PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND SHELF-LIFE STUDIES ON REDUCED FAT LEGUME-BASED COOKIES USING SAGO FLOUR AS A FAT REPLACER

by

MOHAMMAD NOOR ADROS YAHYA

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

April 2004

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I thank Allah for the strength, health and the opportunity to complete this

thesis. To Dr. Noor Aziah Abdul Aziz, my supervisor, thank you for your patience,

guidance and advice throughout this project. You have been a great help, an inspiration,

and both a teacher and a dear friend.

I want to extend special thanks to Muhammad Ibrahim and Hasidah Mohd Yusof

who helped me tremendously with this project. You two were there for me, always, and

I will remember that. I would also like to extend thanks to all staff and graduate students

(Pak Ya, Zanzila, Hanani, Zuwariyah, Shazliana and others) from the Department of

Food Technology, for their gracious help during the course of this study.

I would like to thank my family and the Sarzali family for your unconditional

support, emotionally and financially. Finally, I would like to thank my fiancée, Junnita,

thanks for your love, support and the numerous personal sacrifices that you've made for

our relationship during this time.

This dissertation is dedicated to the memory of my loving mother, Rohani

Ikhwan. May Allah bless her soul.

Mohammad Noor Adros Yahya

April 2004

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	
ABSTRAK	
ABSTRACT	
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
A.4. COOYWEG	
2.1 COOKIES	
2.1.1 Background	
2.1.2 Definition of cookies	
2.1.3 Nutritional aspect of cookies	
2.1.4 Ingredients	
2.1.3 Quanty of cookies	10
2.2 LEGUME	13
2.2.1 Background	
2.2.2 Processing of legumes	
2.2.3 Legumes in cookies	
2.2.4 Resistant starch	
2.2.5 Legume starch digestibility	18
2.2.6 Mungbean	
2.2.6.1 Background	
2.2.6.2 Classification	21
2.2.6.3 Composition	22
2.2.6.3.1 Minerals	22
2.2.6.3.2 Vitamin	23
2.2.6.3.3 Carbohydrate	24
2.2.6.3.4 Protein	
2.2.6.4 Processing and Application	
2.2.7 Chickpea	
2.2.7.1 Background	
2.2.7.2 Classification	
2.2.7.3 Composition	
2.2.7.3.1 Mineral	
2.2.7.3.2 Vitamin	
2.2.7.3.3 Carbohydrate	
2.2.7.3.4 Protein	
2.2.7.4 Processing and Applications	30
2.3 FAT REPLACER	30
2.3.1 Introduction	
2.3.2 Definition of fat replacers	
2.3.3 Types of fat substitutes	
2.3.3.1 Lipid-Based Fat Substitutes	

