

**CLONING, EXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION
OF *Toxocara canis* RECOMBINANT ANTIGENS
(rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-120) AND
DEVELOPMENT OF SERODIAGNOSTIC TEST
FOR TOXOCARIASIS**

SUHARNI BINTI MOHAMAD

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA
2009**

**CLONING, EXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION OF *Toxocara canis*
RECOMBINANT ANTIGENS (rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-120) AND DEVELOPMENT
OF SERODIAGNOSTIC TEST FOR TOXOCARIASIS**

by

SUHARNI BINTI MOHAMAD

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2009

DEDICATIONS

To

My husband, Mohd Rozi Aziz,

My mother, Nik Hanizan and my mother-in-law, Zainab,

My father, Mohamad and my father-in-law, Aziz

My sons, Mohamad Rasydan Hakimi, Mohamad Rafsyah Hakim, Mohamad Rahaizat

Hakimin and Mohamad Raqwan Hatim

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises and gratitude are due to Allah, the Most Merciful and Compassionate.

First and foremost, I wish to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Rahmah Noordin, who is generous in sharing her knowledge and in giving advice. I sincerely thank her for her steadfast encouragement, constructive comments, suggestions, criticism during the writing of this thesis and for guiding me to be a good scientist.

My sincere appreciation to Profesor Asma Ismail, lecturers and staff of INFORMM, Penang and Kelantan for their support, invaluable suggestions and technical assistance throughout my study. I am deeply grateful to INFORMM for providing well-equipped infrastructure and facilities to carry out the project.

I also would like to acknowledge the Director of Veterinary Laboratory in Kota Bharu, Dr. Naheed; Director of Veterinary Research Institute in Perlis, Dr Tareq; Dr Norhana from Veterinary Laboratory in Bukit Tengah, Penang; Seberang Prai City Council, Penang; and staff of Veterinary Laboratories at Bukit Tengah, Penang, Kota Bharu, Kelantan and Kangar, Perlis for their technical assistance and for providing the puppies and stray dogs from which adult *T. canis* worms were collected that made this study possible. My sincere thanks to the late Associate Prof. Dr. Afifi Sheikh Abu Bakar for his assistance in the identification of *T. canis* worms, Dr. Lim Boon Huat from School of Health Sciences and Dr Azlina from International Medical University for helping in statistical analysis. I would also like to thank the Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, School of Medical Sciences, USM; Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Health Centre at Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Penang and the Scottish Parasite Diagnostic Laboratory (SPDL) for providing the serum samples.

I would like to extend my warm and sincere thanks to my friends and colleagues, Mr Mehdi, K.Anan, K.Syikin, Emelia, Ude, Cheah, Syida, Zul, Nyambar, Nurul, Madihah, Anizah for their friendship, help, support, technical assistance, encouragement and advice.

On a personal note, I am forever indebted to my family for their never-ending love, care, support, encouragement and patience. This thesis is specially dedicated to my beloved mum who had given me her undivided attention in all my undertakings, to my husband and all my children who had made my life wonderful, Mohamad Rasydan Hakimi, Mohamad Rafsyah Hakim, Mohamad Rahaizat Hakimin and Mohamad Raqwan Hatim, and to my siblings, Suhaili, Suhaiza, Suhaila and Nor Azrani, who have been a great source of inspiration.

This project was funded by the IRPA research grant under Profesor Rahmah Noordin, EA No 06-02-05-4261 EA019. I would also like to thank the National Science Fellowship from the Malaysian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) for the financial support during my study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page no.
DEDICATIONS.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS	xvi
ABSTRAK.....	viii
ABSTRACT.....	xxi

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	General introduction.....	1
1.2	The taxonomy of <i>Toxocara</i> and morphology.....	2
1.3	The larval surface coat.....	3
1.4	<i>Toxocara</i> excretory/secretory antigens (TES).....	6
1.5	Life cycle of <i>T. canis</i>	7
1.6	Egg survival.....	11
1.7	Global seroprevalence of human toxocariasis.....	12
1.8	Signs and symptoms of toxocariasis.....	14
1.8.1	Visceral larva migrans (VLM).....	15
1.8.2	Ocular larva migrans (OLM).....	16
1.8.3	Covert toxocariasis (CT).....	17
1.8.4	Neurological toxocariasis.....	18
1.9	Pathogenesis of human toxocariasis.....	18
1.10	Immunological aspect of toxocariasis.....	20
1.10.1	Cellular immune responses.....	20
1.10.2	Antibody subclasses in toxocariasis.....	20
1.10.2.1	IgM.....	20
1.10.2.2	IgE.....	21
1.10.2.3	IgG subclasses.....	22

1.11	Diagnosis of human toxocariasis.....	24
1.11.1	Clinical diagnosis.....	24
1.11.1.1	Radiology.....	24
1.11.2	Laboratory diagnosis.....	25
1.11.2.1	Parasitology tests.....	25
1.11.2.2	Serology tests.....	25
1.11.2.2.1	IgG antibody avidity.....	28
1.11.2.2.2	Western blot (WB).....	29
1.11.2.3	Rapid test.....	30
1.11.2.4	Other assays.....	31
1.11.3	Diagnosis of OLM.....	32
	1.11.3.1 Clinical diagnosis.....	32
	1.11.3.2 Laboratory diagnosis.....	32
1.12	Recombinant TES antigens in serodiagnosis.....	34
1.12.1	rTES-30.....	34
1.12.2	rTES-120.....	35
1.13	Treatment, management and prognosis.....	36
1.14	Statement of the problem and rationale of the study.....	36
1.15	Objectives of the study.....	39

