and encouragement during my study.

I also would like to thank University Sains Malaysia (USM) to grant me this opportunity and support me for my Ph.D. Furthermore, I would like to thank USM for financially supporting this research work under the University Research grant (No: 1001 / PAERO / 814152). Also, all the staff of USM who always help me during my study; especially, Mrs. Rahayu Dorahim, Mr. Mohd Najib Mohd Hussain, Mr. Mohd Fauzan Jali and Mr.Azrul Shari.

My sincere thanks also go to my father, my mother and all my brothers and my sisters in law who offered much needed emotional support throughout my study. Also, I should thank my previous supervisors, professor Esfahanian, professor Daneshmand and professor Karami who always be there for me.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all my friends, especially the CFD group of the Aerospace department of USM; Mr. Vishal, Mr. Siva, Mr. Weyshayng, Mr. Neo, to help this research move forward. Furthermore, I would like to thank Dr. Lim Lian Tze who always helps me in the LATEX formatting.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
ABSTRAK	XX
ABSTRACT	xxii

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Research Objective	5
1.4	Scope of the Research	6
1.5	Thesis Outline	6

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introd	uction	7
2.2	Residual Distribution Method		
	2.2.1	Overview	11
	2.2.2	Classic RD Methods Properties	16
		2.2.2(a) Positivity (\mathbb{P})	16
		2.2.2(b) Linearity Preserving (\mathbb{LP})	17
		2.2.2(c) Order of Accuracy	17
	2.2.3	Multidimensional Upwinding	19

	2.2.4	Compactness of RD Stencil	21
	2.2.5	Minimal Sensitivity to Grid Distortion	22
	2.2.6	Issues with Conservation	23
	2.2.7	Issues with Advection-Diffusion	26
2.3	Entrop	by Control	26
	2.3.1	Introduction	26
	2.3.2	Entropy Conservation	31
	2.3.3	Entropy Stability	32
	2.3.4	Entropy Consistency	35

CHAPTER THREE: SCALAR EQUATIONS

3.1	Introd	uction	37
3.2	Linear	r Advection Equation	37
	3.2.1	Isotropic Signal Distribution	38
	3.2.2	Adding Artificial Terms To Isotropic Signal	39
		3.2.2(a) Achieving Cell Conservation	40
	3.2.3	Formal Order of Accuracy	41
		3.2.3(a) Right Running Grid Analogy	43
		3.2.3(b) Isotropic Grid Analogy	44
		3.2.3(c) General Truncation Error Analogy	46
	3.2.4	Positivity (\mathbb{P})	46
	3.2.5	Linearity Preserving (\mathbb{LP})	49
3.3	Burge	rs' Equation	50
	3.3.1	Entropy-Control in 2D Burgers' Equation	50
	3.3.2	Entropy-Conserved Signal Distribution	50
	3.3.3	Adding Artificial Terms to the Entropy Conserved Signals	54
	3.3.4	Entropy-Stability Conditions	55

	3.3.5	An Atter	npt for Entropy-Stability Using a Linearized Approach	57
		3.3.5(a)	N Recovery	59
		3.3.5(b)	LDA Recovery	60
		3.3.5(c)	Direct Approach	61
		3.3.5(d)	Simplified Approach	61
		3.3.5(e)	Minmod Limiter	64
	3.3.6	Alternati	ve Entropy-Stable Approach	67
		3.3.6(a)	Low Order	68
		3.3.6(b)	High Order	69
		3.3.6(c)	Limited Approach	69
3.4	Time	Integratior	1	74
3.5	Grid C	Generation		75
3.6	Bound	lary Condi	itions	75
3.7	Platfo	rm		76
CIL	DTED	FOUD. C	VOTEM OF FULED FOLLTIONS	
			YSTEM OF EULER EQUATIONS	77
4.1	Overv			77
4.2	-	by Conserv		78
4.3	Addin	g Artificia	l Signals	83
4.4	Entrop	by Stable (Condition	87
4.5	Entrop	py-Stable I	Residual Distribution Approach	90
	4.5.1	Baseline	Approach	90
	4.5.2	High Or	der Approach I: Uniform Approach	90
	4.5.3	High Or	der Approach II: Additional Entropy Wave Generation	91
	4.5.4	High Ore	der Approach III: Including Limiters	92
4.6	Grid C	Generation		92
4.7	Bound	lary Condi	ition	95