2.4.1 Definition of shelf-life	2.3.3.		
2.3.5 Safety and regulation of fat replacer 37 2.3.6 Sago as fat replacer 40 2.4 SHELF-LIFE 42 2.4.1 Definition of shelf-life 42 2.4.2.1 Modes of Deterioration 43 2.4.2.1 Physical Deterioration 44 2.4.2.3 Microbiological Deterioration 44 2.4.3 Deterioration in cookies 46 2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 <tr< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></tr<>			
2.3.6 Sago as fat replacer 40 2.4 SHELF-LIFE 42 2.4.1 Definition of shelf-life 42 2.4.2.1 Physical Deterioration 43 2.4.2.1 Physical Deterioration 44 2.4.2.2 Chemical Deterioration 44 2.4.3 Deterioration in cookies 46 2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9<			
2.4 SHELF-LIFE 42 2.4.1 Definition of shelf-life 42 2.4.2 Modes of Deterioration 43 2.4.2.1 Physical Deterioration 43 2.4.2.2 Chemical Deterioration 44 2.4.2.3 Microbiological Deterioration 44 2.4.3 Deterioration in cookies 46 2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 55 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dictary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analyses 62 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio<			
2.4.1 Definition of shelf-life 42 2.4.2 Modes of Deterioration 43 2.4.2.1 Physical Deterioration 43 2.4.2.2 Chemical Deterioration 44 2.4.2.3 Microbiological Deterioration 44 2.4.3 Deterioration in cookies 46 2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookic Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61	2.3.6	Sago as fat replacer	40
2.4.2. Modes of Deterioration 43 2.4.2.1 Physical Deterioration 43 2.4.2.2 Chemical Deterioration 44 2.4.2.3 Microbiological Deterioration 44 2.4.3 Deterioration in cookies 46 2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookic Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 55 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life T	2.4 SH	ELF-LIFE	42
2.4.2.1 Physical Deterioration 43 2.4.2.2 Chemical Deterioration 44 2.4.2.3 Microbiological Deterioration 44 2.4.3 Deterioration in cookies 46 2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 55 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Textu	2.4.1	Definition of shelf-life	42
2.4.2.2 Chemical Deterioration 44 2.4.2.3 Microbiological Deterioration 44 2.4.3 Deterioration in cookies 46 2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 55 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.6 Shelf-life <t< td=""><td>2.4.2</td><td>Modes of Deterioration</td><td>43</td></t<>	2.4.2	Modes of Deterioration	43
2.4.2.3 Microbiological Deterioration 44 2.4.3 Deterioration in cookies 46 2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 55 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 <t< td=""><td>2.4.2</td><td>1 Physical Deterioration</td><td>43</td></t<>	2.4.2	1 Physical Deterioration	43
2.4.3 Deterioration in cookies 46 2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Sp	2.4.2	2 Chemical Deterioration	44
2.4.4 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test 47 2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 55 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbobydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.1 Color	2.4.2	3 Microbiological Deterioration	44
2.4.5 Lipid Oxidation 48 2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbobydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66	2.4.3	Deterioration in cookies	46
2.4.6 Water Activity 51 CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 66 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.6.1 Accelerated S	2.4.4	Accelerated Shelf-Life Test	47
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 53 3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) </td <td>2.4.5</td> <td>Lipid Oxidation</td> <td>48</td>	2.4.5	Lipid Oxidation	48
3.1 Materials 53 3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT	2.4.6	Water Activity	51
3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Anal	CHAPTE	R 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	53
3.2 Cookie Formulation and Preparation 53 3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Anal	3.1 M:	aterials	53
3.3 Chemical Analyses 55 3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70			
3.3.1 Moisture 55 3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.5 <t< td=""><td>3.2 Co</td><td>okie Formulation and Preparation</td><td>53</td></t<>	3.2 Co	okie Formulation and Preparation	53
3.3.2 Ash 55 3.3.3 Fat 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3 Ch	emical Analyses	55
3.3.3 Fat. 57 3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre. 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.1	Moisture	55
3.3.4 Protein 57 3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.2	Ash	55
3.3.5 Crude Fibre 58 3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.3	Fat	57
3.3.6 Carbohydrate 59 3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.4	Protein	57
3.3.7 Total Dietary Fibre Analysis 59 3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.5	Crude Fibre	58
3.3.8 Trypsin Inhibitor Activity 60 3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.6	Carbohydrate	59
3.3.9 In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD) 61 3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.7	Total Dietary Fibre Analysis	59
3.3.10 Amino Acid Analysis 62 3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch 63 3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.8	•	
3.3.11 Determination of Resistant Starch	3.3.9	In Vitro Protein Digestibility (IVFD)	61
3.4 Physical Analyses 65 3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6 Shelf-life 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.10		
3.4.1 Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) 65 3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.3.11	Determination of Resistant Starch	63
3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.4 Ph	ysical Analyses	65
3.4.2 Diameter, Thickness and Spread Ratio 65 3.4.3 Texture 66 3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.4.1	Observation with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	65
3.4.4 Colorimetry 66 3.5 Sensory Evaluation 67 3.6 Shelf-life 67 3.6.1 Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT) 67 3.6.2 Peroxide Determination 68 3.6.3 Sensory Analysis for ASLT 69 3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70	3.4.2		
3.5 Sensory Evaluation	3.4.3	<u>, </u>	
3.6 Shelf-life	3.4.4	Colorimetry	66
3.6.1Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT)673.6.2Peroxide Determination683.6.3Sensory Analysis for ASLT693.6.4Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination693.6.5Isotherm Models70	3.5 Se	nsory Evaluation	67
3.6.1Accelerated Shelf-Life Test (ASLT)673.6.2Peroxide Determination683.6.3Sensory Analysis for ASLT693.6.4Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination693.6.5Isotherm Models70	3.6 Sh	elf_life	67
3.6.2Peroxide Determination683.6.3Sensory Analysis for ASLT693.6.4Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination693.6.5Isotherm Models70			
3.6.3Sensory Analysis for ASLT693.6.4Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination693.6.5Isotherm Models70			
3.6.4 Moisture Sorption Isotherm Determination 69 3.6.5 Isotherm Models 70			
3.6.5 Isotherm Models			
		•	

3.7	Data Analysis	72
CHA	PTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION	73
4.1	Proximate Analysis of Cookies	73
4.2	Trypsin Inhibitor and In vitro Protein Digestibility	77
4.3	Amino acid composition	82
4.4	Resistant starch and Scanning Electron Microscope	85
4.5	Thickness, diameter and spread ratio	95
4.6	Texture analysis	99
4.7	Colour	102
4.8	Sensory analysis	105
4.9	Accelerated Shelf-Life Test	108
	Accelerated Shelf-Life Test	
	1 Peroxide value	108
4.9	1 Peroxide value	108
4.9 4.9 4.10	Peroxide value Shelf-life data	108 112
4.9 4.9 4.10 CHA	1 Peroxide value 2 Shelf-life data Sorption properties of Cookies	
4.9 4.9 4.10 CHA REFI	1 Peroxide value 2 Shelf-life data Sorption properties of Cookies	108 112 115 119

