

***IN VITRO* SELECTION OF *Dendrobium* SONIA-28
PROTOCORM-LIKE BODIES AGAINST *Fusarium proliferatum***

By

RAHELEH DEHGAHI

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of
Doctor Philosophy**

APRIL 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise to the Almighty Allah for blessing me with sound health, mental strength and courage to conduct the research and write up of the PhD dissertation successfully. I would like to express my deepest acknowledgement to Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for giving me the opportunity to conduct the entire research smoothly with worthy facilities.

I am delighted here to extend sincere gratitude to my honourable supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Sreeramanan Subramaniam for his scholastic guidance in research and constructive criticism during write up. I express profound gratitude to my honourable co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Latifah Zakaria for her worthy advice during my research work and write up of the thesis.

My heartfelt thanks to my entire lab mates, friends, colleagues and well wishers who directly or indirectly inspired me every time.

Last but not the least I owe my loving thanks to my parents Javaher Sajadpour and Hojat Dehgahi, husband Alireza Junias and my two children, Niki and Amirreza Junias for their supports and understanding throughout my whole studies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xv
ABSTRAK	xvii
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Main objectives of research	7
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1 Orchids: Geography, morphology and importance	8
2.1.1 Orchid's market	9
2.2 <i>Dendrobium</i> orchid genus	10
2.2.1 <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28	12
2.3 Micropropagation of orchids and protocorm-like bodies (PLBs)	14
2.4 Diseases of <i>Dendrobium</i>	17
2.4.1 <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i>	18
2.5 <i>Fusarium</i> control	18
2.6 Somaclonal variation system	20
2.6.1 Mutation breeding	23
2.6.1(a) Physical mutagen	25
2.6.1(a)i Gamma irradiation	25
2.6.2 <i>In vitro</i> selection methods	27
2.6.2(a) Development of biotic stress tolerant plants through <i>in vitro</i> selection	30
2.7 <i>In vitro</i> selection for <i>Fusarium</i> diseases tolerance	31
2.7.1 <i>In vitro</i> selection with pathogen toxins	31

2.7.2	Selection with culture filtrates	34
2.8	Pathogenesis effect on plants	35
2.8.1	Photosynthesis	35
2.8.2	Plant responses to pathogen	36
2.9	Leaf bridge bioassay	39
2.10	Molecular markers to uncover genetic and epigenetic changes	40
2.10.1	RAPD-DNA marker	40
2.11	Concluding remarks	42
CHAPTER 3: EFFECTS OF <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> CULTURE FILTRATE (CF) TREATMENT ON THE PROTOCORM-LIKE BODIES (PLBs) OF <i>Dendrobium SONIA-28</i>		
3.1	Introduction	43
3.1.1	Objectives	45
3.2	Materials and Methods	46
3.2.1	<i>In vitro</i> propagation of PLBs	46
3.2.2	The effect of PLB size for culture filtrate (CF) treatment	46
3.2.3	Preparation of culture filtrate	48
3.2.4	CF treatment of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> PLBs	50
3.2.5	Selection of CF-tolerant PLBs	50
3.2.6	Scanning electron microscopy analysis of CF treated PLBs	51
3.2.7	Histological analysis of CF treated PLBs	52
3.2.8	Transmission electron microscopy analysis of PLBs	55
3.2.9	Biochemical analyses of CF treated PLBs	56
3.2.9(a)	Determination of chlorophyll content	56
3.2.9(b)	Preparation of samples extraction	57
3.2.9(c)	Determination of total soluble protein content of untreated and CF treated PLBs	58
3.2.9(d)	Determination of peroxidase activity	60
3.2.10	Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis	60
3.2.10(a)	DNA extraction and amplification from untreated and CF treated <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> PLBs	60
3.2.10(b)	RAPD	63

3.2.10(c)	DNA electrophoresis	63
3.2.11	Morphological studies	64
3.3	Results and Discussion	66
3.3.1	Effect of CF concentration on PLBs survival rate	66
3.3.2	Effects of CF concentrations on the PLBs growth rate percentage	72
3.3.3	Histological analysis of <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLBs	75
3.3.4	Morphological observations of PLBs surfaces	78
3.3.5	TEM observations of PLBs treated with CF	84
3.3.6	Determination of chlorophyll contents	87
3.3.7	Determination of total soluble protein contents	93
3.3.8	Determination of peroxidase activity	96
3.3.9	Polymorphisms analysis of the CF treated PLBs	100
3.3.10	Morphological characteristics of in vitro plantlets upon CF treatment	105
3.4	Conclusions	110
CHAPTER 4: EFFECTS OF FUSARIC ACID (FA) TREATMENT ON PROTOCORM-LIKE BODIES (PLBs) OF <i>Dendrobium SONIA</i>-28		
4.1	Introduction	111
4.1.1	Objectives	113
4.2	Materials and Methods	114
4.2.1	<i>In vitro</i> propagation	114
4.2.2	FA treatment of <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLB	114
4.2.3	Selection of FA-tolerant PLBs	115
4.2.4	Scanning electron microscope analysis of FA treated PLBs	115
4.2.5	Histological observation of FA treated PLBs	115
4.2.6	Transmission electron microscopy of FA treated PLBs	116
4.2.7	Biochemical analyses of the FA treated PLBs	116
4.2.7(a)	Determination of chlorophyll content	116
4.2.7(b)	Preparation of samples extraction	116
4.2.7(c)	Determination of total soluble protein	117
4.2.7(d)	Determination of peroxidase activity	117
4.2.8	Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis	117

4.2.8(a)	DNA extraction and amplification from untreated and FA treated PLBs	117
4.2.8(b)	RAPD analysis	117
4.2.8(c)	DNA Electrophoresis	118
4.2.9	Morphological studies	118
4.3	Results and Discussion	119
4.3.1	Effect of FA concentration on PLBs survival rate	119
4.3.2	Effect of FA concentrations on the PLBs growth rate Percentage	123
4.3.3	Histological analysis of FA treated PLBs	126
4.3.4	Morphological observations of PLBs surfaces	129
4.3.5	TEM analysis of PLBs treated with FA	134
4.3.6	Determination of chlorophyll content	137
4.3.7	Determination of total soluble protein	140
4.3.8	Determination of peroxidase activity	143
4.3.9	RAPD-DNA analysis	145
4.3.10	Morphological characteristics of in vitro plantlets post FA treatment	149
4.4	Conclusions	154

CHAPTER 5: EFFECTS OF GAMMA-RAY IRRADIATION ON PROTOCORM-LIKE BODIES (PLBs) OF *Dendrobium SONIA-28*

5.1	Introduction	155
5.1.1	Objectives	156
5.2	Materials and Methods	157
5.2.1	<i>In vitro</i> propagation of PLBs	157
5.2.2	Gamma radiation on PLBs	157
5.2.3	Radiation sensitivity test	157
5.2.4	Scanning electron microscope observation of gamma irradiated PLBs	158
5.2.5	Histological observation of gamma irradiated PLBs	158
5.2.6	Transmission Electron Microscopy of gamma irradiated PLBs	158
5.2.7	Biochemical analyses of gamma irradiated PLBs	159