CHAPTER 2 GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1	Materials.....	41
2.1.1	<i>T. canis</i> worms.....	41
2.1.2	Oligonucleotide primers.....	41
2.1.3	Bacterial strains.....	42
2.1.4	Cloning and expression vectors.....	42
2.1.5	Human serum samples.....	42
2.1.6	Chemicals, reagents and media.....	44
2.1.7	Sterilisation.....	44
2.1.7.1	Moist heat.....	44
2.1.7.2	Membrane filtration.....	44
2.1.7.3	DEPC treatment.....	44
2.1.8	Preparation of common media.....	45
2.1.8.1	Luria-Bertani (LB) broth.....	45
2.1.8.2	Terrific broth (TB).....	45
2.1.8.3	Salt solution.....	45
2.1.8.4	LB agar.....	45
2.1.8.5	LB agar with ampicillin.....	46
2.1.8.6	LB agar with kanamycin.....	46
2.1.9	Preparation of common buffers and reagents.....	46
2.1.9.1	Phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.2.....	46
2.1.9.2	PBS-Tween 20 (PBS-T), 0.05% (v/v).....	46
2.1.9.3	Tris buffered saline (TBS).....	46
2.1.9.4	TBS-Tween 20 (TBS-T), 0.05% (v/v).....	47

2.1.9.5	TBS/Tween-20/Triton-X (TBSTT) (w/v/v).....	47
2.1.9.6	NaOH solution (3M).....	47
2.1.9.7	HCl solution (1M).....	47
2.1.9.8	EDTA solution, 0.5 M (pH 8.0).....	47
2.1.9.9	Ampicillin stock solution (100 mg/ml).....	47
2.1.9.10	Kanamycin stock solution (30 mg/ml).....	48
2.1.9.11	Magnesium chloride ($MgCl_2$), 100 mM.....	48
2.1.9.12	Calcium chloride ($CaCl_2$), 100 mM.....	48
2.1.9.13	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside (X-gal), 20 mg/ml (w/v).....	48
2.1.9.14	Isopropyl- β -D- galactopyranoside (IPTG), 800 mM	48
2.1.9.15	Ethanol (70%).....	48
2.1.10	Preparation of reagents for culture of L2 larvae.....	49
2.1.10.1	Normal saline [sodium chloride, 0.85% (w/v)]	
	49
2.1.10.2	RPMI-1640 stock solution.....	49
2.1.10.3	Acid-pepsin solution [Pepsin 1% (w/v), HCl 0.1% (v/v)].....	49
2.1.10.4	DEPC-treated water.....	49
2.1.11	Preparation of reagents for agarose gel electrophoresis.....	50
2.1.11.1	Tris-Borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer (5X).....	50
2.1.11.2	Ethidium bromide solution (EtBr), 10 mg/ml (w/v).....	50
2.1.11.3	Agarose gel loading dye.....	50
2.1.12	Preparation of reagents for SDS-PAGE.....	50
2.1.12.1	Resolving gel buffer, pH 9.3.....	50
2.1.12.2	Stacking gel buffer, pH 6.8.....	51
2.1.12.3	2X sample buffer.....	51
2.1.12.4	Ammonium persulfate (AP), 20% (w/v).....	51
2.1.12.5	Running buffer.....	51
2.1.12.6	Coomassie blue stain.....	52
2.1.12.7	Coomassie destaining solution.....	52
2.1.13	Preparation of reagents for immunodetection.....	52
2.1.13.1	Western blot transfer buffer.....	52
2.1.13.2	Ponceau S stain.....	52
2.1.13.3	Amido black stain.....	52
2.1.13.4	Blocking stock solution, 10% (w/v).....	53
2.1.13.5	Chemiluminescence substrate	53
2.1.14	Preparation of reagents for histidine-tagged protein purification under native condition.....	53
2.1.14.1	Protease inhibitor cocktail, 0.37 mg/ml.....	53
2.1.14.2	Lysozyme stock (10 mg/ml) (w/v).....	53
2.1.14.3	DNAse 1 (w/v).....	54
2.1.14.4	10 mM lysis buffer (containing 300 mM NaCl).....	54
2.1.14.5	20 mM wash buffer (containing 300 mM NaCl).....	54
2.1.14.6	30 mM wash buffer (containing 300 mM NaCl).....	54
2.1.14.7	40 mM wash buffer (containing 300 mM NaCl).....	54
2.1.14.8	Elution buffer (containing 300 mM NaCl).....	55
2.1.14.9	10 mM lysis buffer (containing 500 mM NaCl).....	55
2.1.14.10	20 mM wash buffer (containing 500 mM NaCl).....	55
2.1.14.11	30 mM wash buffer (containing 500 mM NaCl).....	55

