

**PROPOSED SYNTHETIC AND GROUP RUNS
CONTROL CHARTS BASED ON RUNS RULES \bar{X}
AND DOUBLE SAMPLING np METHODS**

CHONG ZHI LIN

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2015

**PROPOSED SYNTHETIC AND GROUP RUNS
CONTROL CHARTS BASED ON RUNS RULES \bar{X}
AND DOUBLE SAMPLING np METHODS**

by

CHONG ZHI LIN

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

August 2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to several individuals and organizations for supporting me throughout my Ph.D. study. First and foremost, I wish to express my sincere thanks to my supervisor, Professor Michael Khoo Boon Chong, for his patience, enthusiasm, insightful comments, invaluable suggestions, helpful information, practical advice and unceasing ideas which have helped me tremendously at all times in my research and writing of this thesis. His immense knowledge, profound experience and professional expertise in Statistical Quality Control (SQC) has enabled me to complete this research successfully. I am thankful to him for his precious time in guiding me, answering my queries, correcting and improving the English in my thesis. Without his guidance and relentless help, this thesis would not have been possible. I could not have imagined having a better supervisor in my study.

I also wish to express my sincere thanks to Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for accepting me into the Ph.D. program. In addition, I am deeply indebted to the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia for granting me the MyPhD scholarship. This financial support has enabled me to complete my Ph.D. study successfully. Also, I thank the School of Mathematical Sciences (PPSM), USM for sponsoring me to conferences.

My sincere thanks goes to Professor Ahmad Izani Md. Ismail, Dean of PPSM, USM, for his continuous support and assistance in my postgraduate study. I am also grateful to the lecturers and staff of PPSM for their kindness, hospitality and technical support. In addition, I would like to acknowledge the Institute of

Postgraduate Studies, USM and PPSM for organizing various workshops, which have helped me in improving my research and programming skills.

I also wish to express my deepest thanks to my parents and two elder brothers. Their unwavering support and encouragement is my source of strength. I am also thankful to my beloved fiancée, Low Zeng Yee. She is always there caring for me, cheering me up and stood by me through the peaks and valleys of my life. Additionally, I owe my gratitude to all my friends, church members and pastors for giving me their company, friendship, moral support and advice. Last but not least, I am grateful to Jesus and the Almighty God for the abundant blessings and unfailing love for me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xiv
List of Notations	xv
List of Publications	xxv
Abstrak	xxvi
Abstract	xxviii
 CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Statistical Process Control (SPC)	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives of the Thesis	6
1.4 Organization of the Thesis	6
 CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Runs Rules Type Control Charts	9
2.2.1 Standard m -of- k (m/k) Runs Rules Control Chart	13
2.2.2 Improved m -of- k ($I-m/k$) Runs Rules Control Chart	14
2.2.3 Revised m -of- k ($R-m/k$) Runs Rules Control Chart	15
2.3 np Type Control Charts	20

2.3.1	Single Sampling (SS) np Control Chart	22
2.3.2	Double Sampling (DS) np Control Chart	24
2.4	Related Control Charts for Variables Data	28
2.4.1	Synthetic \bar{X} Control Chart	28
2.4.2	Group Runs (GR) \bar{X} Control Chart	31
2.4.3	EWMA \bar{X} Control Chart	34
2.5	Related Control Charts for Attribute Data	36
2.5.1	Synthetic np Control Chart	36
2.5.2	Group Runs (GR) np Control Chart	38
2.5.3	Variable Sample Size (VSS) np Control Chart	40
2.5.4	EWMA np Control Chart	41
2.5.5	CUSUM np and CUSUM(FIR) np Control Charts	42
2.6	ARL as a Performance Measure of a Chart	43
CHAPTER 3 – PROPOSED SYNTHETIC $R-m/k$ AND GR $R-m/k$ RUNS RULES CHARTS		
3.1	Introduction	44
3.2	Synthetic $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	45
3.2.1	Charting Statistics and Performance Measure of the Synthetic $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	45
3.2.2	Optimal Design of the Synthetic $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	47
3.2.3	An Illustrative Example	49
3.3	GR $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	53
3.3.1	Charting Statistics and Performance Measure of the GR $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	53
3.3.2	Optimal Design of the GR $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	55

3.3.3	An Illustrative Example	56
-------	-------------------------	----

CHAPTER 4 – PROPOSED SYNTHETIC DS np AND GR DS np CHARTS

4.1	Introduction	62
4.2	Synthetic DS np Chart	62
4.2.1	Charting Statistics and Performance Measure of the Synthetic DS np Chart	62
4.2.2	Optimal Design of the Synthetic DS np Chart	64
4.2.3	An Illustrative Example	66
4.3	GR DS np Chart	69
4.3.1	Charting Statistics and Performance Measure of the GR DS np Chart	69
4.3.2	Optimal Design of the GR DS np Chart	70
4.3.3	An Illustrative Example	72

CHAPTER 5 – A PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF THE PROPOSED CHARTS WITH EXISTING CHARTS

5.1	Introduction	75
5.2	A Performance Comparison of the Synthetic $R-m/k$ and GR $R-m/k$ Charts with Existing Charts	75
5.3	A Performance Comparison of the Synthetic DS np and GR DS np Charts with Existing Charts	83

CHAPTER 6 – CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

6.1	Introduction	98
6.2	Contributions and Findings of the Research	98
6.3	Suggestions for Future Research	101

REFERENCES	104
-------------------	-----

APPENDIX A – OPTIMIZATION PROGRAMS FOR OTHER CHARTS UNDER COMPARISON

A.1	An Optimization Program for the $R - m / k$ Runs Rule Chart	116
A.2	An Optimization Program for the SS np Chart	119
A.3	An Optimization Program for the DS np Chart	121
A.4	An Optimization Program for the synthetic \bar{X} Chart	124
A.5	An Optimization Program for the GR \bar{X} Chart	127
A.6	An Optimization Program for the EWMA \bar{X} Chart	129
A.7	An Optimization Program for the Synthetic np Chart	134
A.8	An Optimization Program for the GR np Chart	136
A.9	An Optimization Program for the VSS np Chart	137
A.10	An Optimization Program for the EWMA np Chart	141
A.11	An Optimization Program for the CUSUM np and CUSUM (FIR) np Charts	143

APPENDIX B – MARKOV CHAIN METHOD TO COMPUTE THE ARLS OF CONTROL CHARTS

B.1	Markov Chain Model to Obtain the tpm of $R - 4 / 5$ Runs Rule Chart	145
B.2	Markov Chain Model to Obtain the EWMA \bar{X} Chart's ARL	149
B.3	Markov Chain Model to Obtain the VSS np Chart's ARL	150
B.4	Markov Chain Model to Obtain the EWMA np Chart's ARL	152
B.5	Markov Chain Model to Obtain the CUSUM np Chart's ARL	154

APPENDIX C – OPTIMIZATION PROGRAMS FOR THE SYNTHETIC $R-m/k$ AND GR $R-m/k$ RUNS RULES CHARTS

C.1	An Optimization Program for the Synthetic $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	157
C.2	An Optimization Program for the GR $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	161

APPENDIX D – OPTIMIZATION PROGRAMS FOR THE SYNTHETIC DS np AND GR DS np CHARTS

D.1	An Optimization Program for the Synthetic DS np Chart	166
D.2	An Optimization Program for the GR DS np Chart	170

APPENDIX E – MONTE CARLO SIMULATION PROGRAMS

E.1	Monte Carlo Simulation Programs for the Synthetic $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	176
E.1.1	Synthetic $R-2/3$ Runs Rule Chart	176
E.1.2	Synthetic $R-4/5$ Runs Rule Chart	178
E.2	Monte Carlo Simulation Programs for the GR $R-m/k$ Runs Rule Chart	181
E.2.1	GR $R-2/3$ Runs Rule Chart	181
E.2.2	GR $R-4/5$ Runs Rule Chart	183
E.3	Monte Carlo Simulation Program for the Synthetic DS np Chart	186
E.4	Monte Carlo Simulation Program for the GR DS np Chart	187
E.5	A Simulation Program for the Illustrative Example	188

APPENDIX F – A PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF THE SYNTHETIC $R-m/k$ AND GR $R-m/k$ CHARTS WITH EXISTING CHARTS

F.1	Additional Results for a performance comparison of the Synthetic $R-m/k$ and GR $R-m/k$ Charts with Existing Charts	192
-----	---	-----