5.2.7(a)	Determination of chlorophyll content	159
5.2.7(b)	Preparation of samples extraction	159
5.2.7(c)	Determination of total soluble protein	159
5.2.7(d)	Determination of peroxidase activity	159
5.2.8	Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis	160
5.2.8(a)	DNA extraction and amplification from non-irradiated and irradiated PLBs	160
5.2.8(b)	RAPD	160
5.2.8(c)	DNA Electrophoresis	160
5.2.9	Morphological studies	160
5.3	Results and Discussion	161
5.3.1	Effects of different gamma radiation doses on PLBs	161
5.3.2	Effect of gamma-ray irradiation on fresh weight of PLBs	168
5.3.3	Histology analysis of gamma-ray irradiated PLBs	171
5.3.4	SEM observations of irradiated and non-irradiated PLBs surfaces	173
5.3.5	TEM observation of PLBs after gamma irradiation treatment	178
5.3.6	Determination of chlorophyll content of irradiated PLBs	181
5.3.7	Determination of total soluble protein of irradiated PLBs	186
5.3.8	Determination of peroxidase activity of irradiated PLBs	189
5.3.9	RAPD analyses of irradiated PLBs	193
5.3.10	Morphological characteristics of gamma irradiated plantlets	197
5.4	Conclusions	202
CHAPTER 6: DEVELOPMENT OF LEAF-BRIDGE BIOASSAY OF <i>Dendrobium SONIA-28</i> ORCHID PLANTLETS RESISTANT TO <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i>		
6.1	Introduction	203
6.1.1	Objectives	204

6.2	Materials and Methods	205
6.2.1	Establishment of leaflet size and spore concentration suitable for disease expression	205
6.2.2	Establishment of the leaf-bridge assay	206
6.2.3	Leaf-bridge bioassay of treated leaflets	206
6.2.4	Evaluation of disease symptoms	207
6.3	Results and Discussion	209
6.3.1	Effects of spore concentrations and different lengths on disease symptom	209
6.3.2	Disease symptom levels on culture filtrate treated leaflets	211
6.3.3	Disease symptom levels on FA-treated leaflets	217
6.3.4	Disease symptom levels on gamma-irradiated leaflets	224
6.4	Conclusions	230
CHAPTER 7: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS		
7.1	General discussion of the study	231
7.2	General conclusions	235
REFERENCES		237
APPENDIX		
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND CONFERENCES		

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
3.1	The TBA concentration series used in the dehydration of PLB samples for histology analysis.	53
3.2	List of RAPD primers	62
3.3	Effect of various concentrations of CF on the survival rate of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 orchid PLBs after two selection cycles.	68
3.4	Effect of CF stomatal aperture (μm) of PLBs after 3 hours under light condition using cell A analysis image processing.	81
3.5	Effects of various concentrations of CF on the chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll contents of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs after 1, 2 and 3 weeks inoculation.	88
3.6	Similarity indices (SI) from RAPD analyses of DNA samples obtained from PLBs of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 subjected to the various doses of CF.	103
3.7	Effect of various concentrations of CF on the number of shoots, shoot length (cm), number of fully opened leaves, leaf length (cm), number of roots and root lengths (cm) of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 orchid plantlets after 6 months.	106
4.1	Effect of various concentrations of FA on the survival rate of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 orchid PLBs within four weeks.	120
4.2	Effect of various concentrations of FA on mean stomatal aperture (μm) of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 orchid PLBs after 3 hours under light using cell A analysis image processing.	132
4.3	Effect of various concentrations of FA on the chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll contents of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs after 1, 2 and 3 weeks of inoculation.	139
4.4	Similarity index from RAPD analyses obtained from PLBs of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 subjected to different concentrations of FA.	148
4.5	Effects of various concentrations of FA on the number of shoots, shoot length (cm), number of fully opened	151

leaves, leaf length (cm), number of roots and root lengths (cm) of *Dendrobium sonia-28* orchid plantlets after six months.

5.1	Effect of various doses of gamma irradiation on the survival rate of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> orchid PLBs within four weeks.	163
5.2	Effect of various doses of gamma radiation on mean stomatal aperture (μm) of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> orchid PLBs after 3 hours under light using cell A analysis image processing.	176
5.3	Effect of various doses of gamma radiation on chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll content of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> orchid PLBs between 1 to 3 weeks.	183
5.4	Effect of various doses of gamma radiation on total soluble protein content of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> orchid PLBs between 1 to 3 weeks.	187
5.5	Effect of various doses of gamma radiation on peroxidase activity of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> orchid PLBs after 1-3 weeks.	190
5.6	Similarity indices from RAPD analyses of DNA samples obtained from PLBs of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> subjected to the various doses of gamma irradiation.	195
5.7	Effect of various doses of gamma radiation on the no. of shoots, shoot length (cm), no. of roots, root lengths (cm), no. of leaf and leaf length (cm) of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> orchid plantlets after 6 months.	198
6.1	Leaf-bridge assay analysis of somaclones divided into three disease reaction categories when inoculated with 10,000 spore/mL of <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> for CF treatment protocol.	214
6.2	Leaf-bridge assay analysis of somaclones divided into three disease reaction categories when inoculated with 10,000 spore/mL of <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> for FA treatment protocol.	220
6.3	Leaf-bridge assay analysis of somaclones divided into three disease reaction categories when inoculated with 10,000 spore/mL of <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> for gamma irradiation treatment protocol.	227

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
2.1	The orchid hybrid <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 (Orchid Broad.com, 2012).	13
2.2	<i>In vitro</i> proliferation of PLBs and plantlets from a single PLB of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 within three months of culture on semi-solid half-strength MS medium supplemented with 2% (w/v) sucrose and 0.2% (w/v) charcoal.	16
3.1	Single PLBs of the <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28.	47
3.2	Preparation of <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> culture filtrate (CF).	49
3.3	Effect of CF on <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs after two months of culture.	67
3.4	Effect of different concentrations of CF on <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs after four weeks of inoculation.	69
3.5	Effect of various concentrations of CF on the survival rate of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 orchid PLBs in two different sizes of 1-2 and 3-4mm.	73
3.6	Histology of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs.	76
3.7	SEM of CF treated <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs surface.	79
3.8	SEM of CF treated <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs stomata	80
3.9	Transmission electron micrographs of CF treated <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs.	85
3.10	Effect of various concentrations of CF on total soluble protein content of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs from one to three weeks.	94
3.11	Effect of various concentrations of CF on peroxidase activity of <i>Dendrobium</i> sonia-28 PLBs after one to three weeks.	98
3.12	RAPD results for DNA samples obtained from CF treated PLBs.	102

3.13	Effects of different concentrations of CF on <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 plantlets after 6 months of inoculation.	107
4.1	Effect of different concentrations of FA on <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLBs after 4 weeks of inoculation.	121
4.2	Effect of various concentrations of FA on relative growth rate (%) of <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 orchid PLBs.	124
4.3	Histology of FA treated <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLBs.	127
4.4	SEM of FA treated <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLBs surface.	130
4.5	SEM of FA treated <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLBs stomata.	131
4.6	Transmission electron micrographs of FA treated <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLBs.	135
4.7	Effect of various concentrations of FA on total soluble protein content of <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLBs after one to three weeks.	141
4.8	Effect of various concentrations of FA on peroxidase activity of <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLBs after one to three weeks.	144
4.9	RAPD results for DNA samples obtained from FA treated PLBs.	147
4.10	Effect of different concentrations of FA on <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 plantlets after 6 months.	152
5.1	Effect of various doses of gamma radiation on the survival rate of <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 orchid PLBs (3-4 mm).	164
5.2	Effect of differing doses of gamma radiation on <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 PLBs after 4 weeks of irradiation.	165
5.3	Effect of various doses of gamma radiation on the survival rate of <i>Dendrobium sonia</i> -28 orchid PLBs (size 3-4 mm) and the LD ₅₀ level.	166