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1 World market size of cookies and savoury biscuit. (Anon, 2003)	4
Table 2.2 Market size of cookies and savoury biscuit in the United States (Anon, 2003)	5
Table 2.3 Classification of starches (Brown et al., 1995)	18
Table 2.4 Classification of foods according to the range of RS contents (% dry matter) (Goni et al., 1996)	19
Table 2.5 Proximate composition of 100g mungbean seed (Souci <i>et al.</i> , 1994)	22
Table 2.6 Mineral compositions of 100g mungbean seed (Souci <i>et al.</i> , 1994)	23
Table 2.7 Vitamin composition of 100g mungbean seed (Souci et al., 1994)	23
Table 2.8 Carbohydrate composition of 100g mungbean seed (Souci et al., 1994)	24
Table 2.9 Amino acid profiles of 100g mungbean seed (Souci <i>et al.</i> , 1994)	25
Table 2.10 Proximate composition of 100g chickpea seed (Souci et al., 1994)	27
Table 2.11 Mineral composition of 100g chickpea seed (Souci et al., 1994)	28
Table 2.12 Vitamin composition of 100g chickpea seed (Souci et al., 1994)	28
Table 2.13 Carbohydrate composition of 100g chickpea seed (Souci et al., 1994)	29
Table 2.14 Amino acid composition of 100g chickpea (Souci et al., 1994)	30
Table 2.15 Actual and Projected Sales of Low-Fat Products in U.S (\$bn) (Roller and Jones, 1996)	32
Table 2.16 National survey by the Caloric Control Council in 1996 of the most popular reduced fat products used by American adults (Roller and Jones, 1996)	32

Table 2.17 Fat replacer used in cookies (Roller and Jones, 1996)	38
Table 2.18 Composition for 100g raw sago Metroxylon (Yong, 2001)	40
Table 2.19 Characteristics of sago and other starches (Yong, 2001)	41
Table 3.1 Formulation of Cookies	54
Table 4.1 The proximate composition of the six types of cookies	74
Table 4.2 Trypsin inhibitor activity of the six types of cookies	78
Table 4.3 In vitro protein digestibility of 6 types of cookies	79
Table 4.4 Results for essential amino acid profile of 6 types of cookies	83
Table 4.5 Results for non-essential amino acid profile of 6 types of cookies	84
Table 4.6 Resistant starch composition of the six types of cookies	85
Table 4.7 The L, a and b value for the six types of cookies	103
Table 4.8 Calculated Q_{10} value and shelf-life plot equation of 6 types of cookies	113
Table 4.9 Duration of time when cookies perceived to be unacceptable by sensory evaluation	114
Table 4.10 Calculated shelf-life of cookies at 25° C	114
Table 4.11 BET monolayer value of cookies	117
Table 4.12 Shelf-life of cookies according to the Moisture Vapour Transfer (MVT) method	118

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 Generalized scheme for autoxidation of lipids (Nawar, 1985)	50
Figure 2.2 Water activity and rate of oxidation (Nawar, 1985)	51
Figure 3.1 Flowchart of analyses	56
Figure 4.1 Scanning electron micrographs of C cookies before baking (Magnification=X 500)	88
Figure 4.2 Scanning electron micrographs of C cookies after baking (magnification=X 500)	88
Figure 4.3 Scanning electron micrographs of CF cookies before baking (magnification=X 500)	89
Figure 4.4 Scanning electron micrographs of CF cookies after baking (magnification=X 500)	89
Figure 4.5 Scanning electron micrographs of MB cookies before baking (magnification=X 500)	90
Figure 4.6 Scanning electron micrographs of MB cookies after baking (magnification=X 500)	90
Figure 4.7 Scanning electron micrographs of MBF cookies before baking (magnification=X 500)	91
Figure 4.8 Scanning electron micrographs of MBF cookies after baking (magnification=X 500)	91
Figure 4.9 Scanning electron micrographs of CP cookies before baking (magnification=X 500)	93
Figure 4.10 Scanning electron micrographs of CP cookies after baking (magnification=X 500)	93
Figure 4.11 Scanning electron micrographs of CPF cookies before baking (magnification=X 500)	94
Figure 4.12 Scanning electron micrographs of CPF cookies after baking (magnification=X 500)	94
Figure 4.13 Effects of thickness, diameter and spread in the six types of cookies	96
Figure 4.14 Effects of hardness and crispness on the various types of cookies	100

Figure 4.15 Result of sensory analysis for the six types of cookies	106	
Figure 4.16 Peroxide formation in cookies during storage at 25°C	109	
Figure 4.17 Peroxide formation in cookies during storage at 35°C	110	
Figure 4.18 Peroxide formation in cookies during storage at 45°C	111	
Figure 4.19 Moisture isotherm of 6 types of cookies	116	

