# THE ANTECEDENTS AND CONSEQUENCES OF WORK-FAMILY CONFLICT AMONG DOCTORS IN PUBLIC HOSPITALS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

AHMAD ZAINAL ABIDIN BIN ABD RAZAK

Research report in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Business Administration (DBA)

# **DEDICATION**

I DEDICATED THIS THESIS AND MY DBA TO MY BELOVED WIFE
ZAITON BINTI WAHID AND
MY PRECIOUS DARLING DAUGHTERS ATIQAH HUMAIRA ISKANDARIAH
BINTI AHMAD ZAINAL ABIDIN AND HER LATE SISTER SITI MARYAM BINTI
AHMAD ZAINAL ABIDIN.

# **KNOWLEDGEMENT**

In the Name of Allah SWT, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, I thank u for giving me strength and wisdom to complete this thesis. This thesis would not be been completed without the support and assistance of many people.

First and foremost, my sincere gratitude and appreciation goes to my supervisor,

sociate Professor Dr. Aizzat Mohd. Nasurdin for her constructive ideas, criticisms, dance, patience and support throughout the duration of completing this thesis. She has excessfully guided me through some stressful times and was always willing to sharpen understanding of this thesis and other academic writings. It was an honor to work der her supervision because of her commitment, professional expertise and many instructive and insightful comments which had contributed tremendously in delivering thoughts and ideas.

mal Nordin for their valuable insights, comments, ideas, and suggestions in the course improving and completing this thesis. I wish to thank the Dean of the School of magement, (USM) and Dean of the Graduate School of Business, (USM) members and her faculty members as well as the support staff of both schools for their couragement and kind assistance rendered to me throughout my studies.

I am also greatly indebted to Dr. Amat Taap, Dr. Mohd. Faiz bin Hilmi and Mr.

I would also like to thank the Ministry of Health, Director of National Institute of alth Malaysia, the Directors and the administrative department of the participating spitals for their invaluable help during data collection. Special thanks are extended to

ticipating doctors, who had given their cooperation in completing the study. My titude goes to Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris for granting me the scholarship and dy leave to pursue this DBA degree.

I wish to express my gratitude to my father, Haji Abd. Razak B Mohamed Nor I my mother, Umi Kalsom Binti Osman and my other family members (sisters and ohew and niece) who have given me their prayers, encouragement, and unfailing oport for me to go through this long journey.

A note of thanks also goes to all my colleagues in the DBA program for their ral support, guidance, encouragement, criticism, ideas and most importantly endship.

Finally and most importantly, I would like to extend my gratitude and affection to beloved wife, Zaiton Binti Wahid, and my daughters, Atiqah Humairah Iskandariah I her late sister, Siti Maryam. Thank you for providing me with overwhelming ience, support, love, encouragement and inspiration that has greatly facilitated the impletion of this challenging work. I am nothing without these three precious gems.

|           | TABLE OF CONTENTS                                    | Page  |
|-----------|--|-------|
| TLE PAGE  |  |       |
|           | DGEMENT  | i     |
| BLE OF C  |  | iii   |
| ST OF TAB | LES  | xii   |
| ST OF FIG | URES   | xv    |
| STRAK (M  | IALAY)   | xvi   |
| STRACT (  | ENGLISH)   | xviii |
| APTER 1   | INTRODUCTION   |       |
| Backgro   | ound of the Study                                    | 1     |
| Problem   | n Statement  | 8     |
| Researc   | h Objectives   | 11    |
| Researc   | h Questions  | 12    |
| Definiti  | on of Key Terms                                      | 13    |
| 1.5.1     | Work-Family Conflict                                 | 13    |
|           | 1.5.1.1 Work Interference with Family                | 13    |
|           | 1.5.1.2 Family Interference with Work                | 14    |
| 1.5.2     | Antecedents of Work-Family Conflict (Work-Related    | 14    |
|           | Variables)   |       |
| 1.5.3     | Antecedents of Work-Family Conflict (Family-Related  | 14    |
|           | Variables)   |       |
| 154       | Consequences of Work-Family Conflict (Work Outcomes) | 15    |

| 6   | Signification | ance of the Study                               | 17   |
|-----|---------------|---|------|
| .7  | Organiz       | ation of Chapters                               | 19   |
| HA  | PTER 2        | LITERATURE REVIEW                               |      |
| .1  | Introduc      | etion   | 20   |
| .2  | Work-F        | amily Conflict – An Overview                    | 20   |
| .3  | Theorie       | s Related to Work-Family Conflict               | 23   |
|     | 2.3.1         | Conflict Theory                                 | 23   |
|     | 2.3.2         | Role Theory                                     | 24   |
|     | 2.3.3         | Scarcity Model Theory                           | 25   |
| .4  | Anteced       | dent of Work-Family Conflict                    | 25   |
| 5   | Work-F        | Related Variables                               | 28   |
|     | 2.5.1         | Supervisor Support                              | 30   |
|     | 2.5.2         | Job Involvement                                 | 32   |
|     | 2.5.3         | Work Overload                                   | 35   |
| 2.6 | Family        | -Related Variables                              | 35   |
|     | 2.6.1         | Spouse Support                                  | 37   |
|     | 2.6.2         | Family Involvement                              | 38   |
|     | 2.6.3         | Parental Demand                                 | . 39 |
| 2.7 | Consec        | quences of Work-Family Conflict (Work Outcomes) | 40   |

| 2.7.1   | Job Satisfaction  | 43 |
|---------|---|----|
| 2.7.2   | Intention to Quit   | 45 |
| 2.7.3   | Burnout   | 46 |
| 2.7.4   | Job Performance   | 49 |
| Demogr  | raphic Variables  | 53 |
| 2.8.1   | Gender  | 55 |
| 2.8.2   | Age   | 56 |
| 2.8.3   | Educational Level   | 57 |
| Framew  | vork  | 57 |
| 2.9.1   | Theoretical Framework                                       | 58 |
| Hypothe | eses Development  | 60 |
| 2.10.1  | Relationship between Work-Related Factors and Family-       | 60 |
|         | Related Factors and Work-Family Conflict (Work              |    |
|         | Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) |    |
|         | 2.10.1.1 Supervisor Support and Work-Family Conflict        | 60 |
|         | 2.10.1.2 Job Involvement and Work-Family Conflict           | 61 |
|         | 2.10.1.3 Work Overload and Work-Family Conflict             | 62 |
|         | 2.10.1.4 Spouse Support and Work-Family Conflict            | 63 |
|         | 2.10.1.5 Family Involvement and Work-Family Conflict        | 63 |