5.4	Effect of various doses of gamma radiation on the final fresh weight of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> orchid PLBs (3-4 mm).	169
5.5	Histology of gamma irradiated <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> PLBs.	172
5.6	SEM of gamma irradiate <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> PLB surface.	174
5.7	SEM of gamma irradiated <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> PLB stomata.	175
5.8	Transmission electron micrographs of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> PLBs treated with 0, 20, 50, 150 and 200 Gy of gamma radiation.	179
5.9	RAPD results for DNA samples obtained from gamma irradiated PLBs.	194
5.10	Phenotypic effect of different doses of gamma-ray radiation on the regeneration of plantlets after 6 months.	199
6.1	Occurrence of disease incidence after different concentrations of <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> spore suspension in differing lengths of untreated <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> leaflets within 28 days of incubation.	210
6.2	Disease incidence caused by <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> spore suspension (10,000 spore/mL) from leaf-bridge assay observations of leaflets obtained from PLBs of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> subjected to various concentrations of CF within 28 days of incubation.	212
6.3	Disease incidence caused by <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> spore suspension (10,000 spore/mL) (except image A) from leaf-bridge assay observations of leaflets obtained from PLBs of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> subjected to various concentrations of CF within 28 days of incubation.	213
6.4	Disease incidence caused by <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> spore suspension (10000 spore/mL) from leaf-bridge assay observations of leaflets obtained from PLBs of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> subjected to various concentrations of FA within 28 days of incubation.	218

6.5	Disease incidence caused by <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> spore suspension (10,000 spore/mL) (except image A) from leaf-bridge assay observations of leaflets obtained from PLBs of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> subjected to various concentrations of FA within 28 days of incubation.	219
6.6	Disease incidence caused by <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> spore suspension (10,000 spore/mL) from leaf-bridge assay observations of leaflets obtained from PLBs of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> subjected to various doses of gamma irradiation within 28 days of incubation.	225
6.7	Disease incidence caused by <i>Fusarium proliferatum</i> spore suspension (10,000 spore/mL) (except A) from leaf-bridge assay observations of leaflets obtained from PLBs of <i>Dendrobium sonia-28</i> treated with various doses of gamma irradiation within 28 days of incubation.	226

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATION

ACRONYMS	ABBREVIATION
A ₆₆₃	Light absorption at 663 nm
A ₆₄₅	Light absorption at 645 nm
BAP	6-Benzylaminopurine
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
bp	Basepairs
CF	Culture filtrate
cm	Centimeter
CaCO ₃	Calcium carbonate
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dTNP	Deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EtBr	Ethidium bromide
EMS	Ethyl methanesulfonate
FA	Fusaric acid
FW	Fresh weight
Gy	Gray
g	Gram
g/L	Gram per litre
H	Hour
kbp	Kilobasepairs
KCl	Potassium hydrochloride
M	Molarity
min	Minute
mg/L	Milligram per litre
mg/ml	Milligram per millilitre
mg/g	Milligrams per grams
MgCl ₂	Magnesium Chloride
ml	Millilitre
mM	Millimolar
mm	Millimeter
m ⁻²	Per square meter

MS	Murashige and Skoog
nm	Nanometre
OD	Optical densities
Pa	Pascal
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PGR	Plant growth regulator
pH	Potential Hydrogen
PDA	potato dextrose agar
PVP	polyvinylpyrrolidone
RAPD	Randomly amplified polymorphic deoxyribonucleic acid
Rpm	Rotation per minute
S	Seconds
s ⁻¹	Per second
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SI	Similarity index
sp	Species
spores/mL	spores per millilitre
TBA	Tertiary butyl alcohol
TBE	Tri/Borate/EDTA Buffer
TEM	Transmission electron microscope
T _m	Melting temperature
TRIS-HCL	Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane hydrochloride
v/v	Volume over volume
W	Watt
w/v	Weight over volume
μl	Microlitre
μm	Micrometer
μmol	Micromole
α	Alpha
β	Beta
γ	Gamma
μmol /m ² s	micro-moles per square meter per second
°C	Degree Celcius

PEMILIHAN *IN VITRO* JASAD SEPERTI PROTOKOM *Dendrobium* SONIA-28 TERHADAP *Fusarium proliferatum*

ABSTRAK

Dendrobium sonia-28 adalah hibrid orkid yang penting dalam industri bunga di Malaysia kerana berkeupayaan berbunga berulang kali dan berbunga padat yang kini sedang menghadapi masalah pengeluaran yang serius akibat penyakit disebabkan kulat, terutamanya oleh *Fusarium proliferatum*. Untuk mengatasi masalah ini, salah satu strategi yang sedang diusahakan adalah dengan penghasilan orkid mutan baru. Mutagenesis secara *in vitro* dengan cara kultur turasan *Fusarium proliferatum* (CF), asid fusarik (FA) dan sinaran gama boleh digunakan untuk menghasilkan mutan yang lebih baik dari segi ekonomi. Dalam kajian ini, pemilihan jasad seperti protokom (JSP) yang bertoleransi terhadap *F. proliferatum* telah dijalankan dengan menilai kesan kepekatan CF (5-20%) dan FA (0.05-0.2 mM) dan pelbagai dos sinaran gama (10-200 Gy). Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa kadar hidup dan berat JSP berkadar songsang terhadap inokulasi dan sinaran dos. Selain itu, kadar kematian dan kekurangan berat JSP meningkat di kalangan JSP yang lebih kecil selepas rawatan CF dan FA. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa ujian sensitiviti radio (LD₅₀) bagi JSP lebih kurang pada 43 Gy. Kajian biokimia menunjukkan bahawa pengurangan yang ketara jumlah protein larut dan kandungan klorofil JSP yang dirawat bergantung kepada masa pendedahan dan kepekatan rawatan. Sebaliknya, terdapat peningkatan aktiviti peroxidase dalam JSP yang dirawat dengan peningkatan masa pendedahan dan kepekatan rawatan. Dos penyinaran yang rendah mempunyai kesan rangsangan terhadap jumlah protein larut dan kandungan klorofil. Analisis histologi, mikroskop elektron imbasan (SEM) dan mikroskop elektron transmisi (TEM) mengesahkan terdapatnya permukaan yang rosak dan kerosakan sel

organel menutup liang stomata JSP yang telah disuntik dan disinarkan. Tambahan pula, kloroplas yang herot mengesahkan kecekapan pigmen klorofil yang kurang. Pengurangan pertumbuhan anak pokok adalah lebih besar pada kepekatan dengan rawatan tertinggi. Anak pokok yang didedahkan dengan dos radiasi gamma yang rendah mempunyai perkembangan pucuk, akar dan dedaun yang lebih baik. Penanda RAPD menunjukkan corak jalur yang berbeza untuk setiap dos rawatan dan jalur khusus untuk anak pokok terpilih dan anak pokok kawalan. Keputusan bioasai jambatan-daun menunjukkan daun yang diperolehi daripada JSP yang dirawat dengan kepekatan yang lebih tinggi, menunjukkan gejala penyakit yang kurang selepas diinokulasi. Oleh itu, terdapat pertalian di antara *Dendrobium sonia-28* secara *in vivo* dan *in vitro* terhadap rintangan penyakit. Justeru itu, pemilihan *in vitro* CF, FA dan radiasi gamma boleh menjadi kaedah yang berkesan untuk mendapatkan klon soma *Dendrobium sonia-28*. Selain itu, sinaran gamma yang diberikan pada dos rendah hingga dos sederhana boleh menghasilkan mutan JSP dengan ciri-ciri unggul. Keupayaan *Dendrobium sonia-28* untuk terus hidup selepas jangkitan dan penyinaran membuka lembaran baru untuk pembangunan masa depan orkid transgenik yang rintang kulat.