KAJIAN SIFAT FIZIKO-KIMIA DAN JANGKA HAYAT BISKUT KURANG LEMAK BERASASKAN LEGUM DENGAN MENGGUNAKAN TEPUNG SAGU SEBAGAI PENUKAR LEMAK

ABSTRAK

Tepung sagu telah digunakan sebagai penukar lemak sebanyak 40% dan tepung gandum telah digantikan dengan 35% tepung legum (kacang kuda dan kacang hijau) dalam formulasi biskut. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengurangkan kandungan lemak di dalam biskut melalui penggunaan kanji sagu sebagai 'fat replacer' serta meningkatkan kualiti protein dan gentian melalui penggunaan tepung legum (kacang hijau dan kacang kuda). Kesan penggunaan 40% sagu sebagai 'fat replacer' serta penggantian dengan 35% tepung legum terhadap sifat-sifat fizikal, kimia, deria dan jangka hayat biskut telah dijalankan. Semua biskut dengan 'fat replacer' [MBF (kacang hijau dengan penukar lemak), CPF (kacang kuda dengan penukar lemak) dan CF (kawalan dengan penukar lemak)] telah menunjukkan kandungan lembapan lebih tinggi secara signifikan (p<0.05) berbanding biskut tanpa 'fat replacer' [MB (kacang hijau tanpa penukar lemak, CP(kacang kuda tanpa penukar lemak) dan C (kawalan tanpa penukar lemak). Namum demikian, kandungan lemak menurun secara signifikan (p<0.05) (30-32%) bagi biskut dengan 'fat replacer'. Kandungan protein bagi biskut yang mengandungi kacang hijau (MB dan MBF) mempunyai kandungan protein yang tinggi secara signifikan (p<0.05) (7.04% dan 7.17%). Kandungan abu juga berbeza secara signifikan bagi biskut dengan kacang hijau berbanding biskut lain. Bagi kandungan gentian kasar, didapati biskut kawalan (C dan CF) berbeza secara signifikan (p<0.05) berbanding biskut-biskut lain. Kandungan gentian dietari biskut kacang kuda (CPF dan CP) adalah lebih tinggi secara signifikan (p<0.05) (5.38% dan 5.03%) berbanding biskut-biskut lain. Biskut kacang kuda (CP dan CPF) didapati mempunyai tahap perencat aktiviti tripsin yang tertinggi (p<0.05) (3.76% dan 3.26%) tetapi sebaliknya mempunyai nilai kehadaman protein terendah (70.76% dan 70.16%) berbanding biskut-biskut lain. Keputusan analisis asid amino menunjukkan biskut-biskut yang menggunakan tepung legum mengandungi kandungan lisina yang tinggi tetapi rendah kandungan metionina dan sistina. Biskut CP didapati mempunyai diameter dan 'spread ratio' paling besar (p<0.05) berbanding biskut lain. Penambahan 'fat replacer' didapati mengurangkan diameter dan 'spread ratio' biskut secara signifikan (p<0.05). Analisis tekstur menunjukkan biskut dengan 'fat replacer' (MBF, CPF dan CF) adalah lebih keras secara signifikan tetapi kurang rangup berbanding biskut tanpa 'fat replacer' (MB, CP dan C). Dari segi warna, semua biskut mengandungi 'fat replacer' didapati mempunyai warna lebih pucat dengan nilai L yang tinggi (p>0.05) berbanding biskut tanpa 'fat replacer'. Penilaian deria mendapati biskut CP adalah paling disukai berbanding biskut-biskut lain. Kajian jangka hayat pantas (ASLT) menunjukkan biskut kawalan (C dan CF) mempunyai jangka hayat paling lama (280 hari). Analisis pemindahan lembapan menunjukkan biskut dengan 'fat replacer' mempunyai jangka hayat lebih pendek berbanding biskut tanpa 'fat replacer'.

ABSTRACT

Sago flour at 40% levelwas used as fat replacer in cookies together with wheat flour substituted at 35% with chickpea and mungbean. The purpose of using sago flour was to develop reduced fat cookies with increasing protein and fibre. The effects of adding 40% sago flour to each type of 35% chickpea and mungbean flourwere evaluated for the physical, chemical, sensory and shelf-life properties of cookies. All cookies with fat replacers MBF (Mungbean cookies with fat replacers), CPF (Chickpea cookies with fat replacers) and CF(control cookies with fat replacer) were shown to have significantly higher (p<0.05) moisture content than cookies without fat replacer MB (mungbean cookies without fat replacer), CP (chickpea cookies without fat replacer) and C (control cookies without fat replacer). However, the fat content was significantly (p<0.05) reduced (30-32%) for cookies with fat replacer (MBF, CPF and CF) compared to cookies without fat replacer. Protein content of cookies containing mungbean flour and mungbean flour without fat replacer(MB and MBF) had significantly higher (p<0.05) amount of protein (7.04% and 7.17%). The ash content of cookies with mungbean flour (MB and MBF) were significantly higher (p<0.05) from the other treatments. For crude fibre content, result showed that the control cookies (C and CF) were significantly higher (p<0.05) from other cookies. Dietary fibre content of chickpea cookies (CPF and CP) was significantly higher (p<0.05) (5.38% and 5.03%) as compared to other cookies. Level of trypsin inhibitor activity for cookies containing chickpea (CP and CPF) were significantly higher (p<0.05) (3.76% and 3.26%) as compared to other cookies but the protein digestibility value of chickpea cookies was significantly lower (p<0.05) (70.76% and 70.16%). In amino acid analysis, it was observed that cookies utilizing legume flour had significantly higher level of lysine but lower in methionine and cysteine. CP cookies