CHAPTER FIVE: RESULT AND DISCUSSION

5.1	The 2	D Scalar Equation	97
	5.1.1	The 2D Linear Advection	97
	5.1.2	The 2D Burgers' Equations	104
		5.1.2(a) Expansion	104
		5.1.2(b) Shock-Tree Problem	109
5.2	The 2	D Euler Equations	115
	5.2.1	Ringleb Flow	115
	5.2.2	Flow over a Cylinder	117
		5.2.2(a) Subsonic Flow	117
		5.2.2(b) Transonic Flow	118
		5.2.2(c) Supersonic Flow	125
	5.2.3	Flow over an Airfoil	128
		5.2.3(a) Subsonic Flow	128
		5.2.3(b) Transonic Flow	128
	5.2.4	Supersonic Flow over a Wedge	132

CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION

6.1	Summary	135
6.2	Concluding Remarks	136
6.3	Future Works	138

REFERENCES 140

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Triangle Skewness and Aspect Ratio Analogy

Appendix B: Scaling Matrix for the Artificial Signal

Appendix C: Logarithmic Mean

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 2.1	Normal distance of the neighbor points from the main point.	18
Table 2.2	Truncation error coefficients for first order $(p + q = 3)$.	18
Table 2.3	Truncation error coefficients for second order $(p + q = 4)$.	19
Table 3.1	α and β for different methods following the general framework	73
	for signals distribution as given in Eq. (3.48). Note that $\gamma = -\alpha$	
	and N and LDA methods have the same α and β for 1-target cell.	
Table 5.1	Exact solution for supersonic wedge test cases.	133
Table A.1	Range of Aspect Ratio with Constant Skewness	157
Table A.2	Range of Skewness with Constant Aspect Ratio	158

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 1.1	The three main parts of CFD.	2
Figure 2.1	Multidimensional physics; and, dual median area of a point.	13
Figure 2.2	The inward normals of an element.	14
Figure 2.3	The 1-target and 2-target cells.	15
Figure 2.4	Right running grid topology.	18
Figure 2.5	The stencil for isotropic grid for different methods.	22
Figure 2.6	Numerical L_2 error vs the grid distance in logarithmic scale for the nonlinear expansion case (80% disturbed grid).	24
Figure 2.7	Control volume for establishing entropy production [Ismail & Roe, 2009].	28
Figure 2.8	Control volume for establishing entropy production in RD.	28
Figure 3.1	Residual Distributed Over Triangular Mesh.	39
Figure 3.2	Isotropic and artificial signals going to point i.	40
Figure 3.3	Artificial signal summation over a cell in scalar equation.	41
Figure 3.4	Grid topology for right running and isotropic. The characteristic vector line equation is $y = \frac{b}{a}x$.	42

Figure 3.5	Residual Distributed Over Triangular Mesh.	50
Figure 3.6	Entropy conservation constraints in an element.	52
Figure 3.7	Isotropic and artificial signals going to point i.	54
Figure 3.8	The length scale for the entropy approach.	68
Figure 3.9	The entropy sensor topology.	71
Figure 3.10	$\psi(S)$ function for the sensor with different $\mathfrak{m}_{critical}^{S}$. Note that	72
	$\psi(S) = 1$ is for low order; and, $\psi(S) = 0$ is for high order.	
Figure 3.11	Non-linear $\psi(S)$ function for the sensor	74
Figure 3.12	Dual median area of a point (A_i is the shaded area).	75
Figure 3.13	The CFL condition for RD.	75
Figure 3.14	Flowchart of the in-house code.	76
Figure 4.1	Isotropic residual distributed over triangular grid.	79
Figure 4.2	Isotropic and artificial signals going to point i for system of equa-	84
	tions.	
Figure 4.3	Different angles using for the entropy approach (Euler).	84
Figure 4.4	Artificial signal summation over a cell in Euler equation.	87
Figure 4.5	Ringleb grid sample.	93
Figure 4.6	Cylinder and airfoil grid samples.	94