2.1.14.12	40 mM wash buffer (containing 500 mM NaCl).....	56
2.1.14.13	Elution buffer (containing 500 mM NaCl).....	56
2.1.14.14	Stripping buffer.....	56
2.1.15	Preparation of reagents for GST-tagged protein purification under native condition.....	56
2.1.15.1	GST bind/wash buffer (1X).....	56
2.1.15.2	GST elution buffer (10X) (w/v).....	57
2.1.16	Preparation of reagents for ELISA.....	57
2.1.16.1	Coating buffer.....	57
2.1.16.2	Blocking stock solution, 10%.....	57
2.1.16.3	Substrate 2,2'-Azino-d-[3-ethylbenthiazoline sulfonate] (ABTS).....	57
2.2	Methods.....	
58		
2.2.1	Isolation and cultivation of <i>T. canis</i> ova.....	58
2.2.1.1	Storage of <i>T. canis</i> larvae.....	59
2.2.2	Plasmid extraction.....	61
2.2.3	Quantification of nucleic acids.....	62
2.2.4	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR).....	62
2.2.5	DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.....	63
2.2.6	Purification of PCR products.....	63
2.2.7	Preparation of competent cells	64
2.2.8	Transformation of plasmid into <i>E. coli</i> TOP10 competent cells.....	65
2.2.9	Transformation of plasmid into <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3) competent cells.....	65
2.2.10	Long-term storage of recombinant plasmid.....	66
2.2.11	Protein analysis	66
2.2.11.1	Determination of protein concentration	66
2.2.12	Protein analysis by SDS-PAGE.....	68
2.2.13	Determination of immunogenicity of the expressed protein.....	69
2.2.13.1	Electrophoretic transfer of proteins to membrane (Western blotting).....	69
2.2.13.2	Immunoreactivity study of the fusion proteins by Western blot analysis.....	70
2.2.13.2.1	Detection of histidine-tagged protein.....	70
2.2.13.2.2	Detection of GST-tagged protein.....	70
2.2.13.2.3	Detection of specific IgG4 antibody.....	70
2.2.13.2.4	Development of Western blot using chemiluminescence substrate.....	71
2.2.14	Expression of the recombinant proteins.....	71
2.2.15	Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) protein purification.....	72
2.2.15.1	Preparation of the AKTA TM <i>prime</i>	72
2.2.15.2	Stripping and recharging of the resin	73
CHAPTER 3:		
CLONING AND EXPRESSION OF ORF OF THE GENES ENCODING TES-26, TES-32 AND TES-120 IN <i>E. coli</i>		
3.1	Introduction.....	74

3.2	Methodology and results.....	78
3.2.1	Isolation of <i>T. canis</i> poly(A)+ RNA.....	78
3.2.2	Oligonucleotides design.....	79
3.2.2.1	Mutagenic oligonucleotide primers.....	79
3.2.3	Construction of rTES-26, rTES-32 and rTES-120 recombinant plasmids.....	82
3.2.3.1	RT-PCR of ORF of the genes encoding TES-26, TES-32 and TES-120.....	83
3.2.3.1.1	Purification of RT-PCR products.....	87
3.2.3.2	Cloning of RT-PCR products into PCR2.1 TOPO-TA vector	87
3.2.3.3	Verification of positive clones.....	87
3.2.3.3.1	PCR screening of TOPO transformants.....	87
3.2.3.3.2	Restriction endonuclease digestion of the recombinant plasmids.....	89
3.2.3.3.3	Confirmation by DNA sequencing.....	93
3.2.3.4	<i>In-vitro</i> mutagenesis.....	103
3.2.3.4.1	PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis.....	103
3.2.3.5	Cloning into expression vectors.....	108
3.2.3.5.1	Vectors and inserts preparation.....	108
3.2.3.5.1.1	Single digestion with restriction enzyme	108
3.2.3.5.1.2	Dephosphorylation of vectors.....	115
3.2.3.5.1.3	Gel-purification of DNA inserts	115
3.2.3.5.2	Ligation of inserts into expression vectors.....	115
3.2.3.5.3	Analysis of pPROExHT/pET recombinants.....	116
3.2.3.5.3.1	PCR screening.....	116
3.2.3.5.3.2	DNA sequencing.....	120
3.2.3.5.4	Transformation of pPROExHT/pET recombinants into expression host.....	120
3.2.4	Protein expression.....	125
3.2.4.1	Small-scale expression.....	125
3.2.4.1.1	Optimizing the expression of the rTES-26, rTES-32 and rTES-120 recombinant proteins.....	125
3.2.4.1.1.1	Induction of the target proteins at different induction time-point.....	125
3.2.4.2	Determination of the target protein solubility and confirmation of presence of protein tags.....	129
3.2.4.2.1	Determination of histidine-tagged in rTES-120 recombinant protein by Western blot analysis.....	130
3.2.4.2.2	Determination of GST-tagged in the recombinant protein by Western blot analysis.....	133
3.3	Discussion.....	136

CHAPTER 4

PURIFICATION OF rTES-26, rTES-32 AND rTES-120 RECOMBINANT PROTEINS IN *E. coli*

4.1	Introduction.....	141
4.2	Methodology	
4.2.1	Purification of rTES-120 histidine-tagged recombinant protein.....	143
4.2.1.1	Large scale protein expression.....	143