were observed to have the largest diameter and spread ratio (p<0.05) compared to other types of cookies. Addition of fat replacer was noted to decrease the diameter and spread ratio of cookies significantly (p<0.05). Textural analysis showed that cookies with fat replacer (MBF, CPF and CF) were significantly (p<0.05) harder in texture but less crispy as compared to cookies without fat replacer (MB, CP and C). In terms of colour, all cookies with fat replacer were observed to have significantly higher (p>0.05) L value compared with cookies without fat replacer indicating a lighter surface colour. Overall acceptability of sensory evaluation showed that CP cookie was the most acceptable among other cookies. Accelerated shelf-life studies showed that control cookies (C and CF), was found to have the longest shelf-life (280 days) as compared with the other types of cookies. Studies on moisture vapour transfer indicated that cookies with fat replacer had a lower shelf-life as compared to those without fat replacer.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

High intakes of dietary fat are implicated for the cause of coronary heart disease. The Dietary Guidelines for Americans suggest that people choose a diet low in fat and recommend that dietary fat comprise no more than 30% of daily energy intake for healthy adults (Giese, 1996). The use of fat-modified foods was ranked as the easiest and most preferred strategy to reduce fat intake in the long term (McEwan and Sharp, 2000).

The success of fat modified food depends on the sensory acceptability of fat modified foods included in the diet and their similarity to their full-fat counterpart. Most consumers are not ready to trade taste for health; therefore, reduced-fat products need to be at least as acceptable as the full-fat ones even though they may have different taste (Roller and Jones, 1996).

As consumers have attempted to reduce their fat intakes, the demand and consumption of reduced-fat foods has increased. In the U.S, between 1995 and 1997, the number of people that have eaten a food labelled as low-fat or non-fat had increased from 73% to 75%. Fat-modified foods have become part of the national food supply. From 1990 through 1998, 15697 fat-modified foods were introduced into the market (Roller and Jones, 1996).

Baked products that are low in moisture, especially cookies are difficult to prepare when the fat is reduced (Sanchez et al., 1995). Cookies are relatively high in fat, and reducing the fat component alters appearance, flavour, aroma, and texture. However, if the sweetness in the cookie is maintained, consumers will tolerate some deviations from the standard recipe in both texture and flavour (Drewnowski et al., 1998). Several studies using a variety of fat replacers have produced cookies judged to

be somewhat acceptable (Sanchez et al., 1995; Conforti et al., 1996; Armbrister and Setser, 1994; Inglett et al., 1994).

Carbohydrates such as gum, starch, pectin, and cellulose have been used as commercial fat replacers. Starch and their derivatives can also be added to food products as fat replacers due to diverse binding properties (Akoh and Swanson, 1994). They provide some of the functions of fat in foods such as providing texture, mouthfeel, and opacity by binding water. Studies showed that *Litesse* (Danisco Sweeteners, Surrey, England), a polydextrose, can be substituted for 25% to 35% of fat in cookie recipes whereas *Oatrim* (Rhodia Convenience Foods. Cranbury, NJ), an oat flour enzyme hydrolysate of soluble beta-glucans and amylodextrins, can replace 50% of fat in bakery products without compromising sensory characteristics of quality (Akoh and Swanson, 1994).

Sago flour, derived from sago palm (Metroxylon sagu) is mainly used in the food industry as a thickening agent and stabilizer. Sago which is mostly grown in the district of the Mukah and Dalat in Sarawak contributed almost 70% of all sago production in the Malaysia. Sago has been used in traditional baked foods but it is usually added to a formulation and not as fat replacer. Sago is high in carbohydrates, especially starches. Because of this, it was theorized that sago might have the potential to replace some of the fat in cookies. Nutritionally, sago is a healthful fat replacer because they are low in fat and high in dietary fibre and minerals such as calcium and iron. They are also an inexpensive, readily available food. An added benefit is that dietary fat absorption is reduced in the presence of considerable dietary fibre.

Legumes are widely recognized as important sources of proteins. In many regions of the world, legumes are the unique supply of protein in the diet. Bressani and Elfas (1980) reported that essential amino acid pattern of legumes is almost similar to

the reference or animal protein. Thus, in the developing countries where the quality proteins from animal sources are deficient, legumes can be used as protein supplement in their diets. Incorporation of legume into a familiar product such as a cookie would give the products better acceptability and distribution in the market thus supplying the required quality proteins. Moreover, legumes were also reported to have a considerable amount of resistant starch (RS) that has many beneficial physiological effects in controlling and preventing various metabolic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease and colon cancer.

