Paper No 149 LASER SURFACE MAPPING FOR INTELLIGENT AUTOMATION

Tjio Hok Hoo & Mohd Rizal Arshad

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Perak Branch Campus, 31750 Tronoh, Perak D.R University of Science Malaysia(USM) <u>hokhoo@hotmail.com</u> <u>rizal@eng.usm.my</u>

Abstract: The system employs a solid-state laser with internal beam conditioning for range to a target by utilizing the triangulation technique. The grating line was made from a transparent material with a width of one pixel set using a computer programme. A fixed black and white (B/W) camera together with a frame grabber DT-3135 were used to acquire the 2D image of the object. The triangulation angle was extracted from the difference in distance between the laser grating causing the line on the object and the B/W camera. The position between the B/W camera and laser grating was fixed at an angle of 30 degrees. For surface mapping, the resolution is a function of range, which includes base line length measurement and proper 3D-model reconstruction. A typical precision is 0.1% for a maximum range measurement of 30 cm. This vision system will be integrated with an automation system, which depends heavily on surface mapping information.

Keywords:

Triangulation, surface mapping, range measurement

I. INTRODUCTION

Techniques such as "Laser surface mapping" and "range based vision" are systems that acquire depth information over an entire scene and use them for pose and distance determination. It provides 3D feature details at the cost of being computation extensive [1]. An efficient approach is presented for modeling 3D surface map of an object employing an uncalibrated camera. This technique employs a camera, which acquire a surround image of a rigid object. Total reconstruction of the overall shape of the object was conducted by collecting all the projected feature points around the object into a single measurement matrix [2], which is analyzed to yield an overall 3D model of the object. For constructing the 3D model, the triangulation theory was considered especially in obtaining the dynamic radius of the object. With laser line on the object, ample information could be gathered. The resolution is a very important parameter in acquiring an accurate surface map of the object. High-resolution means acquiring more information or matrix from the laser line for each positions. The surface map can be further improved by increasing the measurement position of the rotating table. But this also requires more processing power and proper matrix programming. The accuracy of the range and 3D coordinates of measured object point are determined by following factors:

- 1. The accuracy of calibration of the azimuth.
- 2. The accuracy of the intensity of the laser.
- 3. The accuracy of the matcher.

I. PREPROCESSING

The experimental set-up used a frame grabber (DT-Acquire 3135), a B/W camera, a laser diode, grating line, a moving table with 30 degree per step, Builder C++TM programming software and proper work-space. In the implementation described here, a laser diode with user adjustable focusing optics and the output wavelengths of 633nm and 670nm, and the camera with the width angle 12 degrees were used.

II. STRIPED LIGHTING

Here the scene was lit by a sheet of light produced with a laser beam light source and cylindrical lens, but projecting a slit by using a standard slide projector is also feasible. This sheet of light is scanned across the scene, producing a single light stripe for each position. When the light source is displaced from a viewing TV camera, the camera view of the stripe showed displacements along a stripe which are proportional to depth, a kink indicates a change of plane and a discontinuity a physical gap between surfaces. In 1971 Shirai and Suwa[3] used a simple stripe lighting scheme with a rotating slit projector and TV camera recognize polyhedral shapes. In 1973, Agin and Binford[4] described a laser ranging system capable of moving a sheet of light of controllable orientation across the scene. A helium neon laser emitting 35 mW of red light at a wavelength of 6328 Å was thought adequate for use with the vidicon camera used. The work reported by Popplestone et al. [5] differs from Shirai's in that it deals with both cylindrical and plane surfaces and from both Shirai's and Agin's work in the development of body model specifically suited to solving juxtaposition problems in automatic assembly. In 1975, Röcker and Keissling[6] discussed this problem along with difficulties associated with other

ranging techniques, In particular, they point out that, if

more is to be extracted from single image frame by using parallel grid illuminations, the strike identification problem causes a number of restrictions related to the following.

