

**GENOTOXIC EVALUATION OF SYNTHETIC HYDROXYAPATITE USING  
MAMMALIAN BONE MARROW CHROMOSOME ABERRATION TEST**

**Kannan TP<sup>1</sup>, Azlina A<sup>1</sup> and Ab. Rani Samsudin<sup>1</sup>, Narazah Mohd. Yusoff<sup>2</sup>, Afifi**

**Sheikh Abu Bakar<sup>3</sup> and Siti Fatimah Ramli<sup>2</sup>**

- 1. School of Dental Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 16150 Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia*
- 2. Advanced Institute of Medical and Dental Research, No.29 Lorong Bertam Indah, 4/9 Taman Bertam Indah 13200 Kepala Batas, Sebarang Perai Utara, Universiti Sains Malaysia*
- 3. Animal House Unit, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 16150 Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia*

**Author for Correspondence:**

**Kannan TP**

*Lecturer*

*School of Dental Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 16150 Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia*

09 – 7663684

*tpkannan@kb.usm.my*

## ABSTRACT

Some of the beneficial biocompatible properties of hydroxyapatite [ $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$ ], the major component and an essential ingredient of normal bone and teeth are that it is rapidly integrated into the human body and will bond to bone forming indistinguishable unions. But, before new materials are approved for medical use, mutagenesis systems to exclude cytotoxic, mutagenic or carcinogenic properties are applied worldwide. This study aims to detect any chromosomal aberrations induced by the synthetic hydroxyapatite granules [Manufactured by Universiti Sains Malaysia, (USM) Penang, Malaysia] in the bone marrow cells of mice. The mitotic indices of the groups treated with synthetic hydroxyapatite granules did not show any significant difference as compared to the negative control group treated with distilled water. Also the groups of mice treated with synthetic hydroxyapatite granules and distilled water did not induce significant change in chromosome aberrations as compared to the positive control group treated with Mitomycin C. The mitotic indices and chromosomal analyses indicate that under the present test conditions, synthetic hydroxyapatite granules (manufactured by USM) are non cytotoxic and do not induce chromosome aberrations in the bone marrow cells of mice.

**Key words:** *cytotoxicity, chromosome aberration, hydroxyapatite, mice*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Hydroxyapatite [ $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$ ] is the major component and an essential ingredient, of normal bone and teeth. It is hydroxyapatite that makes up bone mineral and the matrix of teeth. There is a necessity for replacing bone substance, which has been lost due to traumatic or non-traumatic events. The lost bone can be replaced by endogenous or exogenous bone tissues. The use of endogenous bone (autogenous bone) has remained the golden standard in restoring bone defects but it involves additional surgery [1]. Moreover, the endogenous bone is available only in limited quantities. The major disadvantage of exogenous bone (allograft bone) is the risk of viral or bacterial transmission and the human body may reject them. When implanted into the body, the synthetic hydroxyapatite is accepted by the body and because of its porous nature, allows normal tissue integration to take place. Hydroxyapatite has excellent biocompatibility and when placed in contact with viable bone result in osseointegration and osseointegration. Hydroxyapatite does not cause inflammatory response, toxic reactions or foreign body giant cell reactions [2]. For these reasons, there is a growing need for fabrication of artificial hard tissue replacement implants [1]. Biomaterials may provide a solution for these problems. An ideal bone substitute should be biocompatible, which means acceptance of the implant to the tissue surface [2]. Solid hydroxyapatite can be carved and trimmed to the requirements of the correction. Because bone and soft tissue growth into the pores of the implant occurs quickly after implantation, the implant is securely held in place. Over time the implant is partially resorbed and replaced by natural bone. Moreover, when a bone substitute with some mechanical strength is needed, hydroxyapatite seems most appropriate as it represents the natural mineral in human bone

and approximates the structure of cancellous bone [3]. However, synthetic hydroxyapatite is relatively a new biomaterial and before new materials is approved for medical use, mutagenesis systems to exclude cytotoxic, mutagenic or carcinogenic properties are applied worldwide [4]. This study aims to find whether synthetic hydroxyapatite granules (Manufactured by USM, Penang) produce any chromosome aberrations in the bone marrow cells of mice.