**APPENDIX G – A PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF THE
SYNTHETIC DS np AND GR DS np CHARTS WITH EXISTING
CHARTS**

G.1	Additional Results for a performance comparison of the Synthetic DS np and GR DS np Charts with Existing Charts	201
-----	--	-----

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	The tpm, \mathbf{R}_1 , for the $R-2/3$ runs rule	19
Table 3.1	Inside diameter measurements (in mm.) of forged automobile piston rings, for the 25 samples in Phase-I	51
Table 3.2	Inside diameter measurements (in mm.) of forged automobile piston rings, for the 15 samples in Phase-II	52
Table 3.3	Inside diameter measurements (in mm.) of forged automobile piston rings, for the 25 samples in Phase-I	58
Table 3.4	Inside diameter measurements (in mm.) of forged automobile piston rings, for the 15 samples in Phase-II	59
Table 4.1	Simulated data for the synthetic DS np chart	68
Table 4.2	Simulated data for the GR DS np chart	73
Table 5.1	Optimal parameters of the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n=2$ and $\tau=370.4$	78
Table 5.2	Optimal parameters of the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n=5$ and $\tau=370.4$	79
Table 5.3	ARLs for the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n=2$ and $\tau=370.4$	80
Table 5.4	ARLs for the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n=5$ and $\tau=370.4$	81
Table 5.5	Optimal parameters and the corresponding ARL_0 s of the DS np, synthetic np and GR np charts when $\tau=200$	85
Table 5.6	Optimal parameters and the corresponding ARL_0 s of the synthetic DS np and GR DS np charts when $\tau=200$	86

Table 5.7	Optimal parameters and the corresponding ARL_0 s of the DS np, synthetic np and GR np charts when $\tau = 370.4$	87
Table 5.8	Optimal parameters and the corresponding ARL_0 s of the synthetic DS np and GR DS np charts when $\tau = 370.4$	88
Table 5.9	ARL_1 s of the DS np, synthetic np, GR np, synthetic DS np and GR DS np charts when $\tau = 200$	90
Table 5.10	ARL_1 s of the DS np, synthetic np, GR np, synthetic DS np and GR DS np charts when $\tau = 370.4$	91
Table 5.11	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 200$, $\gamma_{opt} = 1.5$, $p_0 = 0.005$ and $n = \{100, 200\}$	94
Table 5.12	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 200$, $\gamma_{opt} = 1.5$, $p_0 = 0.005$ and $n = \{400, 800\}$	95
Table 5.13	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 370.4$, $\gamma_{opt} = 1.5$, $p_0 = 0.005$ and $n = \{100, 200\}$	96
Table 5.14	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 370.4$, $\gamma_{opt} = 1.5$, $p_0 = 0.005$ and $n = \{400, 800\}$	97
Table B.1	Steps to decompose the composite pattern, D_2 , for the $R-4/5$ runs rule chart	147
Table B.2	The tpm, \mathbf{R}_1 , for the $R-4/5$ runs rule chart	148
Table F.1	Optimal parameters of the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n = 10$ and $\tau = 370.4$	193
Table F.2	Optimal parameters of the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n = 2$ and $\tau = 500$	194
Table F.3	Optimal parameters of the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n = 5$ and $\tau = 500$	195

Table F.4	Optimal parameters of the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n = 10$ and $\tau = 500$	196
Table F.5	ARLs for the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n = 10$ and $\tau = 370.4$	197
Table F.6	ARLs for the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n = 2$ and $\tau = 500$	198
Table F.7	ARLs for the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n = 5$ and $\tau = 500$	199
Table F.8	ARLs for the synthetic $R-2/3$, synthetic $R-4/5$, GR $R-2/3$, GR $R-4/5$, $R-2/3$ runs rule, $R-4/5$ runs rule, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} charts when $n = 10$ and $\tau = 500$	200
Table G.1	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 200$, $\gamma_{opt} = 2.0$, $p_0 = 0.01$ and $n = \{50, 100\}$	202
Table G.2	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 200$, $\gamma_{opt} = 2.0$, $p_0 = 0.01$ and $n = \{200, 400\}$	203
Table G.3	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 370.4$, $\gamma_{opt} = 2.0$, $p_0 = 0.01$ and $n = \{50, 100\}$	204
Table G.4	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 370.4$, $\gamma_{opt} = 2.0$, $p_0 = 0.01$ and $n = \{200, 400\}$	205
Table G.5	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 200$, $\gamma_{opt} = 3.0$, $p_0 = 0.02$ and $n = \{25, 50\}$	206
Table G.6	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 200$, $\gamma_{opt} = 3.0$, $p_0 = 0.02$ and $n = \{100, 200\}$	207
Table G.7	ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on $\tau = 370.4$, $\gamma_{opt} = 3.0$, $p_0 = 0.02$ and $n = \{25, 50\}$	208

Table G.8 ARL_1 comparison of the optimal charts, based on 209
 $\tau = 370.4$, $\gamma_{opt} = 3.0$, $p_0 = 0.02$ and $n = \{100, 200\}$

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	The DS np chart's plotting procedure	26
Figure 3.1	A brief flow-chart for the synthetic $R - 2/3$ and synthetic $R - 4/5$ runs rules charts' programs	49
Figure 3.2	The $R - 2/3$ runs rule sub-chart of the synthetic $R - 2/3$ runs rule chart	52
Figure 3.3	The CRL sub-chart of the synthetic $R - 2/3$ runs rule chart, based on the non-conforming samples in Figure 3.2	53
Figure 3.4	The $R - 2/3$ runs rule sub-chart of the GR $R - 2/3$ runs rule chart	59
Figure 3.5	The CRL sub-chart of the GR $R - 2/3$ runs rule chart, based on the non-conforming samples in Figure 3.4	61
Figure B.1	The interval $(0, UCL_{EWMA\ np})$ of the EWMA np chart, divided into N subintervals of width ψ each	153

LIST OF NOTATIONS

Notations and abbreviations used in this thesis are listed as follows:

SPC	Statistical Process Control
SQC	Statistical Quality Control
SAS	Statistical Analysis System
SS	Single sampling
DS	Double sampling
GR	Group runs
MGR	Modified GR
UGR	Unit and GR
SSGR	Side sensitive GR
SSMGR	Side sensitive modified GR
CRL	Conforming run length
VSS	Variable sample size
VSI	Variable sampling interval
EWMA	Exponentially weighted moving average
CUSUM	Cumulative sum
SPRT	Sequential probability ratio test
Syn-np	Synthetic np and np charts
m/k	m -of- k
$I - m/k$	Improved m -of- k
$R - m/k$	Revised m -of- k
ARL	Average run length
ARL_0	In-control ARL
ARL_1	Out-of-control ARL

$ARL_{1, \min}$	Minimum value of ARL_1
MRL	Median run length
ASS	Average sample size
ASS_0	In-control ASS
ATS	Average time to signal
ANOS	Average number of observations to signal
AEQL	Average extra quadratic loss
EARL	Expected value of the ARL
FIR	Fast initial response
μ_0	In-control mean
μ_1	Out-of-control mean
σ_0	In-control standard deviation
$\hat{\sigma}_x$	Sample standard deviation estimated from Phase-I samples
δ	Size of the standardized mean shift
γ	Ratio of p_1 over p_0
γ_{opt}	Desired γ value where a quick detection is required
$[\cdot]$	Largest integer less than or equal to its argument
$[\cdot]$	Smallest integer larger than or equal to its argument
d	Control limit constant
d_1	Inner control limit constant
d_2	Outer control limit constant
e_0	Number of non-conforming units in a sample
e_1	Number of non-conforming units in the first sample
e_2	Number of non-conforming units in the second sample

e_u	Number of non-conforming items in sample u
n	Sample size
p	Fraction of non-conforming units
p_0	In-control p
p_1	Out-of-control p
T	Run length variable
$\bar{\bar{X}}$	Grand sample mean estimated from Phase-I samples
CL	Center line
WL	Warning limit
LCL	Lower control limit
UCL	Upper control limit
iid	Independent and identically distributed
cdf	Cumulative distribution function
$\Phi(\cdot)$	Standard normal cdf
tpm	Transition probability matrix
I	Identity matrix
1	A vector with all of its elements equal to unity
0	A vector with all of its elements equal to zero
R₁	Transition probability matrix for the transient states
S	Initial probability vector
S₁	Initial probability vector, where the first entry is unity and zeros in all other entries
τ	A desired in-control ARL value