.8

.10

|                       |          | 2.10.1.6 Parental Demand and Work-Family Conflict         | 64 |
|-----------------------|----------|---|----|
| 2.11                  | Relation | ship between Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with | 65 |
|                       | Family a | and Family Interference with Work) and Work Outcomes (Job |    |
|                       | Satisfac | tion, Intention to Quit, Burnout and Job Performance)     |    |
|                       | 2.11.1   | Work-Family Conflict and Job Satisfaction                 | 65 |
|                       | 2.11.2   | Work-Family Conflict and Intention to Quit                | 66 |
|                       | 2.11.3   | Work-Family Conflict and Burnout                          | 66 |
|                       | 2.11.4   | Work-Family Conflict and Job Performance                  | 68 |
| 2.12                  | Summai   | ry  | 69 |
| CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY |          |   |    |
| 3.1                   | Introduc | etion   | 70 |
| 3.2                   | Researc  | h Design  | 70 |
| 3.3                   | Survey   | Questionnaire   | 71 |
| 3.4                   | Pilot St | udy   | 72 |
| 3.5                   | Populat  | ion, Sample and Unit of Analysis                          | 72 |
| 3.6                   | Data Co  | ollection Procedure                                       | 78 |
| 3.7                   | Variable | es  | 80 |
| 3.8                   | Measur   | ement   | 80 |
|                       | 3.8.1    | Work-Family Conflict                                      | 80 |
|                       | 3.8.2    | Antecedents of Work-Family Conflict                       | 82 |

|      |       | 3.8.2.1 Supervisor Support                           | 82 |
|------|-------|--|----|
|      |       | 3.8.2.2 Job Involvement                              | 83 |
|      |       | 3.8.2.3 Work Overload                                | 84 |
|      |       | 3.8.2.4 Spouse Support                               | 84 |
|      |       | 3.8.2.5 Family Involvement                           | 85 |
|      |       | 3.8.2.6 Parental Demand                              | 86 |
|      | 3.8.3 | Consequences of Work-Family Conflict (Work Outcomes) | 86 |
|      |       | 3.8.3.1 Job Satisfaction                             | 86 |
|      |       | 3.8.3.2 Intention to Quit                            | 87 |
|      |       | 3.8.3.3 Burnout                                      | 88 |
|      |       | 3.8.3.4 Job Performance                              | 90 |
|      | 3.8.4 | Control Variables                                    | 91 |
| 5.9  |       | Data Analysis Techniques                             | 93 |
|      | 3.9.1 | Descriptive Statistics                               | 93 |
|      | 3.9.2 | Factor Analysis                                      | 93 |
|      | 3.9.3 | Validity and Reliability                             | 94 |
|      | 3.9.4 | Correlation Analysis                                 | 95 |
|      | 3.9.5 | Regression Analysis                                  | 95 |
| 3.10 | Summa | ıry  | 96 |

# CHAPTER 4 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

| .1   | Introduc  | tion   | 97  |
|------|-----------|--|-----|
| 1.2  | Overvie   | w of the Data Collected                                      | 97  |
| 1.3  | Descript  | ive Statistics of the Study-Profile of Respondents           | 99  |
| 1.4  | Goodnes   | ss of Measures   | 105 |
|      | 4.4.1     | Factor Analyses  | 105 |
|      |           | 4.4.1.1 Factor Analysis For Antecedents of Work-Family       | 106 |
|      |           | Conflict Variables   |     |
|      |           | 4.4.1.2 Factor Analysis For Work-Family Conflict Variables   | 111 |
|      |           | 4.4.1.3 Factor Analysis For Work Outcomes Variables          | 114 |
| 1.5  | Reliabili | ity Analysis   | 120 |
| 1.6  | Restaten  | nent of Hypotheses   | 122 |
| 1.7  | Non Res   | sponse Bias  | 127 |
| 1.8  | Descript  | tive Statistics  | 127 |
| 1.9  | Correlat  | ion Analyses   | 129 |
| 1.10 | Hypothe   | eses Testing   | 138 |
|      | 4.10.1    | The Antecedents Variables effect on Work Interference with   | 141 |
|      |           | Family   |     |
|      | 4.10.2    | The Antecedents Variables effect on Family Interference with | 143 |
|      |           | Work   |     |

| 4.10.3 | The Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family   | 145 |
|--------|---|-----|
|        | and Family Interference with Work) effect on Emotional    |     |
|        | Exhaustion  |     |
| 4.10.4 | The Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family   | 147 |
|        | and Family Interference with Work) effect on Job          |     |
|        | Performance   |     |
| 4.10.5 | The Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family   | 149 |
|        | and Family Interference with Work) effect on Reduced      |     |
|        | Personal Accomplishment                                   |     |
| 4.10.6 | The Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family   | 151 |
|        | and Family Interference with Work) effect on Intention to |     |
|        | Quit  |     |
| 4.10.7 | The Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family   | 153 |
|        | and Family Interference with Work) effect on              |     |
|        | Depersonalization   |     |
| 4.10.8 | The Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family   | 155 |
|        | and Family Interference with Work) effect on Intrinsic    |     |
|        | Satisfaction  |     |
| 4.10.9 | The Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family   | 157 |
|        | and Family Interference with Work) effect on Pay and      |     |
|        | Promotion Satisfaction                                    |     |

| 11  | Summar   | ry of Findings  | 159 |
|-----|----------|---|-----|
| HAl | PTER 5   | DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION                                   |     |
| 1   | Introduc | etion   | 165 |
| 2   | Recapit  | ulation of the Study's Findings                             | 165 |
| 3   | Discuss  | ion   | 168 |
|     | 5.3.1    | Relationship between Work-Related Variables (Supervisor     | 169 |
|     |          | Support, Job Involvement and Work Overload) and Work-       |     |
|     |          | Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family   |     |
|     |          | Interference with Work)                                     |     |
|     | 5.3.2    | Relationship between Family-Related Variables (Spouse       | 171 |
|     |          | Support, Family Involvement and Parental Demand) and        |     |
|     |          | Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and     |     |
|     |          | Family Interference with Work)                              |     |
|     | 5.3.3    | Relationship between Work-Family Conflict (Work             | 173 |
|     |          | Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) |     |
|     |          | with Work Outcomes (Job Satisfaction, Intention to Quit,    |     |
|     |          | Burnout, and Job Performance)                               |     |
| .4  | Implica  | tions of Study  | 179 |
|     | 5.4.1    | Theoretical Implications                                    | 179 |
|     | 5.4.2    | Practical Implications                                      | 181 |
| .5  | Limitati | ions and Suggestions for Future Studies                     | 183 |