## **2. Materials and methods**

Approval to carry out this research was obtained from the Animal Ethics Committee of Universiti Sains Malaysia, Health Campus as per the reference PPSG/07 (A)/044 dated 28<sup>th</sup> May 2003 under the Project Titled (008): “*In vivo* Chromosome Aberration Test for Hydroxyapatite in Mice”. The experimental procedures including handling of the animals were strictly adhered to as per the guidelines.

Adult healthy Swiss albino strain of mice aged around 10 to 12 weeks was chosen as our experimental animal. Each group of animals comprised of 5 females and 5 males. The animals were reared in cages and commercial pellet diet (Gold Coin Feedmills Sdn. Bhd, Malaysia) and distilled water were given *ad libitum*.

### **2.1 Experimental groups**

*Negative control:* The animals of this group were injected with 0.5 ml of distilled water intra peritoneally and were sacrificed after 24 hours.

*Positive control:* The animals of the positive group were injected with a positive control chemical, Mitomycin C at the dose rate of 1.5 mg/kg body weight. They were also sacrificed 24 hours after treatment.

*Treatment group:* The mice in the treatment group were divided into 3 and were injected with synthetic hydroxyapatite granules (Manufactured by USM, Penang) dispersed in 0.5 ml of distilled water at the dose level of 2000 mg / kg body weight and the mice were sacrificed at different intervals. The experimental protocol is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1****Experimental Protocol**

<b>Group</b>	<b>Number of animals</b>		<b>Time of harvesting bone marrow following treatment</b>
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	
<b>Distilled water (Negative control)</b>	5	5	24 hours
<b>Mitomycin C (Positive control)</b>	5	5	24 hours
<b>Treatment (Synthetic hydroxyapatite granules)</b>	5	5	6 hours
	5	5	24 hours
	5	5	48 hours

**2.2 Biomaterial**

The biomaterial used in this study is a porous form of synthetic hydroxyapatite granules (Fig 1), which is manufactured by the School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia. The granules ranged from 100 to 200 microns in size.

**2.3 Experiment**

All the animal experiments were conducted in the Animal House Unit and the culture work in the Human Genome Centre of Universiti Sains Malaysia, Health campus. The


animals were subjected to the treatment protocol as per their respective group shown in table 1. After appropriate time of treatment, the animals were injected intra peritoneally with colchicine (4 mg/kg) 90 minutes prior to sacrifice. The animals were then sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The bone marrow cells were collected from both the femurs by flushing in warm (37°C) potassium chloride solution in centrifuge tubes and the volume was adjusted to 15 ml following which they were incubated at 37 °C for 20 minutes. This was followed by fixation using 3:1 Methanol:Acetic acid. The slides were prepared as per the procedure described elsewhere [5]. The slides were stained with 10 per cent Leishman's stain in phosphate buffer saline (pH 6.8) for 5 minutes, rinsed in distilled water and air dried.

#### ***2.4 Mitotic Index and screening for chromosome aberrations***

The mitotic index was calculated to determine the cytotoxicity as follows.

$$\text{Mitotic Index} = \frac{\text{Number of cells in metaphase}}{\text{Total number of cells counted}} \times 100$$

A total of 1000 cells were counted per culture to determine the mitotic index values. Slides were screened to detect if there were both the numerical and structural aberrations. A total of 100 cells per animal were scored for the chromosomal aberrations. The slides were screened under oil objective (100x) of Leica CTR MIC Image Analyzer and pictures were taken using Leica Chantal software. The statistical analyses was carried out using SPSS software version 12.0.1 to calculate the mean and standard error and Duncan's multiple range test was carried out to detect significance of differences, if any among the different experimental groups.