Figure 4.7	Wedge grid samples.	94
Figure 4.8	Solid boundary condition for Euler equations in RD.	95
Figure 5.1	Equilateral grid (8989 elements) using for the test cases.	98
Figure 5.2	Numerical L_2 error verses the grid distance in logarithmic scale for 2D linear advection case.	99
Figure 5.3	Cross section at $y = 0.5$ with different methods for 2D linear advection case based on the an attempt of entropy stable method.	99
Figure 5.4	Cross section at $y = 0.5$ with different methods for 2D linear advection case based on the alternative entropy stable method for right running grid.	100
Figure 5.5	Cross section at $y = 0.5$ with positive classic and entropy stable methods for 2D linear advection for equilateral elements.	101

- Figure 5.6 Numerical L_2 error verses the grid distance in logarithmic scale 102 for 2D linear advection case for alternative entropy stable approach (different values for q).
- Figure 5.7 The linear advection order of accuracy for alternative entropy ap- 103 proach with different values for q in equilateral and isotropic grid types.
- Figure 5.8 Cross section at y = 0.5 with different methods for 2D linear 103 advection case based on the alternative entropy stable method.

- Figure 5.9 Convergence curve for 2D nonlinear case (expansion) showing 105 for different methods.
- Figure 5.10 Numerical L_2 error verses the grid distance in logarithmic scale 106 for nonlinear expansion case.
- Figure 5.11 Cross section at y = 0.6 with different methods for nonlinear ex- 106 pansion case.
- Figure 5.12 Numerical L₂ error verses the grid distance in logarithmic scale 107 for nonlinear expansion case (Order of accuracy is shown selectively).
- Figure 5.13 The nonlinear case (expansion) order of accuracy for entropy ap- 108 proach with different values for q (different rate of entropy generation).
- Figure 5.14 Cross section at y = 0.6 with different methods for nonlinear ex- 108 pansion case.
- Figure 5.15 The contour plot of the shock-tree problem for the classic origin 110 methods.
- Figure 5.16 The contour plot of the shock-tree problem for alternative ap- 111 proaches.
- Figure 5.17 Cross section at y = 0.3 for different first order methods of 112 shock-tree case.

- Figure 5.18 Cross section at y = 0.3 for different limited methods of shock- 112 tree case.
- Figure 5.19 Cross section at y = 0.3 for different second order methods of 113 shock-tree case.
- Figure 5.20 Cross section at y = 0.6 for different first order methods of 113 shock-tree case.
- Figure 5.21 Cross section at y = 0.6 for different limited methods of shock- 114 tree case.
- Figure 5.22 Cross section at y = 0.6 for different second order methods of 114 shock-tree case.
- Figure 5.23 Numerical L_2 error verses the grid distance in logarithmic scale 115 in the Ringleb flow.
- Figure 5.24 The order of accuracy for entropy approach with different values 116 for q (different rate of entropy generation).
- Figure 5.25 The sensor contours for Ma = 0.38 over a cylinder. 118
- Figure 5.26 The Mach number on solid boundary for subsonic flow over 119 cylinder.
- Figure 5.27 Convergence curve for subsonic cylinder showing for different 119 methods.