| Conclusion    | 185 |
|---------------|-----|
| REFERENCES    | 186 |
| Questionnaire | 203 |
| APPENDIXES    | 214 |

|            | LIST OF TABLES  | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| able No.   | Title of Table  |      |
| able 1.1   | Statistics on Health Facts in Public Hospitals  | 7    |
| able 2.1   | Selected Literature Reviewed  | 50   |
| able 3.1   | Public Hospitals According to States in Malaysia  | 73   |
| able 3.2   | List of Selected Hospitals  | 74   |
| able 3.3   | Names of the Hospitals, Number of Doctors and Number of Questionnaires Distributed                      | 78   |
| able 3.4   | Items Constituting the Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family) Scale                       | 81   |
| able 3.5   | Items Constituting the Work-Family Conflict (Family Interference with Work) Scale                       | 81   |
| able 3.6   | Items Constituting the Supervisor Support Scale   | 83   |
| able 3.7   | Items Constituting the Job Involvement Scale  | 83   |
| able 3.8   | Items Constituting the Work Overload Scale  | 84   |
| able 3.9   | Items Constituting the Spouse Support Scale   | 85   |
| able 3.10  | Items Constituting the Family Involvement Scale   | 85   |
| able 3.11  | Items Constituting the Parental Demand Scale  | 86   |
| able 3.12  | Items Constituting the Job Satisfaction Scale   | 87   |
| able 3.13  | Items Constituting the Intention to Quit Scale  | 88   |
| able 3.14  | Items Constituting the Emotional Exhaustion Scale   | 89   |
| àble 3.15  | Items Constituting the Depersonalization Scale  | 89   |
| able 3.16  | Items Constituting the Reduced Personal Accomplishment Scale  | 89   |
| Table 3.17 | Items Constituting the Job Performance Scale  | 90   |
| Table 3.18 | Summary of Measurements Used for This Study   | 92   |
| able 4.1   | Response Rate According to Hospital   | 98   |
| Table 4.2  | Questionnaire Returns and Usable ness according to Hospital   | 99   |
| able 4.3   | Profile of Respondents  | 100  |
| able 4.4   | Mean Score and Standard Deviations for the Respondents Job<br>Tenure and Hospital Tenure of Respondents | 104  |
| Table 4.5  | Factor Analyses for Antecedents of Work-Family Variables  | 107  |
| Table 4.6  | Factor Analyses for Work Family Conflict  | 112  |

| Table 4.7  | Factor Analyses for Work Outcomes  | 115 |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 4.8  | Summaries of Reliability Coefficients Variables for the major variables from Previous and Current Study  | 121 |
| Table 4.9  | Summary of the Revised Hypotheses  | 122 |
| Table 4.10 | Means and Standard Deviations of the Study Variables   | 128 |
| Table 4.11 | Pearson Correlations Matrix for Antecedents to Work-Family<br>Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference<br>with Work)                            | 132 |
| Table 4.12 | Pearson Correlations Matrix for Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) and Work Outcomes                               | 136 |
| Table 4.13 | Pearson Correlations Matrix for the Study Variables  | 137 |
| Table 4.14 | Results of Hierarchical Regression of Antecedents Variables effect on Work Interference with Family  | 142 |
| Table 4.15 | Results of Hierarchical Regression of Antecedents Variables effect on Family Interference with Work  | 144 |
| Table 4.16 | Results of Hierarchical Regression of Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) effect on Emotional Exhaustion            | 146 |
| Table 4.17 | Results of Hierarchical Regression of Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) effect on Job Performance                 | 148 |
| Table 4.18 | Results of Hierarchical Regression of Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) effect on Reduced Personal Accomplishment | 150 |
| Table 4.19 | Results of Hierarchical Regression of Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) effect on Intention To Quit               | 152 |
| Table 4.20 | Results of Hierarchical Regression of Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) effect on Depersonalization               | 154 |
| Table 4.21 | Results of Hierarchical Regression of Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) effect on Intrinsic Satisfaction          | 156 |

| able 4.22 | Results of Hierarchical Regression of Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) effect on Pay & Promotion Satisfaction | 158 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| able 4.23 | Summary of the Findings from Hypotheses Testing   | 160 |

|           | LISTS OF FIGURES      | Page |
|-----------|-----------------------|------|
| igure No. | Title of Figure       |      |
| igure 2.1 | Theoretical Framework | 59   |

# SEBAB DAN AKIBAT KONFLIK KERJA DAN RUMAHTANGGA DI ALANGAN DOKTOR DI HOSPITAL AWAM DI SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA ABSTRAK

Kajian ini menghasilkan dan menguji satu model konflik kerja-keluarga kalangan doktor melalui tiga cara. Pertama, model ini menyentuh kedua-dua perkara rkaitan faktor kerja dan faktor keluarga dengan mengaitkannya dengan permasalahan nflik kerja-keluarga. Kedua, model ini secara spesifik merungkai kesan konflik kerjaluarga secara dua hala, menjawab permasalahan gangguan atau konflik kerja terhadap luarga dan gangguan keluarga terhadap kerja. Ketiga model ini mengukur kesan onflik kerja terhadap keluarga dan konflik keluarga terhadap kerja terhadap kepuasan rja, niat untuk berhenti kerja, "burnout" dan prestasi kerja. Sampal kajian merangkumi 01 doktor yang bekerja sepenuh masa di sembilan belas hospital awam terpilih di belas negeri. Hasil menunjukkan beban kerja, sokongan suami atau isteri, penglibatan eluarga dan tuntutan sebagai ibu atau bapa berkait rapat dengan konflik kerja terhadap eluarga. Disamping itu sokongan suami atau isteri dan tuntutan sebagai ibu atau bapa erkait rapat dengan konflik keluarga terhadap kerja. Bagi kesan terhadap kerja pula, dapati terdapat kaitan antara konflik kerja terhadap keluarga dengan kepuasan intrinsik, epuasan gaji dan kenaikan pangkat, niat untuk berhenti kerja, keletihan emosi dan lepersonalization". Konflik keluarga terhadap kerja pula menunjukan kaitan dengan erasaan kekurangan kejayaan kendiri dan "depersonalization". Oleh itu, adalah penting agi pentadbir hospital dan Kementerian Kesihatan Malaysia untuk menangani isu onflik kerja-keluarga dikalangan doktor. Beban kerja, kepuasan ganjaran dan peluang enaikan pangkat dan tekanan emosi haruslah ditangani bagi mengurangkan konflik ja-keluarga. Ini bukan sahaja penting bagi doktor tetapi yang lebih penting adalah akit yang mereka layani.