The main objectives of this study are to evaluate the effect of sago flour as a fat replacer with the incorporation of mungbean and chickpea flour to increase the protein and fibre content in cookies. Specific objectives are:

- 1. To determine the feasibility of using sago flour as a fat replacer ingredient in cookies by comparing the organoleptic qualities of reduced fat cookies as compared to high-fat control cookies.
- 2. To study the proximate, amino acid and resistant starch compositions of reduced fat cookies supplemented with chickpea and mungbean flour.
- To study the effects of substituting sago flour with chickpea and mungbean flour on the shelf-life of cookies.
- 4. To study the effects of substitution of chickpea and mungbean flour on trypsin inhibitor activity and protein digestibility of cookies.

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 COOKIES

2.1.1 Background

Cookies are popular and well-accepted snack food throughout the world. It is very established in industrialized countries and is rapidly expanding in developing countries. People from different age groups and backgrounds eat cookies. Cookies are available in wide variety and are nutritious and simple to produce. It can be made easily with readily available ingredients.

In most countries of the world, cookies form a very big food industry. Manley (2000) reported that the four key factors for its success are:

- i. their relatively long shelf-life.
- ii. their great convenience as food products.
- iii. the human liking and weakness for sugar and chocolate.
- iv. their relatively good value for money.

The market for cookies has been growing rapidly. The world global market for cookies reached a total of \$29.3 billion in 1998 (Table 2.1). The U.S market for cookies has increased considerably from 1997 to 2001 with a forecast of \$6.3 billion in 2006 (Table 2.2). The development of healthier cookies products has been on the rise in recent years.

Table 2.1 World market size of cookies and savoury biscuit. (Anon, 2003)

	Market Size (US\$ Million)				
	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Cookies	26175.40	28553.70	29.276.2	29.256.0	29376.0
Savoury Biscuit	9789.8	10550.5	11044.2	11283.9	11336.4

The market of cookies is expected to grow further with new products innovation that emphasize on product fortification and the continued emergence of organic food. The growth of demand of cookies in the market will also benefit the manufacturer investment in new products, particularly in low calorie product such as fat and sugar free cookies.

Table 2.2 Market size of cookies and savoury biscuit in the United States (Anon, 2003)

	Market Size (US\$ Million)			
	1997	2001	2002*	2006*
Cookies	5605.90	6259.70	6353.60	6365.80
Savoury biscuit	3605.60	4332.80	4384.70	4424.90

^{*} forecasted

2.1.2 Definition of cookies

Cookies are sometimes confused with biscuit. The term cookie actually refers to hard sweet or semi-sweet type of biscuits. The term cookies is adopted in North America where biscuits can be confused with small soda raised bread or muffins. In other countries, cookies refer to wire cut products that contain large pieces of various ingredients like nut and chocolate (Manley, 2000).

Cookie has a lot of similarities with other bakery products such as bread or cakes. According to Smith (1972), cookies can be defined as a type of bread that is crispy, hard, have many varieties of flavour and made into small and thin shape. The difference between bread and cookies is in terms of the levels of fat and sugar used and the moisture content of the final product. In comparison with cakes, differences were

found in terms of the dough consistency and moisture content of cookies. Cakes were baked in containers unlike cookies that were baked on any flat surface (Manley, 2000).

In biochemical terms, cookies are a semi fluid mixture, consisting of flour, sugar, shortening, water and sodium bicarbonate and that are transformed into solid form when treated by heat (Abboud and Hoseney, 1984). This semi fluid mixture, undergo the baking process where heat will promote formation and dehydration of dough, browning reaction and other physico-chemical processes. Baking will result in a porous structure with good mechanical attributes (Piazza and Masi, 1997).

Smith (1972) reported that the final products of cookies are:

- i) Based on the cereal –wheat, oat, corn, etc. and not considered a cookie if more than 60% of its total weight is not based on cereal.
- ii) Should have moisture content less than 5%.

2.1.3 Nutritional aspect of cookies

Cookies that have been classified as a snack food (Brown *et al.*, 1998) are not regarded by consumer as an important nutrient contributor but as a compliment for other food (Lorenz, 1983). Cookies are usually eaten for its organoleptic attributes, but not for nutritional factors. Cookies are usually high in fat and sugar but low in protein, fibre, vitamin and minerals (Hoojjat and Zabik, 1984).

Majority of cookies have high levels of fat and sugar (Drewnowski *et al.*, 1998). Typical cookies formulation has a fat content of 20-60% and sugar of 25-55% based on the weight of flour (Baltsavias *et al.*, 1999). There are many varieties of cookies in the market which utilized ingredient high in fat and sugar such as chocolate, marshmallow, caramel, jam, jellies and icing.