Notations and abbreviations used for the m/k chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

$LCL_{m/k}$	Lower control limit
$UCL_{m/k}$	Upper control limit

Notations and abbreviations used for the $I-m/k$ chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

$CL_{I-m/k}$	Center line
$LCL_{1(I-m/k)}$	Inner lower control limit
$UCL_{1(I-m/k)}$	Inner upper control limit
$LCL_{2(I-m/k)}$	Outer lower control limit
$UCL_{2(I-m/k)}$	Outer upper control limit

Notations and abbreviations used for the $R-m/k$ chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

$CL_{R-m/k}$	Center line
$LCL_{1(R-m/k)}$	Inner lower control limit
$UCL_{1(R-m/k)}$	Inner upper control limit
$LCL_{2(R-m/k)}$	Outer lower control limit
$UCL_{2(R-m/k)}$	Outer upper control limit
p_1	Probability of a sample point falling in interval 1
p_2	Probability of a sample point falling in interval 2
p_3	Probability of a sample point falling in interval 3
p_4	Probability of a sample point falling in interval 4
p_5	Probability of a sample point falling in interval 5
D_1	Composite pattern of the $R-2/3$ chart
D_2	Composite pattern of the $R-4/5$ chart

Notations and abbreviations used for the synthetic \bar{X} chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

$LCL_{Syn \bar{X}}$	LCL of the \bar{X} sub-chart
$UCL_{Syn \bar{X}}$	UCL of the \bar{X} sub-chart
$CRL_{Syn \bar{X}}$	CRL for the CRL sub-chart
$L_{Syn \bar{X}}$	LCL of the CRL sub-chart

Notations and abbreviations used for the GR \bar{X} chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

$CRL_{i (GR \bar{X})}$	i^{th} CRL value
$L_{GR \bar{X}}$	LCL of the extended CRL sub-chart

Notations and abbreviations used for the EWMA \bar{X} chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

λ	Smoothing constant
α	Width constant of each subinterval
Z_u	Plotting statistics of the chart at sample u
$K_{EWMA \bar{X}}$	Width constant
$k_{EWMA \bar{X}}$	A multiplier controlling the width of the chart's control limits
\mathbf{R}_2	Transition probability matrix with the absorbing state
\mathbf{Q}	Transition probability matrix for the transient states
$Q_{i,j}$	Transition probability for entry (i, j) in matrix \mathbf{Q}

Notations and abbreviations used for the synthetic $R-m/k$ chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

$CL_{Syn R-m/k}$	Center line
------------------	-------------

$LCL_{1 (Syn R-m/k)}$	Inner lower control limit
$UCL_{1 (Syn R-m/k)}$	Inner upper control limit
$LCL_{2 (Syn R-m/k)}$	Outer lower control limit
$UCL_{2 (Syn R-m/k)}$	Outer upper control limit
$CRL_i (Syn R-m/k)$	i^{th} CRL for the CRL sub-chart
$L_{Syn R-m/k}$	LCL of the CRL sub-chart
Y_i	Number of samples taken (including the ending non-conforming sample) until the i^{th} non-conforming sample is signalled by the $R - m / k$ runs rule sub-chart

Notations and abbreviations used for the GR $R - m / k$ chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

$CL_{GR R-m/k}$	Center line
$LCL_{1 (GR R-2/3)}$	Inner lower control limit
$UCL_{1 (GR R-2/3)}$	Inner upper control limit
$LCL_{2 (GR R-2/3)}$	Outer lower control limit
$UCL_{2 (GR R-2/3)}$	Outer upper control limit
$CRL_i (GR R-m/k)$	i^{th} CRL for the extended CRL sub-chart
$L_{GR R-m/k}$	LCL of the extended CRL sub-chart
Y_i	Number of samples taken (including the ending non-conforming sample) until the i^{th} non-conforming sample is signalled by the $R - m / k$ runs rule sub-chart

Abbreviation used for the SS np chart in this thesis is listed as follows:

$UCL_{SS np}$	Upper control limit
---------------	---------------------

Notations and abbreviations used for the DS np chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

n_1	First sample size
n_2	Second sample size
Ac_1	First sample acceptance number
Re_1	First sample rejection number
Ac_2	Second sample acceptance number
$WL_{DS\ np}$	Warning limit
$LCL_{DS\ np}$	Lower control limit
$UCL_1\ (DS\ np)$	UCL of the first sampling stage
$UCL_2\ (DS\ np)$	UCL of the second sampling stage

Notations and abbreviations used for the synthetic np chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

c	Acceptance number
$UCL_{Syn\ np}$	UCL of the np sub-chart
$CRL_{Syn\ np}$	CRL for the CRL sub-chart
$L_{Syn\ np}$	LCL of the CRL sub-chart

Notations and abbreviations used for the GR np chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

$UCL_{GR\ np}$	UCL of the np sub-chart
$CRL_i\ (GR\ np)$	i^{th} CRL for the extended CRL sub-chart
$L_{GR\ np}$	LCL of the extended CRL sub-chart

Notations and abbreviations used for the VSS np chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

n_1	Small sample size
n_2	Large sample size
$WL_{1(VSS np)}$	WL when the sample size is small
$WL_{2(VSS np)}$	WL when the sample size is large
$UCL_{1(VSS np)}$	UCL when the sample size is small
$UCL_{2(VSS np)}$	UCL when the sample size is large
e_1	Number of non-conforming units in a sample of size n_1
e_2	Number of non-conforming units in a sample of size n_2
β	False alarm risk
P	In-control transition probability matrix
q	Out-of control transition probability matrix

Notations and abbreviations used for the EWMA np chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

λ	Smoothing constant
ψ	Width constant of each subinterval
Z_u	Plotting statistics of the chart at sample u
$LCL_{EWMA np}$	Lower control limit
$UCL_{EWMA np}$	Upper control limit
P	Transition probability matrix for the transient states
$P_{i,j}$	Transition probability for entry (i, j) in matrix P

Notations and abbreviations used for the CUSUM np chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

S_u^+	Plotting statistics
k	Pre-specified non-negative value
$UCL_{\text{CUSUM np}}$	Upper control limit
p_1^*	Out-of-control nonconforming rate where a quick detection is important
\mathbf{T}	Transition probability matrix for the transient states
$T_{i,j}$	Transition probability for entry (i, j) in matrix \mathbf{T}
\mathbf{R}_4	Transition probability matrix with the absorbing states

Notations and abbreviations used for the synthetic DS np chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

n_1	First sample size
n_2	Second sample size
$WL_{\text{Syn DS}}$	Warning limit
$UCL_{1 (\text{Syn DS})}$	UCL of the first sampling stage
$UCL_{2 (\text{Syn DS})}$	UCL of the second sampling stage
$CRL_{i (\text{Syn DS})}$	i^{th} CRL for the CRL sub-chart
$L_{\text{Syn DS}}$	LCL of the CRL sub-chart

Notations and abbreviations used for the GR DS np chart in this thesis are listed as follows:

n_1	First sample size
n_2	Second sample size
$WL_{\text{GR DS}}$	Warning limit
$UCL_{1 (\text{GR DS})}$	UCL of the first sampling stage

$UCL_{2 (GR DS)}$	UCL of the second sampling stage
$CRL_{i (GR DS)}$	i^{th} CRL for the extended CRL sub-chart
$L_{GR DS}$	LCL of the extended CRL sub-chart

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journals

1. **Chong, Z.L.**, Khoo, M.B.C. and Castagliola, P. (2014). Synthetic double sampling np control chart for attributes. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 75(1), 157-169. [ISSN: 0360-8352][2013 impact factor: 1.690]
2. **Chong, Z.L.**, Khoo, M.B.C., Lee, M.H. and Chen, C.-H. (2015). Group runs revised m -of- k runs rule control chart. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*. Under revision. [Print ISSN: 0361-0926; Online ISSN: 1532-415X][2013 impact factor: 0.284]
3. **Chong, Z.L.**, Khoo, M.B.C., Teoh, W.L. and Yeong, W.C. (2015). A Group runs double sampling np control chart for attributes. *Sains Malaysiana*. Under review. [ISSN: 0126-6039][2013 impact factor: 0.480]