# E ANTECEDENTS AND CONSEQUENCES OF WORK-FAMILY CONFLICT MONG DOCTORS IN PUBLIC HOSPITALS IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

### **ABSTRACT**

This study developed and tested a model of work-family conflict (WFC) among tors in three ways. First, the model addresses both work-related and family related ecedents' variables of work-family conflict (WFC). Second, the model specifically ressed the bidirectional of work-family conflict, in terms of work interference with ily (WIF) and family interference with work (FIW). Third, the model measured work ted outcomes which include job satisfaction, intention to turnover, burnout, and job formance. The sample for this study consisted of 391 doctors working full time in eteen selected public hospitals located in eleven states. The results showed that work rload, spouse support, family involvement and parental demand are related to WIF. thermore, there is a relationship between spouse support and parental demand and V. As for the work outcomes, there is a relationship between WIF and intrinsic sfaction, pay and promotion satisfaction, intention to quit, emotional exhaustion, and ersonalization. FIW shows that it has a relationship with reduced personal omplishment, and depersonalization. Hence, it is important for hospital administrators the Malaysian Ministry of Health to address the issue of work-family conflict among tors. Attempts should be made to solve work-family conflict issues among doctors. ctors work overload, pay and promotion satisfaction and emotional exhaustion need to addressed in order to reduce work-family conflict. This is important for the doctors'

e but what is more important, the patients that they serve.

# **CHAPTER 1**

# INTRODUCTION

There is an increasing awareness of the important connections between work life

# Background of the Study

d non-work life in contemporary society (Montgomery, Panagopolou & Benos, 2006). additional family structures which consisted of husbands, wives and 2-3 children have anged. The roles of men being bread-winners, devoting their full attention to their jobs (anter, 1977) and women at homemaking (Weiss, 1990) are being replaced by a variety family configuration. Dual-career couples with young children are increasing and have sulted in substantial home and family responsibilities for both men and women (Allen al., 2000). This means that responsibilities for work, housework, and child care are no neger confined to traditional gender roles (Carnicer et al., 2004). Due to this, the ounting pressures such as work family conflict may lead working adults to perceive creased stress and its effects, with resultant costs to both organizations and the apployees within them (Posig & Kickul, 2004).

fficulties in balancing work and family life (Bardoel et al., 1999). Most people today, end a large portion of their time at the workplace and at the same time, struggle with eir role as a spouse and parent at home. This has resulted in conflicting roles. Workmily conflict occurs when participation in the work role and family role are mutually compatible with each other (Greenhaus & Butell, 1985).

The changing demographics indicate that both genders are likely to experience

Conflict between work and family domains has become a major concern for ployers due to the conflict generated in work intruding into family life and vice-versa filliams & Alliger, 1994). It seems to occur with professional women (Lo, 2003) and offessional men (Bedeian et al., 1988). Work-family conflict also seems to occur in ferent fields or occupations such as with entrepreneurs (Kim & Ling, 2001), engineers acharach, Bamberger & Conley,1991), part-time students (Adam & Jex, 1999), gistered nurses (Bacharach, Bamberger & Conley,1991; Burke & Greenglass, 2001), countants (Greenhaus, Parasuraman & Collins, 2001), teachers (Netemeyer et al., 1996) and many other professionals (Adams, King & King,1996; Aryee et al., 1999; rlson, Kacmar & Williams, 2000).

an important strategy for enhancing customer satisfaction and loyalty. In order to be coessful in this environment, employers are supposed to ensure that the attitudes and haviours of the doctors are consistent with the expectations of their patients and the spitals. In fact, the performance of employees having frequent face-to-face or voice-to-ice interaction with customers is central to delivery of quality services (Choi & Chu, 01; Tsaur & Lin, 2004).

Delivering quality service in today's global competitive environment is regarded

Long working hours, job insecurity, irregular and inflexible work schedules, role ess, heavy workloads, limited weekend time off, low wages and turnover issues are me of the common problems in the service industry (Babin & Boles, 1998; Deery & aw, 1999). Johnson et al., (2005) found that occupations involving emotional labor (or notional work) such as customer service-call centers and social services were identified the most stressful. Aziz (2004) added that empirical research indicates that health care

rofessionals are among the occupations that are relatively more prone to stress. Other esearchers reported similar findings (Gabbard & Menninger, 1988; Harrington et al., 001; Warde, Allen & Gelberg, 1996).

The general public expects that healthcare delivery should be seamless, safe and

ree from adverse events which indirectly has an important impact on doctors attitudes owards work and practice (Kluger, 2003). Yet, the profession of doctors is a highly emanding job where the doctors face many stresses caused by constant time pressures, problems of practice administration, heavy workload, patients' expectations, emergencies, nd conflict between the demands of home and work (Cooper et al., 1989; Howie et al., 989; Porter et al., 1985; Rout & Rout, 1994). Harrington et al., (2001), among others righlighted issues such as the loss of professional voice, autonomy, and control due to new systems of health care delivery and cost controls, long work hours; heavy schedules nd compromises in private lives often continue beyond early career stages. Aziz (2004) dded that the number of working hours is an important factor contributing to stress mong doctors apart from various personal and work factors. Similar findings were found by Sonneck and Wagner (1996), adding issues like night work and treatment of and care or patients, large workload, being "on call", confrontational situations, the stress of incertainty, team conflicts, insecurity, lack of autonomy, increasing criticism, expectations and demands from the public.

Similar problems exist among doctors in Malaysian public hospitals. As reported in the Star (December 18, 2008), trainee doctors complained that they sometimes had to work almost 24 hours and then report to their superiors the following day. Some of them highlighted that they had to work seven days a week without a single rest day during their

o-year stint in government hospitals as housemen. Due to that, they felt exhausted as by had to focus on their training and also carry out clinical rounds frequently. They are unable to pay attention resulting in fatigue and time constraints (The Star, Thursday, scember 18, 2008). This was consistent with a study done by Simpson and Grant (1991) at highlighted that young doctors' concerns were related to time pressure and impetence. A study done by Firth-Cozens and Morrison (1989) found that poor ationship with senior doctors was also another issue that caused stress among junior ctors. On one hand, clinicians and specialists in the government Malaysian hospitals to only have to perform their own work, they are now saddled with the task of training these housemen to ensure they meet the country's standard of medical practice (January 2009). Some of the doctors are retrained in their houseman training postings for years, me up to six years because they cannot meet the standards. The compulsory usemanship is two years (New Straits Times, Saturday, January 31, 2009)