4.2.1.2	Preparation of cleared cell lysates under native conditions.....	143
4.2.1.3	Optimization of rTES-120 recombinant protein purification using FPLC under native conditions.....	144
4.2.2	Purification of rTES-26 and rTES-32 GST-tagged recombinant proteins.....	146
4.2.2.1	Large scale protein expression.....	146
4.2.2.2	Cell extracts preparation for native purification.....	146
4.2.2.3	Laboratory scale purification of GST-tagged proteins under native conditions.....	147
4.2.2.4	Proteolytic cleavage of target protein from GST Tag.....	149
4.2.2.4.1	Small-scale optimization of proteolytic cleavage.....	149
4.2.2.4.2	Scale-up of cleavage reaction.....	150
4.2.2.4.3	Factor Xa capture after cleavage.....	150
4.2.3	Determination of immunoreactivity of the purified rTES-120 recombinant proteins by Western blot technique.....	151
4.2.4	Determination of immunoreactivity of the cleaved rTES-26 and rTES-32 recombinant proteins by Western blot technique.....	152
4.3	Results.....	152
4.3.1	Optimization of rTES-120 recombinant protein purification using FPLC under native conditions.....	152
4.3.2	Purification of rTES-26 and rTES-32 GST fusion proteins under native conditions.....	156
4.3.3	Small-scale optimization of proteolytic cleavage.....	159
4.3.4	Determination of immunoreactivity of the purified and cleaved recombinant proteins by Western blot technique.....	162
4.4	Discussion.....	166

CHAPTER 5

DEVELOPMENT OF ENZYME-LINKED IMMUNOSORBENT ASSAY (ELISA) USING rTES-26, rTES-32 AND rTES-120 RECOMBINANT ANTIGENS

5.1	Introduction.....	174
5.2	Materials and methodology.....	175
5.2.1	Total IgE-ELISA.....	175
5.2.2	Optimization of different parameters in rTES-ELISA.....	175
5.2.2.1	Concentration of TES recombinant antigens.....	176
5.2.2.2	Dilutions of primary antibodies.....	176
5.2.2.3	Dilutions of secondary antibodies.....	176
5.2.3	Indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).....	177
5.2.4	Determination of positive cut-off optical density value (COV).....	178
5.2.5	Method validation	179
5.2.5.1	Determination of sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV).....	179
5.2.5.2	Statistical analysis.....	179
5.3	Results.....	180
5.3.1	Determination of total IgE in sera from toxocariasis patients, various parasitic infections and healthy normals.....	180
5.3.2	Optimization of different parameters in rTES-ELISA.....	186
5.3.3	Sensitivity and specificity analysis of recombinant antigens using	

5.3.4	IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgE and IgM.....	196
	Sensitivity and specificity analysis of recombinant antigens using IgG4-ELISA.....	204
5.3.5	Statistical analysis.....	214
5.4	Discussion.....	216
CHAPTER 6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....		224
REFERENCES.....		233

APPENDICES

Appendix A

List of chemicals and reagents

Appendix B

Complete cds sequences from the Genbank

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS, PRESENTATIONS AND PATENT

LIST OF TABLES

	Page no.
Table 2.1 <i>E. coli</i> strains employed in this study.....	43
Table 2.2 Preparation of BSA standards.....	68
Table 3.1 The details of the primers used in this study.....	81
Table 3.2 The expected PCR product size for ORF of each gene.....	82
Table 3.3 Primers used for repairing base-errors in site-directed mutagenesis.....	105
Table 4.1 Concentrations of purified rTES-120 recombinant protein obtained by FPLC using different concentrations of salt and washing column volume.....	153
Table 4.2 Concentrations of purified rTES-26 and rTES-32 recombinant proteins.....	157
Table 5.1 Results of the screening of various categories of serum samples using Total IgE-ELISA (Total IgE-ELISA kit, IBL Hamburg, Germany).....	181
Table 5.2 Summary of the results of the screening of total IgE in sera from toxocariasis patients, various parasitic infections and healthy normals (summarized from table 5.1).....	185
Table 5.3a Optimization of rTES-26 recombinant antigen concentration and serum dilution in IgG4-ELISA.....	187

Table 5.3b	Optimization of rTES-32 recombinant antigen concentration and serum dilution in IgG4-ELISA.....	187
Table 5.3c	Optimization of rTES-120 recombinant antigen concentration and serum dilution in IgG4-ELISA.....	188
Table 5.3d	Optimization of the dilutions of IgG4 antibody conjugated to HRP in IgG4-ELISA.....	189
Table 5.4	Optimization of antigen concentrations and conjugate dilutions in IgG1-ELISA.....	190
Table 5.5	Optimization of antigen concentrations and conjugate dilutions in IgG2-ELISA.....	191
Table 5.6	Optimization of antigen concentrations and conjugate dilutions in IgG3-ELISA.....	192
Table 5.7	Optimization of antigen concentrations and conjugate dilutions in IgE-ELISA.....	193
Table 5.8	Optimization of antigen concentrations and conjugate dilutions in IgM ELISA.....	194
Table 5.9	Optimum conditions for each rTES-ELISA assay.....	195
Table 5.10a	Sensitivity and specificity analysis of rTES-26 ELISA.....	197
Table 5.10b	Sensitivity and specificity analysis of rTES-32 ELISA.....	199
Table 5.10c	Sensitivity and specificity analysis of rTES-120 ELISA.....	201
Table 5.11	Sensitivity and cross-reaction of sera from patients with other parasitic infections in rTES-ELISA using IgG subclasses, IgE and IgM.....	
	202	
Table 5.12	Sensitivity study of IgG4-ELISA using rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-120 and rTES-30USM antigens.....	
	206	
Table 5.13	Specificity study of IgG4-ELISA using rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-120 and rTES-30USM antigens.....	207
Table 5.14	Summary of sensitivity evaluations of IgG4-ELISA using rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-120 and rTES-30USM.....	212
Table 5.15	Summary of specificity evaluations of IgG4-ELISA using rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-120 and rTES-30USM.....	212
Table 5.16a	Specificity, accuracy, PPV and NPV of rTES ELISA using monoclonal mouse anti-human IgG4 antibody (include 30 healthy normals)	213
Table 5.16b	Specificity, accuracy, PPV and NPV of rTES ELISA using monoclonal mouse anti-human IgG4 antibody (exclude healthy normals)	