Proceedings

1. **Chong, Z.L.**, Khoo, M.B.C., Teoh, W.L. and Teh, S.Y. (2015). *A synthetic revised m -of- k runs rule control chart*. Proceedings of the 2015 7th International Conference on Research and Education in Mathematics, ICREM7 2015, Renaissance Kuala Lumpur Hotel, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Accepted. [MJMS, Print ISSN: 1823-8343; Online ISSN: 2289-750X]

**CADANGAN CARTA-CARTA KAWALAN SINTETIK DAN LARIAN
KUMPULAN BERDASARKAN KAEDAH-KAEDAH \bar{X} PETUA LARIAN
DAN np PENSAMPELAN GANDA DUA**

ABSTRAK

Carta kawalan adalah alat yang penting untuk memantau satu atau lebih ciri-an kualiti yang diminati dalam proses pengeluaran. Carta kawalan \bar{X} Shewhart yang klasik adalah carta kawalan pembolehubah yang paling luas digunakan dalam industri pembuatan dan perkhidmatan untuk memantau min sesuatu proses dengan data selanjar kerana kesenangannya kepada pekerja-pekerja industri. Carta kawalan \bar{X} Shewhart adalah sangat berkesan untuk mengesan anjakan besar dalam min proses. Walau bagaimanapun, carta \bar{X} Shewhart adalah kurang peka terhadap anjakan min yang kecil dan sederhana. Ini merupakan kelemahan utama carta \bar{X} Shewhart. Petua-petua larian biasanya digunakan untuk meningkatkan kepekaan carta \bar{X} Shewhart yang klasik bagi pengesanan anjakan min proses yang kecil dan sederhana. Suatu petua larian yang lebih baru dan berkesan ialah skema petua larian m -daripada- k yang disemak semula ($R-m/k$) untuk data selanjar. Sebaliknya, dalam pemantauan proses yang melibatkan data atribut, carta kawalan np pensampelan ganda dua (DS) adalah carta yang berkesan untuk mengesan anjakan kecil hingga sederhana dalam ketidakpatuhan pecahan barangan daripada sesuatu proses. Didorong oleh keperluan untuk meningkatkan prestasi carta yang sedia ada, kami menggabungkan prosedur carta sintetik dan larian kumpulan (GR) dengan skema petua larian $R-m/k$ dan carta np DS. Objektif utama tesis ini adalah untuk mencadangkan empat reka bentuk optimum carta-carta kawalan yang baru dengan meminimumkan panjang larian purata luar

kawalan (ARL_1) bagi (i) carta \bar{X} petua larian $R-m/k$ sintetik, (ii) carta \bar{X} petua larian $R-m/k$ GR, (iii) carta np DS sintetik, dan (iv) carta np DS GR. Keputusan ARL_1 carta-carta optimum menunjukkan bahawa carta-carta yang baru mempunyai prestasi yang lebih baik daripada carta-carta asas yang sepadan manakala mempunyai prestasi yang setanding dengan sesetengah carta sedia ada. Tambahan pula, program pengoptimuman untuk empat carta yang dicadangkan diberikan dalam tesis ini. Program-program pengoptimuman ini membolehkan pengamal untuk mengira parameter-parameter carta yang optimum dengan serta-merta bagi penggunaan dalam pemantauan proses.

PROPOSED SYNTHETIC AND GROUP RUNS CONTROL CHARTS BASED ON RUNS RULES \bar{X} AND DOUBLE SAMPLING np METHODS

ABSTRACT

A control chart is an important tool to monitor one or more quality characteristics of interest in a production process. The classical Shewhart \bar{X} control chart is the most widely used variables control chart in manufacturing and service industries to monitor the mean of a process with continuous data, due to its simplicity to shop floor personnel. The Shewhart \bar{X} control chart is very effective for detecting large shifts in the process mean. However, the Shewhart \bar{X} chart is insensitive to small and moderate mean shifts. This is a major disadvantage of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart. Runs rules are commonly used to increase the sensitivity of the classical Shewhart \bar{X} chart for detecting small and moderate process mean shifts. A more recent and efficient runs rule is the revised m -of- k ($R-m/k$) runs rule scheme for continuous data. On the other hand, in process monitoring involving attribute data, the double sampling (DS) np control chart is an effective chart to detect small and moderate shifts in the fraction of nonconforming items from a process. Motivated by the need to improve performance of existing charts, we incorporate the synthetic and group runs (GR) control charting procedure into the $R-m/k$ runs rule scheme and DS np chart. The main objective of this thesis is to propose four new optimal designs of control charts by minimizing the out-of-control average run length (ARL_1) of (i) the synthetic $R-m/k$ runs rule \bar{X} chart, (ii) the GR $R-m/k$ runs rule \bar{X} chart, (iii) the synthetic DS np chart, and (iv) the GR DS np chart. The ARL_1 results of the optimal charts show that the new charts perform better than their basic counterparts while having comparable performance with some existing charts. In addition,

optimization programs for the four proposed charts are provided in this thesis. These optimization programs enable practitioners to compute the optimal charting parameters instantaneously, for use in process monitoring.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Statistical Process Control (SPC)

Quality is an important factor for a consumer when choosing among different products or services. The perception of quality is different to different people. Quality has two major divisions, i.e. the quality of a manufactured good and the quality of services received. Quality can be defined as the fitness of a product for use, conformance to product specifications, best in products and services, and exceeding the customer's expectations (Smith, 1998). To ensure continuous improvement in the quality and productivity of a process, we need Statistical Process Control (SPC). SPC in general, is a combination of production steps, management ideas and practices that can be implemented in all levels of an organization. SPC is a powerful collection of statistical tools to detect variation in a process, in order to improve process performance and to maintain high quality control of the production (Smith, 1998). SPC is very useful in today's competitive economic climate; it can produce lesser nonconforming products, increase company profit, produce less scrap and reduce rework, and improve competitive position in the marketplace. To attain maximum performance, SPC must be implemented as an integral part of a long-term policy for continuous improvement in the quality of a product. It can also be used to solve problems encountered in manufacturing, production, inspection and management (Smith, 1998).

Variation exists in all manufacturing processes and it is unavoidable. Process variation can be classified into common causes of variation or assignable causes of variation. Common causes of variation are inherent in a process while assignable causes of variation lie outside the system (Gitlow et al., 1995). Some common

sources that contribute to assignable causes of variation include improper control of machines, operator error and defective raw materials (Montgomery, 2009). Shewhart (1931) postulated that assignable causes of variation may be found and eliminated by the manufacturer. However, in practice it is difficult to judge from an observed set of data whether the assignable causes are present (Shewhart, 1931). When a process no longer has assignable causes of variation, but is only left with common causes of variation, the process is considered as stable and is capable of being improved. A stable process brings about an increase in productivity and a reduction in cost, and provides useful information on process capability that helps in predicting performance, costs and quality levels (Gitlow et al., 1995).

SPC consists of seven important statistical tools, which are, histogram, stem-and-leaf diagram, Pareto chart, check sheet, cause-and-effect diagram, scatter diagram and control chart (Gupta and Walker, 2007). These tools of SPC constitute a simple but very strong structure for quality improvement. Out of these important tools, the control chart is one of the primary techniques of SPC for making a process predictable.

Control charts are graphical tools that are useful to control and understand a process for ensuring the production of good quality products by the process (Ledolter and Burrill, 1999). Duncan (1986) noted that a control chart is used for explaining in concrete terms what the state of statistical control is, for achieving control, and for judging whether control has been achieved. A control chart is a time series plot of a quality process together with “decision lines” to decide whether the process is in statistical control (Ryan, 2000). It is based on some statistical distributions and usually consists of a center line (CL), a lower control limit (LCL), and an upper control limit (UCL). The computations of control limits are based on the assumption

that there are no assignable causes of variation affecting the process. If an assignable cause of variation is present in the process, the control chart, constructed based on only the common causes of variation will signal when and where the assignable causes happened (Gitlow et al., 1995).

The two main types of control charts are variables control charts and attributes control charts. Variables control charts are used to monitor variation in a process when the measurements are variable, i.e. they can be measured in terms of continuous values, for example: length, weight and height. This type of control charts allow never-ending improvement of a process, i.e. never-ending reduction of variation from unit-to-unit, even though these units are within specification limits (Gitlow et al., 1995). On the other hand, attributes control charts are to monitor variation in a process when the measurements can only take discrete count, for example, the number of defects in one million units. Attributes control charts are useful in helping companies to achieve a zero per cent defective rate (Gitlow et al., 1995).