**IN VITRO SELECTION OF *Dendrobium* SONIA-28 PROTOCORM-LIKE
BODIES AGAINST *Fusarium proliferatum***

ABSTRACT

Dendrobium sonia-28 is an important orchid hybrid in Malaysian flower industry for its flowering recurrence and dense inflorescences which currently facing serious production problems due to fungal diseases, especially caused by *Fusarium proliferatum*. To overcome this impediment, one of the strategies being pursued is by the production of new orchid mutants. *In vitro* mutagenesis by means of *Fusarium proliferatum* culture filtrate (CF), fusaric acid (FA) and gamma irradiation can be used to produce economically improved mutants. In this study, selection of *Fusarium proliferatum*-tolerant protocorm-like bodies (PLBs) was carried out by assessing the effects of different concentrations of CF (5-20%) and FA (0.05-0.2 mM) and various doses of gamma irradiation (10-200 Gy). Results showed that PLBs survival rate and weight were inversely related to the inoculation and irradiation doses. Additionally, PLBs death and weight reducing increased among smaller PLBs after CF and FA treatments. Results indicated that the radio sensitivity test (LD₅₀) for the PLBs was approximately at 43 Gy. Biochemical studies indicated that there was significant reduction in total soluble protein and chlorophyll contents in the treated PLBs depending upon the time of exposure and concentrations. Conversely, there were increased in peroxidase activity in the treated PLBs with increase in time of exposure and concentration of treatments. Low doses of irradiation have a stimulating effect on total soluble protein and chlorophyll contents. Histological, scanning electron microscope (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses confirmed severe surface and cell organelles damage and stomatal closure in inoculated and irradiated PLBs. Moreover, distorted

chloroplasts confirmed reducing the efficiency of chlorophyll pigments. Reductions in plantlet growth were much greater at the highest concentrations of treatments. Plantlets infected with low doses of gamma radiation had better development of shoot, root and the foliage. RAPD markers showed different banding patterns for each doses of treatments and specific bands for selected and control plantlets. Leaf-bridge bioassay results revealed that leaflets obtained from PLBs challenged with higher concentrations of treatments showed less disease symptoms after being inoculated. Therefore, there is a relationship between *in vivo* and *in vitro* resistance of *Dendrobium sonia-28* to infection. Hence, *in vitro* selection by CF, FA and gamma radiation could be an efficient method for obtaining *Dendrobium sonia-28* somaclones. Moreover, gamma irradiation administered at low to moderate doses may generate PLB mutants with superior characteristics. The ability of the *Dendrobium sonia-28* to survive after infection and irradiation opens new avenues for future development of fungal resistant transgenic orchids.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

The flowering plant family of Orchidaceae is large in size as well as being economically significant to the international floriculture industry, primarily as cut flowers and potted plants (Arditti, 1992; Khosravi et al., 2009; Poobathy et al., 2013a). In tropical Asia, a total of 6,800 orchid species were discovered in which over 1,000 wild species are located in Malaysia alone (Yang and Chua, 1990; Cribb et al., 2003; Antony et al., 2010).

A number of orchid species are becoming extinct as naturally growing plants are being harvested at random and habitats of these plants are greatly disturbed. Since traditional methods used for cultivation of orchids have proven to be quite difficult, it is extremely important to develop efficient and authentic techniques for conservation of orchids in the form of germplasm conservation (Hirano et al., 2005, 2009). Furthermore, endangered plant species and genetic resources may be effectively conserved using *in vitro* methods (Engelmann, 2011).

The genus *Dendrobium* belongs to one of the three largest families of Orchidaceae (Leitch et al, 2010). *Dendrobium* species are widely employed in horticultural, agricultural and medicinal practices (Chattopadhyay et al., 2012). *Dendrobium sonia-28* is a commercially valuable *Dendrobium* orchid popular as a cut flower and ornamental plant because of its frequent flowering and large number of flowers for each inflorescence (Martin and Madassery, 2006; Ching et al., 2012).

Protocorm-like bodies (PLBs) can be used as a reliable source of potentially regenerable orchid tissues (Ishikawa et al., 1997; Saiprasad and Polisetty, 2003; Yin et al., 2011). *In vitro* techniques appear to be very suitable for conserving plant biodiversity as it is considered to produce a large number of clones in a relatively

short time (Khoddamzadeh et al., 2013), which has provided an efficient way to rare or mass propagate commercially valuable plant germplasms especially for orchids (Hossain et al., 2013). However, there are some disadvantages such as somaclonal variations and microbial contamination under *in vitro* micropropagation conditions (Srivastava et al., 2009; Goncalves et al., 2010; Konieczny et al., 2010).

Dendrobium orchids are sensitive to pests and diseases. A considerable threat to these commercially important flowers is fungal disease. *Fusarium proliferatum* is regarded as a major pathogen of commercially cut-flower plants (Fattahi et al., 2014) including orchids (Swett and Uchida, 2015). Latiffah et al. (2009) reported that root discolourification and a yellowing of stem are strong indications of mold, chiefly associated with species from the genus *Fusarium* such as *F. proliferatum*. Thus, monitoring and management practices could be the best way to conserve orchids (Kani, 2011; Machaka-Houri et al., 2012).

In support of this contention, it has been reported that *Dendrobium sonia*-28, an important ornamental orchid in Malaysia is experiencing a reduced germination pace and risks of producing unsought progenies (Poobathy et al., 2013a). Chemical control of such pathogens is often problematic, expensive, labour and resource-intensive and can cause environmental pollution (Jayasankar et al., 2000). Moreover, conventional breeding methods for orchids present some limitations, including their long growing cycle, complicated reproductive process, and cross-incompatibility among some orchid species (Manshardt, 2004).

Accordingly, *in vitro* selection could be the best approach for pathogenic control because of benefits such as rapid testing of a broad range of individuals, easy mutant manipulation, and the presence of somaclones with higher variability in the genome (Hamid and Strange, 2000). Somaclonal variation is described as the

occurrence of genetic and epigenetic changes in cell and tissue cultures (Larkin and Scowcroft, 1981; Kaeppler et al., 2000; Leva et al., 2012). Plant improvement through *in vitro* selection and somaclonal variation is a technique of *in vitro* culture for obtaining plant genotype tolerance to the abiotic or biotic stress, such as high salinity, drought and disease tolerance (Ahmed et al., 1996; Yusnita et al., 2005; Leva et al., 2012). A valuable approach for improving crop productivity in the presence of *Fusarium* wilt fungi is to select regenerated clones which are resistant or tolerant to fungal diseases. This can be done through mutagenic treatment or co-cultivation with pathogenic fungi (Krishna et al., 2013). The selecting agents usually employed for *in vitro* selection in disease-resistance include phytotoxin such as fusaric acid (FA) or specific fungal culture filtrate (CF) or the pathogen itself (Purohit et al., 1998; Mahlanza et al., 2013). Certain *Fusarium* species produce toxins such as FA with toxicity level ranges from low to moderate degree (Wu et al., 2008).