213
Table 5.17	One-Way ANOVA analysis of the comparison of mean O.Ds of IgG4 assays on 30 toxocariasis serum samples using rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-120 and rTES-30USM antigens.....215
Table 5.18	Pearson Chi-Square analysis of the comparison of specificity of IgG4 assays using rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-30USM and rTES-120 antigens.....215

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page no.
Figure 1.1a:	Adult male (10 cm) and female worms (18 cm) obtained from small intestine of infected dog.....4
Figure 1.1b:	<i>T. canis</i> adult worm.....4
Figure 1.1c:	<i>T. cati</i> adult worm.....4
Figure 1.2a:	Unembryonated <i>T. canis</i> egg.....5
Figure 1.2b:	Infective egg containing L2 larva.....5
Figure 1.3:	The summary of life cycle of <i>T. canis</i>8
Figure 2.1	Modified Baermann's apparatus for collection of <i>T. canis</i> larvae.....60
Figure 3.1	Strategy for cloning and expression of ORF of <i>T. canis</i> genes.....76 & 77
Figure 3.2a	RT-PCR product of ORF of the gene encoding TES-26 resolved on 1% DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.....84
Figure 3.2b	RT-PCR product of ORF of the gene encoding TES-32 resolved on 1% DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.....85
Figure 3.2c	RT-PCR product of ORF of the gene encoding TES-120 resolved on 1% DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.....86

Figure 3.3	Map and sequence characteristics of PCR2.1-TOPO vector shows the cloning region.....	88
Figure 3.4a	PCR product of TOPO/TES-26 transformants resolved on 1% DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.....	90
Figure 3.4b	PCR product of TOPO/TES-32 transformants resolved on 1% DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.....	91
Figure 3.4c	PCR screening of TOPO/TES-120 transformants resolved on 1% agarose electrophoresis.....	92
Figure 3.5a	Restriction enzyme analysis of the TOPO/TES-26 construct resolved on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.....	94
Figure 3.5b	Restriction enzyme analysis of the TOPO/TES-32 constructs resolved on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.....	95
Figure 3.5c	Restriction enzyme analysis of the TOPO/TES-120 constructs resolved on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.....	96
Figure 3.6a	Contig alignment analysis of TOPO/TES-26#1and previously published sequence of TES-26.....	97
Figure 3.6b	Contig alignment analysis of TOPO/TES-32 and previously published sequence of TES-32.....	99
Figure 3.6c	Contig alignment analysis of TOPO/TES-120 and previously published sequence of TES-120.....	101
Figure 3.7	Schematic overview of the Quickchange® site-directed mutagenesis method (Stratagene, USA).....	104
Figure 3.8	Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of the site-directed mutagenesis product of TOPO/TES-26.....	106
Figure 3.9a	Map of pET-42a-c vector shows the multiple cloning regions.....	109
Figure 3.9b	Sequence characteristics of pET-42a-c vector shows the cloning and expression regions.....	110
Figure 3.10	Map and multiple cloning sites of pPROEx™HT expression vectors.....	111
Figure 3.11a	Restriction enzyme analysis of TOPO/TES-26 and pET42b resolved on 1% DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.....	111
Figure 3.11b	Restriction enzyme analysis of TOPO/TES-32 and pET42a resolved on 1% DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.....	111
Figure 3.11c	Restriction enzyme analysis of TOPO/TES-120 and pPROEx™HTa resolved on 1% DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.....	112
Figure 3.12a	PCR screening of pET/TES-26 recombinants resolved on 1% agarose electrophoresis.....	115
Figure 3.12b	PCR screening of pET/32 recombinants resolved on 1% agarose	