- 1) The image should contain parts of the supporting plane surface
- 2) Shadows cause line interruptions
- Top surface lines should be distinguishable from ground plane lines
- 4) Scene with more than one object should not have hidden object planes

In 1985, Jeffrey A. Jalkio et.al [7] described a laser ranging system using multiple structured light. The simplest structured light project a single point of light from source onto an object for triangulation. In 1993, J. Kramer, P. Seitz and H. Baltes[8] used a spherical and a cylinder lens to convert a light sheet from diode laser.

III. EXPERIMENT

2.1. Triangulation theory 2.1.1. Camera and laser analysis

To simplify the analysis, a two-dimensional object system is considered. A camera and the laser with grating line are separated by a distance of t. The camera in-line with the object is made an angle of 90 degree with the laser. The length between the camera and the object is set to be 30 centimeters. Fig. 1 shows such a camera and laser system. The camera and the laser grating line make an angle of 30 degree from the object.



Figure 1: Camera and laser system

With the triangulation techniques, the equation below are produced;

$$l = 30 centimeters$$
$$\tan 30 = \frac{t}{30}$$
$$t = \frac{\tan 30}{30} = 17,3$$

(2)

(1)

2.1.2. Object analysis

The elegant techniques for object modeling is proposed here. Point P is an azimuth of the object and point L is the point, which the laser grating line makes a line in the object. Point C and Point L are separated by distance of Δt . Point P is tilted with an angle of 30 degree. The distance between point P and point L is r. For drawing the 3D image r is a radius. Fig.2 shows such an object system. With the triangulation techniques, the equation below are produced;

(3)
$$r = \frac{\Delta t}{Sin30}$$
$$\Delta l = r.Cos30$$

$$\Delta l = l$$

(4)

(5)

Where:

 Δt is calculated by the distance of point C and point L by the image in the program.



Figure 2: Object system

2.1.3. Distance of the image

The triangulation technique measures the distance from the object, which has a laser grating line to the camera. Fig. 3 shows such distance object system. After the program found the distance of Δt , With the triangulation techniques can get the distance of d. The equation is shown below;

$$d = \sqrt{\left(\Delta t^2 + \left(30 - \Delta l\right)^2\right)}$$

Now the distance of the object is already known by equation number (5)



Figure 3: The distance object distance

3.2. The Three-Dimensional Theory

However, standard vision system provides only twodimensional (2D) images of work area or finished product, unable to discern the distance to height of the object view. Recently, various 3D vision systems have been produced to alloviate this problem via several methods.

3.2.1. The Two-Dimensional

The two-dimensional pictures from rotation object describe about x-axis and y-axis. r is the radius of each point in the picture. Fig. 4 shows such point of the two-dimensional image.





Figure 4. The point in two-dimensional 3.2.2. The Three-Dimensional

It is possible to make the x and z magnifications independent of the z coordinate for a given laser grating line position by rotating the object and the azimuth of the object in the y plane. The length of the radius is calculated from the azimuth to the point in the laser grating line. From this calculation, the threedimensional can draw to x-axis and z-axis. Fig. 5 shows such three-dimensional drawing method.



Figure 5: The three-dimensional drawing method

Here the calculation of the three-dimensional draws method.

(7)

z = r.Sin(n)

x = r.Cos(n)

- 19

IV. RESULT

When the laser produces the outgoing beam, the beam passed to the grating line causing one line through to the object. The B/W camera with the width around 12° gets the object with the laser grating in-line. In the setup described above, the object field in the x-y plane depends on the turned angle (θ) and the distance Δt . For $\theta = 30^{\circ}$ and Δt measured by the image from the azimuth (point C) until the laser grating line is in the image (point L), in the software. To measure the distance Δt or point C to point L, the grey image must be converted into a B/W image. After converting the grey image to B/W image, the image was transformed into a black stripe. From this image, the distance At can measure from point C to point L with scale 1mm=10 pixels. After that, the distance of radius (r) of the object was measured. Hence, the radius of one point in the laser grating line was acquired, but to get the matrix of the object for drawing a perfect three-dimensional image, as many points as possible in one line. In the research conducted, the default of the number of point in one line is around 5, but it can be added until a perfect three-dimensional image is acquired. Fig. 4 shows such point in the two-dimensional image. After

knowing the radius from two-dimensional image, the radius in the x axis and z axis can be converted into a three-dimensional representation. The y axis never convert because the y axis is the azimuth of the object. Refer to the equation numbers [6] and [7]. From this equations, the matrix 5x12 for x, y and z axis were acquired as shown in table [1], [2], and [3].