**Fig 1: Synthetic hydroxyapatite granules (Manufactured by USM, Penang)**

### 3. RESULTS

The biomaterial used in the present study to evaluate the mutagenicity was porous form of synthetic hydroxyapatite granules manufactured by School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering, USM, Penang, Malaysia. The colchicine injected at a concentration of 4 mg /kg body weight 90 minutes prior to harvesting, hypotonic treatment using 0.075 M KCl (0.56 per cent) at 37°C for 20 minutes and fixation using 3:1 Methanol:Acetic acid all yielded consistent results with regard to chromosome preparation and good number of analyzable cells at metaphase. More evenly controlled chromosome spreading was obtained by following the protocol as described [5]. The mean mitotic index values for the different treatment groups are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2**  
**MEAN MITOTIC INDICES OBSERVED IN BONE MARROW CELLS OF**  
**MICE EXPOSED TO DIFFERENT TREATMENTS**

Treatment	Dose	Time of harvesting bone marrow cells of mice (in hours)	Mitotic Index (%)	
			Mean $\pm$ SE	SEV
Mitomycin C (Positive control)	1.5 mg / Kg body weight	24	1.34 $\pm$ 0.11	a
Distilled water (Negative control)	0.5 ml	24	3.29 $\pm$ 0.06	b
Synthetic hydroxyapatite granules	2 g / kg body weight	6	3.07 $\pm$ 0.05	b
Synthetic hydroxyapatite granules	2 g / kg body weight	24	3.16 $\pm$ 0.10	b
Synthetic hydroxyapatite granules	2 g / kg body weight	48	3.05 $\pm$ 0.08	b

1000 cells were scored per each sample. Each value is the mean of 10 samples. SE is the standard error of the mean; SEV is the statistical evaluation obtained following ANOVA test and subsequent comparing with Duncan's new multiple range test. Values in vertical column (Mean  $\pm$  SE) followed by the same letter (a,b) are not significantly different at 5% level whereas values with different letters (a,b) are significant at 5% level.

### **Chromosomal Analysis**

No statistically significant chromosome aberrations were observed, either numerical or structural in the chromosomes of mice treated with distilled water (Fig 2) and those treated with synthetic hydroxyapatite granules (Fig 3). However, mice injected with Mitomycin C showed several fold increase in chromosome aberration levels, validating the experimental conditions used (Fig 4). The aberrations included breaks, deletions and gaps. The details are presented in table 3.

**Table 3**


### **CHROMOSOME ABERRATIONS OBSERVED IN BONE MARROW CELLS OF MICE EXPOSED TO DIFFERENT TREATMENTS**

<b>Treatment</b>	<b>Dose</b>	<b>Time of harvesting bone marrow cells of mice (in hours)</b>	<b>Chromosome aberrations</b>	<b>Chromosome aberrations per cell</b>	
				<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SE</b>	<b>SEV</b>
Mitomycin C (Positive control)	1.5 mg / kg body weight	24	84	0.084 $\pm$ 0.011	b
Distilled water (Negative control)	0.5 ml	24	5	0.005 $\pm$ 0.002	a
Synthetic hydroxyapatite granules	2 g / kg body weight	6	7	0.007 $\pm$ 0.004	a
Synthetic hydroxyapatite granules	2 g / kg body weight	24	3	0.003 $\pm$ 0.002	a
Synthetic hydroxyapatite granules	2 g / kg body weight	48	5	0.005 $\pm$ 0.002	a

100 metaphase spreads were scored per each sample. Each value is the mean of 10 samples. SE is the standard error of the mean; SEV is the statistical evaluation obtained following ANOVA test and subsequent comparing with Duncan's new multiple range test. Values in vertical column (Mean  $\pm$  SE) followed by the same letter (a,a) are not significantly different at 5% level whereas values with different letters (a,b) are significant at 5% level.



**Fig 2: Metaphase spread – Mice (Negative control – Distilled water)**



**Fig 3. Metaphase spread – Mice (Treatment with Hydroxyapatite)**



**Fig 4. Metaphase spread – Mice (Positive control - Mitomycin C)**

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The chromosome aberration test using cultured mammalian cells is one of the sensitive methods to predict environmental mutagens and or carcinogens [6]. The mammalian *in vivo* chromosome aberration test is used for the detection of structural chromosome aberrations induced by test compounds in the bone marrow cells of animals, usually rodents [7 - 10]. Bone marrow is a highly vascularized tissue and it contains a population of rapidly cycling cells that can be readily isolated and processed [11]. This chromosome aberration test is especially relevant to assessing mutagenic hazard in that it allows consideration of factors of *in vivo* metabolism, pharmacokinetics, and DNA-repair processes although these may vary among species and among tissues.