The use of a control chart is divided into two distinct phases, i.e. the Phase-I and Phase-II applications. In Phase-I, control charts can be used to monitor if a manufacturing process is in statistical control by analysing previous data using retrospective data analysis. The trial control limits of the process can be estimated from the dataset in Phase-I. In Phase-II, control charts that are used to monitor future data obtained from a process, by comparing the sample statistic for each future sample with the trial control limits estimated from the Phase-I dataset. The main goal of a Phase-II analysis is to determine if a process is under statistical control. Woodall (2000) pointed out that huge effort, including process understanding and process improvement, are needed in the transition from Phase I to Phase II.

1.2 Problem Statement

The classical Shewhart \bar{X} control chart is the most commonly used variable control chart in manufacturing and service industries to monitor the process mean due to its simplicity to shop floor personnel. The Shewhart \bar{X} control chart is very effective for detecting large shifts in the process mean. However, it is relatively insensitive to small and moderate shifts, which is a major disadvantage of the Shewhart \bar{X} control chart. To improve the sensitivity of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart towards small and moderate shifts, Wu and Spedding (2000) proposed a synthetic control chart which is a combination of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart and the conforming run length (CRL) chart. Gadre and Rattihalli (2004a) extended the work of Wu and Spedding (2000) by proposing the group runs (GR) control chart, which is a combination of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart and an extended version of the CRL chart.

Prior to the existence of the synthetic and GR charts, the use of supplementary runs rules was proposed by Western Electric (1956) to enhance the sensitivity of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart for detecting small and moderate mean shifts. However, Montgomery (2009) pointed out that the use of supplementary runs rules on the Shewhart chart has a serious setback, as it increases the false alarm rate or equivalently, it reduces in the in-control average run length (ARL_0) value. To overcome this problem, Klein (2000), Khoo (2003), Khoo and Ariffin (2006) and Acosta-Mejia (2007) proposed several improved variations of the runs rules charts. Lately, Antzoulakos and Rakitzis (2008) proposed a newer m -of- k rule, i.e. the revised m -of- k ($R-m/k$) runs rule chart. They examined the average run length (ARL) performance of the $R-2/3$ and $R-4/5$ rules, for every shifts in the mean,

and concluded that the ARL performance of the $R-2/3$ and $R-4/5$ rules are consistently better than the existing runs rules charts.

The advantages of the synthetic \bar{X} and GR \bar{X} charts, as well as the $R-m/k$ runs rule, over the Shewhart \bar{X} charts, has motivated the work in this thesis, where the synthetic $R-m/k$ runs rule and GR $R-m/k$ runs rule charts are proposed. The synthetic $R-m/k$ runs rule chart integrates the synthetic chart of Wu and Spedding (2000) and the $R-m/k$ runs rule of Antzoulakos and Rakitzis (2008). Similarly, the GR $R-m/k$ runs rule chart integrates the GR chart of Gadre and Rattihalli (2004a) and the $R-m/k$ runs rule of Antzoulakos and Rakitzis (2008). The extensive numerical results in this thesis show that the proposed charts are generally better than their standard counterparts, in terms of the ARL performance.

For attribute control charts, the traditional Shewhart np chart is the most widely used control chart to monitor the number of nonconforming samples. Similar to the Shewhart \bar{X} chart, the Shewhart np chart is relatively inefficient towards small and moderate mean shifts. To overcome this problem, Wu et al. (2001) proposed the synthetic np chart, which is a combination of the np chart and the CRL chart. Besides, Gadre and Rattihalli (2004b) proposed the GR np chart, which is a combination of the np chart and an extended version of the CRL chart. Moreover, the double sampling (DS) np chart was proposed by Rodrigues et al. (2011) and it offers a better ARL performance than the standard np chart.

Motivated by the need to further improve the efficiency of the synthetic np, GR np and DS np charts, we also propose the synthetic DS np and GR DS np control charts in this thesis. The synthetic DS np chart is a combination of the synthetic np chart of Wu et al. (2001) and the DS np chart of Rodrigues et al. (2011), while the GR DS np chart is constructed by

combining the GR np chart of Gadre and Rattihalli (2004b) and the DS np chart of Rodrigues et al. (2011). The optimization algorithms are developed for the synthetic DS np chart and GR DS np chart and the ARL performances show that these new charts are superior to their standard counterparts.

1.3 Objectives of the Thesis

The primary objectives of this thesis are as follows:

- (i) To propose the synthetic $R-m/k$ runs rule, GR $R-m/k$ runs rule, synthetic DS np and GR DS np charts, and derive the charts' run length properties.
- (ii) To develop new optimization algorithms, for minimizing the out-of-control average run length (ARL_1) value of the proposed charts.
- (iii) To evaluate the ARL performance of the proposed charts.
- (iv) To illustrate the constructions and applications of the proposed charts.

1.4 Organization of the Thesis

The organization of this thesis is discussed here. Chapter 1 starts with a summary of SPC, followed by highlighting the problem statement and objectives of this thesis. Chapter 2 provides a literature review of runs rules type control charts, as well as the np type control charts. The related control charts whose ARL performance is considered in the comparative study are also discussed in this chapter. These control charts are the synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} , exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) \bar{X} , synthetic np, GR np, variable sample size (VSS) np, EWMA

np, cumulative sum (CUSUM) np and CUSUM np with fast initial response (FIR) charts. The ARL performance measure will also be discussed in this chapter. Moreover, Chapter 2 explains the run length properties of the $R-m/k$ runs rule, DS np, synthetic \bar{X} and synthetic np, GR \bar{X} and GR np charts.

Chapter 3 discusses the constructions and optimal designs of the proposed synthetic $R-m/k$ runs rule and GR $R-m/k$ runs rule charts in detail. Illustrative examples to show the applications of the synthetic $R-m/k$ runs rule and GR $R-m/k$ runs rule charts will also be discussed in Chapter 3. In Chapter 4, the constructions and optimal designs of the proposed synthetic DS np and GR DS np charts are explained. Chapter 4 also demonstrates the applications of the synthetic DS np and GR DS np charts using illustrative examples.

The ARL performance comparison of the proposed synthetic $R-m/k$ runs rule and GR $R-m/k$ runs rule charts and their existing counterparts (discussed in Section 2.4) is presented in Chapter 5. In addition, Chapter 5 discusses the ARL performance comparison of the synthetic DS np and GR DS np charts and their existing counterparts (discussed in Section 2.5). Finally, the findings and contributions of this thesis, as well as recommendations for future research are summarized in Chapter 6.

Numerous optimization and simulation programs written in the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) and MATLAB software are presented in Appendices A, C, D and E. These programs are written to compute the optimal parameters and ARL properties of the $R-m/k$, synthetic $R-m/k$, GR $R-m/k$, synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} , EWMA \bar{X} , single sampling (SS) np, DS np, synthetic np, GR np, VSS np, EWMA np, CUSUM np and CUSUM (FIR) np charts. The Markov chain model to obtain the ARLs of $R-4/5$, EWMA \bar{X} , VSS np, EWMA np and CUSUM np charts are

given in Appendix B. The additional results for the performance comparison of the synthetic $R-m/k$ and GR $R-m/k$ (synthetic DS np and GR DS np) charts with existing charts are given in Appendix F (Appendix G).

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we will review all the existing literature related to this study. The Shewhart \bar{X} control chart, which can signal an out-of-control status when a sample point is plotted beyond the three-sigma limits, is widely used in manufacturing and service industries due to its simplicity to shop floor personnel. However, the Shewhart \bar{X} control chart is known to be effective for detecting large process mean shifts but relatively insensitive towards small and moderate process mean shifts. In order to overcome this problem, the use of runs rules have been suggested in the literature. In Section 2.2, we will discuss runs rules type control charts in detail. The relevant literature review on np type control charts is discussed in Section 2.3, which includes the SS np and DS np control charts.

Several related control charts that are considered in the ARL performance comparison in Chapter 5 are also discussed in this chapter. Section 2.4 discusses the relevant variables control charts, i.e. synthetic \bar{X} , GR \bar{X} and EWMA \bar{X} control charts. The relevant attributes control charts, i.e. synthetic np, GR np, VSS np, EWMA np, CUSUM np and CUSUM (FIR) np charts are discussed in Section 2.5. Section 2.6 defines and gives some discussions on the ARL as a performance measure of a chart.