electrophoresis.....	116
Figure 3.12c PCR screening of pPROEx TM HT recombinants resolved on 1% agarose electrophoresis.....	117
Figure 3.13a Amino acid sequence of pET42/TES-26 construct.....	119
Figure 3.13b Amino acid sequence of pET42/TES-32 construct.....	122
Figure 3.13c Amino acid sequences of pPROEx TM HTa /TES-120 construct.....	124
Figure 3.14a SDS-PAGE analysis of rTES-26 recombinant protein at different post-induction time-point.....	126
Figure 3.14b SDS-PAGE analysis of rTES-32 recombinant protein at different post-induction time-point.....	127
Figure 3.14c SDS-PAGE analysis of rTES-120 recombinant protein at different post-induction time-point.....	128
Figure 3.15 Western blot analysis of rTES-120 recombinant protein expressed in supernatant and pellet.....	132
Figure 3.16 Western blot analysis of rTES-26 recombinant protein expressed in supernatant and pellet.....	134
Figure 3.17 Western blot analysis of rTES-32 recombinant protein expressed in supernatant and pellet.....	135
Figure 4.1 Overview strategies for purification of the <i>T. canis</i> recombinant proteins under native conditions.....	142
Figure 4.2 FPLC protein purification was performed using AKTA TM <i>prime</i> (Amersham BioSciences, Sweden).....	145
Figure 4.3 Purification of rTES-26 and rTES-32 GST-tagged proteins using gravity flow.....	148
Figure 4.4 The output chromatogram result of purification of rTES-120 recombinant protein using FPLC method.....	154
Figure 4.5 SDS-PAGE analysis of rTES-120 protein fractions collected using FPLC method.....	155
Figure 4.6 SDS-PAGE analyses of rTES-26 and rTES-32 GST fusion protein fractions.....	158
Figure 4.7 SDS-PAGE analysis of rTES-26 protein after cleavage at different enzyme : protein ratio and incubation times.....	160
Figure 4.8 SDS-PAGE analysis of rTES-32 protein after cleavage at different enzyme : protein ratio and incubation times.....	161
Figure 4.9 Western blot analysis showing the immunogenicity and specificity of the purified rTES-120 recombinant protein.....	164

Figure 4.10	Western blot analysis showing the immunogenicity and specificity of the cleaved rTES- 26 recombinant protein.....	165
Figure 4.11	Western blot analysis showing the immunogenicity and specificity of the cleaved rTES-32 recombinant protein.....	166

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS

μg	microgram
μl	microliter
ABTS	2,2'-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenthiazoline-6- sulphonic acid)
AEC	absolute eosinophil counts
AP	ammonium persulfate
BM	Boehringer Mannheim
bp	base pair
CFT	complement fixation test
CIEP	countercurrent immunoelectrophoresis
CT	covert toxocariasis
CV	column volume
DEC	diethylcarbamazine
DEPC	diethyl pyrocarbonate
DFAT	direct fluorescent antibody tests
DGDT	double gel diffusion test
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EME	eosinophilic meningo-encephalitis
ES	excretory secretory
FPLC	Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography
GST	glutathione S-transferase
GWC	Goldmann-Witmer coefficient
HRP	horseradish peroxidase
HUKM	Hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
HUSM	Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia
IACE	indirect antibody competition ELISA
ICT	immunochromatography test
IFAT	indirect fluorescent antibody test
IL	interleukin

IPTG	isopropyl- β -D- thiogalactopyranoside
K ₂ HPO ₄	dipotassium hydrogen phosphate
kb	kilobase
kDa	kilo dalton
KH ₂ PO ₄	potassium dihydrogen phosphate
L2	stage two larva
LB	Luria-Bertani
MCS	multiple cloning site
mg	milligram
MgCl ₂	magnesium chloride
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
MtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
N ₂	nitrogen
Na ₂ HPO ₄	disodium hydrogen phosphate
NaH ₂ PO ₄	sodium dihydrogen phosphate
NaHCO ₃	sodium bicarbonate
Ni ²⁺	nickel ion
NIH	National Institutes of Health
Ni-NTA	nickel - nitrilo-tri-acetic acid
nm	nanometer
NPV	negative predictive value
NS	nephrotic syndrome
NTD	neglected tropical diseases
OD	optical density
ORF	open reading frame
PAGE	polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
PBS-T	PBS-Tween 20
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
pmol	picomole
POD	peroxidase
PPV	positive predictive value
PRIST	paper radio-immunosorbent test
RAPD	random amplification of polymorphic DNA
RAST	radio-allergosorbent test
rDNA	ribosomal DNA
RFLP	restriction fragment length polymorphism
RIA	radio immunoassay
RNA	ribonucleic acid
rpm	revolution per minute
RPMI	Roswell Park Memorial Institute
rTES	recombinant <i>Toxocara</i> excretory-secretory
RT-PCR	reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
SAP	shrimp alkaline phosphatase
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
SOC	Super Optimal broth with <u>Catabolite repression</u>
STH	Soil-transmitted helminthiases
TB	Terrific Broth
TBE	Tris-Borate EDTA
TBS	Tris buffered saline
TBS-T	TBS-Tween 20
TBSTT	TBS/Tween 20/Triton-X
TEMED	N,N,N',N'-Tetramethylethylenediamine
TES	<i>Toxocara</i> excretory-secretory

Th2	T helper 2
TMB	3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine
USB	ultrasound biomicroscopy
UV	ultra violet
VLM	visceral larva migrans
WB	Western blot

PENGKLONAN, PENGEXPRESIAN DAN PENULEMAN ANTIGEN REKOMBINAN *Toxocara canis* (rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-120) DAN PEMBANGUNAN UJIAN SERODIAGNOSTIK BAGI TOKSOKARIASIS

Abstrak

Serodiagnosis rutin untuk penyakit toksokariasis pada manusia adalah berdasarkan kit ELISA-IgG tak-langsung yang menggunakan antigen ekskretori-sekretori natif daripada *Toxocara canis*. Walau bagaimanapun, asai tersebut mempunyai spesifisiti yang rendah, terutamanya untuk kegunaan di negara tropika yang mempunyai pelbagai jangkitan parasit. Dalam usaha untuk meningkatkan kejituhan ujian diagnostik bagi penyakit ini, asai ELISA-IgG4 telah dibangunkan dengan menggunakan tiga antigen rekombinan.