Table 1. X-axis

357.0000	385.4100	391.5000	386.4310	357.0000
357.0000	381.6038	386.8779	382.4880	357.0000
357.0000	371.2050	374.2500	371.7155	357.0000
357.0000	357.0000	357.0000	357.0000	357.0000
357.0000	342.7950	339.7500	342.2845	357.0000
357.0000	332.3962	327.1221	331.5120	357.0000
357.0000	328,5900	322.5000	327.5690	357.0000
357.0000	332,3962	327.1221	331.5120	357.0000
357,0000	342.7950	339.7500	342.2845	357.0000
357.0000	357.0000	357.0000	357.0000	357.0000
357.0000	371.2050	374.2500	371.7155	357.0000
357.0000	381.6038	386.8779	382.4880	357.0000

Table 2. Y-axis

240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
240.4100	280.5000	320.4310	360.0000
	240.4100 240.4100 240.4100 240.4100 240.4100 240.4100 240.4100 240.4100 240.4100 240.4100 240.4100 240.4100	240.4100 280.5000 240.4100 280.5000 240.4100 280.5000 240.4100 280.5000 240.4100 280.5000 240.4100 280.5000 240.4100 280.5000 240.4100 280.5000 240.4100 280.5000 240.4100 280.5000	240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310240.4100280.5000320.4310

Table 3. Z-axis

0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
0.0000	14.2050	17.2500	14.7155	0.0000	
0.0000	24.6038	29.8779	25.4880	0.0000	
0.0000	28.4100	34.5000	29,4310	0.0000	
0.0000	24.6038	29.8779	25.4880	0.0000	
0.0000	14.2050	17.2500	14.7155	0.0000	
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
0.0000	-14.2050	-17.2500	-14.7155	0.0000	
0.0000	-24.6038	-29.8779	-25.4880	0.0000	
0.0000	-28.4100	-34.5000	-29.4310	0.0000	
0.0000	-24.6038	-29.8779	-25.4880	0.0000	
0.0000	-14.2050	-17.2500	-14.7155	0.0000	

These matrices gave the result from MathlabTM program as shown in fig. 6, the three-dimensional drawing. The three-dimensional is not a very smooth drawing, because only the default point value of the program was used and the angle of image rotation was fixed. If the default and the angle of the rotation are much smaller than 30 degree, e.g. 15 degree, a matrix

of 5x24 matrix will be produced. Hence, if the point is 20, a matrix of 20x24 matrix is produced, and the image is smoother than the image in fig. 6.



Figure 6. The three-dimensional drawing

The original image is shown in fig. 7.



Figure 7. The original image

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK

The B/W camera can measure the width of the object around 6.3 cm, this depends on the width angle of the camera. And the height of the image depends on the laser grating line. In this research, the height of the laser grating line was around 1.2 cm, since the optical lens where the beam diverge was limited by the laser. If a more accurate the line tobe produced, the optical lens need to be re-arranged. The laser HeNe is sharper than the laser diode for getting a sharper and accurate line in the object. For getting a better surface mapping in three dimensions, the matrix must be larger than 5x12. This means, to produce a better quality surface map in three-dimension, the points which can be accommodated in the laser grating line must be larger than the default. And the angle of the rotation must be smaller than 30 degree. So from that result we got the matrix bigger than 5x12. The scale of the image around 1 mm = 10 pixel. Rendering the point of the image and putting some light to get a better shape illumination in the surface map can produce a better surface map. And for more perfect display, the surface mapping in the program should be able to rotate.