2.2 Runs Rules Type Control Charts

There were numerous studies on runs rules type control charts and their use in SPC can be traced back to the 1940s. Shewhart (1941) proposed additional test using runs rules to enhance the sensitivity of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart towards small process

mean shifts. Koutras et al. (2007) pointed out that some authors proposed quality control charts which use acceptance or rejection principle of extended sequences of points that plot within or beyond the control limits, while others enhanced the basic Shewhart \bar{X} chart with supplementary runs rules (see Mosteller, 1941; Wolfowitz, 1943). Later on, Weiler (1953) discussed the use of runs to control the mean of a process by proposing the k -of- k runs rule that signals when k successive points plot beyond the control limits. Furthermore, Page (1955) studied process inspection methods using control charts with warning limits and introduced four types of runs rules charts. He also introduced a Markov chain approach to calculate the exact run length distribution of the chart's performance.

To improve the sensitivity of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart, Western Electric (1956) presented a set of decision rules based on runs and scans. This set of decision rules signal an out-of-control if at least one of these events happen(s) (Western Electric, 1956)

- (i) A point falls outside the three-sigma limits.
- (ii) Two out of three successive points fall outside the two-sigma warning limits.
- (iii) Four out of five successive points fall outside the one-sigma limits or beyond.
- (iv) Eight successive points fall on the same side of the center line.

Moore (1958) also proposed run-based acceptance sampling plans similar to Page (1955). Roberts (1958) noted that the use of supplementary runs rules without compensating zone limits will increase the number of false alarms. He also introduced the term “zone chart” to define a control technique that uses runs rules in a Shewhart \bar{X} chart. Westgard and Groth (1979) studied the power functions for some control charts and concluded that the use of runs rules can lead to an increase in the false alarm rate.

Wheeler (1983) calculated the power function of a one-sided Shewhart \bar{X} chart with four sets of supplementary runs rules to detect shifts in the process mean and presented them in tables. He found that the use of runs rules increases the sensitivity of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart towards small process mean shifts. Nelson (1984) discussed the advantages and disadvantages of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart supplemented with runs rules and concluded that the use of multiple decision rules at the same time is discouraged as it will have an impact on the computations of the probabilities of Type I and Type II errors. Moreover, Nelson (1985) recommended that the test based on decision rules should be viewed as some practical rules for action instead of tests with specific probabilities linked with them.

Champ and Woodall (1987) provided an easy method that uses the Markov chain approach to get the exact run length properties of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart with runs rules. They also concluded that the use of simultaneous tests will increase the power of the control chart and lead to an increase in the false alarm rates. Palm (1990) provided some tables of the ARL and percentiles of the run length of the Shewhart \bar{X} charts supplemented with common runs rules. Champ and Woodall (1990) wrote a Fortran computer program using the Markov chain method to calculate the run length properties of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart supplemented with runs rules and pre-determined control limits. Besides, Walker et al. (1991) obtained the false alarm rate of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart with supplementary runs rules using a simulation study and concluded that the false alarm rate is quite high, especially when the number of multiple use of runs rules or number of subgroups increases. They also showed that their simulation results draw similar conclusion to the results of Champ and Woodall (1987).

Hurwitz and Mathur (1992) introduced a very simple two-of-two runs rule which can signal if two successive points fall outside the control limits. Champ (1992) studied the cyclic steady-state run length distribution of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart with supplementary runs rules by combining the Markov chain technique of Champ and Woodall (1987) with the cyclic steady-state distribution of Crosier (1986). Alwan et al. (1994) investigated the ARL of runs rules type control charts when autocorrelation is present. Lowry et al. (1995) attempted to improve the sensitivity of the Shewhart R - and S -charts to monitor process dispersion by incorporating the standard Western Electric Company runs rules but the results turned out to be poor. They suggested alternative runs rules that will give the same in control ARL performance as the standard Shewhart chart with runs rules, and concluded that all these runs rules were ineffective to decrease the dispersion of a process.

Das and Jain (1997) studied the economic design of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart with supplementary runs rules. Champ and Woodall (1997) proposed the Shewhart \bar{X} chart with a multiple set of runs rules and computed their false alarm signal probabilities, which are important when selecting runs rules based on the false alarm rate. Shmueli and Cohen (2003) presented a new technique for computing the run length distribution of the Shewhart \bar{X} chart supplemented with runs rules by using run-length generating function to extract the probability function. Based on their technique to compute the run length distribution, they managed to compare the entire run length distribution of common runs rules and concluded that the study based on the entire run length distribution provides more information than the study based on the ARL only. Fu et al. (2003) introduced a generalized method based on the Markov chain imbedding approach to calculate the run length distribution of various

Shewhart \bar{X} charts based on a simple rule or on the compound rules. Zhang and Castagliola (2010) proposed runs rules \bar{X} chart when the process parameters are estimated. They examined the performance of the runs rules \bar{X} chart using the Markov chain model and compared them with the case where the process parameters are assumed known and concluded that their run length properties are quite different.

2.2.1 Standard m -of- k (m/k) Runs Rules Control Chart

Western Electric (1956) proposed two m -of- k (m/k) runs rules, which are the 2/3 and 4/5 rules. The 2/3 rule signals an out-of-control when two out of three successive points plot beyond the two-sigma warning limits, while the 4/5 rule signals an out of control when four out of five successive points plot beyond the one sigma limits. Derman and Ross (1997) proposed slightly different 2/2 and 2/3 rules. For the first rule, an out-of-control signal is given if two successive points plot outside either of the control limits, while for the second rule, an out-of-control is signalled if any two out of three successive points are above, or below, either control limits. Klein (2000) presented more powerful 2/2 and 2/3 rules having symmetric UCL and LCL. His 2/2 rule signals an out-of-control if two successive points plot either above the UCL or below the LCL, whereas his 2/3 rule signals an out-of-control if two out of three successive points are plotted either above the UCL or below the LCL. Klein (2000) designed his 2/3 rule based on the Markov chain approach to compute the UCL and LCL that give a desired ARL_0 . The results showed that the ARL performance of his runs rules chart is better than the Shewhart \bar{X} chart up to mean shifts of 2.6 standard deviations. Khoo (2003) provided detailed steps to design control charts with supplementary runs rules and conducted a simulation study of the 2/2, 2/3, 2/4, 3/3 and 3/4 rules for different choices of ARL_0 s

and concluded that the 3/4 rule has the best ARL performance. A typical m/k runs rule chart signals an out-of-control if m -of- k successive points are plotted either above the $UCL_{m/k}$ or below the $LCL_{m/k}$.

2.2.2 Improved m -of- k ($I - m/k$) Runs Rules Control Chart

By combining the classical 1/1 rule with the 2/2 and 2/3 rules, Khoo and Ariffin (2006) proposed the improved m -of- k rules (denoted by $I - m/k$, where $m = 2$ and $k = 2$ or 3). The $I - m/k$ runs rule will signal an out-of-control if either a point falls beyond the outer control limits or if m -of- k successive points lie between the inner and outer control limits. The $I - 2/2$ and $I - 2/3$ rules provide superior ARL performance to the corresponding 2/2 and 2/3 rules of Klein (2000) for detecting large process mean shifts, while maintaining the same sensitivity for the detection of small and moderate process mean shifts. Acosta-Mejia (2007) studied the ARL performance of the $I - k/k$ and $I - k/(k+1)$ rules for various k values and concluded that these rules have improved performances for detecting small mean shifts compared with the Shewhart \bar{X} chart, but the former rules are comparable to the latter chart for detecting large mean shifts.

To use the $I - m/k$ runs rule, we design the Shewhart \bar{X} chart with a center line ($CL_{I-m/k}$) and two sets of symmetrical control limits, i.e. inner control limits ($LCL_{1(I-m/k)}, UCL_{1(I-m/k)}$) and outer control limits ($LCL_{2(I-m/k)}, UCL_{2(I-m/k)}$), which satisfy $LCL_{2(I-m/k)} < LCL_{1(I-m/k)} < CL_{I-m/k} < UCL_{1(I-m/k)} < UCL_{2(I-m/k)}$. For example, consider the case where $k \geq 2$ and $2 \leq m \leq k$. Here, the $I - m/k$ runs rule signals an out-of-control if

(i) a single sample point is plotted above $UCL_{2(I-m/k)}$ or below $LCL_{2(I-m/k)}$, or

(ii) m out of k successive points fall between $UCL_{1 (I-m/k)} (LCL_{1 (I-m/k)})$ and $UCL_{2 (I-m/k)} (LCL_{2 (I-m/k)})$.