The seriousness of the shortage of doctors was reflected when the Malaysian inistry of Health (MOH) announced that MOH will continue to employ foreign doctors, ainly from India and Indonesia, as a temporary measure to cope with the shortage in blic hospitals. The Public Service Commission of Malaysia highlighted that vernment hospitals are now experience shortage of 5000 doctors this year (New Straits mes, Saturday, June 13, 2009). MOH also reported that currently only 55% of cancies nationwide could be filled (New Straits Times, Friday, December 12, 2008). At a same time, the Ministry of Health Malaysia received many complaints from patients ghlighting their dissatisfaction. Among the complaints are long waiting time for tients at MOH hospitals, crowding at the emergency services and some emergency

ses not being attended to (The Star Online, Nation, Thursday February 7, 2008). The unation worsened with the economic slowdown and corresponding increase in the unber of patients seeking treatment at government clinics and hospitals. Several evernment clinics and hospitals are now operating much longer, up to 9.30pm daily, to commodate the public, especially for those who are working (The Star, Tuesday, arch 31, 2009). Malaysian Health Minister at that time, Datuk Liow Tiong Lai ghlighted that patients pay RM1 each for outpatient treatment at government clinics and espitals, which recorded more than 50 million such visits annually. This is expected to crease. Thus, doctors will be paid overtime to accommodate the shortage (The Star, needay, March 31, 2009).

As for doctors' resignation from the practice, Minister of Health, Datuk Liow

ong Lai indicated that on average 300 doctors and 50 specialists resigned every year. The reason for these doctors leaving the service is because they are unhappy with the avy workload, unsatisfactory remuneration and unsatisfactory working environment. OH acknowledges that these resignations are detrimental to the public sector healthcare revices (The Borneo Post Online, June 1, 2008). The remuneration received by the ctors at public hospitals is quite low, where the basic salary is approximately RM 028.90 with fixed allowances of RM 1,450.00 including critical allowances, housing owances, public service allowances and Cost of Living Allowances (COLA). In the blic hospitals, a doctor may earn up to RM 6,000.00 by doing a lot of active calls or orking many hours doing locum but this would not be the salary of the majority of the st year doctors (The Star, June 3, 2009). As for on-call allowance, it is only enjoyed by

ctors doing "active" on-call duty, i.e. working 16 hours per day beyond their normal rking hours.

Preceding discussions relating to the doctors indicates that the profession of ctors is very demanding (Harrington et al., 2001) where the doctors face many stresses otional labor work (Johnson et al., 2005). Adding to that, profession as a doctor is ne to constant time pressures, heavy workload, patients' expectations (Cooper et 1989; Howie et al., 1989; Porter et al., 1985; Rout & Rout, 1994), long work hours, wy schedules (Harrington et al., 2001), night work, being "on call", confrontational nations, expectations and demands from the public (Sonneck & Wagner, 1996). In lition to that, in Malaysia, shortage of doctors (New Straits Times, Saturday, June 13, 9), complaints from patients highlighting their dissatisfaction, long waiting time (The r Online, Nation, Thursday February 7, 2008), doctors' resignation from the practice ne Borneo Post Online, June 1, 2008) are issues that has deteriorate the situation ther. These has caused more stress on to the doctors since they have to carry extra den such as being more involved in their work, taking extra roles, responsibility and rking longer hours. Since more time is given to work related issue, this may cause iflict between the demands of home and work.

Table 1.1 shows the general statistics on health care in public hospitals.

ble 1.1
atistics on Health Facts in Public Hospitals.

|    | Items                               | Details                | Source                    |
|----|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. | Posts filled for Doctors            | 60% or 13,335          | (The Star Online > Nation |
|    |                                     | doctors                | Friday June 13, 2008      |
| 2. | Malaysian Doctor to patient ratio   | 1 to 1,145             | MOH 2006                  |
| 3. | Doctor to patient ratio for         | 1 to 600               | (The Star Online > Nation |
|    | developed countries                 |                        | Friday June 13, 2008      |
| 4. | Admission to MOH hospitals          | 1,895,787<br>patients  | MOH 2006                  |
| 5. | Outpatients Attendance              | 13,414,918<br>patients | MOH 2006                  |
| 6. | Public health facilities attendance | 21,288,139             | MOH 2006                  |
|    | (including mobile health teams      | patients               |                           |
|    | and flying doctors service          |                        |                           |

Harrington et al., (2001) found that many of the most pressing problems – such as affing shortages and long/inflexible work-hours have a direct impact on both patient are and work-family issues and need further investigation. Conflicts between work and mily roles are common for doctors (Fletcher & Fletcher, 1993; Gabbard & Menninger, 2088). They have a direct impact on both patient care and work-family issues (Harrington al., 2001). Hirsch (1999) added that doctors also experienced marital and family roblems. Doctors are particularly prone to work-family conflict (Geurts, Rutte, & eeters, 1999; Kirwan & Armstrong, 1995; Wolfgang, 1998). Montgomery, Panagopolou and Benos (2006) found that the combination of heavy workloads and emotional emanding interactions with patients can mean less time and energy available for family teraction and leisure. Thus, the stress that doctors experience is an important topic for udy. Doctors' work mainly involved people and requiring high emotional demands, hich can lead doctors to be at risk of work-related stress (Freudenberger, 1974) and

relings of burnout (Deary et al., 1996; Kirwan & Armstrong, 1995). This has important effects, not only on the welfare of the doctors, but also on the interaction between the octors and their patients (Kluger, 2003).

# Problem Statement

.2

This present study intends to bridge the gap in the literature by examining a model of antecedents and consequences of work-family conflict. Although the issue of work-family conflict has been extensively examined, researchers have argued that studies on work-family conflict have been mostly conducted in Western countries or societies Carnicer et al., 2004; Karatepe & Baddar, 2006). Very few were done in Asian countries or societies (Kim & Ling, 2001; Lo, Stone & Ng, 2003). According to Aryee et al., 1999), more empirical research on the issues of work family conflict and family work conflict is needed in non-Western cultural settings since cultural norms underpin the peration of the work–family interface. Although past research has examined antecedents and consequences of work-family conflict, perhaps different settings and conditions may affect the results. Frone, Russell and Cooper (1992) suggested replication of study since than of the relationships of variables have not been examined in previous research. The urthermore, studies relating to work-family conflict in Malaysian environment, to the essearchers knowledge is limited (Hsia, 2005; Noor, 2002; Noor, 2003; Noor, 2006).