VI. REFERENCES

[1] W.E.L. Grimson, "Computing stereopsis using Feature Point Contour Matching", in Techniques for 3D Machine Percetion'-Elsevier Science Publishers.

[2] J. K. Tan, Ishikawa Seiji, "On Modelling Three-Dimensional Objects by Uncalibrated Camera", Tencon Proceedings, pp. I-59, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 24-27 Sept. 2000

[3] Y. Shirai and M. Suwa, "recognition of polyhedrons with range finder," in Proc. 2nd Int. Joint Conf. Artificial Intell, pp. 80-87, London, Sept. 1971

[4] G.J. Agin and T.O binford, "Computer description of curved objects", in Proc. Int Joint Conf. Artificial Intell., pp. 629-640, Stanford Univ., Aug. 20-23, 1973

[5] R.J. Popplestone, C. M. Brown, A. P. Amber and G. F. Crawford, "Forming Models of plane and cylinder faceted bodies from light stripes", in Proc. 4th Int. Joint Conf. Artificial Intell., pp.664-668, 1975

[6] F. Röcker and A. Kiessling, "Methods for analysing three dimensional scenes", in Proc. 4th Int. Joint Conf. Artificial Intell., pp.669-673, 1975

[7] J. Kramer, P Seitz and H. Baltes, "Inexpensive range camera operating at video speed", Applied Optics, Vol.32 (13), pp 2323-2330, May 1, 1993

[8] Jeffrey A. Jalkio, Richard C. Kim and Steven K. Case, "Three dimensional inspection using multistriped structured light", Optical Engineering, Vol.24 (6), pp. 966-974, Nov/Dec, 1985

2 5 1

ICOLA2002

List of accepted papers based on subject grouping

PLENARY

INV01	Pochi Yeh	Photonic and optical components for DWDM optical networks
INV04	Jumpei Tsujiuchi	Optical aspect of digital imaging
INV05	Goguang Mu	White-light optical information processing and its applications to color photography
INV09	Lambertus Hesselink	Ultra-high power transmission through a single nano-aperture
INV12	Francis Yu	Entropy and information optics
INV14	A.N. Chumakov	Dynamics of pulse near-surface optical discharges and their applications
INV20	Setsuko Ishii	Artistic holography
INV22	Yoshii Suzuki	Advancements in the field of photonic technology and applications
INV23	Andrianto Handojo	Creative optics for inadequate equipped environment

A. OPTICAL COMMUNICATION, OPTOELECTRONIC DEVICES

INV08 INV10	Rene Dandliker Suganda Jutamulia	Optical field with sub-wavelength structure Optical Communications: Technology and economy
LN05	Abid Karim	A novel alignment technique for active optical waveguide components
LN08	Faidz Abd. Rahman,	
	Kenzo Takahashi, Chuah Hean Teik	A scheme to improve the coupling between laser diode and single mode fiber
LN21	Seungin Baek,	
	Yoonchan Jeong, Jingu Kana, Hak-Rin Kim, Sin-	Polarization-dependent characteristics of liquid crystal optical fibers
	Doo Lee. Byounaho Lee	
LN23	Tjio Hok Hoo, Mohd Rizal Arshad	A simple surface mapping technique using laser triangulation method
INA01 INA02	Ary Syahriar, Sar Sardy Ary Syahriar, Dany M	Performance and reliability of single mode fused fiber coupler
	Gandana, Yohannes Dewanto	Modeling optical wave guide bends by method of lines
INA24	H. Alatas, A.A. Iskandar, M.O. Tjia, T. P. Valkering	Spatial solitons in nonlinear deep grating
INA25	David A. Marpung, A. A. Iskandar	Analysis of coupling behavior between two embedded strip wave auides
INA27	llvico Sonata, Tjandra Susila	Analysis and implementation Doppler effect as a transmitter source finder