**Colchicine:** Colchicine or its synthetic analog, colcemid is a spindle fiber poison. It disrupts the microtubules or spindle fibers and prevents the cells in metaphase of mitosis from proceeding to anaphase. The animals were injected with colchicine at a dose of 4mg per kg body weight 1½ hours prior to sacrifice, which is in accordance with the reports of the earlier workers [12 - 15]. Then, the mice were sampled at an appropriate interval thereafter. The injection of colchicine 1½ hours prior to sacrifice yielded quite a number of metaphase spreads for analyses.

##### ***Harvesting of Bone Marrow***

Two things are very important in hypotonic treatment: the right strength of solution and the length of time in solution. This hypotonic treatment causes the cells and nuclei to swell so that the chromosomes will be separated more widely. Hypotonic treatment using 0.075 M KCl (0.56 per cent) at 37°C for 20 minutes yielded good number of swollen cells in the present study as per the protocol of [5]. More evenly controlled chromosome

spreading was obtained by following the method of Octavian Henegariu *et al.* [5], who opined that just 1 to 1.5 ml of fixative is sufficient for fixation of the cells followed by micro centrifugation at 6000 to 7000 rpm for 1-2 minutes. In the present study, however, the conventional method was followed.

#### ***Positive Control Chemical***

Positive controls should employ a known clastogen at exposure levels expected to give a reproducible and detectable increase over background, which demonstrate the sensitivity of the test system. Positive control concentrations should be chosen so that the effects are clear [11]. Mitomycin C that was used as the positive control chemical in the present study produced chromosomal aberrations in the bone marrow cells of mice.

#### ***Mitotic Index***

The values of mitotic index are an indication of the degree of cytotoxicity. A reduction greater than 50 per cent in the mitotic index value when compared to the control indicates the cytotoxic nature of the test substance. In the present study there is no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the mitotic index values between the treatment group with synthetic hydroxyapatite granules ( $3.07 \pm 0.05$  for 6 hours;  $3.16 \pm 0.10$  for 24 hours and  $3.05 \pm 0.08$  for 48 hours) and the negative control group treated with distilled water ( $3.29 \pm 0.06$  for 24 hours). However, the positive control group treated with Mitomycin C, showed significant difference ( $1.34 \pm 0.11$  for 24 hours) as compared to the negative control. This indicates that the biomaterial in the present study, synthetic hydroxyapatite granules are non cytotoxic to the bone marrow cells of mice. Only in the case of positive control group treated with Mitomycin C, significant difference was observed in the

mitotic indices when compared to the negative control group. This could be attributed to the cytotoxic nature of the positive control chemical.

### *Chromosomal Analysis*

Except the positive control, which was treated with Mitomycin C, all the other groups did not show any significant numerical or structural chromosomal aberrations. The groups of mice treated with synthetic hydroxyapatite granules and distilled water did not induce significant change ( $p < 0.05$ ) in chromosome aberrations as compared to the group treated with Mitomycin C. Their respective values of chromosome aberration per cell are  $0.007 \pm 0.004$  for 6 hours;  $0.003 \pm 0.002$  for 24 hours and  $0.005 \pm 0.022$  for 48 hours for hydroxyapatite treated groups,  $0.005 \pm 0.002$  for distilled water treated group and  $0.084 \pm 0.011$  for Mitomycin treated group. The production of chromosome aberration is a complex cellular process and the mechanism of chromosome breakage and rejoining is not yet completely understood [16 - 17].

All the cells that were scored, both in the negative control and treatment groups (with hydroxyapatite) had a normal complement of  $2n=40$  without any significant chromosomal aberrations. An increase in polyploidy may indicate that the test substance has the potential to induce numerical chromosome aberrations. An increase in endoreduplication may indicate that the test substance has the potential to inhibit cell-cycle progression [18 -19].

This indicates that the result is negative and the test substance, synthetic hydroxyapatite granules (porous form) does not induce any chromosome aberrations in cultured mammalian cells [11].