Research examining proposed antecedents of WIF and FIW has produced mixed esults (Byron, 2005). Frone, Russell and Cooper (1992) suggested that the domain for the antecedents variables can be further extended, giving examples of family-related tressor, involvement, and psychological distress measures. Both contained items

sessing constructs with respect to marital and parental roles, yet they suggested that mily-related measures could be broadened to incorporate roles associated with extended mily, such as sibling or offspring. Broadening family-related measures would provide a ore complete picture of the role of family in models of the work-family interface. Thus, ensistent with Byron (2005) and Frone, Russell and Cooper (1992), both antecedents and consequences of work-family conflict among doctors were reexamined. Furthermore, suggested the antecedents of work-family conflict analyses should go beyond simple parelational studies (Bacharah, Bamberger & Conley (1991).

Third, this study is important because only a very few studies have explored both

innunnen & Mauno, 1998). Greenhaus and Beutell (1985) recognized work-family onflict as a bidirectional construct, yet most studies have either assessed work terference with family and family interference with work conflict only or have used obal measures that confound the two types of conflict into one, that is work-family onflict. For example, studies that have looked at Work-Family conflict as a single issue ther than two different issues (Adam & Jex, 1991; Bacharah, Bamberger & Conley, 1991; Carlson, Derr & Wadsworth, 2003; Lo, 2002; Mauno, Kinnunen & Ruokolainen, 1006). Studies suggested that work-family conflict research need to examine multaneously both types of work-family conflict in order to understand fully the impact of the work-family conflict (Frone et al., 1996; Guerts et al., 1999). Therefore, consistent ith Greenhaus & Beutell (1985), separate measurement of work-family conflict, sessing work interference with family and family interference with work is used. This

to examine simultaneously both types of work-family conflict in order to understand lly the impact of the work-family conflict (Frone et al., 1996; Guerts et al., 1999).

Past research has looked at professionals (Bedeian et al., 1988; Lo, 2003), anagers, entrepreneurs (Kim & Ling, 2001; Stoner, Hartman & Arora, 1990), engineers eacharach, Bamberger & Conley, 1991), part time students (Adam & Jex, 1999), countants (Greenhaus, Parasuraman & Collins, 2001; Hsia, 2005), teachers (Netemeyer al., 1996) and many other professionals (Adams, King & King, 1996; Aryee et al., 1999; Carlson, Kacmar & Williams, 2000; Stoner, Hartman & Arora, 1990). Specifically, search in the field of health care, many have focused on nurses but very few on doctors eacharach, Bamberger & Conley, 1991; Burke & Greenglass, 2001; Day & hamberlain, 2006; Flinkman et al., 2007; Fox & Dwyer, 1999). Research on the doctors lacking, particularly in the area of work-family conflict (Guerts et al., 1999).

Guerts et al., (1999) cautioned when generalizing the results from the one study to her occupational groups and suggested a different study for different specific and omogeneous occupational groups that are considered vulnerable to work-family conflict. herefore, a study on doctors is justifiable since the profession of doctors is highly emanding where the doctors face many stresses caused by constant time pressures, roblems of practice administration, heavy workload, patients' expectations, emergencies, and conflict between the demands of home and work (Cooper et al., 1989; Howie et al., 1989; Porter et al., 1985; Rout & Rout, 1994). Furthermore, according to Aziz (2004), octors' work is stressful and a higher suicide rate was reported for doctors as compared to other professionals with comparable education (Sonneck & Wagner, 1996). In

lalaysia, the Ministry of Health (MOH) has received many complaints by doctors,

indicating that they are unhappy with workload and unsatisfactory working environment (The Borneo Post Online, June 1, 2008). Doctors complained they had to work almost 24 hours. (The Star, Thursday, December 18, 2008). The current doctor to patient ratio in Malaysian hospitals is 1 to 1,145, when it should be 1 to 600, the accepted ratio for developed countries (The Star Online, Nation Friday June 13, 2008). This according to Harrington et al. (2001) has a direct impact on both patient care and work-family issues.

Numerous studies have already demonstrated the links between work-family

However, few studies have incorporated a broad set of outcome variables, including attitudinal as well as behavioural outcomes. Besides, the possibility of different job-related outcomes associated with the two forms of conflict has received very little empirical attention (Anderson et al., 2002). It is suggested that more work related outcomes should be studied when dealing with work-family conflicts among health care providers (Guerts et al., 1999). Therefore, this study will look at several consequences rather than at one or two which were often found in previous studies (Bacharach, Bamberger & Conley, 1991; Guerts et al., 1999).

# 1.3 Research Objectives

This study is conducted with two objectives. The first objective of this study is to investigate the relationship between Work-Related Variables (supervisor support, job involvement and work overload) and Family-Related Variables (spouse support, family involvement and parental demand) with work-family conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) of doctors at public hospitals under the

inistry of Health in Peninsular Malaysia. The second objective is to examine the insequences of work-family conflict of doctors at selected public hospitals under the inistry of Health in Peninsular Malaysia. Specifically, the present study attempts:

To examine the relationship between Work-Related Variables (supervisor support, job involvement and work overload) and work-family conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) among doctors at selected public hospitals.

To examine the relationship between Family-Related Variables (spouse support, family involvement and parental demand) and work-family conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) among doctors at selected public hospitals.

To examine the relationship between Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) and Work Outcomes (job satisfaction, intention to quit, burnout, and job performance) among doctors at selected public hospitals.

# Research Questions

This study attempts to answer the following questions:

In what way does Work-Related Variables (supervisor support, job involvement and work overload) relate with Work- Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) among doctors at selected public hospitals?

In what way does Family-Related Variables (spouse support, family involvement and parental demand) relate with Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) among doctors at selected public hospitals?

In what way does Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference with Work) relate with Work Outcomes (job satisfaction, intention to quit, burnout, and job performance among doctors at selected public hospitals?

# Definition of Key Terms

.5

# .5.1 Work-Family Conflict

Following the definition by Netemeyer, Boles & McMurrian (1996), work-family onflict (WFC) in this study has been defined as individual perception on how work bligations interfere with family obligations and how family obligations interfere with work obligations

# .5.1.1 Work Interference with Family

Following the definition by Netemeyer, Boles & McMurrian (1996), work neterference with family (also termed as work-to-family conflict) in this study is a form of neter-role conflict in which the general demands of, time devoted to, and strain created by ne job interfere with performing family-related responsibilities.

# .1.2 Family Interference with Work

Following the definition by Netemeyer, Boles & McMurrian (1996), family erference with work (known also as family-to work conflict) in this study is a form of er-role conflict in which the general demands of, time devoted to, and strain created by family interfere with performing work-related responsibilities.