Dalam kajian ini, DNA rekombinan *T. canis* yang mengkodkan antigen rekombinan rTES-26, rTES-32 dan rTES-120 dihasilkan dengan mengklonkan kerangka bacaan terbuka gen masing-masing melalui kaedah “reverse-transcriptase-PCR” (RT-PCR) menggunakan mRNA yang diekstrak daripada kultur larva *T. canis* peringkat kedua ke dalam vektor PCR2.1 TOPO. Analisis jujukan menunjukkan TOPO/TES-32 and TOPO/TES-120 mempunyai persamaan 100% dengan jujukan yang dilaporkan dalam “GenBank”, tetapi fragmen gen TOPO/TES-26 mempunyai empat mutasi. Selepas kesemua mutasi dalam TOPO/TES-26 diperbaiki, TES-26 dan TES-32 kemudiannya disubklonkan ke dalam vektor

ekspresi yang mempunyai penanda GST, manakala TES-120 disubklonkan ke dalam vektor yang mempunyai penanda histidin, dan kesemuanya diekspresikan di dalam hos ekspresi *E. coli* BL21(DE3).

Protein rekombinan tersebut kemudiannya ditularkan secara natif dengan kaedah kromatografi afiniti menggunakan resin GST dan His-Trap kerana protein rekombinan tersebut banyak berhasil dalam bentuk yang terlarut. Protease tapak spesifik, Factor Xa, digunakan untuk membuang penanda GST dalam protein rekombinan TES-26 (rTES-26) dan TES-32 (rTES-32). Analisis blot Western menunjukkan antigen rekombinan tersebut adalah reaktif dan spesifik secara imunologik. Serum daripada pesakit toksokariosis yang mempunyai antibodi IgG4 dapat mengenalpasti antigen rekombinan tersebut, manakala serum daripada pesakit jangkitan lain dan individu sihat adalah tidak reaktif.

Apabila ketiga-tiga antigen rekombinan diuji dengan ELISA yang spesifik untuk immunoglobulin klas IgM dan IgE dan subklas IgG (IgG1-IgG4), keputusan jelas menunjukkan bahawa hanya asai IgG4 memberikan spesifikasi yang baik. Keupayaan diagnostik setiap antigen rekombinan tulen dan rTES-30USM (dihasilkan sebelum ini di dalam makmal kami) seterusnya dinilai dengan asai ELISA-IgG4 menggunakan sampel serum sebanyak 242 yang termasuk 30 pesakit toksokariosis dengan bukti klinikal, hematologi dan serologi. Kedua-dua asai rTES-26 dan rTES-32 ELISA menunjukkan sensitiviti 80.0%; manakala rTES-120 ELISA-IgG4 menunjukkan sensitiviti 93.3.0%, sama seperti yang telah dilaporkan sebelum ini untuk rTES-30USM ELISA-IgG4. Tahap sensitiviti rTES-120/rTES-30USM ELISA-IgG4 adalah lebih tinggi secara signifikan daripada rTES-26/ rTES-32 ELISA-IgG4 ($p<0.001$). Walau bagaimanapun, perbandingan min O.D 30 sampel toksokariosis di antara asai IgG4 dengan menggunakan empat antigen recombinan tidak menunjukkan perbezaan yang signifikan ($p>0.05$). Pada tahap signifikan $p<0.05$, tiada perbezaan yang signifikan di antara spesifikasi rTES-26 dan rTES-120 ($p=0.059$), di antara rTES-26 dan rTES-30USM atau di antara rTES-30USM dan rTES-120.

Dalam asai terakhir, rTES-32 tidak dimasukkan kerana ia tidak menunjukkan sensitiviti dan spesifisiti yang lebih baik daripada rTES-26. Malah rTES-30USM dimasukkan kerana sensitivitinya yang tinggi dan pengesanan 100% kes toksokariosis dapat dicapai apabila keputusan asai IgG4 menggunakan rTES-30USM dan rTES-120 digabungkan.

Kesimpulannya, asai terakhir yang sensitif (80.0% - 93.3%) dan spesifik (92.0% - 96.2%) untuk pengesanan penyakit toksokariosis telah berjaya dibangunkan dengan menggunakan tiga telaga yang bersebelahan, setiap satunya disalut dengan rTES-26, rTES-30USM dan rTES-120. Kajian ini adalah novel dalam beberapa aspek iaitu ia adalah yang pertama melaporkan penggunaan pelbagai antigen rekombinan untuk serodiagnosis toksokariosis; penggunaan rTES-26 (dan rTES-32) dalam serodiagnosis jangkitan toksokara; penggunaan asai IgG4 untuk rTES-120 dan rTES-26 dan penggunaan penanda GST dalam ekspresi dan penulenan protein recombinan toksokara. Ujian ini mungkin merupakan pembaharuan yang signifikan berbanding ujian komersial yang ada bagi diagnosis penyakit toksokariosis, terutamanya bagi negara yang ko-endemik dengan cacing tularan tanah yang lain.