In vitro selection method has been used for plant disease resistance in last two decades. Over 30 plant species and selective agents from about 40 plant pathogens were examined (Švábova and Lebeda, 2005). Some studies have also successfully used FA to select resistant planting materials (Chawla and Wenzel, 1987; Bouizgarne et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). Similarly, there were many reports on the use of culture filtrates which confirmed to be effective in selecting tolerant or resistant plants against biotic stresses (Gonzalez et al., 2006; Kumar et al., 2008; Tripathi et al., 2008; Svábová et al., 2011). Remotti et al. (1997) reported that since culture filtrates contain some phytotoxic compounds produced by pathogens, plants selected after culture filtrate inoculation may well be resistant to factors other than the main pathogen.

Plants in their natural habitat are persistently exposed to insect herbivores, fungi, viruses and bacteria. In response to attack by these organisms, plants have developed certain defence mechanisms such as induction of structural and biochemical changes (Agrios, 2005). Changes of the external environment and the inherent instability of the genetic structure in plants under natural conditions can be resulted to induced spontaneous genetic mutations. However, frequency of such mutations differs between plant species and genes and is extremely low (Drake et al., 1998). In plant breeding, induced mutation is an alternative and complementary technique for genetic modification and establishment of new genetic resources. There are a lot of physical and chemical mutagens currently used in mutation breeding (Ahloowalia and Maluszynski, 2001; Medina et al., 2005; Jain et al., 2007; Jain, 2012). X-, β - and γ -rays, neutrons and protons are some of the several energy rays that are widely used in mutation breeding.

Gamma rays are one of the most efficient sources of ionizing radiation, which induces a high frequency of mutations in plants. It has been reported that gamma rays can induce about 70 % of the world's mutant varieties (Nagatomi and Degi, 2009). Gamma rays are typically divided into two types of irradiation; chronic and acute (Nagatomi and Degi, 2009). Radiation rays can enhance mutation rate ranges more than a thousand-fold in the plants (Kovács and Keresztes, 2002). Cobalt-60 as the usual radiation source for induced-mutation, is widely used in agriculture and forestry, especially in ornamental and economically-valuable plants (Thapa, 2004; Borzouei et al., 2010). Gamma rays are an ionizing radiation that interacts with atoms or molecules to generate free radicals in cells. These free radicals can destroy important components of plant cells and differentially affect the morphology, anatomy, biochemistry and physiology of plants depending on the level

of irradiation. Gamma radiation can affect plant photosynthesis, depending on the irradiation dosage (Kovacs and Keresztes, 2002; Kim et al., 2004; Wi et al., 2007). Recently, mutation breeding has been used for some important ornamental plants including orchids (Kikuchi, 2000).

Zeng et al. (2010) indicated that stomata represent a major route for pathogen invasion and stomata closure appears to be part of a plant's immune response. Identification of plants resistant to diseases can be carried out by detecting changes in metabolites produced by plants and changes in enzyme activities once they are exposed to any stressor (Krishna et al., 2013). Different plants have evolved different complicated mechanisms for protecting themselves from the damages caused by plant pathogens. For instance, it has been demonstrated through research that antioxidative enzymes play a crucial role in conferring resistance to plants in response to biotic stresses (Mittler, 2002). Resistance to a disease involves activation of several different defence mechanisms which work in coordination to protect the plant from infection. When a plant is infected by a pathogen, higher quantities of ROS (reactive oxygen species) are produced which interact with wide range of cellular molecules including nucleic acids, proteins and lipids (Rebeiz et al., 1988; Sahoo et al., 2007).

Since these basic molecules are essentially required for structural and functional integrity of the cell, their reaction with ROS may result in irreversible harm to the cell and these damages may end up at cell death (Rebeiz et al., 1988; Sahoo et al., 2007). DNA-based markers are powerful and reliable tools for discerning genetic variation in studying evolutionary relationships (Zhang et al., 2013; Bhattacharyya and Kumaria, 2015). The RAPD analysis has been used to assess altered genetics in *Fusarium* tolerant cells (Nasir et al., 2012; Ghag et al.,

2014a). *In vitro* selected variants should be finally plant-tested inoculated with the pathogen to compare the response between *in vitro* selected agents and plants inoculated with the pathogen and confirm the genetic stability of the selected traits (Jain, 2001; Flores et al., 2012).

In Malaysia, orchid industry has seen a significant increase. Furthermore, the genus of *Dendrobium* is accounted to be the main orchid cut-flower export for Malaysia. *Fusarium* species including *Fusarium proliferatum* causes yellow and black spots on root and leaves in *Dendrobium's* orchid. The controlling of the *Fusarium* infection is very difficult. The crucial economic importance to the genus *Dendrobium* holds increases the importance of conserving the valuable orchid germplasm. Thus, careful surveillance and management practices will be the best way to preserve the various different orchid genera.

1.1 Main objectives of research

The objectives of the present study are:

- (i) To carry out and determine *in vitro* selection of disease resistant *Dendrobium* sonia-28 PLBs using *F. proliferatum* CF, fusaric acid and gamma irradiation,
- (ii) To investigate biochemical, morphology, histology, and RAPD analyses and comparison of culture filtrate, fusaric acid and gamma irradiation treated PLBs and plantlets,
- (iii) To establish leaf bridge assay technique and evaluate disease resistance of *Dendrobium* sonia-28 plantlets obtained from culture filtrate, fusaric acid and gamma irradiation treated PLBs using *Fusarium proliferatum* inoculation under *in vitro* condition.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Orchids: Geography, morphology and importance

The flowering plant family of Orchidaceae is very huge in size and being economically critical to the international floriculture industry, primarily as potted plants and cut flowers (Arditti, 1992; Khosravi et al., 2009). Orchidaceae can be considered as one of the best recorded of all angiosperm families (Chase et al., 2015). The orchid family is one of the largest in the flowering plant kingdom, and there were around 880 genera with recent estimation ranging from 20,000 to 35,000 species in five subfamilies (Dressler, 1981; Cribb et al., 2003), with extra 800 species were being recognized and added to the orchid lists yearly (Nicoletti 2003; Bektas et al., 2013) and new orchid genera were being portrayed at a rate of around 13 per year (the average over 10 years prior to 2004) (Schuiteman, 2004; Chase et al., 2015). Over 100,000 registered commercial orchid hybrids were grown as cut flowers and potted plants (Martin and Madassery, 2006; Vendrame et al., 2007).

Both hybrids and wild orchids have the following features: bilaterally symmetrical flowers, sticky masses of pollen grains called pollinia, minute seeds containing undeveloped embryos with no nutritive materials and the ability of seeds to only germinate with the presence of a symbiotic fungus under natural conditions (Jezek, 2003; Seaton et al., 2010). At the present, numerous descriptions of new genera incorporate molecular analysis to exhibit their necessity, whereas in earlier decades, morphology has been generally accepted basis for the description of new taxa (Chase et al., 2015). Most of the orchids that are threatened and endangered are listed under the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) (Nikishina et al., 2007; Swarts and Dixon, 2009).