- Figure 5.28 The Mach number contours for N, LDA and q = 0.7, 1.5 for 120 Ma = 0.3 over a cylinder. Figure 5.29 The sensor contours for Ma = 0.5 over a cylinder. 121 Figure 5.30 The Mach number contours for Ma = 0.5 over a cylinder. 122 Figure 5.31 The streamlines for Ma = 0.5 over a cylinder. 123 The C_p distribution of the cylinder for transonic case (Ma = 0.5). 124 Figure 5.32 Figure 5.33 The sensor contours for Ma = 2 over a cylinder. 125 Figure 5.34 The Mach number contours for Ma = 2 over a cylinder. 126 Figure 5.35 The P/P_{∞} in front of the cylinder. 127 Figure 5.36 The standoff distance of the shock in front of the cylinder. 127 Figure 5.37 The Mach number contours for subsonic flow over an airfoil 129 (Ma = 0.5 and AOA = 3).Figure 5.38 The Mach number contours for transonic flow over an airfoil 130 (Ma = 0.8 and AOA = 0).The C_p on the airfoil for subsonic case (Ma = 0.5 and AOA = 3). Figure 5.39 131 Figure 5.40 Wedge analytical solution. 133 Figure 5.41 The Mach number cross section for supersonic flow (Ma = 2.5) 134 over wedge at y = 0.25.
- Figure A.1Prototype Triangle For Analyzing the AR and SK150

Figure A.2	Skewness angle and definition in triangular element	150
Figure A.3	Skewness angle for largest edge.	151
Figure A.4	Skewness angle for the right upper edge.	151
Figure A.5	Skewness angle for the left upper edge.	151
Figure A.6	Red Domain is Shown The Answer of Eq. (A.8)	153
Figure A.7	Red Domain is Shown The Answer of Eq. (A.9)	153
Figure A.8	Sample of the constant skewness curve for $C = 2$	155

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PDE	Partial Differential Equation
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
RD	Residual Distribution
FV	Finite Volume
Ν	Narrow-scheme
PSI	Positive Streamwise Invariant
LDA	Low Diffusion Advection
ТЕ	Truncation Error
1D	One-Dimensional
2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
C-N	Classic N
C-LDA	Classic LDA
C-PSI	Classic PSI
ES-P	Entropy Stable Positive
L-N	Linearized N
L-LDA	Linearized LDA

L-PSI	Linearized PSI
ES-N	Entropy Stable N
ES-LDA	Entropy Stable LDA
ES-PSI	Entropy Stable PSI
ES-N-S	Entropy Stable Simplified N
ES-LDA-S	Entropy Stable Simplified LDA
ES-PSI-S	Entropy Stable Simplified PSI
ES-LOW	Alternative Entropy Stable Low Order
ES-HIGH	Alternative Entropy Stable Low Order
ES-LIM	Alternative Entropy Stable Limited

LIST OF SYMBOLS

₁ n _i	Scaled normal i th -edge vector
Ai	Dual point area
ln	Normal distance of a point from characteristic line
u	Scalar conservative variables
ŕ	Scalar flux vector
(f,g)	Scalar fluxes
u	Entropy function
ν	Scalar entropy variable
S	Physical entropy
F	Entropy flux vector
(F,G)	Entropy fluxes
Ü	Entropy generation rate
k _i	Scalar scaled jacobian with length for edge i
k_{i}^{\pm}	Scalar scaled positive or negative jacobian with length for edge i
ϕ_{T}	Scalar total residual
$\vec{\lambda}$	Scalar characteristic vector
$\Omega^e_{\mathfrak{i}}$	Signal portion going to point i from element e

u	Euler conservative variables
ν	Euler entropy variables
f	Euler flux vector
(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g})	Euler fluxes
K _i	Euler scaled jacobian with length for edge i
$K_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\pm}$	Euler scaled positive or negative jacobian with length for edge i
R	Right eigenvector matrix
Λ	Diagonal eigenvalue matrix
L	Left eigenvector matrix
S	Diagonal scaled matrix
φ _T	Euler total residual