**CLONING, EXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION OF *Toxocara canis*
RECOMBINANT ANTIGENS (rTES-26, rTES-32, rTES-120) AND DEVELOPMENT
OF SERODIAGNOSTIC TEST FOR TOXOCARIASIS**

Abstract

Routine serodiagnosis of human toxocariasis is based on indirect IgG-ELISA kits which employ native *Toxocara canis* excretory-secretory (TES) antigen. However, these assays lacked specificity especially when used in tropical countries where multiparasitism are prevalent. In an effort to improve the diagnostic test for this infection, we have developed an IgG4-ELISA assay which uses three recombinant antigens.

In this study, recombinant *T. canis* DNA which encode for rTES-26, rTES-32 and rTES-120 were produced by cloning of open-reading frames (ORF) of the respective genes via reverse-transcriptase-PCR (RT-PCR) using mRNA extracted from a culture of *T. canis* second stage larvae into PCR2.1 TOPO vector. Sequence analysis revealed that TOPO/TES-32 and TOPO/TES-120 were 100% similar to the reported sequences in the GenBank, however, TOPO/TES-26 gene fragment had four mutations. After all mutations in TOPO/TES-26 gene fragments had been corrected, TES-26 and TES-32 were subsequently subcloned into a GST-tagged, while TES-120 was subcloned into a His-tagged prokaryotic

expression vector; and all constructs were expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) expression host.

The recombinant proteins were subsequently purified under native condition by affinity chromatography using GST and His-Trap resins since these recombinant proteins were abundantly expressed in soluble form. The site-specific protease, Factor Xa, was used to remove GST tag in the TES-26 and TES-32 GST-tagged fusion proteins. Western blot analysis revealed that these recombinant antigens were immunologically reactive and specific. Sera from patients infected with toxocariasis had IgG4 antibodies that recognized these recombinant antigens, while sera from individuals with other infections and from healthy normals did not.

When the three recombinant antigens were tested in ELISAs specific for immunoglobulin IgM and IgE classes, and IgG subclasses (IgG1-IgG4), the results clearly showed that only IgG4 assay displayed good specificity. The diagnostic utility of each purified recombinant antigen and rTES-30USM (previously produced in our laboratory) was further evaluated by IgG4-ELISA assay using 242 serum samples which included 30 sera from patients with clinical, haematological and serological evidence of toxocariasis. Both rTES-26 and rTES-32 IgG4-ELISAs demonstrated sensitivity of 80.0%; while rTES-120 IgG4-ELISA showed sensitivity of 93.3.0%, which is similar to that previously reported for rTES-30USM IgG4-ELISA. The sensitivity of rTES-120/rTES-30USM IgG4-ELISA was found to be significantly higher than rTES-26/rTES-32 IgG4-ELISA ($p<0.001$). However, the mean O.Ds of the 30 toxocariasis samples among the IgG4 assays using the four recombinant antigens were shown not to be significantly different ($p>0.05$). At $p<0.05$, there was marginally no significant difference between the specificities of rTES-26 and rTES-120 ($p=0.059$), rTES-26 and rTES-30USM or between rTES-30USM and rTES-120.

In the final assay, rTES-32 was excluded since it was not better than rTES-26 in terms of sensitivity or specificity. Instead rTES-30USM was included due to its high sensitivity and the fact that a 100% detection of toxocariasis cases was achieved when results of IgG4 assays using rTES-30USM and rTES-120 were combined.

In summary, a final assay which is sensitive (80% to 93.3%) and specific (92.0%-96.2%) for detection of toxocariasis was successfully developed using three adjacent wells, each separately coated with rTES-26, rTES-30USM and rTES-120. This study is novel in several ways namely it is the first report of the use of multiple recombinant antigens for serodiagnosis of toxocariasis; the use of rTES-26 (and rTES-32) in *Toxocara* serodiagnosis; the use of IgG4 assay for rTES-120 and rTES-26 and the use of GST tag in the expression and purification of *Toxocara* recombinant proteins. These test maybe a significant improvement over commercially available tests for diagnosis of toxocariasis and may be used especially in countries co-endemic with other soil-transmitted helminthes.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General introduction

Human toxocariasis is a worldwide parasitic zoonosis, caused most commonly by the parasite dog intestinal roundworm (*Toxocara canis*) and less frequently the cat roundworm (*Toxocara cati*) (Despommier, 2003; Fisher, 2003). Although several nematodes have been reported to produce visceral larva migrans (VLM), *T. canis* appears to be the primary causative agent (Glickman & Schantz, 1981). *T. canis* is more important in causing human infection than *T. cati* because dogs are more often in direct contact with people than cats. In addition cats usually select sandy soil for defecation and habitually bury *Toxocara* egg-contaminated faeces, making infectious eggs less accessible to susceptible individuals (Overgaauw, 1997; Smith & Rahmah, 2006). Nevertheless, *T. cati* has been implicated particularly in ocular toxocariasis and represents an underestimated zoonotic agent (Fisher, 2003). Human toxocariasis is still a poorly diagnosed disease, especially in places with low socioeconomic level, and is largely unknown to health professionals and the general population (Wells, 2007). Nevertheless, it is probably one of the most common zoonotic helminthiases in temperate climates (Schantz, 1989).