Orchids can be found in almost every region of the world, apart from marine environments and perpetually icy regions (Sharma et al., 2011). About 90% of populations of the world's orchids are found in the tropical climatic regions with Asia alone between 10,000 to 15,000 species. Mostly orchids were thermophilic, but some species can be found at the lowland, montane or submontane levels (Jezek, 2003). Besides its aesthetic value, orchids were also known as widely favoured food, beverages, spices, flavouring, medicine, drugs, arts and religions (Arditti, 1992; Arditti and Pridgeon, 2013). Although orchids are expensive, but highly in demand in the national and international markets due to their diversity in terms of size, shape, flower colour and longevity (Saiprasad et al., 2004).

2.1.1 Orchid's market

The Asia-Pacific region has the prime share of all the world area under floriculture production (FAO, 2010). Cut flowers and many other floricultural products are key export products for many countries. These include Malaysia, where the horticultural sector has recorded phenomenal growth over the past years. In Malaysia, the floriculture industry has seen a significant increase in land under cultivation, for example, the area of land devoted to floral production from 3370 ha in 2005, has reached 7,000 ha in 2008 (Hamir et al., 2008). The export of orchids have increased from RM 12.8 million in 2003 to RM 14.3 million in 2005 (Fadelah, 2007).

Orchids are mostly traded as potted plants or cut flower in the flower industry globally (Japan Flower Trade Association, 2009; Supnithi et al., 2011). Its commercial importance, both as cut flowers and pot plants, increases globally year after year (Manners et al., 2013). Orchids share 8% of the global floriculture trade

(Martin and Madassery, 2006; Vendrame et al., 2007). Export and import trading of orchids in the world is estimated more than US \$150 million dollars which 80% and 20% of this are composed of cut and potted orchids respectively.

2.2 *Dendrobium* orchid genus

Since the 18th century, more than 8000 novel *Dendrobium* hybrids and cultivars have been produced in horticulture through interspecific hybridization as been reviewed by Pongsrila et al. (2014). This genus consists of more than 1100 species distributed throughout the world, ranging from Southeast Asia to New Guinea and Australia (Puchooa, 2004). Some characters of *Dendrobium* such as its floriferous flower sprays, long flowering life, year round availability and the genus's wide spectrum of shapes, colours, and sizes are reasons of *Dendrobium* expanding popularity (Kuehnle, 2007; Fadelah, 2007; Khosravi et al., 2009).

Dendrobium is also mostly used as in medicinal products and cosmetic (Chang et al., 2010). *Dendrobium* orchid also known *Sekkoku* in Japanese or *Shih-hu* in Chinese was used in Chinese traditional medicine as tonic to improve digestion, eliminating heat and nourishing yin and promoting body-fluid production (Shiau et al., 2005; Yin and Hong, 2009). The cane of *Dendrobium huoshanense* is also used to treat ophthalmic disorder, salivary, and stomach (Hsieh et al., 2008; Yin and Hong, 2009). Dried drug of *Shih-hu* reach up to US\$ 4000 Kg⁻¹ (Shiau et al., 2005).

Most of the *Dendrobium* hybrids produce flowers that are white, golden-yellow or lavender in colour, with some having combinations of these colours (Puchooa, 2004; Kuehnle, 2006). Rare specimens may consist of bluish, ivory, brilliant orange or scarlet flowers, with exotic markings. Most of the evergreen species of *Dendrobium* do not produce fragrance while some deciduous species may

produce fresh citrus-like scents, or smell of raspberries (Puchooa, 2004). Annually, *Dendrobium* usually blooms several times as well as the flower sprays make interesting cut flowers for arrangements (Puchooa, 2004; Fadelah, 2004).

Since Japan is the biggest world's importer of cut orchids, therefore Asia dominates the world trade in orchids industry. Japan imports cut flower mostly from its surrounding ASEAN countries mainly from Malaysia, Thailand, and Singapore which 90% of its imported flowers were *Dendrobium* (Japan Flower Trade Association, 2009). In the cut flower industry, *Dendrobium* has one of the top positions (Martin and Madassery, 2006; Fadelah, 2007). The genus of *Dendrobium* is accounted to be the main orchid cut-flower export for Malaysia and also for other Southeast Asian countries including Philippines and Thailand (Fadelah, 2007). *Dendrobium* is contributed 11.7% from Malaysia's orchid exports almost for the past 10 years (Khosravi et al., 2008; Antony et al., 2010). *Dendrobium* accounts for around 80% of the total micropropagated tropical orchids usually by protocorms (Saiprasad et al., 2004; da Silva, 2013).

Small seedlings and heterozygous seedlings progenies which does not result to true-to-type plants of hybrid cultivars are accounted as problems in germination of *Dendrobium* (Martin and Madassery, 2006; Poobathy et al., 2013b). Small seed size, presence of reduced endosperm and the need of a symbiotic relationship between orchids and mycorrhizal fungi are other problems in *Dendrobium* germination (Saiprasad and Polisetty, 2003; Swarts and Dixon, 2009).

2.2.1 *Dendrobium sonia-28*

Dendrobium sonia-28 (Figure 2.1) and its other hybrid siblings are mostly popular because of their floriferous inflorescence, bright colour flowers, durability with long shelf life, free flowering characteristics and fast growing cycle (Fadelah, 2007). *Dendrobium sonia-28* orchid hybrid can be created by crossing two hybrids that are *Dendrobium Caesar* X *Dendrobium Tomie Drake* it is cherished for its pink-coloured blossoms as well as the quality of the cut florae (Van Rooyen Orchids Catalogue, 2007). Good air movement and strong light are essential growth conditions for the evergreen and warm-growing hybrid (Van Rooyen Orchids Catalogue, 2007).



Figure 2.1: The orchid hybrid *Dendrobium sonia-28* (OrchidBroad.com, 2012).

2.3 Micropropagation of orchids and protocorm-like bodies (PLBs)

The choice of explant source plays a significant role in the outcome of the micropropagation (da Silva, 2013). Different explants such as shoot tips, apical buds, stem segments, root tips, leaf segments, flower buds, mature seeds, and seed-derived rhizomes have been widely used as explants to obtain regenerative plantlets in several orchids (Kuehnle, 2006; Zhao et al., 2008; Mohanty et al., 2012). *Dendrobium* generally propagated by seeds or by division of off shoots which called sexually or asexually respectively (Martin and Madassery, 2006; Qiang, 2014).

Orchid regeneration through seed needs the infection of suitable mycorrhiza fungus which usually supplies carbohydrates and nutrients to the orchid seeds (McKendrick et al., 2000; Yoder et al., 2000; Godo et al., 2010). This relationship between the fungi and orchid is called “symbiosis” where the fungus provides nutrition for orchid growth, while, the orchid provides shelter to the fungi. In nature this mycorrhizal association with an orchid seed is not common and thus a high proportion of seeds fail to survive. However, the *in vitro* technique decreases mycorrhiza dependence for seed germination and excludes disease infection and reinfection to the clonal products (Razdan, 2003, Kauth et al., 2008).