Cookies are regarded as unhealthy and are rejected by weight conscious consumers because of its high sugar and fat content (Zoulias *et al.*, 2000). Fat has been associated with diseases such as coronary heart diseases, high blood cholesterol, obesity and gallbladder disease. High consumption of sugar is related to diabetes and dental caries.

Cookies have the potential to be a significant contributor of essential nutrient in the human diet (Akpapunam and Darbe, 1994). This is primarily because cookies are very popular and well accepted by consumers including children (Zoulias *et al.*, 2000). Therefore cookies can be a good source of nutrient for children. Furthermore, cookies have a long shelf-life and can be produced on a large scale for wider distribution (Hoojjat and Zabik, 1984).

A successful way to improve the nutritional aspect of cookies is by preparing cookies with enriched flour (Hoojjat and Zabik, 1983). In the United States, most cookies are produced using enriched flour (Bednarcyk, 1987). Cookies using enriched flour contain a significant amount of nutrient such as protein, vitamins and minerals. These cookies are developed as a food supplement for children especially in developing countries (Manley, 2000).

The protein content and quality of cookies can also be improved by substituting cereal with legume as composite flour in cookies formulation. Cereals such as wheat are low in lysine and total protein content and are in short supply in certain countries (Tsen et al., 1975; Akpapumen and Darbe, 1994). Legumes with high protein content are widely used as composite flour in the production of cookies. Among legumes that are frequently used in cookies are cowpeas, great northern bean, faba bean, navy bean, lupine bean, chickpea, field pea and soybean (Kissell and Yamazaki, 1975; Hoojjat and Zabik, 1983; Patel and Rao, 1995).

In keeping up with the present trend for lower calorie products, producers of cookies have reduced the amount of fat and sugar in the formulation. Cookie products labelled with non-fat, low fat, reduced fat and no-sugar have been widely available for consumer in recent years (Manley, 2000). It is mainly aimed at dieters who want to reduce calorie intake. The use of sugar is replaced with substances like polydextrose, acesulfame-K, cellulose and fibre in no sugar cookies product (Bullock *et al.*, 1992). Cookies utilize fat replacers such as *Litesse*, *N-Flate*, *Stellar* or *Trim Choice* in reduced fat cookie formulation (Sanchez *et al.*, 1995).

2.1.4 Ingredients

Compositions of cookie dough mainly consist of wheat flour, shortening, sweetener and emulsifying agents. Cookies consist of 75% sugar, 60% shortening, 7% eggs and 17% moisture as compared to flour weight (Armbrister and Setser, 1994). Ingredients used in cookies formulation have a great influence on the characteristic and quality of produced cookies (Ryu *et al.*, 1993).

Flour, the main ingredient in cookies contributes to texture, hardness and shape of the final cookie product. The quality and quantity of protein are the most important criteria of flour for baking cookies. The protein will determine the amount of gluten formation in cookies. A high protein content in flour will reduce spread factor in cookies (Pyler, 1988). This is because high protein flour will have a higher development of gluten network that will increase dough viscosity thus reducing cookie spread. It is found that diameters of cookies are inversely related with protein content of flour (Leon *et al.*, 1996). Therefore, soft wheat flour is usually used in the production of cookies because it has lower protein content. The protein content for soft wheat flour is usually in the range of 7.00 – 7.5% for cookies.

Other flours that are used in making cookies include maize, oat, rye, sorghum, millet, rice, barley, cassava and potato (Manley, 2000). There is a trend of utilizing legume in cookie formulation so as to improve the nutritional quality of cookies (Lorenz, 1983). Legume such as soybean is rich in protein and has been reported to be well balanced in amino acid profile.

Sweeteners are important ingredients in the production of cookies. Sweeteners in cookies not only contribute to sweetness but also add to the overall flavour and colour as a result of caramelization and Maillard reaction. Sweeteners also act as a softening agent, increasing moisture retention and volume of cookies (Pyler, 1988).

Selection of specific sweeteners is important because it gives a significant effect to the final product. The types and amount of sweetener used will affect cookie spread, appearance and crispness. The common types of sweetener used in cookies are sucrose, brown sugar, inverse syrup, molasses, nutritive sweeteners such as honey and maple syrup and non-nutritive sweetener like saccharin.

Shortening (fat) is an important component in making cookie. The types of shortening used in cookies include butter, lard, beef fat, margarine and vegetable oil (Whitley, 1971; Pyler, 1988). About 50% of shortening are used (based on flour weight) in cookie formulation so as to achieve the desired crispness of cookies (Conforti *et al.*, 1996).

Fat has numerous functions in cookies. Fat acts as a dough stabilizer when particles of fat are spread in the dough thus making it more stable. Fat also contributes to the organoleptic qualities of cookies such as flavour and aroma. The added fat will surround the gluten network formed in the dough. This will aid in the lubrication of gluten during sheeting. As a result, a softer and more extensible dough will be formed.