# .2 Antecedents of Work-Family Conflict (Work-Related Variables)

Supervisor Support. In this study, supervisor support relates to manager support the respondent's ability to successfully balance work and family responsibilities nderson et al., 2002). In this study, the term "supervisor" is replaced with the term ead of department".

Job Involvement. In this study, the definition of job involvement is adapted m Frone and Rice (1987). Job involvement represents the degree to which one's job is natral to one's self-concept or sense of identity.

Work Overload. In this study, work overload is defined based on the work Aziz (2004) as the amount of on call hours, working hours, workload, patients, ferent activities, lack of time for family and personal life, working without rest and tile fatigue.

# 5.3 Antecedents of Work-Family Conflict (Family-Related Variables)

Spouse Support. In this study, spousal support is defined as the form of a sband's acceptance of his wife's participation in the workforce and/or assistance with ild and home-care responsibilities following the definition by Aryee et al.,(1999).

Family Involvement. In this study, family involvement definition is adapted from one and Rice (1987), whereby family involvement reflects the degree to which one's mily is central to one's self-concept or sense of identity.

ryee et al.'s (1999) definition. Parental demand refers to the feeling of children making to many demands, too much work to do as a parent, the amount of time devoted to look after children leaves you with little time for much else and feeling overwhelmed by the emands of parenting.

In this study, parental demand definition is adapted from

# 5.4 Consequences of Work-Family Conflict (Work Outcomes)

Parental Demand.

Job Satisfaction. In this study, the definition is adapted from the definition from Wright and Cropanzano (1998). In this study, job satisfaction reflects the degree to which respondent's satisfaction with the work itself, co-workers, supervision, romotional opportunities and pay.

In this study, intention to quit refers to one's propensity to eave his or her current hospital. The word "organization" is replaced by "hospital". The efinition is adapted from Boyar et al (2006).

Burnout. In this study, Maslach, Jackson and Leiter's (1996) efinition is used. They defined burnout as "a psychological syndrome of emotional xhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment that can occur mong individuals who work with other people in some capacity".

Job Performance. In this study, the definition of job performance is adapted rom Wright, Kacmar, McMahan, and Deleeuw (1995). Job performance refers to

derlying concern for doing tasks better and for improving situations at work, nfidence about job, willingness to work hard and energetically, supervisor and coorker relationship.

Doctors. The definition for doctors in this study is adapted from the alaysian Medical Association (MMA) whereby a doctor is a medically qualified person hose work is to prevent diseases, to relieve suffering and to treat the sick, while oviding care and support for their families. The doctors in this study comprised of pusemen, Clinicians, Specialists and Administrators serving in the selected public pospitals. (http://www.mma.org.my/Portals/0/CAREER%20GUIDANCE.pdf)

Housemen. Doctors who have completed their first degrees in Medicine and argery from local or foreign universities recognized by the Malaysian government and arrently undergoing housemanship program for a period of two (2) years at Ministry of ealth hospitals (Career Development For Medical Officer in MOH, 2006).

Specialists. They are medical officers in the Ministry of Health who are able to recialise in various disciplines of medicine such as ophthalmology, radiology, ardiology, general surgery, orthopedic surgery, obstetrics & gynecology, pediatrics, mily medicine and medical research (Career Development for Medical Officer in IOH, 2006).

Administrators. They are medical officers who are involved in administrative described relating to health especially as State Health Directors, Hospital Directors and istrict Health Officers, managing health promotion and disease prevention activities, anaging the technical aspects of healthcare in hospitals and district health offices and

errying out maternal and child health programmes throughout the country. (Career evelopment For Medical Officer in MOH, 2006).

Clinicians. Clinicians are neither specialists nor administrators. Their primary le is to treat patients in hospitals or health clinics. (Career Development For Medical fficer in MOH, 2006).

This study hopes to provide significant theoretical and practical contributions in

# 6 Significance of the Study

e area of work-family conflict. From the theoretical perspective, this present study tends to bridge the gap in the literature by examining a model of antecedents and onsequences of work-family conflict in the Malaysian context, specifically in the area of ealth care as suggested by Aryee et al., (1999). In addition, this study will be an addition earlier local studies and in Asean region on work-family conflict issues and a ontribution to the role theory (Kahn et al., 1964), conflict theory (Greenhaus & Beutell, 985), and scarcity theory (Goode, 1960). This study uses measurements on work-family onflict which will address the bidirectional construct (work-interference with work and mily-interference with work) rather than global measures that confound the two types f conflict into one that is work-family conflict (Frone et al., 1996). Thus, both types of ork-family conflict is examined simultaneously in order to understand fully the impact f the work-family conflict (Frone et al., 1996; Guerts et al., 1999). This study will be nother contribution to the understanding of work-family conflict among doctors as esearch on this profession is limited (Guerts et al., 1999). Furthermore, according to tuerts et al., (1999), past studies cannot be generalized to other occupational groups and

at is considered vulnerable to work-family conflict and as for sample size, this study es a larger sample so that the findings can be generalized (Rout, 1996). This study also dresses many different work related outcomes that are associated with the two forms of inflict simultaneously which previously has received very little empirical attention inderson et al., 2002).

From the practical perspective, findings of this study will help the hospital ministrators to understand the importance of work-family conflict and factors that can fluence it. Specifically, the findings will help them understand the influence of work d family variables on work-family conflict and the effect of work-family conflict on a satisfaction, intention to quit, burnout and job performance (work outcomes). This adding will be beneficial to public health care since workload (The Star, December 18, 1008), complaints from patients (The Star Online, Nation, February 7, 2008), long work ours (The Star, Tuesday, March 31, 2009), turnover (The Borneo Post Online, June 1, 1008) and low job satisfaction (The Star, June 3, 2009) are issues that doctors are facing.

inistry of Health in planning and implementation of new human resource policies, laws, ograms which are vital in promoting a healthy balanced work and family life. It is sped that the findings from this study will create awareness, interest and provide an enue for the development of future research in the same area locally.

This research can also serve as a guide to hospital administrators and Malaysian

# 7 Organization of Chapters

This study is divided into five chapters. Chapter 1 provides an overview of the ady. The problem statement, research objectives, research questions, significance of the ady, and key terms used in this study are explained in this chapter.

undation of work-family conflict and consequences. Literature that discussed the issues work-family conflict and family related conflict and their consequences were ghlighted. Theoretical framework and hypotheses were developed based on the erature.

Chapter 2 looks at the overview of work-family conflict, theories that form the

Chapter 3 discusses the methodology of data collection for this research. It covers search design, variables and measurements, data collection techniques, and data allysis techniques.