KAEDAH-KAEDAH ENTROPI-STABIL PENGEDARAN SISA UNTUK SISTEM PERSAMAAN EULER

ABSTRAK

Kaedah pengedaran-sisa (RD) mempunyai pelbagai manfaat asas berbanding dengan kaedah isipadu terhingga (FV) atau kaedah perbezaan terhingga (FD) secara khususnya daripada segi permodelan fizik pelbagai dimensi, mencapai ketepatan yang tinggi menggunakan stensil yang lebih kecil dan kurang sensitif terhadap perubahan grid. Penyelidikan ini akan membangunkan kaedah RD pelbagai-dimensi yang mempunyai sifat entropi-stabil untuk menyelesaikan sistem persamaan hiperbolik. Pertama, suatu kaedah RD alternatif dicadangkan yang memenuhi pemuliharaan pembolehubah utama secara semulajadi. Kemudian, suatu kaedah RD pelbagai-dimensi RD yang memenuhi entropi-dipulihara dan entropi-stabil dibangunkan bermula dengan persamaan Burgers dua dimensi. Ini diikuti dengan pembangunan kaedah yang sama untuk persamaan Euler dua dimensi. Analisis terperinci akan dijalankan ke atas kaedah tersebut daripada segi entropi-stabil, keadaan positif pelbagai-dimensi dan kajian ralat pemangkasan untuk menentukan ketepatan. Tambahan pula, kaedah baru ini akan dibuktikan sebagai memenuhi syarat pemuliharaan secara automatik berbanding dengan kaedah RD yang sedia ada yang memerlukan syarat purata ciri-ciri tertentu dalam setiap elemen dan berbeza mengikut persamaan yang diselesaikan. Pembangunan kaedah entropi-stabil RD yang terhad juga dilaksanakan dalam kajian ini. Eksperimen-eksperimen berangka yang dijalankan untuk persamaan Burgers merangkumi aliran pengembangan dan aliran kejut diikuti dengan masalah-masalah dinamik gas secara subsonik, transonik dan supersonik. Malahan, kaedah-kaedah klasik RD seperti N, LDA dan PSI juga digunakan dalam kajian sebagai perbandingan kepada kaedah entropi-stabil RD yang baru ditemui. Keputusan eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa kaedah RD yang baru ini adalah keseluruhannya sama baik dengan kaedah-kaedah klasik RD tetapi adalah lebih teguh untuk pelbagai kes ujian.

ENTROPY-STABLE RESIDUAL DISTRIBUTION METHODS FOR SYSTEM OF EULER EQUATIONS

ABSTRACT

Residual-distribution (RD) methods have fundamental benefits over finite volume (FV) or finite difference (FD) methods particularly in mimicking multi-dimensional physics, achieving higher order accuracy with much smaller stencils and less sensitivity to grid changes. The aim of this study is to develop a multi-dimensional entropy-stable residual distribution method to solve the hyperbolic system of equations. First, an alternative residual-distribution method is proposed to ensure conservation of primary variables is obtained by default. This is followed by introducing a new signal distribution and multi-dimensional entropy-conserved and entropy-stable RD method starting with the two-dimensional Burgers' equation. The development is extended to the two-dimensional Euler equations. There will be rigorous mathematical analyses on entropy-stability, multi-dimensional positivity, and truncation error study to determine the formal order-of-accuracy for the entropy stable methods. In addition, it will also be shown that conservation is automatic with the new RD method unlike with the current RD methods where conservation requires a strict set of characteristic-averaging within the elements and different systems of equations would require a different type of averaging. The developments of limited entropy-stable RD methods would also be included herein. Numerical experiments for the Burgers' equation include an expansion and a shock-tree problem followed by subsonic, transonic and supersonic gas dynamics problem over various geometries for the Euler equations. Moreover, the