2.2.3 Revised m -of- k ($R-m/k$) Runs Rules Control Chart

Recently, Antzoulakos and Rakitzis (2008) proposed a newer m -of- k runs rule, which is being referred to as the $R-m/k$ rule. The $R-m/k$ rule signals an out-of-control based on the same criteria as the $I-m/k$ rule, except that the cluster of points taking part in the out-of-control signal must lie on the same side of the chart, i.e. either between CL and UCL or CL and LCL. They examined the ARL performance of the $R-2/3$ and $R-4/5$ rules for every shifts in the mean and concluded that the ARL performance of the $R-2/3$ and $R-4/5$ rules are generally better than the $I-2/3$ and $I-4/5$ rules, respectively. The Markov chain technique developed by Fu and Koutras (1994) was used in the calculation of the ARL value of the $R-m/k$ rule. Note that all the Markov chain procedures to compute the ARL in this thesis are based on the zero-state model. The $R-m/k$ rule is one of the most efficient runs rules schemes in the literature, in terms of speed of detecting an out-of-control signal. This motivated Low et al. (2012) to design the $R-m/k$ rule using the median run length (MRL) criterion and their results are in agreement with the ARL results of Antzoulakos and Rakitzis (2008), where the $R-m/k$ rule has a better performance towards small and moderate mean shifts, while maintaining the same efficiency towards large mean shifts.

The design of the $R-m/k$ runs rule is almost similar to the $I-m/k$ runs rule. First, we design a Shewhart \bar{X} chart with a center line ($CL_{R-m/k}$) and two sets of symmetrical control limits, i.e. inner control limits ($LCL_{1 (R-m/k)}, UCL_{1 (R-m/k)}$)

and outer control limits $(LCL_{2(R-m/k)}, UCL_{2(R-m/k)})$, which satisfy $LCL_{2(R-m/k)} < LCL_{1(R-m/k)} < CL_{R-m/k} < UCL_{1(R-m/k)} < UCL_{2(R-m/k)}$. Consider the case where $k \geq 2$ and $2 \leq m \leq k$. Here, the $R-m/k$ runs rule signals an out-of-control if

- (i) a single sample point is plotted above $UCL_{2(R-m/k)}$ or below $LCL_{2(R-m/k)}$, or
- (ii) m out of k consecutive sample points fall between $UCL_{1(R-m/k)}(LCL_{1(R-m/k)})$ and $UCL_{2(R-m/k)}(LCL_{2(R-m/k)})$, and the group of points contributing to the out-of-control signal fall between $CL_{R-m/k}$ and $UCL_{2(R-m/k)}(LCL_{2(R-m/k)})$.

For the design of the $R-m/k$ runs rule, we start by setting the desired value for the ARL_0 , i.e. τ , followed by setting the width constant of the outer control limit, d_2 . After that, we determine the inner control limit constant, d_1 so that the desired value of τ is obtained. The outer control limits are computed using $UCL_{2(R-m/k)}/LCL_{2(R-m/k)} = \mu_0 \pm d_2 \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}$, and the inner control limits are calculated using $UCL_{1(R-m/k)}/LCL_{1(R-m/k)} = \mu_0 \pm d_1 \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}$, where n is the sample size, μ_0 is the in-control mean and σ_0 is the in-control standard deviation.

As the $R-m/k$ runs rule chart will be considered in the performance comparison in Chapter 5, it is useful to study its Markov chain method. The Shewhart \bar{X} chart with center line $(CL_{R-m/k})$, outer control limits $(LCL_{2(R-m/k)}, UCL_{2(R-m/k)})$ and inner control limits $(LCL_{1(R-m/k)}, UCL_{1(R-m/k)})$, based on the $R-m/k$ runs rule is explained below. This $R-m/k$ runs rule chart can be divided into five intervals as follows (Antzoulakos and Rakitzis, 2008):

- (i) Interval 1 represents the area between the $UCL_{1 (R-m/k)}$ and the $UCL_{2 (R-m/k)}$.
- (ii) Interval 2 represents the area between the $CL_{R-m/k}$ and the $UCL_{1 (R-m/k)}$.
- (iii) Interval 3 represents the area between the $LCL_{1 (R-m/k)}$ and the $CL_{R-m/k}$.
- (iv) Interval 4 represents the area between the $LCL_{2 (R-m/k)}$ and the $LCL_{1 (R-m/k)}$.
- (v) Interval 5 represents the area beyond the $LCL_{2 (R-m/k)}$ and the $UCL_{2 (R-m/k)}$.

Let $\delta = (|\mu_1 - \mu_0|)/\sigma_0$ be the size of the mean shift, where μ_1 is the out-of-control mean. When $CL_{R-m/k}$ of this chart is fixed as zero and symmetrical outer and inner limits are used, the probabilities p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 and p_5 for a sample point to fall in intervals 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively, are (Antzoulakos and Rakitzis, 2008)

$$p_1 = \Phi(d_2 - \delta) - \Phi(d_1 - \delta), \quad (2.1)$$

$$p_2 = \Phi(d_1 - \delta) + \Phi(\delta) - 1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$p_3 = \Phi(d_1 + \delta) - \Phi(\delta), \quad (2.3)$$

$$p_4 = \Phi(d_2 + \delta) - \Phi(d_1 + \delta) \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$p_5 = 1 - p_1 - p_2 - p_3 - p_4, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ denotes the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of the standard normal distribution.

For illustration, we consider the case of the $R-2/3$ runs rule to describe how the Markov chain approach works. Let $\{Z_t, t \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (iid) trials taking the value in set $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, and $P(Z_t = i) = p_i$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. Consider the composite pattern

$$D_1 = \{11, 121, 44, 434, 5\}, \quad (2.6)$$

which includes all the different ways to get an out-of-control signal. Here, 11 represents two successive samples falling in interval 1.

The steps to obtain the Markov chain states for the $R-2/3$ runs rule are as follows (Low et al., 2012):

Step 1. Write down all the elements in the composite pattern D_1 .

Step 2. Decompose each element in composite pattern D_1 into its basic states. For instance, element 121 can be decomposed into “1” and “12”. After decomposing all the elements in the composite pattern D_1 , we will get the basic states of “1”, “12”, “4” and “43”.

Step 3. Represent the out-of-control state as “AS” = {“11”, “121”, “44”, “434”, “5”}.

Step 4. Identify the missing basic state not found in Step 2. In this case, it is the basic state for regions 2 or 3, that is “2 or 3”.

Step 5. Merge all the basic states obtained in Steps 2 and 4 to get the in-control states of “2 or 3”, “1”, “12”, “4” and “43”.

After getting all the in-control states for the Markov chain model, the transition probability matrix (tpm) for the transient states, \mathbf{R}_1 is constructed as shown in Table 2.1. Each entry denotes the transition probability from state i to state j .

Table 2.1 The tpm, \mathbf{R}_1 , for the $R-2/3$ runs rule

State i	State j				
	“2 or 3”	“1”	“12”	“4”	“43”
“2 or 3”	$p_2 + p_3$	p_1	0	p_4	0
“1”	p_3	0	p_2	p_4	0
“12”	$p_2 + p_3$	0	0	p_4	0
“4”	p_2	p_1	0	0	p_3
“43”	$p_2 + p_3$	p_1	0	0	0

Source: Low et al., 2012

The same method is used to obtain the Markov chain states for the $R-4/5$ runs rule and the details are given in Appendix B.1.

Let T represents the waiting time for the first occurrence of an element in compound pattern D_1 . Then the run length distribution of the $R-m/k$ runs rule scheme is given by the waiting time distribution of T . Thus, the cdf and the average of the run length are given by (Zhang et al., 2009)

$$\Pr(T \leq t) = 1 - \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{R}_1^t \mathbf{1}', \quad (2.7)$$

$$\text{ARL} = E(T) = \mathbf{S}_1 (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{1}', \quad (2.8)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix of size $h \times h$, and h is the number of rows or columns of matrix \mathbf{R}_1 , $\mathbf{1}$ represents the $1 \times h$ row vector with all its values equal to one, and \mathbf{S}_1 represents the $1 \times h$ first unit row vector (first entry is unity and zeros in the other entries). Note that the cdf in Equation (2.7) is provided as it will be used for the proposed synthetic $R-m/k$ and GR $R-m/k$ runs rules charts in Chapter 3. The in-control and out-of-control ARLs can be computed using Equation (2.8) by substituting $\delta = 0$ and $\delta > 0$ in Equations (2.1) - (2.4).