In vitro propagation of orchids has emerged as a choice for swift propagation of commercially cultivars as the conventional *in vivo* vegetative propagation presents with problems such as slow multiplication rate, high financial demand and insufficient production of clones within a short timeframe (Saiprasad and Polisetty, 2003; Martin and Madassery, 2006; Mohanty et al., 2012). *In vitro* culture has also made it possible to preserve orchids, since the advent of asymbiotic seed germination (Andronova et al., 2000; Nikishina et al., 2001; Nikishina et al., 2007; Mohanty et al., 2012). However, the maintenance of *in vitro* collections requires manual labour

and causes the accumulation of somaclonal variations and phenotype-based involuntary selections, which result in the homogeneity of the orchid population (Butenko, 1999; Ivannikov, 2003; Maneerattanarungroj et al., 2007) and depletion of the gene pool (Nikishina et al., 2007; Thorpe, 2007; Sorina et al., 2013). Micropropagation is the producing of microplants via tissue culture by which is created through initiation of meristematic material such as shoot buds, stem apices and seedlings from fully-developed plants into the culture process (Debnath et al., 2006; Leva et al., 2012). Protocorm-like bodies (PLBs) resemble true protocorms (germinated orchid seed) in being round shaped (Figure 2.2) but they are derived from bud explants or shoot tips (Kuehnle, 2006; Lee et al., 2013).

Orchid tissue culture propagation has been found almost immediate commercial trading in which placed orchids within the economic reach of the average person (Arditti, 1984; Arditti, 1990; Ahmed et al., 2001; Arditti, 2010). Orchid producers have adopted the propagation technique, so that it would increase the mass and the quality of the orchids (Chugh et al., 2009; Azman et al., 2014).

Dendrobium sonia-28 is produced via an *in vitro* system based on regeneration of advanced plantlets through protocorms and PLBs (Saiprasad and Polisetty, 2003). Stages of pro-meristematic, leaf primordial and formation of the first embryonic leaves are developmental phases for *Dendrobium sonia-28* PLBs which happened between eight to 10 days old, 13 to 15 days old and 18 to 20 days old PLBs, respectively (Saiprasad and Polisetty, 2003).



Figure 2.2: *In vitro* proliferation of PLBs and plantlets from a single PLB of *Dendrobium sonia-28* within three months of culture on semi-solid half-strength MS medium supplemented with 2% (w/v) sucrose and 0.2% (w/v) charcoal. Bar = 1cm.

2.4 Diseases of *Dendrobium*

Survival of orchid is correlated with the abiotic and biotic factors and their interactions for growth, development and reproduction. Particularly, in the face of climate change, abiotic elements pose significant and dreaded threats to orchid conservation (Dixon et al., 2003; Barman and Devadas, 2013). Factors such as overharvesting, climate change, habitat demolition, and decreasing pollinator populations bestow to the susceptibility of orchids to extinction (Machaka-Houri et al., 2012).

Fusarium diseases have been reported in orchids from various locations around the world which mostly in the tropics and sub-tropics (Swett and Uchida, 2015). *Dendrobiums* are susceptible to several pathogens and the infections they transmit (Samuels and Brayford, 1994; Hirooka et al., 2005; Hirooka et al., 2006; Halleen et al., 2006). *Dendrobium's* susceptibility expands in regions with high night temperatures and humid climate. Above 50% loss of orchid is mainly because to *Fusarium* wilt (Wedge and Elmer, 2008). The controlling of the infection is very difficult, because the spores of *Fusarium* which are easily dispersed through irrigation water, air, and contact to infected plant or infected soil (Wedge and Elmer, 2008). Despite years of selection of resistant cultivars and conventional breeding due to the evolution of divergent lineages of virulent races of *Fusarium*, the disease is not controllable (Swarupa et al., 2014).

The crucial economic importance to the genus *Dendrobium* holds increases the importance of conserving the valuable orchid germplasm. Thus, careful surveillance and management practices will be the best way to preserve various orchid genera (Kani, 2011; Machaka-Houri et al., 2012). Furthermore, it has been reported that *Dendrobium sonia-28*, an important ornamental orchid in Malaysia is

experiencing a reduced germination pace, susceptible to fungal diseases and risks of producing unsought progenies (Poobathy et al., 2013a).

2.4.1 *Fusarium proliferatum*

Fusarium proliferatum is a species with increased potential for producing diverse mycotoxins and a major pest of many crops (Kushiro et al., 2012), including commercially important such as cut-flower plants (Fattahi et al., 2014). Due to *Fusarium proliferatum*, it has been found to cause black spot disease on *Dendrobium*'s leaf, result in unfavourable effect on the orchid valuable, stunted its growth and traits (Ichikawa and Takayuki, 2000). Small black speckles on the leaves are symptoms of an infected leaf which appeared at an early stage, then, they tend enlarge to irregular, angular black spots of 5.0×2.0 mm and spread rapidly (Ichikawa and Takayuki, 2000). Five *Fusarium* species have been confirmed to be pathogens of orchids which *Fusarium proliferatum* has been detailed as a foliar pathogen that causes yellow and black spots on root and leaves in orchids (Swett and Uchida, 2015). Latiffah et al. (2009) reported that *Dendrobium*'s orchid root yellowish and discolourification stem mainly associated with *Fusarium proliferatum* attacks.

2.5 *Fusarium* control

Susceptibility to various fungal, bacterial and viral pathogens is the reason for the yield decreasing in many commercially important plants. Attempts to control these infections which can seriously decrease marketability rely heavily on the increased use of chemical insecticides and fungicides. Although some such as chlorothalonil, azoxystrobin, fludioxonil and Palladium worked well, the

development of resistance has a severely hindered success (Wedge and Elmer, 2008; Wedge et al., 2013).

Chemical control of *Fusarium* is highly in cost, laboures and resource-intensive (Bezier et al., 2002; Egel and Martyn, 2007). Furthermore, some chemically synthesized fungicides are non-biodegradable, caused environmental pollution, build up hefty concentrations in soil, and lowering its productivity in the water table causing health hazards to flora and fauna (Jayasankar et al., 2000; Komárek et al., 2010). In the case of antifungal compounds, a better understanding of orchid-fungus interactions is relevant (Kani, 2011; Machaka-Houri et al., 2012). Chemical control methods of *Fusarium* using fungicides are practically not effectual, particularly, steam sterilization of the soil as a *Fusarium* chemical control is an expensive method (Esmail et al., 2012; Ghag et al., 2014a).

In plant improvement, proper management strategies and traditional breeding technologies play an essential role. The standard breeding programs have been employed to integrate flattering genes of interest from inter crossing genera and breed into the plants to influence stress tolerance. Even with that conventional breeding methods have not been successful and have failed to provide succesful results (Rai et al., 2011). The program also needs big areas of cultivation, expensive labour, material and maintenance (Matsui, 2010). Moreover, conventional breeding is also at drawback because there is a need of specific cultivation of certain orchids to overcome problems such as diseases, pest, fungal interaction and environmental stresses (Mishiba et al., 2008).

Transgenesis is another strategy that has been and is being pursued which has led to the engineering of stable transformants of orchids (Chai et al., 2007; Swarnapirria, 2009; da Silva, 2013). Genetic transformation is now a globaly used

procedure for introducing genes from distant gene pools into many plant species by using this technique it has progressed stress tolerant plants and substantial efforts have been made to produce stress-tolerant plants (Borsani et al., 2003; Yamaguchi and Blumwald, 2005; Singh and Singh, 2014). But, the main problem in extension of this technique to various is the suppress of transgene, consequent reducing of low transformation frequency and gene expression (Mandal et al., 1997; Rai et al., 2011). The transgenic approach is limited by the availability of the desired gene and by the lack of efficient transformation and plant regeneration protocols (Kumar et al., 2012). Therefore, the aptness to produce orchid transgenics with high germination tolerance and potentials to fungal infections still remains a main challenge. The production of such plants necessitates the discovery of potential target molecules (Kani, 2011; Machaka-Houri et al., 2012).