This will also reduce hardness of cookies by interrupting the gluten structure of the dough.

Eggs used in formulation of cookies contain lecithin that influences the texture of cookies. Lecithin in eggs acts as an emulsifier that spreads the fat phase more uniformly over the hydrophilic ingredients such as flour and sugar. This will aid in reducing the hardness of cookies by interrupting the gluten structure of the dough (Manley, 2000).

Eggs also enhance the colour, nutritive value and flavour of the desired cookies (Matz and Matz, 1978). Albumen in eggs will produce very stable foams that will support the weight of flour, sugar and other ingredients during baking. During mixing, some protein of the albumen will be denatured and will improve the mechanical attribute of other ingredients to bind together (Smith, 1972).

Leavening agents help to aerate the dough and result in a porous and lighter cookie. In cookies, the leavening process is achieved by chemical substances. A bicarbonate (leavening base) is combined with an acid phosphate that generates an acid-base reaction in the presence of heat and moisture. This reaction will yield carbon dioxide leavening gas in controlled volumes and rates (Lajoie and Thomas, 1991). The bicarbonate neutralizes the acids in the formulation and adjusts the pH to the desired crumb pH. Cookie attributes such as colour and flavour are greatly influenced by the crumb pH. Baking of cookies requires a leavening agent that can react immediately whilst releasing carbon dioxide before the structure of the dough sets. The most common leavening agent used is sodium bicarbonate (Whitley, 1971; Pyler, 1988).

2.1.5 Quality of cookies

In bakery products, such as cookies, the quality aspect is given high priority.

Cookies are assessed in terms of texture (hardness and crispness), physical (spread ratio

and top grain) and flavour attributes (Bajaj et al., 1991). Quality of cookies is influenced by factors such as flour quality, the right baking technique, and variety and ratio of ingredient.

Texture is an important element of cookie quality. Texture of cookies is described as a combined function of the size and shape of the crumb structure, the moisture content and gradients, and the internal stresses produced during baking and cooling (Gaines *et al.*, 1992). Baking promotes dough structuring and dehydration and development of browning reaction that resulted in a finished product with porous crumb structure (Piazza and Masi, 1997). Recent development has enabled the use of instrumental techniques for measuring cookies texture that are reproducible statistically. The two attributes that are measured for cookies are hardness and crispness.

Crispness is the most important quality attribute in cookies. Crispness will determine consumer acceptability and represent the critical factor in limiting cookies shelf-life (Piazza and Masi, 1997). It is known that crispness of cookies is highly sensitive to moisture content. Crispness is related to the amount of water molecule bonded with the carbohydrate matrix that influences the relative mobility of the amorphous and crystalline component (Piazza and Masi, 1997).

Hardness can be defined as the force required to fracture a cookie. Hardness of cookies is mostly due to the protein content of flour. Gaines (1993) showed that the development of gluten during mixing of dough produce harder cookies. Usually the required hardness of cookies is just enough to maintain its shape during transportation but fractures easily when chewed in the mouth.

Spread ratio or diameter of cookies has long been used to determine the quality of flour for producing cookies (Doescher *et al.*, 1987; Gaines, 1993). Spread ratio of a cookie is the diameter divided by its thickness. Flour and sugar are the main hydrophilic

components in cookies that influence cookie spread. If the flour component is hydrophobic, more water is available for the sugar component to form syrup and this will reduce the viscosity of dough during baking (Gaines *et al.*, 1992).

Hydrophilic starches have a negative relation with spread ratio of cookies. During baking, hydrophilic starch granules absorbed moisture and become swollen and gelatinised thus providing additional viscosity in cookies (Kim *et al.*, 2001). When the gelatinisation of starch increases, dough viscosity increases thus reducing cookie spread (Tsen *et al.*, 1975). Thus, starch gelatinisation increases thickness of cookies and retains more moisture (Labell, 1983). This showed that the strong affinity of flour to water influences spread ratio of cookies.

The existence of cracks that occur on the surface of cookies known as top grain has been regarded as one of the quality attributes of cookies. Cookies with good top grain have been considered as cookies with a lot of surface cracks that are produced after baking resulting from the recrystallization of sucrose on the surface of cookie. Top grain is also directly related to the diameter of cookies. A smaller diameter of cookies will produce fewer surface cracks (Gaines and Finney, 1989).

Another important quality attribute of cookies is colour. Colour of cookies greatly influences their acceptability (Zoulias *et al.*, 2000). Colour can be measured by using colour instrument or by a sensory panel. Colour of cookies is mainly due to Maillard reaction during baking.

Flavour perception is a very important factor in determining consumer acceptance of a cookie. Flavour of a cookie is mainly influenced by the fat, sugar and flavour enhancer in its formulation (Manley, 2000). In producing new cookies that are highly nutritious, the flavour of the cookies must be given priority so as to be attractive to consumers (Lorenz, 1983).