The optimization model for the $R-m/k$ runs rule chart is based on minimizing the ARL_1 for specified values of n , d_2 and τ , where τ is the desired

ARL₀ value. The optimization program for the $R-2/3$ and $R-4/5$ runs rules charts written using the MATLAB software is given in Appendix A.1.

2.3 np Type Control Charts

The basic attribute control charts are the p, np, c and u charts. The p and np charts are commonly used to monitor the fraction of non-conforming items while the c and u charts are used to monitor the number of non-conformities. Moreover, the p and np charts' control limits and designs are based on the binomial distribution while those of the c and u charts are based on the Poisson distribution (Woodall, 1997). The np control chart is commonly used to detect increases in the fraction non-conforming for attribute data. The sample fraction non-conforming, p is defined as the ratio of the number of non-conforming units in the sample, e_0 to the sample size, n . The np chart is as effective as the p chart when the sample size n is constant, but the np chart is easier to understand as it is based on the number of non-conforming items (Montgomery, 2009). Haridy et al. (2012) noted that the common use of the np chart and other attribute charts is attributable to several factors, such as the relative simplicity of handling attribute quality characteristics, the capability of checking multiple quality requirements, the ease to communicate between people at different levels and the prevalence of count data in many non-manufacturing sectors. A process is considered to be in-control if $LCL_{SS\ np} \leq e_0 \leq UCL_{SS\ np}$, where $LCL_{SS\ np}$ and $UCL_{SS\ np}$ are the lower and upper control limits of the SS np chart, respectively. Otherwise, if $e_0 < LCL_{SS\ np}$ or $e_0 > UCL_{SS\ np}$ the process has gone out-of-control. For the case of $e_0 < LCL_{SS\ np}$, a decrease in p is signalled, while for the case of

$e_0 > UCL_{SS np}$, an increase in p is signalled. Note that the standard np chart is referred to as the SS np chart in this thesis.

Various economic design models for the p chart and np chart have been suggested from the 1970 onwards. Montgomery et al. (1975) presented the economic design of fraction defective control charts by developing an expected cost model for the defective control chart. Montgomery and Heikes (1976) furthered the study of economic design of fraction defective control charts by investigating the optimal design of fraction defective control charts using both the Markov and non-Markov models. Chiu (1976) studied the economic design model of np chart for production processes which have an in-control state but such processes may fall into either one of the few out-of-control states such that each state is related to an assignable cause. Chiu (1977) also examined how errors in estimation affect the optimal cost control scheme using the np chart.

Duncan (1978) presented the minimum cost p chart by converting the minimum cost designs of np chart into p chart and found that larger samples taken less often are required in the detection of small shifts. Gibra (1978) developed two production models using easy search procedure to find the optimal parameter of an np chart with minimum cost function and showed how the models can be used using illustrative examples. Moreover, Gibra (1981) extended the two models to monitor industrial process subject to a multiplicity of assignable causes and showed that the proposed complex multiple cause model can be approximated by a “similar” single cause model. Sculli and Woo (1982) presented a simulation method to design the np chart which is useful in the control of a manufacturing process.

In addition, Sculli and Woo (1985) developed two models that allow the product quality to decline to a lower level without the detection of an out-of-control

state and they can be used in conditions where the out-of-control causes and the proportion of defectives are unknown. Williams (1985) developed an economic design cost model for the np chart using curtailed sampling plans and showed that the proposed curtailed sampling plans reduce cost when compared with traditional sampling plans. Collani (1989) developed a simple method to construct an approximate optimal economic design of the np chart. Wang and Chen (1995) considered an economic design of the np chart under fuzzy environment which fulfilled the Type I and II errors and used a heuristic approach to get the solution.

2.3.1 Single Sampling (SS) np Control Chart

Control charts for attribute data were first suggested by Shewhart (1926 and 1927). Some early works for improving the technique of the p and np charts were made by Jenett and Welch (1939), Wescott (1947), Howell (1949), Olds (1956), Folks et al. (1965) and Larson (1969). Nelson (1983) presented a supplementary test for a p control chart which includes counting the number of consecutive occurrences of non-conforming items in a subgroup. To control process with low defective rates, Goh (1987) developed an alternative charting technique based on exact probability calculations and showed that the new p chart is more effective compared with the standard p chart for low defective production. Bissell (1988) presented a simple way for computing the control charts' limits for attributes, which include the p, np and c charts. To improve the effectiveness of the np chart, Vaughan (1992) presented a variable sampling interval (VSI) np chart, which allows each sample to be taken at different intervals depending on the power of the sampling procedure.

Schwertman and Ryan (1997) presented a Fortran program that enabled the user to find the optimal control limits for a p, np, c or u chart by assuming that all the

parameter values are known. Jolayemi (2002) developed models of the np chart with three and four control regions and concluded that an increase in the number of control regions will decrease the discriminatory power of the np chart, and vice versa. Laney (2002) proposed a p chart which solves the problem of the traditional p chart which requires the assumption that the mean of the distribution is constant over time, which is not always true. Wu et al. (2006) proposed an algorithm to optimize the np chart with curtailment and found that the proposed optimal np chart with curtailment can decrease the out-of-control average time to signal (ATS) by almost 50% on the average compared with the traditional np chart.

The SS np chart is a conventional np control chart and it is constructed based on the number of non-conforming items. As it is important to detect an increase in the fraction nonconforming p , only the upper sided standard np chart is usually considered. This SS np chart signals an out-of-control when $e_0 \geq \text{UCL}_{\text{SS np}}$, where e_0 is the number of non-conforming items in a sample of size n and $\text{UCL}_{\text{SS np}}$ is a pre-defined upper control limit of the SS np chart. Let P be the probability that the number of non-conforming items e_0 is less than $\text{UCL}_{\text{SS np}}$ of the SS np chart. Then (Rodrigues et al., 2011)

$$P = \Pr(e_0 < \text{UCL}_{\text{SS np}}) = \sum_{e_0=0}^{\lfloor \text{UCL}_{\text{SS np}} \rfloor} \binom{n}{e_0} p^{e_0} (1-p)^{n-e_0}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the ‘biggest integer less than or equal to its argument’.

The ARL of the SS np chart is obtained as

$$\text{ARL} = \frac{1}{1-P}. \quad (2.10)$$

The in-control and out-of-control ARLs are computed using Equation (2.10) when $p = p_0$ and $p = p_1$, respectively, where p_0 denotes the in-control fraction of non-

conforming items while p_1 denotes the out-of-control fraction of non-conforming items.

The optimal design of the SS np chart is based on finding an appropriate $UCL_{SS np}$ such that $ARL_0 \geq \tau$ for pre-determined n and τ values. The MATLAB optimization program written based on this model is presented in Appendix A.2.

2.3.2 Double Sampling (DS) np Control Chart

Wu and Wang (2007) proposed a new double inspection np chart which uses a double inspection method to determine the process status. The first inspection determines the process status as either in-control or out-of-control while the second inspection determines the location of a specific non-conforming item in the sample. Rodrigues et al. (2011) extended the idea of Wu and Wang (2007) by proposing the DS np chart which gives superior ARL performance to the traditional np chart. The DS np chart can also be used to lower the average sample size (ASS) without affecting the ARL performance. The DS np chart of Rodrigues et al. (2011) was developed to detect assignable causes that result in an increase in p . As such, this DS np chart is defined with the lower control limit ($LCL_{DS np}$) set as zero. To apply the DS np chart, a first sample is drawn and examined at a fixed sampling interval. Using the results taken from the first sample, the sampling either stops for a conclusion about the process to be made or an additional second sample is drawn and examined, followed by making a conclusion about the process using the combined information from both samples.

The following discussion explains the DS np chart of Rodrigues et al. (2011). Assume that the DS np chart is applied to monitor a process which is binomially distributed with parameters n and p . Then the DS np chart