Chapter 4 discusses the results of data analysis. The respondents' profiles were ghlighted based on their demographic characteristics. The measures of this study were sted for their construct validity and internal consistency using factor analysis, validity and reliability analyses. Descriptive statistics and correlations were also computed. nally, regressions were performed to test the hypotheses.

Chapter 5 discusses the findings of this study based on the research questions as osited in Chapter 1. This chapter includes discussion on the findings in line with the ojectives of the study, implications, limitations, and suggestions for future research. Inally, a conclusion of the study is given.

## **CHAPTER 2**

### LITERATURE REVIEW

# Introduction

This chapter looks at the overview of work-family conflicts, theories that form the ndation of work-family conflict and its consequences. Literature that discussed the less of Work-Family Conflict (Work Interference with Family and Family Interference h Work) and its consequences are highlighted. Theoretical framework and hypotheses developed based on the literature.

Work-family conflict has been defined as "a form of inter-role conflict in which

# Work-Family Conflict – An Overview

cmar, 2000; Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985).

reenhaus & Beutell, 1985). Work-family conflict occurs when participation in the mily role is made more difficult by participation in the work role, hence the term ork-family conflict" emerges. An example given by Greenhaus and Beutell (1985) is en one devotes extra time and energy into the work role (or the family role), the family e (or work role) is assumed to suffer. The definition of work-family conflicts olicitly portrays a bidirectional conceptualization (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985). In ginally believed to be one-dimensional, research in the area of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused on refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused or refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused or refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused or refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused or refining the conceptualization of work-family conflict or recently focused or refining the conceptualization or re

Work-family conflict is increasingly recognized as consisting of two distinct, bugh related concepts, that is work interfering with family (WIF) and family interfering th work (FIW) (Karatepe & Baddar, 2006). Work interference with family (also termed ork-Family Conflict) occurs when work interferes with family life, and family terference with work (also termed Family-Work Conflict) occurs when family life terferes with work (Frone, Yardley, & Markel, 1997). Research has proposed that inflict between work and family can originate in either domain, that is work can terfere with family needs or family can interfere with work responsibilities (Gutek et al., 191; Grandey, Cordeiro & Crouter, 2005). Work-family conflict occurs for men and omen in the sense that anyone with a job and a family may need to cope simultaneously the demands of both domain. However, the cultural pressure placed on women to see primary responsibility for family matters seems to indicate that women may perience work-family conflict to a greater extent than men (Lilly et al., 2006).

Work-family conflict occurs in multiple forms because conflict can originate ider various conditions (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985). Though there are many forms ensidered in the literature, most research directly or indirectly focuses on the forms of me, psychological strain and less on behaviour-based:

- ) time-based conflict experienced due to incompatible time demands between work and mily;
- ) strain-based conflict experienced due to affective spillover from one domain to nother; and
- ) behaviour-based conflict which is experienced when in-role behaviour in one domain incompatible with role behaviour in the other domain (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985).

Time-based demands are related to work-family conflicts through a process of ource drain in which the time or involvement required for participation in one domain nits the time or involvement available for participation in another domain (Greenhaus Beutell, 1985). Examples are long working hours may prevent an individual from ending a special family occasion (Karatepe & Baddar, 2006) and parent-teacher inference conflicting with an important meeting at work (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985).

Strain-based demands are linked to work-family conflict through a process of

ychological spillover in which the strain associated with participating in one domain is tried over to another domain such that it creates strain in the second domain, thereby indering role performance in that domain (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985; Voydanoff, 2004). It example, meeting with the child's teacher may prevent an individual from a rforming his or her duties in the workplace (Netemeyer et al., 1996) and coming home form work so emotionally and physically exhausted that one cannot effectively function fulfill role demands at home (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985). Behaviour based conflict to behavioural styles in one role which are incompatible with the behaviours expected in other role (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985).

Studies have shown that these three forms of conflict are separate (though interated) concepts and they also have different results (Byron, 2005; Carlson & Perrewe, 99). However, behaviour-based conflict seems to have less predictive validity than the o other forms of conflict, perhaps due to difficulties to operationalize (Kelloway, ottlieb & Barham, 1999).

that work can interfere with family (WIF) and family can interfere with work (FIW), ulting in a wide variety of psychological and physical outcomes for individuals dams et al., 1996; Allen et al., 2000; Kelloway et al., 1999; Lieter & Durup, 1996). e reciprocal nature of the relationship suggests that if one's work interferes with family, is may cause family issues as family obligations go unfulfilled and vice versa. Both ork and family roles have differential permeability where family roles tend to be less uctured and formalized and, thus, more permeable to other role requirements (Eagle, iles, & Icenogle, 1997; Frone, Russell, & Cooper, 1992). Generally people report eater work interference with family than family interference with work (Frone et al., 92; Frone, Yardley, & Markel, 1997; Gutek et al., 1991; Kinnunen & Mauno, 1998).

Most researchers now acknowledge work-family conflict is reciprocal in nature,

# Theories Related to Work-Family Conflict

# 3.1 Conflict Theory

erry to analyze work family conflict. Conflict theory proposes that work and family mains are incompatible due to their different norms and responsibilities (Greenhaus & eutell, 1985). The differing norms and responsibilities of work and family have caused trusion and negative spillover of one domain on the other. Work-family conflict is the rm often used to characterize the conflict between the work and family domains. Kahn al. (1964) described work-family conflict as a type of inter-role conflict in which

Byron (2005) indicated that the constructs of WIF and FIW have their roots in

ditional roles create tension between competing demands and cause a sense of overload nd role conflict (Fu & Shaffer, 2001).

# 2.3.2 Role Theory

The work-family field has been dominated by role theory which predicts that nultiple roles lead to role stress, which in turn results in strain (Kahn et al., 1964) that is one of the causes of work-family conflict (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985). Inter-role conflict esults when pressures in one role are incompatible with pressures in another role. For example, an individual may lack the necessary time to meet obligations at both home and work, or experience stress at home that affects performance at work (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985; Kopelman, Greenhaus, & Connelly, 1983). Individuals who attempt to palance work and home life experience objective conflict (e.g. a breakfast meeting is scheduled at the same time as the children have to be taken to school; an elderly parent calls with an emergency) (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985). In addition, individuals feel osychological conflict (e.g. the decision to spend weekend time at work rather than with amily or friends). Role conflict is defined as the 'simultaneous occurrence of two (or nore) sets of role pressures such that compliance with one would make more difficult the compliance with the other' (Kahn et al., 1964). Yet, several studies have found that nultiple roles are not detrimental but salutary and these studies support the expansion nodel and undermine the scarcity model that underlies role theory (Carnicer et al., 2004).