Selection of suitable somaclonal variants having desired characteristics is another alternative strategy to develop plants with improved characters (Esmail et al., 2012; Ghag et al., 2014b). Resistance is a qualitative character and consequently, through selection, it is possible to obtain more resistant varieties (Esmail et al., 2012).

2.6 Somaclonal variation system

The maturation of plant cells *in the vitro* and their regeneration into mature plants is an asexual process that only involves mitotic division of the cells. In this context, the happening of uncontrolled and random impetuous variation when culturing plant tissue is a major problem (Leva et al., 2012; Nwauzoma and Jaja, 2013). Gao et al. (2010) and Bairu et al. (2011) stated that occurred variation in plant micropropagation is mostly undesired.

Undirected genetic variability happening in plant tissues culture may have novel agronomic traits that might not be accomplished by conventional breeding (Jain, 2001; Piagnani et al., 2008). The happening of genetic variation between plants regenerated from *in vitro* culture which has been referred to as somaclonal variation (Larkin and Scowcroft, 1981; Lestari, 2006; Nwauzoma and Jaja, 2013; Bhojwani and Dantu, 2013). Somaclonal variation may yield desirable genotypes as novel cell lines or plants of agronomic and commercial advantages (Bhojwani and Dantu, 2013). Somaclonal variation can suit a very important component of the plant breeding in which variation regenerated from somatic cells can be utilised for the introduction of new tolerance, agronomic or quality traits (Jain, 2013).

Larkin and Scowcroft in 1983 have proposed the word of somaclones' and have described 'Somaclonal variation' in sugarcane plants (soma=vegetative, clone=identical copy). Tissue culture regenerated variants have also been called calliclones, phenovariants, protoclonal and subclones (Skirvin et al., 1994; Yadav et al., 2009). Somaclonal variation is not limited to the plant kingdom. There have been hundreds of reports of cell line variants among animal tissue cultures (Skirvin et al., 1994; Bairu et al., 2011).

Somaclonal variation has been caused because of alterations in chromosome number, structure and point mutations, or amplification, transposition and deletion of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) order (Neelakandan and Wang, 2012; Landey, 2013; Jain, 2013). Cytogenetic changes such as variation in ploidy level, structural changes, and number of chromosomes represent big alterations to the genome and they are sometimes generated during *in vitro* differentiation and proliferation (Kaepler et al., 2000; Neelakandan and Wang, 2012; Landey, 2013). The

chromosomal changes may produced a stable alteration which transferred to the progeny (Haines, 1994; Fu et al., 2013).

However, the amount of somaclonal variation depends on the plant genotype, age of plant, culture medium compounds, the time of culture and the number of subculture cycles (Duncan, 1997; Sahijram et al., 2003; Peredo et al., 2006; Bairu et al., 2011; Landey, 2013). The true rate of somaclonal variation is difficult to ascertain because of many individual genes to examine. Many somaclones were identical which suggests a common origin.

One of the vital potential benefits of somaclonal variation is the creation of additional genetic variability in co-adapted, agronomically useful cultivars, without the need to retreat to hybridization. Somaclonal variation will be useful if *in vitro* selection method is available (Brown and Thorpe, 1995; Ketema, 1997; Roychowdhury and Tah, 2013). It was supposed that somaclonal variants can be intensified during *in vitro* culture for some haracters, which includes resistance to disease pathotoxins, tolerance and herbicides to chemical stress or environmental (Bhojwani, 2012).

Somaclonal variation has a few disadvantages. For instance, somaclonal variation is not always resulted to the wanted plant lines (Niizeki and Lu, 2003; Semal, 2013). It is very necessary to screen a lot of materials as possible. Second, somaclonal variation usually results in changes in multiple traits. Finally, it is very crucial to point out that a big deal of effort is needed to screen the somaclones. Most of the time somaclonal variants are not novel or useful (i.e. aberrant phenotypes), the variation generated could be unstable or not reproducible (Duncan, 1997, Jain, 2001), although some variants show positive changes other traits could be altered in a negative way (Karp, 1994; Landey, 2013).

Somaclonal variants can be detected using a few techniques which are mainly categorized as morphological, leaf morphology, physiological/biochemical such as plant height, and abnormal pigmentation (Israeli et al., 1995; Leva et al., 2012) and molecular traits to determine somaclonal variation (Sorina et al., 2013). Somaclonal variation has led to the selection of several variants with increased resistance to pests, diseases, and herbicides (Brar and Jain, 1998; Predieri, 2001; Pandey and Mukerji, 2006; Lee, 2015).

Epigenetic variation is also known as physiological variation or developmental. It involves nonpermanent changes which may be unstable and non-heritable and potentially reversible (Kaepler et al., 2000; Leva et al., 2012). In disparity, enduring changes are heritable and sometimes represent expression of preexisting variation in the source of plant or are an effect of induced variation (Larkin and Scowcroft, 1981; Leva et al., 2012). Epigenetic modifications are mostly found in DNA (methylation) and histones and are associated with changes in the gene expression (Kaepler et al., 2000; Zhang and Meaney, 2010; Ahmad et al., 2010; Vanyushin and Ashapkin, 2011). In general, genetic stability is high in shoot tips than from explants that have no preformed shoot meristems, such as leaves, roots, or protoplasts (Skirvin et al., 1994; Kaur and Sandhu, 2015).

Plant advancement through somaclonal variation and *in vitro* selection are a few techniques of *in vitro* culture to procure plant genotype tolerance to the abiotic or biotic stresses (Ahmed et al., 1996; Yusnita et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2012).

2.6.1 Mutation breeding

Somaclonal variation may be one of the most advantageous sources when reliable early selection methods for the trait of interest are available (Kumar and

Arya, 2009; Gupta, 2011). Mutagenesis is a skill which is being utilized by both human beings and nature in order to upgrade the quantitative and qualitative traits in plants against diverse abiotic and biotic stresses (Maluszynski et al., 1995; Ahloowalia and Maluszynski, 2001; Wu et al., 2012; Yunus et al., 2013; Perera et al., 2015). Mutagenic agents are more helpful than harmful and without them evolution of species would have been arrested at a very primitive stage (Fishbein, 2012).

Plant water content is significant in its radiosensitivity, since most of the frequent main quarry of ionizing radiation is the water molecule (Predieri, 2001; Miguel and Marum, 2011; Draganic, 2012). Mutation affects cells and mutated cells have to grow out into group and layer of cells. Various layers have different radiosensitivity, maybe because of differential mitotic activity and organogenic properties (Broertjes and Van Harten, 2013). If more than one cell is present at the moment of mutagenic event, chimerism will be occurred. Chimeras will be transformed into the plant progenies by repeated multiplication (Yang and Schmidt, 1994; Mba, 2013). Consequently, it is mostly advantageous to dissociate chimeras by following subcultures up to M1V3–M1V4 generations (Jain et al., 1998; Mandal et al., 2000; Yunus et al., 2013). Subculture will maintain and secure the stability of mutant traits and guarantee that the chosen mutants are secure from chimeras (Yunus et al., 2013). Induced mutation needs screening of very large population, since, induced mutation lays in the low recovery frequencies (10^{-4} to 10^{-6}) of specific single gene mutants in M2 populations (Esmail et al., 2012). Nevertheless, somaclonal variation frequently happens at very high frequencies (up to 10% per cycle of regeneration) than radiation or chemical persuade mutation, making it a feasible alternative to mutagenesis and a precious tool for the plant geneticist to