## **Conclusions**

The value of the mitotic indices and the absence of chromosome aberrations indicate that the biomaterial, synthetic hydroxyapatite granules (Manufactured by USM, Penang) is non cytotoxic and non mutagenic under the present test conditions in the bone marrow cells of mice.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to thank the USM Biomaterial research cluster for providing the biomaterial and the staff of Human Genome Centre and Animal House Unit for their help rendered during the course of this study. Thanks are also attributed to the financial support provided through USM Short Term Grant (No. 304/PPSG/6131299) in carrying out this project.

## References

1. WOJCIECH SUCHANEK AND MASAHIRO YOSHIMURA. *J Mater Res*, **13** (1998) 94.
2. P.D. CONSTANTINO, C.D. FRIEDMAN, K. JONES, L.C. CHOW, H.J. PELZER AND G.A. SISSON. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, **117** (1991) 379.
3. F.W. COOKE. *Clin Orthop*, **276** (1992) 135.
4. A. KATZER, H. MARQUARDT, J. WESTENDORF, J.V. WENING AND G. VON FOERSTER. *Biomaterials*, **23** (2002) 1749.
5. OCTAVIAN HENEGARIU, NYLA A HEEREMA, LISA LOWE WRIGHT, PATRICIA BRAY-WARD, DAVID C.WARD, GAIL H.VANCE. *Cytometry*, **43** (2001) 101.
6. M. ISHIDATE, K.F. MIURA AND T. SOFUNI. *Mutation Research*, **404** (1998) 167.
7. I.D. ADLER. In *Cytogenetic Tests in Mammals. Mutagenicity Testing: A Practical Approach*. (Eds) S.Venitt and JM Parry (IRL Press, Oxford, Washington DC, 1984) p. 275.
8. R.J. PRESTON, B.J. DEAN, S. GALLOWAY, H. HOLDEN, A.F. MCFEE AND M. SHELBY. *Mutation Research*, **189** (1987) 157.
9. M. RICHOLD, J. ASHBY, J. BOOTMAN, A. CHANDLEY, D.G. GATEHOUSE AND L. HENDERSON. In *In Vivo Cytogenetics Assays*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Port Chester, Melbourne, Sydney, 1990 p. 115.

10. R.R. TICE, M. HAYASHI, J.T. MACGREGOR, D. ANDERSON, D.H. BLAKEY, H.E. HOLDEN, M. KIRSCH-VOLDERS, F.B. OLESON JR, F. PACCHIEROTTI, R.J. PRESTON, R. FELIX, S. HIROYASU, S. SIZUYO AND V. BERNARD. *Mutation Research*, **312** (1994) 305.
11. Health Effects Test Guidelines – OPPTS 870.5385. United States Environmental Protection Agency. (1998) 1.
12. T. DAS, A. ROY CHOUDHURY, A. SHARMA AND G. TALUKDER. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, **34** (1996) 43.
13. A. ROY CHOUDHURY, T. DAS, A. SHARMA AND G. TALUKDER. *Mutation Research*, **392** (1997) 237.
14. S. PODDAR, P. MUKHERJEE, G. TALUKDER AND A. SHARMA. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, **38** (2000) 735.
15. S. GIRI, G.D. SHARMA, A. GIRI AND S.B. PRASAD. *Mutation Research*, **520** (2002) 125.
16. F. PALITTI. *Mutation Research*, **404** (1998) 133.
17. E.P.SAVAGE, T.J. KEEFE, L.F. MOUNCE, R.K.HEATON, A.LEWIS AND P.J.BURCAR. **43** (1998) 38.
18. C. LOCKE-HUHLE. *Mutation Research*, **119** (1983) 403.
19. Y. HUANG, C. CHANGE AND J.E. TROSCO. *Cancer Research*, **43**, (1983) 1362.

## **LIST OF CAPTIONS FOR ILLUSTRATIONS**

**Fig 1. Synthetic hydroxyapatite granules (Manufactured by USM, Penang)**

**Fig 2: Metaphase spread – Mice (Negative control – Distilled water)**

**Fig 3. Metaphase spread – Mice (Treatment with Hydroxyapatite**

**Fig 4. Metaphase spread – Mice (Positive control - Mitomycin C)**